लाल बहादुर शास्त्री राष्ट्रीय प्रशासन अकादमी
L.B.S. National Academy of Administration
मसूरी
MUSSOORIE

पुस्तकालय
LIBRARY

अवाप्ति संख्या
Accession No. ~~9756~~ 113072

वर्ग संख्या
Class No. 909

पुस्तक संख्या
Book No. Kes 45th ed.

A COMPENDIUM

OF

ANCIENT AND MODERN

HISTORY,

With Questions, adapted to the Use of Schools.

ALSO,

AN APPENDIX,

CONTAINING

THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE, THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, A BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH OF EMINENT PERSONAGES, WITH A CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF REMARKABLE EVENTS, DISCOVERIES, IMPROVEMENTS, ETC.

FROM THE CREATION TO THE YEAR 1867.

BY M. J. KERNEY, A.M.

Author of "First Class Book of History," "Columbian Arithmetic," &c. &c.

45th Revised and Enlarged Edition.

BALTIMORE:

PUBLISHED BY JOHN MURPHY & CO.

MARBLE BUILDING, 182 BALTIMORE STREET.

SOLD BY BOOKSELLERS GENERALLY.

1876.

Entered according to the Act of Congress, in the year 1867, by

JOHN MURPHY,

in the Clerk's Office, of the District Court of Maryland.

PUBLISHERS' PREFACE

To the Thirtieth Revised and Enlarged Edition.

The constantly increasing demand for Kerney's Compendium of History has induced the publishers to issue a new edition, enlarged by the introduction of new chapters embracing all the important events, wars, revolutions and changes, which have taken place in the various countries of the world since the opening of the Crimean war, in 1854.

These additions have been carefully prepared, and written in conformity with the spirit of impartiality which has made Mr. Kerney's book so popular. The important events that have convulsed our own country during the past six years, have received particular attention; they are narrated with a faithful regard for truth, and without bias.

The typographical execution of the whole book has been carefully revised, and no effort spared to make the Compendium worthy of the high reputation and liberal patronage it has heretofore enjoyed.

Baltimore, *July*, 1867.

Author's Preface.

In presenting this Compendium to the public, the author would beg leave to make a few brief remarks. The great utility to be derived from a work of this nature, will be evident, on the least reflection. To the man whose time is limited, it will afford an opportunity of becoming acquainted with the most important events, the manners, customs, and institutions of various nations, without the labor of reading more extensive works. On the other hand, it will prove highly useful to those who have passed through a course of historical study; serving as an expeditious means of refreshing the memory, by recalling events which time had partially obliterated. In some respects, the Compendium possesses an advantage over the more copious history. In the latter, the memory is frequently overcharged with a multiplicity of circumstances which often obscure the most important facts; while, in the former, the most important events are only presented, and easily retained.

A number of works of this nature have already appeared, and many of them highly approved. Yet, in point of arrangement, and in the general matter of contents it would seem that some improvement might still be made. The divi-

sion of history into periods, a system which has been followed by many respectable historians, would appear, upon the whole, rather to obscure than aid the memory. The author, therefore, has thought proper to pursue the history of the various nations and institutions, by preserving an unbroken series of events in regular succession, from their earliest authentic records to their decline, or to the present time.

In treating his subjects, it has been the studied design of the author to avoid all sarcastic remarks, and all useless invectives, making, in general, no further use of original comments than merely necessary to give a clear view of the facts related. By thus curtailing observations, which frequently make up a material portion of works of this nature, he has been enabled to insert a much greater amount of historical matter than is usually met with in the same number of pages. In speaking of religion, the most respectful language has been employed, and no expression has been used that could, in the remotest degree, wound the feelings of the professors of any creed.

In order to render this Compendium more valuable to the private reader, and at the same time more useful to the student of history, the author has thought proper to add an Appendix, containing the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States, thus placing these documents, so interesting in themselves, and so important in their nature, particularly the Constitution, to every American citizen, within the reach of every individual. Few indeed, in the community, have ever seen or read a copy of the Constitution, owing to the scarcity of books which contain it. It would seem, moreover, a desirable object to render the youth of our country early acquainted with the principles of the Constitution. This, it is hoped, will be accomplished by placing it in a work designed for the use of schools and academies. Biographical notices are at all times interesting and instructive. But as the introduction of these notices in the body of the work would too much interrupt that close connection of events, so important in a Compendium of History, the author has added a short Biographical Sketch of Distinguished Personages. By this means, he has been enabled to notice the lives and actions of many eminent characters, who could not otherwise have been conveniently introduced. The Chronological Table, Which fixes the date of all important events, discoveries, &c., will be found both interesting and useful, as it will often save hours of labor and anxious research.

In a work which professes to be a compilation, and which, from its nature and design, must consist of materials chiefly selected from the writings of others, it is scarcely necessary to apologize for the use which the author has made of the labors of his predecessors. He has, in general, omitted the names of the authors from whom he has made his selections, because he frequently thought proper to change their style, and alter their language, in order to suit the connection, and adapt them to the nature of the Compendium.

The author is well aware that much improvement might be made in the present edition, and regrets, exceedingly, that several errors will be found upon its pages, but generally of a typographical character. But those who are acquainted with the arduous task of passing the first edition of a work of this nature through the press, will readily overlook its many imperfections. In orthography, Webster has generally been followed as the standard.

If the present Compendium will be found, in the remotest degree, to benefit any portion of the community, or tend to facilitate the acquisition of historical knowledge, the most ardent desires of the author will be realized. M. J. K.

Baltimore, May, 1845.

CONTENTS.

PAGE

Sources and Division of History 9

Creation of the World 10

Deluge 12

Tower of Babel 13

Assyria and Babylon 14

Persia 17

Persian Antiquities 18

Phœnicians 19

Egypt —
Sect. I. 19
II. Manners and Customs of the Egyptians 22
III. Pyramids, Labyrinth, Lake of Mœris 23

Greece —
Sect. I. 25
II. The Fabulous and Heroic Ages 26
III. Republic of Sparta 28
IV. Republic of Athens 29
V. From the Invasion of Greece by the Persians, to the Peloponnesian War. 31
VI. From the beginning of the Peloponnesian War to the Reign of Philip of Macedon 36
VII. Philip of Macedon. The Exploits and Death of Alexander 39
VIII. From the Death of Alexander to the Subjugation of Greece by the Romans 43
IX. Grecian Antiquities 46
Philosophy 47
Seven Wise Men 47
Public Games 47
Literature and Arts 48
Private and Domestic Life 49
Origin of Tragedy 50

Rome —
Sect. I. From the Foundation of the City to the Expulsion of Tarquin 51
II. From the Abolition of the Regal Power to the first Punic War 55
III. From the first Punic War to the Conquest of Greece 63
IV. The Sedition of the Gracchi; Civil Wars; Conspiracy of Cataline 69

PAGE

Sect. V. From the first Triumvirate to the Dissolution of the Commonwealth ... 72
VI. Rome under the Emperors ... 78
VII. From Nerva to Constantine the Great ... 82
VIII. From the Accession of Constantine to the Extinction of the Western Empire ... 87
Roman Antiquities ... 91

JEWISH HISTORY —
Sect. I. The History of the Jews, until their Deliverance from Egypt ... 95
II. The Government of the Judges; the Regal Government; the Restoration of the Jews from Captivity ... 101
III. From the Restoration to the Destruction of Jerusalem by the Romans ... 105
Antiquities ... 109
Manners and Customs ... 110

MYTHOLOGY OF ANCIENT NATIONS ... 111

MODERN HISTORY ... 117

MIDDLE AGES —
Sixth Age ... 117
Seventh Age ... 118
Eighth Age ... 119
Ninth Age ... 121
Tenth Age ... 122
Eleventh Age ... 123
Twelfth Age ... 124
Thirteenth Age ... 125
Fourteenth Age ... 126
Monasteries ... 127

SARACEN EMPIRE ... 127

FEUDAL SYSTEM ... 131

CRUSADES ... 132
First Crusade ... 134
Second Crusade ... 136
Third Crusade ... 137
Fourth and Fifth Crusades ... 138
Sixth Crusade ... 139
Seventh and Eighth Crusades ... 139
The effect of the Crusades ... 140

CHIVALRY ... 142
Tournaments and Jousts ... 144
The Origin of Duels ... 145

FRANCE —
Sect. I. Foundation of the Monarchy; Merovingian and Carlovingian Kings ... 147
II. Capetian Kings ... 150
III. Branch of Valois ... 152
IV. From the Accession of Louis XII. to the Reign of Henry III. 155
V. House of Bourbon; from Henry IV. to the Death of Louis XV ... 160
VI. Louis XVI.; Revolution; Buonaparte; Louis XVIII.; Charles X ... 164

ENGLAND — PAGE
Sect. I. From the Conquest by the Romans, A. C. 55, to A. D. 827... 174
II. The Foundation of the Monarchy... 177
III. *Norman Family;* William the Conqueror; William II.; Henry I.; Stephen of Blois... 182
IV. *Family of Plantagenet;* Henry II.; Richard I.; John; Henry III.; Edward I.; Edward II.; Edward III.; Richard II... 184
V. *Branch of Lancaster;* Henry IV.; Henry V.; Henry VI... 193
VI. *Branch of York;* Edward IV.; Edward V.; Richard III... 195
VII. *Tudor Family;* Henry VII.; Henry VIII.; Edward VI.; Mary; Elizabeth... 198
VIII. *Stuart Family;* James I.; Charles I.; the Commonwealth; Cromwell; Charles II.; James II.; William and Mary; Anne... 205
IX. *Branch of Brunswick;* George I.; George II.; George III.; George IV.; William IV... 215

SCOTLAND —
Sect. I. ... 218
II. *House of Stuart*... 219

IRELAND —
Sect. I. ... 230
II. The Invasion of Ireland by Henry II... 235
III. Insurrection of 1798 ... 243
The Society of United Irishmen... 244
The Orange Association ... 244
The Legislative Union with Great Britain... 246

SPAIN ... 248
The Inquisition... 250

PORTUGAL ... 252

POLAND... 253

RUSSIA ... 255

PRUSSIA ... 257

GERMANY ... 259

SWEDEN ... 262

DENMARK ... 264

NETHERLANDS AND HOLLAND ... 265

ITALY ... 266

TURKEY ... 270

MODERN GREECE ... 273

AMERICA —
Sect. I. Discovery of the Country... 279
Conquest of Mexico... 284
The Manners and Customs of the Indians... 286
II. Settlement of Virginia and New York... 288
III. New England Settlements... 293
Maryland... 299
Pennsylvania... 300
Delaware and New Jersey... 301
The Carolinas... 301
Georgia... 302

PAGE

Sect. IV The French War; Conquest of Canada; Oppressive Measures towards the Colonies; Commencement of Hostilities; Battle of Lexington; Bunker Hill; Declaration of Independence..... 302

UNITED STATES—
Sect. V. The War of the Revolution continued..... 311
VI. The Three Years' War..... 323
VII. War with Mexico..... 330
VIII. The administrations of Taylor, Fillmore and Pierce..... 343
IX. Civil War..... 347

MEXICAN WAR—The Empire..... 353

REVOLUTIONS IN EUROPE—
Sect. I. France- Insurrection in Paris; Republic Proclaimed; Louis Napoleon; the Empire established; Crimean War; Expeditions to China and Cochin-China; Italian War..... 356
II. The Revolt in Hungary; Insurrection in Vienna; War in the North of Italy; Revolution in Rome; Flight of the Pope; the Roman Republic; the Pope Restored; Italian Revolution; German War..... 360

THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH—
Sect. I. From the Birth of Christ to the Triumph of Christianity.... 365
II. From the Triumph of Christianity to the Reformation..... 373
III. From the Reformation to the present time..... 380

APPENDIX.

The Declaration of Independence, with the Names of the Signers..... 384
The Constitution of the United States, with the Names of the Delegates to the Federal Convention..... 387
Biography of Distinguished Personages..... 398
The Invention of the Art of Printing..... 406
Chronological Table..... 417

ANCIENT AND MODERN HISTORY.

SOURCES AND DIVISION OF HISTORY

HISTORY is a written narrative of past events, in regular succession. The principal sources of history, independent of authentic records, are those which follow:

1. Oral tradition, which existed before the invention of the art of writing. From this source, Herodotus, one of the earliest profane historians, derived the greater part of the facts which he relates.

2. Historical poems, such as the Iliad and Odyssey of Homer, which comprised the only history of the heroic ages of Greece.

3. Visible monuments and ruins; such as the Pyramids of Egypt, the ruins of cities, as those of Balbec, Palmyra, and Persepolis, which serve to give an idea of the power, opulence, and taste of those by whom they were built.

4. Coins and medals have always been of great utility in illustrating history, and of giving some insight into the manners and customs of those nations to which they belonged.

5. Inscriptions on marbles. The most celebrated collection of marbles are those in the University of Oxford, in England, called the Arundelian Marbles, from the Earl of Arundel, by whom they were brought from Greece. Of these inscriptions, the most important is the Chronicle of Paros, which contains the chronology of Athens from the time of Cecrops, A. C. 1582, to A. C. 264.

6. History is divided into Ancient and Modern. Ancient History embraces the history of the world from the creation to the Christian era. Modern History comprises all the time subsequent to that period. There is, however, a difference of opinion with regard to the line which separates Ancient and Modern history. Some historians adopt the Christian era for the commencement of Modern history; others take the subversion of the Western Empire of the Romans, A. D. 476; while others again carry it down as far as the establishment of the New Empire of the West, under Charlemagne, A. D. 800.

7. Ancient History is distinguished by the four great Empires of Assyria or Babylon, Persia, Greece or Macedon, and Rome,

1. What is history? What is the first source of history? the second? the third? the fourth? the fifth? Where is the most celebrated of marbles? Which is the most important, and what does it contain?—6. How is history divided? What is ancient history? What is modern history? What do some historians adopt as the division between Ancient and Modern history?—7. What is ancient history distinguished for?

which successively rose and declined. Modern History is distinguished by the invention of gunpowder, and consequently a change in the mode of war; the discovery of America; the invention of the art of printing; the revival of letters; the Reformation, and many improvements in the social condition of man. The Middle Ages embrace a period of time extending from the subversion of the Western Empire of the Romans, to that of the Eastern Empire, and are characterized by the rise and progress of Mahometanism and the Saracen Empire; the prevalence of the Feudal system, Crusades, and Chivalry.

8. History is also divided into Sacred and Profane, Ecclesiastical and Civil. Sacred History is that which is contained in the Scriptures. Profane History is the history of ancient pagan nations. The earliest profane historian is *Herodotus*, who is styled the Father of History. He compiled his works about 445 years A. C., and extends his accounts back as far as the year 713 before the Christian era. Ecclesiastical History is the history of the Church of Christ, from the promulgation of Christianity to the present time. Civil History is the history of the various nations, states and empires that have appeared in the world, and exhibits a view of their wars, revolutions and changes. For a period of nearly three thousand three hundred years subsequent to the creation of the world, there exist no documents, with the exception of the Scriptures, that really deserve the name of history. Our knowledge, therefore, of the early history of the world, the first settlements of the different parts of it, the primitive state of society, and the progress of mankind towards civilization, is extremely limited.

THE CREATION.

The Creation of the World; Deluge; Tower of Babel; Dispersion of Mankind.

1. From the sacred Scriptures alone, we are enabled to derive the authentic history of the creation of the world. According to the account handed down to us by those sacred annals, respecting that remarkable event, it appears that about five thousand eight hundred and forty-nine years from the present time, God called this visible universe into being, by the word of his power: that a determined length of time was occupied in the completion of the work, the various parts being produced in six successive days; that on the last of the six days, God formed the body of man from the dust of the earth, and breathed into it an immortal soul, and constituted him lord of the animal creation; and finally, that God,

For what is modern history distinguished? What do the Middle Ages comprise? —8. What are the other divisions of history? What is sacred history? Profane history, and who is the earliest profane historian? What is ecclesiastical, and what is civil history?

1. From what source are we enabled to derive the authentic history of the Creation? How old is the world, and how many days were employed in producing it? From what did God form the body of man?

seeing that all his work was good, rested on the seventh day which he sanctified as a day to be devoted to religious solemnities.

2. The first woman was formed from a rib, taken from the side of the man while in a deep sleep, and given to him as a companion; in this manner was the sacred institution of marriage ordained by the Creator himself. Adam and Eve, the names of the first of the human family, were placed by the Deity, immediately after their creation, in a terrestrial paradise, called the garden of Eden. They were permitted to use all the fruits of the garden, with exception of the fruit of the tree of knowledge of good and evil; this restriction was laid upon them as a trial of their obedience, and the penalty of death was threatened if they should transgress the command of their Creator.

3. Various opinions have been entertained with respect to the situation of the garden of *Eden;* but following the account given of it in the Old Testament, and judging from the well known names of the Tigris and Euphrates, we may determine with some probability, that it was situated in or near Mesopotamia. It is evident that it was east of Canaan, or of the wilderness where Moses wrote his sacred history, though the precise spot cannot now be ascertained.

4. The innocence and felicity of our first parents were of short duration. Scarcely had they began to enjoy the delights of paradise, when the woman, deceived by the subtlety of Satan, in the form of a serpent, plucked and eat of the forbidden fruit, and at the same time presented it to her husband, who likewise followed her example. The effect was decisive: the whole face of creation was changed. Death was introduced, and from that moment our first parents, with all their posterity, became liable to dissolution and subjected to all the moral and physical evils which have afflicted the human family to the present time. God called them to an account, and his awful voice filled their souls with dread.

5. Adam being severely reprimanded for his disobedience, began to exculpate himself upon the weak pretence that the woman had first offered him the fruit. The woman, hearing herself thus accused, sought to remove the blame from herself upon the serpent, that had deceived her. But in a formal violation of his precepts, God admits of no excuse; he cursed the serpent as the first author of the sin, condemning it to creep upon the earth and eat the dust thereof; but for fear that man should despair under the weight of his afflictions, he promised him a future deliverer, declaring that the seed of the woman would eventually crush the serpent's head; a declaration which referred, in its full extent, to the person of Jesus Christ, the Saviour of mankind. Adam and Eve were then banished from paradise, and a cherubim with a

What did he do on the seventh day?—2. From what was the first woman formed? What were the names of the first of the human family? and where were they placed? What were they permitted to use? Why was this restriction laid upon them?—3. Where is the supposed situation of the garden of Eden?—4. What is said of the innocence of our first parents? How was the woman deceived? and what was the effect of their disobedience?—5. What sentence was pronounced on the serpent? and what promise was made to man? What then became of Adam and Eve?

flaming sword, placed at the east of the garden, to prevent all access to that once happy abode.

6. In the first year of the world, 4004 years B. C., *Cain* was born, and the following year is assigned for the birth of *Abel*. The two brothers not only followed different occupations, but possessed very different characters. On a certain occasion, as they were both presenting their offerings to God, the offering of *Abel* was accepted, while that of *Cain* was rejected. This circumstance excited the indignation of Cain, who, availing himself of the opportunity as they were alone in the field, rose up against his brother and slew him. On account of this unnatural crime, *Cain* was immediately punished; God called him to a solemn reckoning, and after hearing with bitter anguish his doom pronounced, that he should be a fugitive and a vagabond on earth, we are told that he went out from the presence of the Lord, and dwelt in the land of Nod, east of Eden.

7. After a brief account of Cain and his family, the sacred historian presents us with a short but interesting account of *Enoch*, who is said to have walked with God for the space of three hundred years, and at the expiration of that time, to have been taken up to heaven without passing through the scene of death. The sacred genealogy is carried down to the time of Noah and his sons, and the date of the life of each of the patriarchs is minutely given. The descendants of Seth at first continued pure and uncorrupt; at length, by intermarriage with the descendants of Cain, they became like the rest of mankind, exceedingly degenerate.

8. The Deity, justly provoked by the enormous degeneracy of his creatures, determined to destroy, by a universal deluge, the race of man, together with the whole animal creation, except a small remnant destined again to repeople the earth. From this tremendous sentence which God had pronounced, *Noah* and his family, eight in number, were the only persons who were exempted. Connected with this intimation which Noah had received concerning the approaching deluge, were several particular instructions relative to his deliverance. He was ordered to build a large vessel, called the ark, according to the divine directions.

9. The ark was built of gopher wood, which is supposed to be the same as the cypress. Its form was that of an oblong square, with a flat bottom and a sloping roof, elevated one cubit in the middle; it consisted of three stories, and was divided into separate apartments. When completed, *Noah* entered the ark together with his wife, his three sons and their wives, taking with him every species of beasts, birds, and reptiles, by pairs and by sevens, according to the divine command. Immediately after this, the fountains of the deep were broken up, and the windows of heaven were opened; during forty days and forty nights, without intermission, the waters were poured upon the surface of the globe. In the mean time, the ark rose with the rising flood, and

6. When was Cain born? When was Abel born? and what is related of the two brothers?—7. What is said of Enoch? and the descendants of Cain?—8. What did the Deity determine to do? Who was exempted from the sentence?—9. Describe the ark? When completed, what did Noah do? How long did the waters continue to fall?

rode triumphant over the raging water, which soon buried beneath its swelling waves all living creatures, without distinction.

10. When the waters began to subside, the ark rested on the top of *Mount Ararat*, in *Armenia*, and after it had remained there for four months, *Noah* being anxious to know whether they had disappeared from the earth, opened the window of the ark, and sent forth a crow, which did not return; after this he sent forth a dove, which, not finding a place on which to rest its feet, again returned to the ark. At the end of seven days, the dove was sent out a second time, and in the evening returned bearing in its beak a green olive branch, which *Noah* joyfully received, not only as a proof that the flood had abated, but likewise as a sign that God was reconciled with the world.

11. By the command of God, *Noah* then went out of the ark with his family, taking with him all the living creatures, after they had been shut up for the space of a year, and immediately afterwards he built an altar, and offered sacrifice to the Lord. God blessed *Noah* and his sons, and made a covenant with him, engaging no more to destroy the earth with a flood, in confirmation of which, he set his bow in the heavens. Shortly after this period, *Noah* engaged in pursuits of husbandry, and having been intoxicated with the juice of the grape, was discovered in this situation by his youngest son *Ham*, who with indecent levity, informed his brothers of the circumstance; they, however, treated their father with the highest degree of filial respect.

Noah, as soon as he awoke, being informed of all that had passed, condemned the action of *Ham*, pronounced a curse upon his posterity, declaring that they should be the slaves to the slaves of his brethren, while at the same time he highly commended the piety of Shem and Japhet.

12. The descendants of *Noah* soon became very numerous in the vicinity of *Mount Ararat*, where they first settled after the deluge, and finding the place too small, they began to think of extending their territories, and of making new settlements in different parts of the globe. Before their separation, they proposed leaving some monument behind them that might make their memory famous in after ages. With this view they undertook to build a city, and in it to erect a tower, the top of which might reach to heaven. Their intention was not merely to signalize their name, but also to provide themselves with a place of security against any future deluge that might happen. At this time, all mankind spoke the same language, and thereby more effectually encouraged each other in their impious undertaking. God being offended at their presumption, resolved to stop the progress of their labor. By a stroke of his divine power, they all in a moment lost their uniformity of accent, and were surprised to hear nothing but a confused and discordant sound of words which no one could understand. The tumult and disorder that ensued

10. Where did the ark rest? What is said of the crow and the dove?—11. What did Noah now do? What promise did God make? In what did Noah engage? What happened to him? What sentence did he pronounce on Ham?—12. What is said of the descendants of Noah? What did they undertake? What did God do? What ensued? Where did the descendants of the sons of Noah settle

caused them to desist from their design, and the tower which they had begun was, on that account, called the *Tower of Babel*, or Confusion.

After this event, mankind separated and dispersed into different nations. The descendants of *Shem* are said to have settled in the south of Asia; those of *Ham* in Syria, Arabia and Africa, while the posterity of *Japhet* peopled the west of Asia, and also Europe.

ASSYRIA AND BABYLON.

1. Assyria, the first of the four great empires of antiquity, derived its name from *Ashur*, the son of *Shem*, who is said to have been the founder of Nineveh, its capital. The foundation of Babylon is ascribed to *Nimrod*, the grandson of *Ham*, who is believed by many to be the same as *Belus* of profane history. These two cities are supposed to have been founded about the same time, shortly after the dispersion of mankind; but their history for many ages is involved in obscurity. It is commonly supposed that Assyria and Babylon were originally two distinct kingdoms, and continued separate until Babylon was conquered by *Ninus* and annexed to the Assyrian empire.

2. *Ninus* is represented as a great and powerful sovereign; he is said to have built, or at least to have enlarged and embellished the city of *Nineveh*, which stood upon the eastern bank of the Tigris. His design was to immortalize his name by the building of a city, which, in point of extent and magnificence, could not be surpassed by any other in after times. Nor was he much deceived in his view. The city of *Nineveh* was built in the form of an oblong square, measuring eighteen miles and three quarters in length, eleven and one quarter in breadth, and sixty miles in circumference. The walls were one hundred feet high, and of such thickness that three chariots might stand abreast upon them with ease. They were fortified and adorned with fifteen hundred towers, two hundred feet high.

3. *Ninus* having made extensive conquests, married *Semiramis*, who succeeded him in the throne. She is described not only as surpassing all her sex in wit and beauty, but also possessing unbounded ambition and extraordinary talents for government and war. She enlarged Babylon and rendered it the most magnificent city in the world. The description of Babylon, given by ancient historians, seems almost incredible. The walls are said to have been eighty-seven feet in thickness and three hundred and fifty in height. They were drawn round the city in the form of an exact square, each side of which was fifteen miles in length, all built of brick cemented together with bitumen. On every side

1. What is said of Assyria? Who founded Babylon? What is supposed concerning Assyria and Babylon?—2. What is said of Ninus? What was his design? Describe Nineveh.—3. What is said of Semiramis? Describe Babylon.

of this great square, there were twenty-five gates which were all made of solid brass. From these twenty-five gates, the same number of streets ran in parallel lines to the gates on the opposite side of the wall, thus forming fifty streets, each fifteen miles long, each street one hundred and fifty feet broad. Round these squares, on every side, stood the houses, all built three or four stories high and beautified by all manner of ornaments towards the streets. The space within the middle of each square was void ground laid out in beautiful gardens.

4. *Semiramis*, after a reign of great splendor for forty-two years, left the throne to her son *Ninyas*. From the time of *Ninyas* to the overthrow of the monarchy under *Sardanapalus*, a period of several centuries, little or nothing is known respecting the history of Assyria and Babylon.

The name of *Sardanapalus* is almost a proverbial reproach. He is said to have so degraded himself as to adopt the dress and occupation of a female, and to have passed his life in the most disgraceful effeminacy and voluptuousness. At length *Arbaces*, governor of Media, with *Belesis*, governor of the city of Babylon, and several others, disgusted with his inglorious and shameful life, formed a conspiracy against him. *Sardanapalus* having sustained a defeat, in order to avoid falling into the hands of his enemies, caused a pile of wood to be made in his palace, and burnt himself with all his women and treasures.

5. On the ruins of this vast empire were founded three new kingdoms; that of Media under *Arbaces*, and that of Babylon under *Belesis*, and a third of Assyria, whose first king was named *Ninus* the younger. *Ninus* was succeeded by Tiglath-pileser, who invaded Judah during the reign of Ahaz, and took possession of that part of the kingdom of Israel which lies east of the Jordan. Under the reign of his successor, Shalmaneser, an end was put to the kingdom of Israel, and its inhabitants were carried into captivity. The next sovereign was Senacherib, who laid siege to Jerusalem in the reign of *Hezekiah*, but he was compelled to return to his own dominions in disgrace, having lost 185,000 men of his army, who were destroyed in a miraculous manner in one night. The fourth king, Esarhaddon, defeated *Manasseh*, king of Judah, and carried him captive into Assyria.

6. After the death of *Esarhaddon*, *Nabopolassar* or *Nebuchadnezzar*, king of Babylon, assisted by *Cyaxares*, king of Media, besieged Nineveh, and having taken it, killed Saracus the king, and utterly destroyed that mighty city, and put an end to the Assyrian monarchy. He was succeeded by his son *Nebuchadnezzar* II., who took Jerusalem and carried the Jews captive to Babylon. The particulars of this sovereign's reign are recorded in the book of *Daniel*. God, to punish his pride, reduced him to a state of insanity, but after wandering in the forest and feeding

4. How long did Semiramis reign? What is said of Sardanapalus? and what was his end?—5. What empires were now founded? What was done in the reign of Shalmaneser? Who was the next sovereign, and what did he do? What did Esarhaddon do?—6. What happened during the reign of Nabopolassar? What did Nebuchadnezzar do? How did God punish him?

on grass like a wild beast for twelve months, he again recovered his mind, and being restored to his throne, by a solemn edict, published throughout his dominions the astonishing things that God had wrought in him.

7. During the reign of *Belshazzar*, who succeeded to the throne a few years after the death of *Nebuchadnezzar*, the Persians under *Cyrus*, after a siege of two years, by turning the course of the Euphrates, entered the city of Babylon through the dry channel, and took it while the inhabitants were engaged in feasting and riot. *Belshazzar* was slain, and with him ended the Babylonian empire, after it had continued for about two hundred and ten years.

8. ANTIQUITIES. The government both of Assyria and Babylon was strictly despotic and the sceptre hereditary. The whole was centered in the king; all decrees emanated from him, and he even claimed the worship which belonged only to the divinity. The laws of the empire were in general vague and uncertain, depending wholly upon the will of the sovereign; but there was one, however, fixed and irrevocable, which obliged all, particularly the poor, to marry. And in this a singular custom prevailed. No man had any power over his own daughters, but as soon as they were marriageable, they were put up at auction; and the price obtained for the more beautiful was assigned as a dowery for the more homely. In consequence of this practice, all the young women were disposed of in marriage; the beautiful for their charms, and the homely for their wealth.

9. The Babylonians, and particularly the Chaldeans, were early famed for their learning. They were the first who cultivated astronomy and discovered the exact motion of the planets; they pretended to be able to foretell future events from the heavenly bodies, which was imbodied into a kind of science called astrology. They built temples to the stars as being the subordinate agents of the divine power, and by worshipping them they hoped to obtain the good will of the deity.

From this they descended, by a natural process, to the worship of objects on earth as the representatives of the stars or the deity. It is evident that this was the origin of idol worship, from the fact that the names of the principal gods of the heathens in general are those of the sun, moon, and the five primary planets—*Saturn*, *Jupiter*, *Mars*, *Mercury* and *Venus*. The horrid custom of sacrificing human victims to conciliate their gods was first practiced by the Babylonians, and from them it was communicated to the surrounding nations

The Babylonians applied themselves only to the more useful arts. Their immense buildings prove them to have been well skilled in architecture and geometry. They never attained to any superior excellence in painting and statuary; music and poetry were probably but little attended to.

7. What was done during the reign of Belshazzar? What was his end?—8. What is said of the government and laws of Assyria and Babylon? What singular custom prevailed?—9. What is said of the learning of the Babylonians and Chaldeans? To what did they build temples? What is said concerning the origin of idol worship? To what did the Babylonians apply themselves?

PERSIA.

1. PERSIA was the second of the four great empires of antiquity. Its history, prior to the reign of *Cyrus the Great*, is involved in obscurity and fable. It was originally called *Elam*, and the inhabitants *Elamites*, who were the descendants of *Shem*. We are informed by the Scriptures that one of the kings of Elam conquered the king of Sodom, but was pursued and defeated by *Abraham*. In the early ages, it was of very limited extent, but under the reign of *Cyrus*, who was the founder of the great Persian empire, it became the most powerful and extensive monarchy in the world, comprising Persia, Media, Babylonia, Syria and Asia Minor; to these Egypt was added by *Cambyses*.

2. *Cyrus* is represented as a prince of an excellent character, and obtained the surname of Great, from his heroic actions and splendid achievements. Having subdued all the nations from the Ægean sea to the Euphrates, he together with his uncle *Cyaxares*, the second king of the Medes, took Babylon and conquered the Assyrian empire. After the death of *Cyaxares*, *Cyrus* united the two kingdoms and reigned over them for seven years, in the first of which he published the famous edict for the return of the Jews and rebuilding of Jerusalem.

3. *Herodotus*, *Xenophon* and other ancient authors, differ materially in the accounts they give of the exploits and character of *Cyrus*. According to *Xenophon*, *Cyrus* possessed all the abilities of an illustrious and able sovereign, with all the more amiable virtues that adorn humanity; and according to the same author, he died like a philosopher, discoursing of death with tranquillity, and giving the most admirable instruction to his children, by which to form their character and regulate their future conduct. On the contrary, we are told by *Herodotus*, that *Cyrus*, having undertaken an expedition against the Scythians, was surprised and slain by a stratagem of the enemy. The account given by *Xenophon* has been followed by *Rollin* and other modern writers, yet it is supposed by some that it was not the design of that author to exhibit a faithful record of facts, but rather to delineate the model of a perfect prince and a well organized government.

4. *Cyrus* was succeeded by his son *Cambyses*, who was arbitrary and cruel: his principal exploit was the conquest of Egypt; he made himself master of Pelusium by placing in front of his army a great number of those animals considered sacred by the Egyptians, who not daring to injure them, made no opposition to the *Persians*. On the death of *Cambyses*, *Smerdis* usurped the crown; but after enjoying the regal dignity for seven months, he was assassinated, and *Darius* was elected to fill the vacant throne.

1. What is said of Persia? What did it become in the reign of Cyrus?—2. What is said of Cyrus? What did he do? What edict did he publish?—3. How do Herodotus and Xenophon differ in their accounts of Cyrus?—4. Who succeeded Cyrus? How did he make himself master of Pelusium? On the death of Cambyses, who usurped the throne?

The history of Persia, from the reign of this sovereign until the overthrow of the monarchy, is much connected with that of Greece.

5. *Darius* was succeeded by his son *Xerxes* I., who conducted the second invasion of Greece, but returned to his own dominions in shame and disgrace, after sustaining a series of defeats, with immense loss He was succeeded by his son *Artaxerxes*, who enjoyed a long and peaceful reign. The only sovereigns of distinction who reigned after this period, were *Artaxerxes* II., and *Darius Codomanus*, the last of the Persian monarchs. *Codomanus* was defeated by *Alexander the Great*, and finally assassinated: and with his death the ancient empire terminated, A. C. 336.

6. ANTIQUITIES. The government of Persia was an absolute monarchy; the crown was hereditary, and generally bestowed on the eldest son of the deceased king. The sovereigns received almost divine honors from their subjects. No one could approach the seat of majesty without prostrating himself upon the ground, or remain in his presence without holding his hands within his sleeves; a violation of this ceremony was punished with death. The royal palace at Persepolis was extremely magnificent; the ceiling and walls of the apartments were covered with ivory, silver, gold or amber; the throne was also of fine gold adorned with precious stones.

7. The Persians are said to have paid more particular regard to the education of their children than any other nation. We are told that a son was never admitted into the presence of his father, until he arrived at the age of five years, lest the parent might be too heavily afflicted by the loss, if the child should die before that period. At the age of five years, the children, at least of the higher order of the state, were placed under the care of learned and virtuous masters, who bestowed on their pupils the utmost attention.

8. The mode of punishment among the Persians was generally severe: it consisted in cutting off the right hand, decapitation, pressing to death between two large stones, and the like. The most severe and inhuman was that of fastening the culprit in such a manner that he was unable to move hand or foot. His face, exposed to the rays of the sun, was smeared with honey, which invited innumerable swarms of flies and wasps to torment him; the executioners compelled him, by thrusting sharp instruments into his eyes, to receive nourishment, for the purpose of prolonging his agonies. We are told of one victim, who lived seventeen days under these torments. The Persians were trained to all the military exercises, but particularly to the use of the bow. They never fought in the night, nor used any stratagem independent of their valor.

9. Their religion was idolatrous, but not so gross as that of some of the surrounding nations. They professed to worship the

5. What is said of Xerxes? Who was the last of the Persian monarchs, and what was his end?—6. What is said of the government of Persia? and of those who approached the sovereign? Describe the palace at Persepolis?—7. To what did they pay peculiar regard? What custom prevailed?—8. What is said of the mode of punishment? Describe one severe form? 9. What is said of their religion? What do they adore?

one all-wise and omnipotent God; but they held fire to be holy, and the purest symbol of the divine nature. In connection with this they adored the sun, and paid a superstitious regard to other elements, such as the earth, air and water. In ancient times they were destitute of temples, and erected altars for the preservation of the sacred fire, on the tops of the mountains. At length *Zoroaster* persuaded them, for the sake of convenience, to build over each a pyreum or fire temple. The priests were called *Magi*, and were held in great esteem on account of their learning.

PHŒNICIANS.

1. The *Phœnicians* were among the most remarkable and early civilized nations of antiquity. They were styled Canaanites in the scripture, and seem to have been a commercial people in the time of *Abraham*. The two principal cities, and the most ancient we read of in history, were *Tyre* and *Sidon*. The *Phœnicians* are reputed inventors of glass, purple, letters, and coinage; they are regarded as the earliest navigators and merchants in the world; they carried on trade, not only over all the coasts of the Mediterranean, but even visited the shores of Britain, from which they exported tin.

2. To *Hiram*, king of Tyre, both *David* and *Solomon* applied, when proposing to build the temple at Jerusalem; he furnished them not only with precious materials, but also with a great number of workmen. They sent out a number of colonies to *Cyprus*, *Rhodes*, *Greece*, *Sicily*, *Sardinia*, and *Spain*; the foundation of Carthage is attributed to *Dido*, sister of *Pygmalion*, king of Tyre, with a company of adventurers. The city of Tyre sustained two memorable sieges and was twice taken: first by *Nebuchadnezzar*, and again by *Alexander the Great*.

EGYPT.

SECTION I.

1. Egypt holds a conspicuous place in history, on account of its early civilization and high attainment in the arts. It was considered by the ancients as the most renowned school of wisdom and politics, and the source from which most of the arts and sciences are derived. Even the most illustrious men of Greece, such as *Homer* and *Plato*, *Lycurgus* and *Solon*, travelled into

1. What is said of the Phœnicians? Of what were they inventors?—2. What is said of Hiram? Where did they send colonies? What is said of Tyre?
1. What is said of Egypt? How was it considered by the ancients?

Egypt to complete their studies and draw from thence whatever was rare and valuable in learning.

2. The ancient history of this country is greatly involved in obscurity; historians, however, unanimously agree that *Mizraim*, the son of *Ham*, was the founder of the Egyptian monarchy; he is supposed to be the same as *Menes*, who is said to have instituted the worship of the gods, and the ceremonies of the sacrifices; he was succeeded in the throne by his posterity, for several generations. Egypt was next governed by a race of foreign princes from Arabia, styled Shepherd Kings, who invaded the country, and retained possession of the greater portion of it for the space of two hundred and sixty years.

3. The ancient Egyptians seem never to have been a warlike nation. The only king of the country whose name stands recorded as a great conqueror, is Sesostris, who is said to have maintained a numerous army, and conquered a great part of Asia: but little is known of his achievements, or the extent of his conquests. Towards the close of his life, he is said to have renounced the profession of arms, and to have devoted himself to the internal improvement of his kingdom. Having become blind in his old age, he died by his own hand, after a reign of thirty-three years.

4. The next sovereign who is particularly distinguished in the history of this country, was *Nechus*, styled in the scripture, *Pharaoh-Necho*. He patronised navigation, and fitted out a fleet, which, leaving the Red Sea, sailed around the coast of Africa, and returned to Egypt through the Straits of Gibraltar; he waged a successful war against the Medes and Babylonians, and defeated *Josiah*, king of Judah, in the battle Megiddo, and imposed an annual tribute upon the country.

5. Egypt was invaded by the Persians under *Cambyses*, about the year 525 before the Christian era; the cities of Pelusium and Memphis were taken, and the whole country reduced to a province of the Persian monarchy. Egypt was wrested from the dominion of Persia by *Alexander the Great*, and after his death it fell to the share of Ptolemy; under him and his successors, the country regained its ancient lustre, and rose to eminence in science and commerce; the dynasty of the Ptolemies continued from the death of *Alexander* to that of *Cleopatra*, embracing a period of two hundred and ninety-three years.

6. *Ptolemy Lagus*, surnamed also *Soter*, is said to have been the natural son of *Philip*, king of Macedon, and half brother of *Alexander the Great*. At the time of Alexander's death, he was governor of Egypt, and afterwards became king of the country. He was a man of great ability, equally eminent as a general and a statesman, distinguished for his learning, and a munificent patron of literature.

He founded the famous library of Alexandria, established a

2. Who was the founder of the Egyptian monarchy? By whom was Egypt next governed?—3. What is said of the ancient Egyptians? of Sesostris?—4. Who was the next sovereign, and what is said of him?—5. Who invaded Egypt? By whom was it wrested from the Persians? How long did the dynasty of the Ptolemies continue?—6. What is said of Ptolemy Lagus? What did he establish?

museum or academy, and erected the celebrated watch-tower of *Pharos*, which was reckoned by some as one of the seven wonders of the world. He built a number of new cities, encouraged commerce and agriculture, and conquered Syria. He died after a prosperous reign of thirty-nine years.

7. *Ptolemy Lagus* was succeeded by his son *Ptolemy Philadelphus*, whose reign, like that of his father, was prosperous and useful. He patronised commerce and navigation, founded several cities, and erected magnificent buildings. His court was a seat of learning, politeness, and the arts, and was resorted to by men of genius. During his reign, the celebrated version of the Old Testament into Greek, called the *Septuagint*, was made for the use of the Jews who were settled at that time in Alexandria.

8. *Ptolemy Evergetes*, the son of the late monarch, who succeeded to the throne, was a warlike prince, but also a patron of learning, and spared no pains to enrich his library. In the early part of his reign, he carried on a severe though successful war with *Antiochus*, king of Syria. He was succeeded by his son *Ptolemy Philopator*, a sanguinary tyrant, whose reign was distinguished for a cruel persecution of the Jews. Having invaded Judea, and advanced as far as Jerusalem, he attempted to enter by force into the holy place of the Jewish temple, into which none but the high-priest was allowed to enter, and that only once a year. Being forcibly prevented from committing this sacrilege, he returned to Egypt, and resolved to wreak his vengeance on the Jews, who had enjoyed the favor of his predecessors.

9. He published a decree, that all the Jews within his dominions should abjure their religion and sacrifice to the gods of Egypt, under the severest penalties; however, only about nine hundred were found to apostatize. After this, he ordered all the Jews in Alexandria to assemble in a place of public diversion, called *Hippodrome*, where he had collected five hundred elephants for the destruction of that devoted people; but the enraged animals, rushing among the crowd, crushed to death a greater number of the spectators than of the Jews; yet it is computed that about forty thousand of the latter perished on that occasion.

10. The history of the remaining Ptolemies presents little that is interesting; their reigns, for the most, were unhappy, abounding in crimes and calamities. *Ptolemy Dionysius* was the last king of Egypt; he succeeded to the throne at the early age of thirteen years; he reigned in conjunction with his sister, the celebrated *Cleopatra*, who aspired to undivided authority. A war ensued, in which Ptolemy was slain, and Cleopatra assumed the sole government. Her history is connected with that of *Julius Cæsar* and *Mark Antony;* she finally caused her own death by poison, in order to avoid being led captive to Rome to grace the triumph of *Octavius*. After her death, Egypt became a Roman province.

7. By whom was Ptolemy Lagus succeeded? What is said of him?—8. What is said of Ptolemy Evergetes? By whom was he succeeded? What did he attempt?—9. What did he publish? How did he attempt to destroy the Jews? 10. Who was the last king of Egypt? What is said of Cleopatra? What did Egypt become?

SECTION II.

Manners and Customs of the Egyptians.

1. A STRIKING resemblance with regard to government, religion, customs and character, is said to exist between the ancient Egyptians and many of the oriental nations, particularly the Chinese. The government was an hereditary monarchy, but the power of the sovereign was restrained by the influence of the priests. At daybreak in the morning, the king arose and read the several letters he received the preceding day. He then went to the temple to attend the offering of the sacrifice, and to assist at the prayers pronounced aloud by the high-priest, who invoked the blessing of the gods upon the prince, that he might govern his people with clemency and justice. The laws prescribed not only the quality but also quantity of food for the royal table; as for the quality, it was of the most common kind, because eating, in Egypt, was designed not to please the palate, but to satisfy the cravings of nature.

2. The laws of Egypt were generally based upon the strictest justice. Wilful murder was punished with death, whatever might be the condition of the murdered person, whether he was free-born or otherwise. Perjury was also punished with death, because that crime insulted the majesty of the gods, by invoking their name to a falsehood, and broke the strongest ties of human society, namely, sincerity and veracity. No man was allowed to be useless to the state; but every one was obliged to enter his name on the public register, and give an account of his profession and means of support. Polygamy was allowed in Egypt except to the priests, who could only marry but one woman. An unusual custom prevailed among the Egyptians, which permitted the marriage of brother and sister; hence we find that the queens of the Ptolemies were generally their sisters.

3. In Egypt, the greatest respect was paid to old age. The young were obliged to rise up for the old, and on every occasion to resign to them the most honorable seats. The virtue in the highest esteem among the Egyptians, was gratitude; and it has been said of them, that they were the most grateful of men. But it was particularly towards their kings that they prided themselves on evincing their gratitude; they honored them while living, as so many visible representations of the deity, and after their death, lamented them as the fathers of their country.

4. Never were any people more superstitious than the Egyptians; they had a great number of gods of different orders and degrees; among these, *Osiris* and *Isis* were the most universally adored. Besides these gods, they worshipped the ox, the wolf, the dog, the crocodile, the ibis or cat, and many other degrading

1. What is said of the manners and customs of the ancient Egyptians? Of the government? Of the king? What did the laws prescribe?—2. On what were the laws based? What was the punishment of murder? Of perjury? What was every man obliged to do? What was allowed? What custom prevailed?—3. To what was great respect paid? What virtue was held in the highest esteem?—4. Besides Osiris and Isis, what did the Egyptians worship?

objects It was death for any one to kill one of these animals voluntarily. Diodorus relates the circumstance of a Roman, who fell a victim to the fury of the populace of Alexandria, for having accidentally killed a cat. The Egyptians held the doctrine of the transmigration of souls; and believed that at the death of a man, his soul entered into some other human body; and that if he had been vicious, his soul was confined in the body of some beast to expiate his former transgressions; and that after some centuries it would again animate another human body.

5. No people paid greater respect to the bodies of the dead than the Egyptians. As soon as any person in a family died, all the relations and friends laid aside their usual habits and put on mourning, which they continued to wear for forty days or longer, according to the quality of the person. The body was then embalmed, by which process it was preserved from decay; after this, the corpse was put into a kind of an open chest, and placed upright against the wall of the dwelling or sepulchre; so that the children seeing the bodies of their ancestors thus preserved, recalled to mind those virtues for which the public had honored them, and were excited to imitate their example.

6. The power of the laws extended even beyond the grave; because, before any one could be admitted into the sacred asylum of the tomb, he was obliged to undergo a solemn trial; and this circumstance, in Egyptian funerals, is one of the most remarkable to be found in ancient history. The whole life of each person, after death, was strictly examined; and if found to be virtuous, his body was embalmed with every mark of respect, and deposited in a sepulchre; but if his life had been vicious, or if he had died in debt, he was left unburied, and was supposed to be deprived of future happiness. The kings themselves were not exempted from this trial after death; if their lives were vicious, they were deprived of funeral rights and the honor of the sepulchre

SECTION III.

The Pyramids, Labyrinth, Lake of Mœris, &c.

1. The Pyramids of Egypt are the most celebrated of those works of grandeur for which that country has been renowned. Of these Pyramids, there were three near the city of *Memphis*, more famous than the rest; one of which was justly ranked among the seven wonders of the world. According to several ancient authors, each side of the base measured eight hundred feet, and as many feet in height. A hundred thousand men are said to have been employed for the space of twenty years in erecting this vast edifice. The Pyramids were designed as tombs for the

What does Diodorus relate? What doctrine did they hold?—5. When a member of a family died, what did the relations do? Describe the ceremony of embalming.—6. What is said of the power of the laws? If a man had lived vicious, or died in debt, what was done?

1 What is said of the Pyramids? What was the length of each side of the base of the Pyramid near Memphis? For what were they designed?

kings, and there is still to be seen in the middle of the largest, an empty sepulchre, cut out of one entire stone, about three feet wide and six feet long.

2. The Labyrinth of Egypt, was an enormous structure of marble, built under ground; it comprised twelve palaces with a communication leading to each other, and divided into fifteen hundred rooms or apartments. These subterraneous structures were designed as a burying-place for kings, and also for keeping the sacred crocodiles. The Obelisks with which Egypt abounded, were quadrangular spires, terminating in a point, often wonderful on account of their beauty and height. *Sesostris* erected two near the city of Heliopolis, each one hundred and eighty feet in height. Several of these obelisks, with immense labour, were transported to Rome, where they form at the present day the chief ornaments of that city. Many of them were covered with hieroglyphics, that is, mystical characters used by the Egyptians before the invention of letters, and afterwards to conceal the mysteries of their theology.

3. The noblest and the most wonderful of all the structures of the kings of Egypt, was the lake of Mæris, which *Herodotus* considers as even superior to the Pyramids. This lake was in circumference about one hundred and eighty French leagues, and three hundred feet deep. Two pyramids, on each of which was placed a colossal statue, seated upon a throne, raised their heads to the height of three hundred feet, in the midst of the lake, above the surface of the water. It is generally believed that this immense reservoir, with its pyramids, was completed in the reign of one monarch, from whom it takes its name; and was designed to regulate the inundations of the Nile.

When that river rose too high and fatal consequences seemed likely to follow, the water was let into the lake and covered the lands no longer than was necessary to enrich them. On the contrary, when the inundation was too low and threatened a famine, a sufficient quantity of water was let out of the lake upon the land.

4. The ruins of a few of the ancient cities and palaces of Egypt still excite the wonder and admiration of the traveller. The glory of Thebes, the capital of Upper Egypt, famous for its hundred gates, was the theme of admiration of poets and historians at a period prior to the commencement of authentic history. *Strabo* and *Diodorus* describe it under the name of *Diospolis*, and give such magnificent descriptions of its monuments as to cause their fidelity to be called in question, until the observations of modern travellers have proved their accounts to have fallen short of the reality. The ruins of one of the palaces of this city are especially admired, and seem to have remained only to eclipse the glory of the most pompous edifices of modern times. There were four avenues of great extent which led to four porticoes of amazing

2. What was the Labyrinth? For what designed? What is said of the Obelisks? With what were many of them covered?—3. What was the noblest work? What was its circumference? What was in the midst of the lake? For what was it designed?—4. What is said of the ruins of cities, &c.? Describe Thebes? Describe one of the palaces of this city? What is said of Memphis?

height; they were bounded on each side with statues, composed of materials as rare and extraordinary as their size was remarkable Within the middle of this stately palace, there was a hall supported by one hundred and twenty pillars, thirty-six feet in circumference and of proportionable height, which the lapse of so many ages has not been able to demolish. Before the time of *Herodotus*, Memphis had supplanted Thebes, which seems to have been particularly noted for its stately temples, and among them, that of the god Apis was the most remarkable.

GREECE.

SECTION I.

1. Among the various nations of antiquity, *Greece* deservedly holds the most distinguished rank, both for the patriotism, genius and learning of its inhabitants, as well as the high state of perfection to which they carried the arts and sciences.

Greece formerly comprised various small independent states, differing from each other in the forms of their government, and in the character of the people, but still united in a confederacy for their mutual defence, by the counsel of *Amphictyons*, and by their common language, religion and public games.

2. The ancient name of Greece was *Hellas*, and the inhabitants were called *Hellenes;* but by the poets they were distinguished by different names; such as the *Danai*, *Pelasgi*, *Argivi*, *Achivi*, &c. The original inhabitants, who were generally considered as the descendants of *Javan*, the son of Japhet, lived in the lowest state of barbarism, dwelling in huts, feeding on acorns and berries, and clothing themselves in the skins of wild beasts, when *Cecrops* with a colony from Egypt, and *Cadmus* with a body of *Phœnicians*, landed in Greece, and planted on its shores the first rudiments of civilization.

The early form of government of Greece was a limited monarchy, which was finally abolished, and a republican form generally prevailed.

3. The history of Greece may be divided into two parts; 1st, the period of uncertain history, which extends from the earliest accounts of the country, to the first Persian war in the year 490 B. C.: 2d, the period of authentic history extending from the invasion by Persia, to the final subjugation of Greece by the Romans, A. C. 146. The first period is generally reckoned from the foundation of Sicyon, the most ancient kingdom of Greece, and comprises a space of about sixteen hundred years. This long succession of ages, though greatly involved in obscurity and

1. What is said of Greece? What did it formerly comprise? How were they united?—2. What was its ancient name? From whom were the inhabitants descended? What was their condition when Cecrops landed in Greece?—3. How is the history of Greece divided? How do these periods extend? What is said of the first period?

fable, is still interspersed with several interesting particulars: it contains no records, however, that properly deserve the name of history.

4. The Grecian history derives some authenticity at this period, from the *Chronicle of Paros*, preserved among the *Arundelian* marbles at Oxford. The authority of this chronicle has been much questioned of late; but still, by many, it is thought to be worthy of considerable credit. It fixes the dates of the most important events in the history of Greece, from the time of *Cecrops* down to the age of *Alexander the Great*.

5. *Sicyon*, the capital of the ancient kingdom of that name, was founded by *Ægialus; Argos* by *Inachus*, the last of the *Titans;* Athens, which afterwards bore such a distinguished part in the history of Greece, was founded by *Cecrops*, with a colony from Egypt. He was an eminent legislator, and instituted the court of *Arcopagus*. *Thebes* was founded by *Cadmus*, who is said to have introduced letters into Greece from Phœnicia; the alphabet, however, only consisted of sixteen letters, and the mode of writing was alternately from right to left, and from left to right.

6. In the time of *Cranaus*, who succeeded *Cecrops*, happened the deluge of *Deucalion:* this deluge, though much magnified by the poets, was probably only a partial inundation.

The other memorable institutions that distinguish this period, were the *Eleusinian* mysteries, the *Olympic* and other games, of which we will speak hereafter: also the marvellous exploits of *Hercules* and *Theseus*.

SECTION II.

The Fabulous and Heroic Ages.

1. The fabulous age comprises the period from the foundation of the principal cities, to the commencement of civilization, and the introduction of letters and arts into Greece. The first great enterprise undertaken by the Greeks, was the Argonautic expedition, which appears in its details to partake more of fable than of history. It was commanded by *Jason*, the son of the king of Iolchos, who was accompanied by many of the most illustrious men of Greece, among whom were Hercules, Theseus, Castor and Pollux, Orpheus, Æsculapius the physician, and Chiron the astronomer.

2. They sailed from Iolchos, in Thessaly, to Colchis, on the eastern coast of the Euxine Sea; they received the name Argonauts from the ship Argo in which they sailed, said to have been the first sea vessel ever built. This famous voyage, which was

4. From what does the Grecian history derive authenticity? Of what does this chronicle fix the date?—5. By whom was Sicyon founded? Argos? Athens? Thebes? What is said of Cadmus?—6. In the time of Cranaus, what happened? What institutions distinguished this period?

1. What do the fabulous ages comprise? What was the first great enterprise? Who commanded it? and who accompanied him?—2 From where did they sail?

probably a military and mercantile adventure, is commonly represented to have been undertaken for the purpose of recovering the golden fleece of a ram, which originally belonged to their country. The fleece is pretended to have been guarded by bulls that breathed fire, and by a dragon that never slept.

3. The Heroic Age was particularly distinguished by the Trojan war, the history of which rests on the authority of Homer, and forms the subject of his Iliad, the noblest poem of antiquity. According to the poet, *Hellen*, the daughter of *Tyndarus*, king of Sparta, was reputed the most beautiful woman of her age, and her hand was solicited by the most illustrious princes of Greece. Her father bound all her suitors by a solemn oath, that they would abide by the choice that *Hellen* should make of one among them; and that, should she be taken from the arms of her husband, they would assist, to the utmost of their power, to recover her.

4. *Hellen* gave her hand to *Menelaus*, and after her nuptials, Tyndarus her father resigned the crown to his son-in-law. *Paris*, the son of *Priam*, king of *Troy*, a powerful city founded by *Dardanus*, having adjudged the prize of superior beauty to *Venus*, in preference to *Juno* and *Minerva*, was promised by her the most beautiful woman of the age for his wife. Shortly after this event, *Paris* visited Sparta, where he was kindly received by *Menelaus;* but in return for the kind hospitality tendered to him, he persuaded *Hellen* to elope with him to *Troy*, and carried off with her a considerable amount of treasure.

5. This act of treachery and ingratitude produced the *Trojan war*. A confederacy was immediately formed by the princes of Greece, agreeable to their engagement, to avenge the outrage An army of one hundred thousand men was conveyed in a fleet of twelve hundred vessels, to the Trojan coast. Agamemnon, king of Argos, brother of Menelaus, was selected as commander in-chief. Some of the other princes most distinguished in this war, were Achilles, the bravest of the Greeks; also *Ajax*, *Menelaus*, *Ulysses*, *Nestor*, and *Diomedes*.

6. The Trojans were commanded by *Hector*, the son of *Priam*, assisted by *Paris*, *Deiphobus*, *Æneas*, and *Sarpedon*. After a siege of ten years, the city was taken by stratagem, plundered of its wealth, and burnt to the ground. The venerable Priam, king of Troy, was slain, and all his family led into captivity. About eighty years after the destruction of Troy, the civil war of the Heraclidæ began; it is usually called the return of the Heraclidæ into Peloponnesus. *Hercules*, king of Mycenæ, a city of Peloponnesus, was banished from his country with all his family, while the crown was seized by Atreus, the son of Pelops. After the lapse of about a century, the descendants of Hercules returned to Peloponnesus, and having expelled the inhabitants again took possession of the country.

For what was this famous voyage undertaken?—3. For what is the Heroic Age distinguished? What is said of Helen? How did her father bind all her suitors?—4. To whom did Helen give her hand? What is said of Paris?—5. What did this treachery produce? Who was commander-in chief of the Grecian forces? Mention the other princes.—6. By whom were the Trojans commanded? What is said of the city? Of Priam? About eighty years after this, what happened? What is said of Hercules?

SECTION III.

Republic of Sparta.

1. Sparta, or Lacedæmon, was the capital of Laconia, in the southern part of Peloponnesus. After the return of the Heraclidæ, the government was administered by the two sons of Aristodemus, who reigned jointly, and this double monarchy was transmitted to the descendants of each for a period of eight hundred and eighty years.

2. This radical principle of disunion, and consequently of anarchy, made the want of a regular system of laws severely felt. *Lycurgus*, the brother of one of the kings of Sparta, a man distinguished alike for his great abilities and stern integrity, was invested, by the united voice of the sovereigns and the people, with the important duty of framing a new constitution for his country. The arduous task being at length completed, produced not only an entire change in the form of government, but also in the manners of the people. He instituted a senate, elective, consisting of twenty-eight members, whose office was to preserve a just balance between the power of the kings and that of the people. Nothing could come before the assembly of the people which had not received the previous consent of the senate; and, on the other hand, no action of the senate was effectual without the sanction of the people. The kings were continued, but were nothing more than hereditary presidents of the senate, and generals of the army.

3. *Lycurgus* divided the territory of the republic into thirty-nine thousand equal portions among the free citizens; and for the purpose of banishing luxury, commerce was abolished; gold and silver coin was prohibited, and iron money was substituted as a medium of exchange; a uniformity of dress was established, and all the citizens, not excepting the kings, were required to take their principal meals at the public tables, from which all luxury and excess were excluded, and a kind of black broth was the principal article of food. Among some of the admirable ceremonies which prevailed at these public meals, the following is interesting and instructive. When the assembly was seated, the oldest man present, pointing to the door, said, "No word spoken here, goes out there." This wise regulation produced mutual confidence, and rendered them unrestrained in conversation.

4. The institutions of *Lycurgus*, though in many respects admirable, had still many defects. Infants, shortly after their birth, underwent an examination, and those that were well formed were delivered to public nurses; and at the age of seven years, they were introduced into the public schools; but all those who were deformed or sickly, were inhumanly exposed to perish. The

1. What was Sparta? What is said of the government after the return of the Heraclidæ?—2. What is said of Lycurgus? With what was he invested? What did he institute? What is said of the kings?—3. How did he divide the territory? What is said of commerce? Of gold and silver? Of iron money? Of dress? Of public tables? What was said by the oldest man present?—4. What is said of the institutions of Lycurgus? Of infants?

young were taught to pay the greatest respect to the aged, and cherish an ardent love for their country; the profession of arms was inculcated as the great business of life. Letters were only taught as far as they were useful; hence the Spartans, while they were distinguished for many heroic virtues, were never eminent for learning; and no productions have been transmitted to modern times written by a native of Sparta. They were accustomed to speak in brief sentences, so that this style of speaking, even at the present time, is called after them, laconic, Laconia being one of the names of their country.

5. The youth were early inured to hardship; they were accustomed to sleep on rushes, trained to the athletic exercises, and only supplied with plain and scanty food. They were even taught to steal whatever they could, provided they could accomplish the theft without being detected. Plutarch relates the fact of a boy who had stolen a fox and concealed it under his garments, and who actually suffered the animal to tear out his bowels, rather than discover the theft. The manners of the Lacedæmonian women were highly indelicate; they were destitute of the milder virtues that most adorn the female character. Their education was calculated to give them a masculine energy, and to fill them with admiration of military glory. Mothers rather rejoiced than wept, when their sons fell nobly in battle. "Return with your shield or on your shield," was the injunction of a Spartan mother to her son, when he was going to meet the enemy: intimating that he should conquer or die.

6. For five hundred years the institutions of Lycurgus continued in force. During this period, the influence of Sparta was felt throughout Greece; her government acquired solidity, while the other states were torn by domestic dissensions. In the process of time, the severe manners and rigid virtues of her citizens began to relax; changes in her laws and institutions were finally introduced, particularly during the reign of *Lysander*, whose conquests filled the country with wealth.

From this period luxury and avarice began to prevail, until Sparta, with the other states of Greece, sunk under the dominion of *Philip*, king of Macedon.

SECTION IV.

The Republic of Athens.

1. Athens, the capital of Attica, was distinguished for its commerce, wealth and magnificence, also as the seat of learning and the arts. The last king of Athens was *Codrus*, who sacrificed himself for the good of his country, in a war with the Heraclidæ.

What were the young taught to pay? What is said of letters? How were they accustomed to speak?—5. What is said of the youth? Of the manners of the women. What is said of mothers?—6 How long did the institutions of Lycurgus continue? In the process of time, what took place? What is said of Sparta from this period?
1. For what was Athens distinguished?

After his death, no one being deemed worthy to succeed him, the regal government was abolished, and the state was governed by magistrates, styled archons. The office was at first for life; it was afterwards reduced to a period of ten years; and finally the archons, nine in number, were annually elected, and were possessed of equal authority.

2. As these changes produced convulsions in the state, and rendered the condition of the people miserable, the Athenians appointed *Draco*, a man of stern and rigid principles, to prepare a code of written laws. His laws were characterized by extreme severity; they punished every crime with death. *Draco* being asked why he was so severe in his punishment, replied that the smallest offence deserved death, and that he had no higher penalty for the greatest crime. The severity of these laws prevented them from being fully executed, and at length caused them to be entirely abolished after a period of one hundred and fifty years.

3. *Solon*, one of the seven wise men of Greece, being raised to the archonship, was intrusted with the care of framing for his country a new system of laws. His disposition was mild and condescending; and, without attempting to change the manners of his countrymen, he endeavoured to accommodate his system to their prevailing customs, to moderate their dissensions, to restrain their passions, and to open a field for the growth of virtue. Of his laws he said, "If they are not the best possible, they are the best the Athenians are capable of receiving."

4. Solon's system divided the people into four classes, according to their wealth. To the three first, composed of the richer citizens, he intrusted all the offices of the commonwealth. The fourth class, which was more numerous than the other three, had an equal right of suffrage in the public assembly, where all laws were framed and measures of state decreed: by this regulation, the balance of power was thrown in favour of the people. He instituted a senate composed of four hundred, and afterwards increased it to five hundred persons. He restored the court of *Areopagus*, which had greatly fallen into disrepute, and committed to it the supreme administration of justice. Commerce and agriculture were encouraged; industry and economy enforced; and the father who had taught his son no trade could not claim a support from him in his old age.

5. The manners of the Athenians formed a striking contrast with those of the Lacedæmonians. At Athens, the arts were highly esteemed; at Sparta, they were despised and neglected; at Athens, peace was the natural state of the republic, and the refined enjoyments of life, the aim of its citizens; Sparta was entirely a military establishment; her people made war the great business of life. Luxury characterized the Athenian, frugality

After the death of Codrus, how was the state governed? What is said of the office?—2. What is said of Draco? How were his laws distinguished? What reply did he make when asked, why he was so severe?—3. What is said of Solon? What did he endeavour to accomplish? Of his laws, what did he say?—4. What is said of Solon's system? Of the fourth class? What did he institute? What is said of commerce, &c.?—5. What was the striking contrast between the Athenians and Lacedæmonians?

the Spartan. They were both, however, equally jealous of their liberty and equally brave in war.

6. Before the death of *Solon*, *Pisistratus*, a man of great wealth and eloquence, by courting the popular favour raised himself to the sovereign power, which he and his sons retained for fifty years.

He governed with great ability; encouraged the arts and sciences, and is said to have founded the first public library known in the world, and first collected the poems of Homer into one volume, which before that time were repeated in detached portions.

Pisistratus transmitted his power to his sons *Hippias*, and *Hipparchus*. They governed for some time with wisdom and moderation, but having at length abused their power, a conspiracy was formed against them, and their government was overthrown by *Harmodius*, and *Aristogiton*. *Hipparchus*, was slain; *Hippias*, fled to *Darius*, king of Persia, who was then meditating the invasion of Greece; and was subsequently killed in the battle of *Marathon*, fighting against his countrymen

SECTION V.

From the Invasion of Greece by the Persians, to the Peloponnesian War. From B. C. 490 *to* 431.

1. The period from the first invasion to the beginning of the Peloponnesian war, is esteemed the most glorious age of Greece. The series of victories obtained by the inhabitants over the Persians are the most splendid recorded in history. The immediate cause which led to the invasion of Greece, seems to have been to avenge the aid which the Athenians gave to the people of Ionia, who attempted to throw off the yoke of Persia.

2. *Darius*, king of Persia, having reduced the Ionians, next turned his arms against the Greeks their allies, with the design of making entire conquest of Greece. He despatched heralds to each of the Grecian states, demanding earth and water, which was an acknowledgment of his supremacy. Thebes and several of the other cities submitted to the demand; but Athens and Sparta indignantly refused, and seizing the heralds, they cast one into a pit and another into a well, and told them to take there their earth and water.

3. Darius now commenced his hostile attack both by sea and land. The first Persian fleet, under the command of Mardonius, was wrecked in doubling the promontory of Athos, with a loss of no less than three hundred vessels; a second, of six hundred sail, ravaged the Grecian islands; while an immense army, consisting

6. What is said of Pisistratus? How did he govern? What is said of Hipparchus and Hippius?

1. What is said of this period? What was the immediate cause which led to the invasion of Greece?—2. What is said of Darius? How did Athens and Sparta treat the heralds?—3. What is said of the first Persian fleet? What was the number of the second fleet?

of one hundred and ten thousand men, poured down impetuously on Attica. This formidable host was met by the Athenian army under the command of Miltiades, on the plains of Marathon, where the Persians were signally defeated and fled with precipitation to their ships. The loss of the Persians amounted to six thousand three hundred; while the Athenian army, which did not exceed ten thousand men, lost only one hundred and ninety-two. A soldier covered with wounds ran to Athens with the news, and having only strength sufficient to say, "Rejoice! the victory is ours," fell down and expired.

4. *Miltiades*, the illustrious general by whose valor this great victory was gained, received the most inhuman treatment from his ungrateful countrymen. Being accused of treason for an unsuccessful attack on the isle of Paros, he was condemned to death; this punishment, however, was commuted into a fine of fifty talents, (about fifty thousand dollars.) In consequence of his being unable to pay this amount, he was cast into prison, where he died in a few days of the wounds he received in the defence of his country.

5. The Athenians at this time were divided into two parties, under their respective leaders—*Aristides*, the advocate of aristocracy, and *Themistocles* of democracy. Aristides, who on account of his integrity was called *the just*, through the intrigues of his great rival, was banished for ten years by the *Ostracism*. It happened while the people were giving their votes for his exile, that a certain citizen who was unable to write and who did not know him personally, brought him a shell and asked him to write the name of Aristides upon it. "Why what harm has Aristides ever done you?" said he. "No harm at all," replied the citizen, "but I cannot bear to hear him continually called the just." Aristides smiled, and taking the shell wrote his own name upon it and went into banishment.

6. On the death of *Darius*, *Xerxes* his son, who succeeded to the Persian throne, resolved to prosecute the war which his father had undertaken against Greece. Having spent four years in making the necessary preparations, he collected an army, according to Herodotus, exceeding two millions of fighting men; and including the women and retinue of attendants, the whole multitude is said to have exceeded five millions of persons. His fleet consisted of more than twelve hundred galleys of war, besides three thousand transports of various kinds.

7. Having arrived at *Mount Athos*, he caused a canal, navigable for his largest vessels, to be cut through the isthmus which joins that mountain to the continent, and for the conveyance of his army, he ordered two bridges of boats to be extended across the Hellespont, at a point where it measures seven furlongs in breadth. The first of these bridges was destroyed by a tempest, on which account, *Xerxes*, in transports of rage, ordered the sea

By whom was this host met? What was the loss of the Persians? Of the Athenian army? What is said of an Athenian soldier?—4. What is related of Miltiades, the illustrious general?—6. What is said of Xerxes? What was the number of his army? Of his fleet?—7. Having arrived at Mount Athos, what did he cause?

to be scourged with three hundred stripes, and to be chained by casting into it a pair of fetters. The bridge being again repaired, the army commenced its march, and occupied seven days and seven nights in passing the straits, while those appointed to conduct the march lashed the soldiers with whips, in order to quicken their speed.

8. *Xerxes* having taken a position on an eminence, from which he could view the vast assemblage he had collected, the plain covered with his troops, and the sea overspread with his vessels, at first called himself the most favored of mortals. But when he reflected that in the short space of a hundred years, not one of the many thousands then before him would be alive, he burst into tears, at the instability of all human things.

9. Most of the smaller cities of Greece submitted at the demand of the Persian monarch; of those which united to oppose him, Athens and Sparta took the lead. The Persian army advanced directly towards Athens, bearing down all before it until it came to the pass of Thermopylæ, on the east of Thessaly. On this spot, Leonidas, one of the kings of Sparta, with only six thousand men, had taken his position in order to oppose its progress. *Xerxes* having arrived at this place, sent a herald to *Leonidas*, commanding him to deliver up his arms, to whom the noble Spartan replied in laconic brevity, "Come and take them." For two days the Persians endeavoured to force their passage through the defile, but were repulsed with great slaughter; but having at length discovered a secret path leading to an eminence which overlooked the Grecian camp, and having gained this advantageous post, under the cover of the night, the defence of the pass became impossible.

10. *Leonidas*, foreseeing certain destruction, dismissed all his allies, retaining only three hundred of his countrymen, and in obedience to a law of Sparta, which forbade her soldiers, under any circumstances, to flee from an enemy, resolved to devote his life for the good of his country. Animated by his example, the three hundred Spartans under his command determined to abide the issue of the conflict. Leonidas fell among the first, bravely contending against the thousands of his enemies; of the three hundred heroes, only one escaped to bear to Sparta the news, that her patriotic sons had died in her defence; and this survivor, after his return, felt himself so disgraced at being alive, that he perished by his own hand. Aristodemus, another of the band, being absent when the battle occurred, was considered so much disgraced by this accident, that when he afterwards distinguished himself at the battle of Platæa, he was nevertheless deemed unworthy of any share of the spoils. A monument was afterwards erected on the spot, to commemorate this memorable battle, bearing this inscription, written by Simonides:

> Go, stranger, and to listening Spartans tell,
> That here, obedient to their laws, we fell.

What did he order? How long was the army in passing the straits?—8. What is now related of Xerxes?—9. What is said of the Persian army? On this spot, who opposed its progress? What reply did he make? How long were the Persians stopped?—10. What did Leonidas now do? Of the three hundred, how many escaped? What inscription was afterwards placed upon the monument?

11. *Xerxes* having forced the pass of *Thermopylæ*, directed his march towards Athens, laying waste the country as he advanced, with fire and sword. The Athenians, having conveyed their women and children, for safety, to the islands, retired to their fleet, leaving their city in the hands of the Persians, by whom it was pillaged and burnt. The only resource left to the Greeks was placed in their fleet; therefore, they immediately commenced preparations for a naval engagement. Their fleet consisted of only three hundred and eighty sail, under the command of Themistocles and Aristides, while that of the Persians amounted to twelve hundred vessels. The engagement took place in the straits of *Salamis*, which resulted in the total defeat of the Persian armament. *Xerxes*, who had seated himself upon an eminence, that he might behold the engagement, having seen the complete discomfiture of his squadron, fled with precipitation to the shores of the Hellespont. But to his great mortification, he found that the bridge of boats which he left had been destroyed by a tempest; terrified, however, at the valor displayed by the Greeks, his impatience would admit of no delay; he therefore crossed the Hellespont in a fishing boat, to his own dominions.

12. The Persian monarch left *Mardonius* with three hundred thousand men, to complete the conquest of Greece. This army, early in the following season, was met at Platæa, by the combined forces of Athens and Sparta, consisting of one hundred and ten thousand men, under the command of Aristides and Pausanias, and was defeated with tremendous slaughter, *Mardonius* himself being numbered among the slain. On the same day, the Greeks engaged and destroyed the remains of the Persian fleet, at the promontory of Mycale, near Ephesus. The Persian army was now completely destroyed, and Xerxes, having been frustrated in all his ambitious views, was soon afterwards assassinated, and was succeeded in the Persian throne by his son, *Artaxerxes Longimanus*, A. C. 464.

13. At this period, the national character of the Greeks was at its highest elevation. The common danger had annihilated all partial jealousies between the states, and had given them union as a nation. Encouraged by their late victories, they resolved to bid defiance to the Persians; they undertook to aid the Ionians who had thrown off the yoke of Persia. The combined forces of Sparta and Athens, under the command of Pausanias and Cimon, expelled the Persians from Thrace, destroyed their fleet on the coast of Pamphylia, took the island of *Cyprus*, and having reduced and plundered the city of *Byzantium*, they returned with immense booty.

14. Pausanias, who had borne so distinguished a part in the late war, now became intoxicated with glory and power, and aspired

11 Where did Xerxes now march? What is said of the Athenians? Who commanded their fleet? What engagement took place? What is said of Xerxes? How did he cross the Hellespont?—12. What did the Persian monarch leave? By whom was this army met? and what was the issue of the battle? On the same day, what took place? What was the end of Xerxes?—13. At this period, what is said of the Greeks? What did they undertake? What did they effect?—14. What is said of Pausanias?

to the sovereign dominion of Greece. For this purpose, he wrote to *Xerxes*, offering to effect the subjugation of his country, and to hold it under the dominion of Persia, on the condition of receiving his daughter in marriage. The treachery was detected before it could be carried into execution, and Pausanias, being condemned by the ephori, took refuge in the temple of Minerva, where the sanctity of the place secured him from violence; being unable to escape from this asylum, he soon perished by hunger. Themistocles, the great Athenian commander, being accused of participating in the treason of Pausanias, was banished from his country, by the ostracism. The exiled general proceeded to Asia, wrote a letter to the Persian monarch, in which he said, "I, *Themistocles*, come to thee, who have done thy house most ill of all the Greeks, while I was of necessity repelling the invasion of thy father, but yet more good, when I was in safety, and his return was endangered." He was permitted to live in Persia in great splendor, but being required by Artaxerxes to take up arms against the Greeks, rather than sully his former glory, by engaging in a war against his native country, although that country had been ungrateful towards him, he chose to suffer a voluntary death.

15. Aristides, after the banishment of *Themistocles*, directed the affairs of Athens, and upon his death, which happened shortly afterwards, *Cimon*, the son of *Miltiades*, one of the most illustrious statesmen and warriors of Greece, became the most prominent man in the republic. He gained two important victories over the Persians on the same day, the one by sea and the other by land, near the river Eurymedon, in Asia Minor. But it was the characteristic of the Athenians to treat their most distinguished citizens with ingratitude. *Cimon*, through the influence of faction, was banished by the ostracism, while Pericles, a young man of exalted talents and extraordinary eloquence, succeeded in gaining the ascendency at Athens

16. *Cimon*, however, after a banishment of five years, was recalled, and being restored to the command of the army, gained several other important victories over the Persians, and finally died of a wound he received at the siege of Cictium, in Cyprus. Shortly after this event, the Persian war, which had lasted with some slight intermissions for about fifty years, was brought to a termination. Artaxerxes, weary of a war that only brought disgrace upon his arms and weakened his resources, sued for peace, which was granted on condition that he should give freedom to all the Grecian cities in Asia, and that no Persian ship of war should enter the Grecian seas.

17. After the death of *Cimon*, *Pericles* rose to the summit of power; he governed Athens with almost arbitrary sway for near forty years; he adorned the city with master-pieces of architecture, sculpture, and painting, patronised the arts and

Where did he take refuge? What is related of Themistocles? What was his end? —15. What is said of Aristides and Cimon? After the banishment of Cimon, who gained the ascendency at Athens?—16. Was Cimon again recalled? What is said of the Persian war? What were the conditions of peace?—17 What is said of Pericles?

sciences, celebrated splendid games and festivals, and his administration forms an era of splendor and magnificence in the history of Greece. In all his public acts, he displayed the greatest moderation and prudence, and the end of all his projects seems to have been the glory of his country, and the happiness of his fellow-citizens. He died of a plague which raged at Athens: a little before his death, hearing some of his friends speaking of his achievements, he said, "You have forgotten the most glorious action of my life, which is, that I never caused a single citizen to put on mourning."

SECTION VI.

From the beginning of the Peloponnesian War, to the reign of Philip of Macedon. From B. C. 431 *to* 360.

1. A FEW years previous to the death of *Pericles*, the Peloponnesian war was commenced, which grew out of the long-continued rivalship between Athens and Sparta, and for twenty-seven years, with little intermission, inflicted the deepest calamities upon the Grecian States.

The origin of this war seems to have been as follows: The inhabitants of Corcyra, while engaged in a contest with the Corinthians, applied for aid to the Athenians, who readily granted them assistance; this conduct on the part of the latter was deemed a violation of the treaty of the confederate states of Peloponnesus, and war was immediately declared against Athens.

2. Sparta, joined by all the Peloponnesian states, except Argos, which remained neutral, took the lead against the Athenians, who had but few allies. The Peloponnesian forces, under the command of *Archidamus*, the king of Sparta, amounted to sixty thousand, while the Athenian army did not exceed thirty-two thousand, but the fleet of the latter was much the superior. During the first year of the war, the confederate forces entered Attica, laid waste the country, and besieged Athens; in the second year, the city was visited by a dreadful plague, which carried off several thousands, and among its victims was the renowned Pericles. The pestilence, however, did not arrest the progress of the war, which continued to rage with unabated fury.

3. After the death of *Pericles*, *Cleon* grew into power, and for a short time directed the Athenian counsels; but he was slain at Amphepotis, in a battle with Brasidas, the Spartan general, who was also mortally wounded in the same engagement. After this event, a treaty of peace was concluded between Athens and Sparta, through the influence of *Nicias*, who now became the popular leader at Athens. Peace, however, was of short dura-

In all his public acts, what did he display? How did he die? What did he say before his death?

1. What was commenced previous to the death of Pericles? What was the origin of this war?—2. What state took the lead against Athens! During the first year of the war what took place? During the second?—3. After the death of Pericles, who grew into power? What was his end? After this event what took place?

tion, war being again declared, through the influence of Alcibiades, one of the greatest of the Athenian generals, and the most accomplished orators of his time.

4. An expedition was next sent against the island of Sicily, under the command of Alcibiades and *Nicias*, but the former being accused of misconduct, was recalled, and the latter was totally defeated and slain. *Alcibiades*, after some time, was again placed at the head of the Athenian army, and gained several important victories, but falling a second time into disrepute, he was banished from this country, and took refuge in Asia, where he died.

5. Lysander, the Lacedæmonian general, having defeated the Athenian fleet, at *Ægos-Potamos*, on the Hellespont, reduced Athens to the last extremity, by blockading the city both by sea and land. The wretched Athenians were at length compelled to accept the most humiliating terms of peace; they agreed to demolish their port, to limit their fleet to twelve ships, and to undertake for the future no military enterprise, but under the command of the Lacedæmonians. Thus ended the Peloponnesian war, by the submission of Athens, and the triumph of Sparta, which now became the leading power in Greece. A. C. 403.

6. Lysander, after the reduction of Athens abolished the popular government of that state, and established in its place an oligarchy, consisting of thirty magistrates, with absolute power, who, from their atrocious acts of cruelty, were called the Thirty Tyrants. In the space of eight months we are told that fifteen hundred citizens fell victims to their avarice and vengeance, while many others fled from their country. At length Thrasybulus, aided by a band of patriots, expelled the tyrants from the seat of their power, and restored the democratic form of government.

7. An event, which happened about this time, reflected indelible disgrace upon the fickle-minded Athenians, which was, the persecution and death of the illustrious philosopher, *Socrates*, a name, at once the glory and reproach of his country. The Sophists, whose futile logic he derided and exposed, represented him as an enemy to the religion of his country, because he attempted to introduce the knowledge of a supreme Being, the Creator and Ruler of the universe, and to inculcate the belief of a future state of retribution; and being accused, moreover, of corrupting the youth, he was condemned by the assembly of Athens to die by poison.

8. He made his defence in person, with all the manly fortitude of conscious innocence, but the majority of his judges, being his personal enemies, determined on his ruin. During the forty days of his imprisonment, he conducted himself with the greatest dignity; refused to escape when an opportunity offered; conversed

4. What expedition was next undertaken? What is said of Alcibiades?—5. What is said of Lysander? Of the Athenians? What were the terms of peace? How did the war end?—6. What did Lysander do? In eight months, how many citizens perished? What did Thrasybulus do?—8. What events took place at this time? How did the Sophists represent him? Why?—8. How did he make his defence? What is said of him during his imprisonment?

with his friends on subjects of moral philosophy, particularly the immortality of the soul, and when the appointed time arrived drank the fatal cup of hemlock, and died with the utmost composure. After the fatal deed was accomplished, the Athenians began to see the error into which they had fallen; his judges and accusers were either put to death or banished from the city; a brazen statue was erected to his memory, the workmanship of the celebrated *Lycippus*. Thus they endeavored to repair, in some degree, the injustice they had permitted against the most virtuous of their citizens.

9. On the death of *Darius*, the Persian throne was left to his son, *Artaxerxes* II., but his younger brother, *Cyrus*, attempted to dethrone him, and for that purpose he employed about thirteen thousand Grecian troops; but both Cyrus and the Grecian commander were slain in a battle, which was fought at *Cunaxa*, near *Babylon*. The remainder of the Grecian army, which amounted to about ten thousand, under the command of Xenophon, effected a most extraordinary retreat, traversing a hostile country of sixteen hundred miles in extent, from Babylon to the shores of the Euxine. This celebrated return, usually called the retreat of Ten Thousand, is beautifully described by *Xenophon* himself, and is regarded as one of the most extraordinary exploits in military history.

10. The Grecian colonies in Asia having taken part with *Cyrus*, were assisted by the Spartans, under their king *Agesilaus*. The Persian monarch, however, by means of bribes, induced Athens and other of the Grecian states, jealous of the power of the Lacedæmonians, to enter into a league against them. *Agesilaus* was obliged to return in order to protect his own dominions; he defeated the confederate forces in the battle of Coronea, but the Spartan fleet was defeated by the Athenians under Conon near Cnidos. A treaty of peace was finally concluded, by which it was agreed that all the Grecian cities of Asia should belong to Persia, and all others should be independent, with the exception of the islands of Lemnos, Scyros and Imbros, which should remain under the dominion of Athens.

11. While Athens and Sparta were visibly tending to decline, Thebes emerged from obscurity, and rose for a time to a degree of splendor eclipsing all the other states of Greece. The Spartans, jealous of its growing prosperity, took advantage of some internal dissension and seized upon the citadel. Pelopidas, with a number of Thebans, fled for protection to Athens, where he planned the deliverance of his country. Disguising himself and twelve of his friends as peasants, he entered Thebes in the evening, and joining a patriotic party of citizens, they surprised the leaders of the usurpation amidst the tumult of a feast, and put them all to death; and pursuing his success in conjunction

How did he die? What is said of the Athenians?—9. What did Cyrus attempt? What did the remainder of the army effect after this event?—10. By whom were the Grecian colonies assisted? What did the Persians effect by bribes? What is said of Agesilaus? What battles were fought? What was agreed by the treaty of peace?—11. What state emerged from obscurity? What did the Spartans do? What is said of Pelopidas?

with his friend *Epamınondas*, who shared with him the glory of the enterprise, he finally succeeded in expelling the Lacedæmonian garrison from the Theban territory.

12. A war necessarily ensued between Thebes and Sparta; the Theban army, under the command of Pelopidas and *Epaminondas*, gained the memorable battle of *Leuctra*, in which they lost only three hundred men, while the Spartan loss amounted to four thousand, together with their king *Cleombrotus*, who was numbered among the slain. The victorious Thebans, under Epaminondas, joined by many of the other Grecian states, entered the territories of Lacedæmon, and overran the country with fire and sword. The Spartans, who had long boasted that their women had never beheld the smoke of an enemy's camp, were mortified to see the invaders now encamped within the very sight of their capital.

13. Having humbled the power of Sparta, the Theban commander returned with his victorious army to his native city; but the war being again renewed, he gained another great victory over the Lacedæmonians and Athenians at the battle of Mantinea; but he fell mortally wounded in the moment of victory. With the fall of *Epaminondas*, who was equally eminent as a philosopher, statesman, and general, fell the glory of his country.

The battle of *Mantinea* was followed by a peace between all the Grecian states, by which each city established its independence.

SECTION VII.

Philip of Macedon. The Exploits and Death of Alexander. From 360 *to* 324.

1. Greece was now in the most abject situation. The spirit of patriotism appeared utterly lost, and military glory at an end. Athens, at this time the most prominent state, was sunk in luxury and pleasure; yet she was distinguished for her cultivation of literature and the arts. Sparta, no less changed from the simplicity of her ancient manners, and her power weakened by the new independence of the state of Peloponnesus, was in no capacity to attempt a recovery of her former greatness. Such was the situation of Greece, when *Philip of Macedon* formed the ambitious design of bringing the whole country under his dominion.

2. The kingdom of Macedon had existed upwards of four hundred years, but it had not risen to any considerable eminence; it formed no part of the Greek confederacy, and had no voice in the *Amphictyonic* council. The inhabitants boasted of the same origin with the Greeks, but were considered by the latter as

12. What ensued? What battle did the Theban army gain? What was the loss on both sides? What is said of the Spartans?—13. What is said of the Theban commander? What followed the battle of Mantinea?

1. What is said now of Greece? Of Athens? Of Sparta?—2. How long had the kingdom of Macedon existed? What is said of the inhabitants?

barbarians. Philip, who laid the foundation of the Macedonian empire, or as it is sometimes called, the Grecian empire, because Greece in its most extensive sense included Macedonia, was sent as a hostage to Thebes, at the age of ten years, where he enjoyed the advantage of an excellent education under Epaminondas. At the age of twenty-four years, he ascended the throne of Macedon, by the popular voice, in violation of the natural right of the nearer heirs to the crown.

3. Philip was possessed of great military and political talents, and was equally distinguished for his consummate artifice and address. In order to accomplish the subjugation of the Grecian states, he cherished dissensions among them, and employed agents in each with a view of having every public measure directed to his advantage. The attempt of the Phocians to occupy and cultivate a tract of land consecrated to the *Delphian Apollo*, gave rise to a contest called the Sacred War, in which most of the states of Greece were involved. The Thebans, Thessalians and other states, undertook to punish the Phocians, who were supported chiefly by Athens and Sparta.

4. Philip proposed to act as arbitrator of the matter in dispute, and procured himself to be elected a member of the Amphictyonic council. Shortly after this event, the *Locrians* having encroached upon the consecrated ground of Delphi, and having refused to obey the order of the Amphictyonic council, Philip was invited to vindicate their authority by force of arms. Philip began his hostilities by invading Phocis, the key to the territory of Attica. *Æschines*, the orator, bribed to his interest, endeavored to quiet the alarms of the Athenians, by ascribing to him a design only of punishing the sacrilege and vindicating the cause of *Apollo*. *Demosthenes*, with the true spirit of a patriot, exposed the artful designs of the invader, and with most animated eloquence roused his countrymen to a vigorous effort for the preservation of their liberties. The event, however, was unsuccessful; the battle of Cheronæa decided the fate of Greece, and subjected all the states to the dominion of the king of *Macedon*, A. C. 337.

5. It was not the policy of the conqueror to treat the several states as a vanquished people; they were allowed to retain their separate independent governments, while he reserved for himself the direction and control of all national measures. Convoking a general council of the states, he laid before them his project for the invasion of Persia, and was appointed commander-in-chief of the forces of all the Grecian states. On the eve of this great enterprise, Philip was assassinated by *Pausanias*, the captain of his guards, while solemnizing the nuptials of his daughter, in the forty-seventh year of his age. The news of the event caused the most tumultuous joy among the Athenians, who indulged the vain

Of Philip? At what age did he ascend the throne of Macedon?—3. What did he possess? What did he cherish? What gave rise to the Sacred War?—4. What did Philip propose? After this event what took place? How did he commence hostilities? What is said of Æschines and Demosthenes? What is said of the battle of Cheronæa?—5. What was the policy of the conqueror? Having convoked a council of the states, what did he lay before them? On the eve of this enterprise, what happened to Philip? What did the news of this event cause among the Athenians?

hope of again recovering their liberty; but the visionary prospect was never realized; the spirit of the nation was gone, and in all their subsequent revolutions, they only changed their masters.

6. On the death of *Philip*, his son *Alexander*, surnamed the *Great*, succeeded to the throne of Macedon at the age of twenty years. The young king, having reduced to subjection some of the states to the north of Macedon, turned the whole power of his arms against the revolted states of Greece. He defeated the Thebans with immense slaughter, caused their city to be razed to the ground, and thirty thousand of its inhabitants to be sold as slaves. These acts of severity so intimidated the other states of Greece, that they immediately submitted to his dominion. Alexander then assembled the deputies of the Grecian states at Corinth, and renewed the proposal of invading Persia, and was appointed, as his father had been, the commander-in-chief of their united forces.

7. With an army of thirty thousand foot and five thousand horse, with the sum of only seventy talents and provisions for a single month, he crossed the Hellespont, and traversing Phrygia, proceeded to the site of Troy and visited the tomb of Achilles, whom he pronounced the most fortunate of men in having *Patrocles* for his friend and *Homer* for his panegyrist. *Darius Codomanus*, resolving at once to crush the youthful hero, met him on the banks of the Granicus, with an army of one hundred thousand foot and twenty thousand horse. Here an obstinate battle was fought, in which the Persian monarch was defeated with a loss, according to *Plutarch*, of twenty-two thousand men, while the Macedonian loss was only thirty-four. In this battle, Alexander escaped narrowly with his life—being attacked by an officer, who was about to cleave his head with a battle-axe, when the blow was prevented by Clytus, who cut off the hand of the officer with his cimiter and thus saved the life of his sovereign.

8. The success of this battle was important to Alexander, as it put him in possession of Sardis with all its riches; he generously gave the citizens their liberty, and permitted them to live under their own laws. He soon after took Miletus, Halicarnassus, and other important places. The next important victory was obtained in the great battle of *Issus*. The Persian army, consisting of six hundred thousand men, was defeated with prodigious slaughter, no less than one hundred and ten thousand being killed, while the Macedonians numbered only four hundred and fifty among the slain. The mother, wife and two daughters of *Darius* fell into the hands of the conqueror, who treated them with the greatest delicacy and respect. *Darius*, on hearing of the kindness of Alexander towards his family, offered for their ransom the sum

6. Who succeeded Philip? How did he treat the Thebans? Having assembled the deputies of the Grecian states, what proposals did he renew?—7. What was the number of his army? Where did he proceed? By whom and where was he met? What was the issue of the battle, and the loss on both sides? In this battle, what is said of Alexander?—8. What places did he next take? Where was the next victory obtained? What was the number of the Persian army? The number of the slain on both sides? Who fell into the hands of the conqueror? How were they treated? What did Darius offer for their ransom?

of ten thousand talents, (about £2,000,000 sterling,) and proposed a treaty of peace and alliance, with the further offer of his daughter in marriage and all the country between the Euphrates and the Ægean sea.

9. When the offer was laid before Alexander's council, *Parmenio* is reported to have said, "If I were *Alexander*, I would accept the terms;" "And so would I," replied Alexander, "were I *Parmenio*." After this he overran Syria, took Damascus, and laid siege to Tyre, which surrendered after a noble defence of seven months. On this occasion, the conqueror exercised an act of barbarous cruelty by causing two thousand citizens of Tyre to be crucified, besides all those who were put to the sword or sold into slavery. He then directed his march towards Jerusalem, which he entered without opposition. Having taken the city of Gaza, he inhumanly sold ten thousand of its inhabitants into slavery, and dragged Betis, its illustrious defender, at the wheels of his chariot, in imitation of Achilles, after the taking of Troy.

10. Alexander next proceeded to Egypt, which readily submitted to his arms; and with incredible fatigues, he led his army through the deserts of Libya to visit the temple of *Jupiter-Ammon*, and caused himself to be proclaimed the son of that deity. On his return, he commenced the building of the city of Alexandria, afterwards the capital of Lower Egypt, and for a time, one of the greatest commercial cities in the world: he is said to have founded twenty other cities during the course of his conquests. Returning from Egypt, he again received proposals from *Darius*, who offered to surrender to him the whole of his dominions to the west of the Euphrates; but he haughtily rejected the offer, saying, that "the world could no more admit of two masters than of two suns."

11. Having crossed the Euphrates, he was met at the village of *Arabela* by *Darius*, at the head of seven hundred thousand men. A tremendous battle ensued, in which the Persians were defeated with a loss of three hundred thousand men, while that of Alexander was only about five hundred. This great battle decided the fate of Persia. Darius first escaped to Media and afterwards into Bactria, where he was betrayed by Bessus, the satrap of that province, and murdered; and shortly after this event the whole Persian empire submitted to the conqueror.

12. *Alexander* now projected the conquest of India, and having penetrated beyond the Hydaspes, he defeated *Porus*, the illustrious king of that country. He still continued his march to the East; but when he arrived at the banks of the Ganges, his soldiers seeing no end to their toils, refused to proceed any further, and demanded that they might be permitted to return to their country. Finding it impossible to overcome their reluctance, he re

9. When the offer was laid before the council, what was said by Parmenio, and what was Alexander's reply? After the siege of Tyre, what act of cruelty did he exercise? Having taken the city of Gaza, what did he do?—10. Where did he next proceed? On his return, what city did he commence? What reply did he make to the proposals of Darius?—11. Where was he met by Darius? What ensued? What was the loss on both sides? What was the fate of Darius?—12. What did Alexander next project? When he arrived on the banks of the Ganges, what happened?

turned to the Indus, and pursuing his course southward by that river, he arrived at the ocean, and sending his fleet to the Persian Gulf, he led his army across the desert to Persepolis, which in a fit of frenzy he ordered to be set on fire. From Persepolis he returned to Babylon, which he chose as the seat of his Asiatic empire; here giving himself up to every excess, he was seized with a violent fever, brought on by excessive intemperance, and thus died in the thirty-third year of his age, and thirteenth of his reign, A. C. 324.

13. Perceiving that his end was approaching, he raised himself upon his elbow and presented his dying hand to his soldiers to kiss. Being asked to whom he left his empire, he answered, "To the most worthy." Alexander was the most renowned hero of antiquity. He possessed talents which might have rendered him distinguished as a statesman and a benefactor of mankind, but it was to his military exploits alone that he is entitled to the surname of Great. In the early part of his career, he was distinguished for self-government, and exhibited many noble and generous traits of character. But when intoxicated with his extraordinary success, he gave himself up to unbounded indulgence and to deeds of cruelty and ingratitude. He caused *Parmenio*, his most distinguished general, who had assisted him in gaining all his victories, to be assassinated on mere suspicion. His friend *Clytus*, who had saved his life in the battle of the Granicus, he struck dead upon the spot, because he contradicted him when heated with wine. He caused the philosopher *Callisthenes* to be put to death for refusing to pay him divine honours. (See particulars of his character in the Biography.)

SECTION VIII.

From the Death of Alexander to the subjugation of Greece by the Romans. From A. C. 324 *to* 146.

1. Alexander having named no successor, his vast empire was divided into thirty-three governments, and distributed among as many of the principal officers. Hence arose a series of intrigues, fierce and sanguinary wars, which resulted in the total extinction of every member of Alexander's family, and finally terminated in a new division of the empire into four kingdoms: namely, that of Egypt under *Ptolemy*; *Macedonia*, including Greece, under *Cassander;* Thrace, together with Bithynia, under *Lysimachus*: and Syria, under *Seleucus*.

2. From the period of Alexander's death, the history of the Grecian states, to the time of their subjugation by the Romans,

Where did he die? What was his age, and the length of his reign?—13. Perceiving that his end was approaching, what did he do? What is said of Alexander? In the early part of his career? When intoxicated with success? Whom did he cause to be assassinated? Who did he strike dead?

1. How was the empire divided? What arose? Name the four chief empires—2 From Alexander's death, what is said of the history of the Grecian states?

presents only a series of uninteresting revolutions. When the news of this event reached Athens, Demosthenes once more made a noble effort to vindicate the national freedom, and to arouse his countrymen to shake off the yoke of Macedon. His counsels so far prevailed, that the Greeks formed a confederacy, for the purpose of recovering their liberty; but they were finally defeated by Antipater, and Athens was obliged to purchase a peace by the sacrifice of ten of her public speakers, among whom the renowned orator *Demosthenes* was included. But to avoid falling into the hands of his enemies, he put an end to his own life by taking poison.

3. Under the administration of *Polysperchon*, who succeeded Antipater in the government of Macedon, independence for a short time was restored to the Grecian states. Scenes of turbulence were soon renewed among the Athenians; they put to death many of the friends of Antipater, and among the rest was the venerable Phocion, now upwards of eighty years of age. He was eminent in his public character and private virtues, and had been forty-five times governor of Athens. To a friend who lamented his fate, he said, "This is only what I long expected: it is thus that Athens has rewarded her most illustrious citizens."

Cassander, who succeeded Polysperchon, appointed Demetrius Phalereus governor of Athens. Under his wise administration, which continued twelve years, the city enjoyed a considerable degree of prosperity, and the Athenians, to testify their gratitude, erected no less than three hundred and sixty statues to his memory

4. The last effort made to revive the expiring liberty of Greece, was the formation of the *Achæan League*, which was a union of twelve of the smaller states for that object. The government of this confederacy was committed to *Aratus*, a young man of eminent abilities, who took the title of prætor. He formed the noble design of liberating his country from the dominion of Macedon, and establishing the independence of all Greece; but the jealousy of some of the principal states, particularly of Sparta, rendered the plan abortive.

Aratus was succeeded by Philopœmen, who triumphed over the Spartans and Ætolians, but in an expedition against the *Messenians*, who had revolted, he was defeated and slain. Philopœmen was styled the "last of the Greeks," because after him Greece produced no leader worthy of her former glory.

5. The Macedonians having declared war against the Ætolians, the latter applied for aid to the Romans, who now became the most powerful nation in the world. The offer was joyfully accepted by the Romans, who had long wished for an opportunity of adding to their dominion this devoted country; their army,

When the news reached Athens, what did Demosthenes do? What was his end?—3. Under the administration of Polysperchon, what was said? What is said of Phocion? What reply did he make to a friend? Who was appointed governor of Athens? What is said of his administration?—4. What was the last effort to revive the liberty of Greece? To whom was the government committed? What did he form? Who succeeded Aratus? What was he styled?—5. What is said of the Macedonians? What was done by their army?

under the command of *Quintus Flaminius*, defeated Philip, king of Macedon, and proclaimed liberty to all the Grecian states. About thirty years after this event, the Romans, under the command of *Paulus Æmilius*, again invaded Greece, in a war with Perseus, the son of Philip, who was entirely defeated in the battle of *Pydna*, and falling into the hands of the conqueror with all his family, he was led captive to Rome, to grace the triumph of the general, and Macedonia was reduced to a Roman province. A. C. 167.

6. The Romans, already jealous of the power of the Achæan League, endeavoured to weaken it by cherishing divisions among the states, and sought the earliest opportunity of again unsheathing the sword against Greece. At length the Spartans, in a contest with the Achæan states, applied for assistance to Rome. The Romans, under the command of *Metellus*, marched into Greece and gained a complete victory over the Achæan army. The consul Mummius completed the conquest by taking and destroying the city of Corinth, in which the remainder of the Achæan forces had taken refuge. The Achæan constitution was dissolved, and all Greece was reduced to a Roman province, under the name of *Achaia*. A. C. 146.

7. In reviewing the history of this extraordinary people, we find much to admire and much to condemn. In point of genius, taste, learning, patriotism, and valor, the Greeks far surpassed all the other nations of antiquity. With regard to their forms of government, they were far from corresponding in practice with what they expressed in theory. Even in the palmiest days of Greece, we look in vain for that beautiful idea presented by a well-regulated commonwealth. The condition of the people frequently partook more of servitude than of liberty. Slaves formed the great majority of the inhabitants of the Grecian states; and bondage being a consequence of the contraction of debt, even by free men, a great proportion of these were subject to the tyrannical control of their fellow-citizens. They were perpetually divided into factions, and torn by internal dissensions, which finally led to the subversion of their liberties

8. In pursuing the history of Athens, the mind is forcibly struck with the injustice and ingratitude frequently manifested towards the most illustrious of her citizens. *Miltiades*, *Aristides*, *Themistocles*, *Phocion*, *Cimon* and *Socrates*, were all sentenced to death or banishment, yet the Athenians, with their characteristic fickleness and inconstancy, did ample justice to their merits, and sought to punish those by whom they were accused. The most remarkable circumstance which strikes us, in comparing the latter with the more early period of Grecian history, is the total change in the genius and spirit of the people. The

When did the Romans invade Greece? What is said of Philip? What was his fate?—6. What is said of the Romans? Who completed the conquest of Greece? To what was it reduced?—7. In reviewing the history, what do we find? What is said of the forms of government? Of the people? Of slaves?—8. In pursuing the history of Athens, how is the mind struck? Who were sentenced to death or banishment? What remarkable circumstance strikes us? What declined?

ardor of patriotism, the thirst for military glory and love of liberty, decline with the rising grandeur of the nation; while a taste for the fine arts, a love of science and the refinements of luxury are introduced.

SECTION IX.

Grecian Antiquities.

PHILOSOPHY. Philosophy among the Greeks was divided into various sects or schools. Of these, the Ionic sect was the most ancient, founded by Thales, A. C. 640. He was eminently distinguished for his knowledge of geometry and astronomy, and taught the belief of a first cause, and overruling Providence, but supposed the Deity to animate the universe, as the soul does the body.

The Italian or Pythagorean sect was founded by *Pythagoras*, who taught the transmigration of souls through different bodies, and believed the earth to be a sphere, the planets to be inhabited, and fixed stars to be the suns and centres of other systems.

The Socratic school was founded by *Socrates*, who was esteemed the wisest and most virtuous of the Greeks, and the father of moral philosophy He taught the belief of a first cause, whose beneficence is equal to his power, the Creator and Ruler of the universe. He inculcated the immortality of the soul, and a future state of rewards and punishments.

The Cynics, a sect founded by *Antisthenes*, and supported by *Diogenes*, condemned knowledge as useless, renounced social enjoyments and conveniences of life, and indulged themselves in scurrility and invective.

The Academic sect was founded by *Plato*, a philosopher whose doctrines have had a more extensive influence over the minds of mankind than those of any other of the ancients. Plato had the most sublime ideas of the Deity and his attributes. He taught that the human soul was a portion of the divinity, and that this alliance with the eternal mind might be improved into actual intercourse with the Supreme Being, by abstracting the soul from all the corruptions it derives from the body. He gave his lectures in the grove of Academus, near Athens.

The Peripatetic sect was founded by *Aristotle*, who established his school in the Lyceum, at Athens. His philosophy was taught in the schools for sixteen hundred years.

The Skeptical sect was founded by *Pyrrho*, who inculcated universal doubt as the only true wisdom. There was, in his opinion, no essential difference between vice and virtue, further than as human compact had discriminated them. Tranquillity of

PHILOSOPHY.—What is said of philosophy among the Greeks? Who was the founder of the Ionic sect? What is said of him? Who was the founder of the Pythagorean sect? What did he teach? Who was the founder of the Socratic sect? What did he teach and inculcate? Who founded the Cynic sect? What did he condemn? Who founded the Academic sect? What did he teach? Where did he give his lectures? Who founded the Peripatetic sect? Who founded the Skeptic sect? What did he inculcate?

mind he considered to be the greatest happiness, and this was to be obtained by absolute indifference to all dogmas or opinions.

The Stoic sect was founded by *Zeno*. The Stoics inculcated fortitude of mind, denied that pain is an evil, and endeavoured to raise themselves above all the passions and feelings of humanity. They taught that virtue consists in accommodating the dispositions of the mind to the immutable laws of nature, and vice in opposing these laws; they regarded vice, therefore, as folly, and virtue the only true wisdom.

The Epicureans, named from *Epicurus*, the founder of the sect, maintained that the supreme happiness of man consisted in pleasure.

The principal of all things was a subject of special research by the philosophers of Greece. *Thales* taught that this principle consisted of water; *Anaxagoras*, of infinite air; *Heraclitus*, of fire; *Democritus*, of atoms; *Pythagoras*, of unity; *Plato*, of God, idea, and matter; *Aristotle*, of matter, form and, privation; *Zeno*, of God and matter; *Epicurus*, of matter and empty space.

The Seven Wise Men. The seven wise men of Greece were, *Thales*, of Miletus; *Solon*, of Athens; *Bias*, of Priene; *Chilo*, of Lacedæmon; *Pittacus*, of Mitylene; *Cleobulus*, of Lindos, and *Periander*, of Corinth. Instead of *Periander*, some enumerate *Myson*, and others *Anacharsis*.

The Council of the Amphictyons. This council is supposed to have been instituted by *Amphictyon*, the son of *Deucalion*, king of Thessaly, at an early period of the history of Greece. It consisted, at first, of twelve deputies, from the twelve different cities or states; but the number was afterwards increased to thirty. They met twice a year; in the spring at Delphi, and in the autumn at Thermopylæ. The objects of this assembly were to unite in strict unity the states which were represented; to consult for their mutual welfare and defence; to decide all differences between cities, and to try offences against the laws of nations.

Public Games. There were four public and solemn games in Greece, namely, the *Olympic*, *Pythian*, *Nemean*, and *Isthmian*. The exercises practised at these games were, leaping, running, throwing, boxing, and wrestling; also the horse and chariot races, and contests between the poets, orators, musicians, philosophers, and artists.

The *Olympic* games were instituted by *Hercules*, in honor of *Jupiter Olympus*, A. C. 1222 years; they were celebrated in the town of Olympia, in the first month of every fifth year, and lasted five days. The space between one celebration to another was called an *Olympiad*, by which the Greeks computed their time. The prize bestowed on the victor was a crown of olive;

Who was the founder of the Stoic sect? What did they inculcate? What did they teach? What is said of the Epicureans? What was a subject of special research? What were the various opinions of the philosophers on this subject?

The Seven Wise Men.—Who were the seven wise men of Greece?

The Council of the Amphictyons.—By whom was it instituted? Of what did it consist? Where did they meet? What was the object of this assembly?

Public Games.—What were the four public games? What were the exercises? By whom were the Olympic games instituted? What was the prize of the victor?

yet trifling as was this reward, it was considered as the highest honor, and was sought for with the utmost eagerness. The victor was greeted with loud acclamations, and his return home was in the style of a warlike conqueror.

The *Pythian* games were celebrated every fifth year, in the second of every Olympiad, near Delphi, in honor of *Apollo* The reward of the victors was a crown of laurel.

The *Nemean* games were celebrated in the town of Nemea every third year. The victors were crowned with parsley.

The *Isthmian* games, so called from being celebrated on the isthmus of Corinth, were instituted in honor of *Neptune*, and observed every third or fifth year; they were held so sacred that even a public calamity could not prevent their celebration. The victors were rewarded with a garland of pine leaves.

LITERATURE. No nation of ancient or modern times surpassed the Greeks in literary taste and genius. In subsequent ages, great advances have been made in science, and in some of the branches of polite learning; yet in chaste and beautiful composition, in brilliancy of fancy, in sweetness of periods, in various forms of intellectual efforts, under the name of poetry, oratory, and history, they are still unrivalled.

Poetry in Greece was extremely ancient; it was even cultivated before the introduction of letters. In epic poetry, *Homer* stands unrivalled in ancient or modern times. In lyric poetry, the names of *Anacreon*, *Sappho*, and *Pindar*, have attained imperishable fame.

Oratory was cultivated among the Greeks, particularly the Athenians, with the utmost care. The study of eloquence formed the principal employment of the young citizens at Athens. It was that which opened the way to the highest offices, reigned absolute in the assemblies, decided the most important affairs of the state, and an almost unlimited power to those who had the talent of oratory in an eminent degree. Music was cultivated with great success, and was considered an essential part in the education of the youth. The ancients ascribed to it wonderful effects; they believed it well calculated to calm the passions, soften the manners, and even to harmonize nations naturally barbarous and savage. Dancing was also cultivated with much attention and care.

ARTS. In the more useful and necessary arts of life, the Greeks were never greatly distinguished. But in those which are termed the fine arts, Greece far surpassed all other nations of antiquity; and those specimens which have survived the wreck of time are regarded as models of imitation, and are acknowledged as stan-

What is said of it? How often were they celebrated? How often were the Pythian games celebrated? In honor of whom? What was the reward of the victors? At what place were the Nemean celebrated? With what were the victors crowned? Why were the Isthmian games so called? What is said of them? What was the reward of the victors?

LITERATURE.—What is said of the Greeks in literary taste? In what are they still unrivalled? What is said of poetry? Of Homer? Of Anacreon, &c.? What is said of Oratory? The study of Eloquence? Of Music? What did they ascribe to it? What is said of Dancing?

ARTS.—In what were the Greeks never greatly distinguished? In what did they surpass all others?

dards of excellence, in the judgment of the most polished nations of modern times. During the administration of *Pericles*, which is called the golden age of the Grecian arts, architecture, sculpture, and painting were carried to the summit of perfection. The architecture consisted of three distinct orders, the *Doric*, the *Ionic*, and the *Corinthian*. The *Doric* has a masculine grandeur, and a superior air of strength to both the other orders. It is, therefore, well adapted to works of great magnitude. Of this order is the temple of Theseus, at Athens, built ten years after the battle of Marathon, and is almost entire at the present day.

The *Ionic* is distinguished for its elegance and simplicity, the latter quality being essentially requisite in true beauty. Of this order were the temple of Apollo, at Miletus, the temple of the Delphic oracle, and the temple of Diana, at Ephesus. The *Corinthian* affected the highest magnificence and ornament, by uniting the characters of all the orders.

In sculpture, the Greeks excelled no less than in architecture. Specimens of their skill in this respect are perfect models. The Dying Gladiator, the Venus, and the Laocoon of the Grecian sculptors have an imperishable fame.

In painting, though very few specimens have descended to us, they are supposed to have excelled. The productions of *Zeuxis*, *Appelles*, *Timanthes*, and others which perished, were highly extolled by the writers of antiquity.

Private and Domestic Life. The dress of the Greeks differed much from that of most of the modern nations. The men wore an inner garment called a tunic, over which they threw a mantle; their shoes or sandals were fastened under the soles of their feet with thongs. The women, particularly at Athens, wore a white tunic, which was closely bound with a broad sash, and descended in graceful folds to the ground; also a shorter robe, confined round the waist with a ribbon, and bordered at the bottom with stripes of various colours. Over this they sometimes put on a robe which was worn much like the present scarf. In the earlier ages of Greece, the inhabitants usually wore no covering on their heads, but in after times, they made use of a kind of hat, tied under the chin. The women, however, always had their heads covered. The Athenians wore in their hair a golden grass-hopper, as an emblem of the antiquity of their nation, intimating that they sprung from the earth. In Sparta, the kings, magistrates, and citizens were but little distinguished by dress. The military costume was of a red color.

The meals of the Greeks were usually four in number. The breakfast was taken about the rising of the sun; the next meal at midday; then came the afternoon repast, and lastly, the supper, which was the principal meal. Every thing capable of sustaining life was used as food, though they were generally fond of

What were the three orders of architecture? What is said of the Doric? How was the Ionic distinguished? What did the Corinthian effect? What is said of sculpture? What have an imperishable fame? What is said of painting?

Private and Domestic Life.—What was the dress of the men? Of the women? What did the Athenians wear? What was the number of their meals, and when were they taken? What was used?

fish; water and wine were the usual drink. At first they sat upright at their meals; but as luxury prevailed, couches were introduced, on which the guests reclined while at table. Marriage among the Greeks was only lawful as the consent of the parents or other relatives could be obtained. Polygamy was allowed only after great calamities, such as war or pestilence.

The Grecian women seldom appeared in strange company, but were confined to the remote parts of the house, into which no male visitor was admitted. When they went abroad, they wore veils to conceal their faces. It was disreputable, however, for them to appear much abroad. Children were required to maintain their parents in old age; but, according to the laws of Solon parents who did not bring up their children to some useful employment, could not exact a support from them.

The funerals of the Greeks were attended with many ceremonies, showing that they considered the duties belonging to the dead to be of the highest importance. In their view, it was the most awful of all imprecations, to wish that a person might be deprived of funeral honours. [*For Oracles and Religion of the Greeks, see* MYTHOLOGY.]

Of some of the peculiar institutions of Greece, the court of *Areopagus* and *Ostracism* were most remarkable. The *Areopagus*, which signifies the Hill of Mars, from the place where it was held, was the most distinguished and venerable court of justice in ancient times, and took cognisance of crimes, abuses and innovations, either in religion or government. The Areopagites were the guardians of education and manners, and inspected the laws. To laugh in this assembly was an unpardonable act of levity.

One of the absurd peculiarities in the government of Athens, was the practice of the *Ostracism;* this was a ballot of all the citizens, in which each wrote down the name of the individual most offensive to him; and he who was marked out by the greatest number of votes, was banished from his country for a specified time, often for a number of years. It was not necessary that any crime should be alleged: neither the property nor the honor of the exile sustained the least injury. By this institution the most flagrant injustice was often committed against the most virtuous citizens.

ORIGIN OF TRAGEDY. Tragedy owes its origin to the feasts of *Bacchus*, usually celebrated at the time of the vintage, and at first consisted of a few rude comic scenes, intermixed with songs in praise of that god. *Thespis*, owing to several improvements which he made in tragedy, is generally esteemed its inventor, although there were several tragic and comic poets before his

How did they sit at their meals? What is said of marriage? What was allowed? What is said of the Grecian women? What was disreputable? What were children required to do? What is said of funerals? What was thought the most awful imprecation? What were some of the peculiar institutions? What is said of the Areopagus? Of what were they the guardians? What was deemed an unpardonable act of levity? What was the Ostracism? By this institution, what was often committed?

ORIGIN OF TRAGEDY.—To what does tragedy owe its origin? What is said of Thespis?

time. He carried the actors about in carts, whereas before, they were accustomed to sing or recite in the streets, wherever chanced them; he also caused their faces to be smeared over with lees of wine, instead of acting without disguise, as at first; and he introduced a character among the chorus, who, to give the actors time to rest, repeated the adventures of some illustrious person. The alterations which *Thespis* made in tragedy, gave room for *Æschylus* to make still further improvements. He was a man of superior genius, and took upon himself to reform rather than to create tragedy in the new. He gave masks to his actors, adorned them with robes and trains, and made them wear buskins. Instead of a cart, he erected a stage of a moderate elevation, and entirely changed their style, which, from being merely burlesque, became majestic and serious. But the most important and essential addition of *Æschylus* consisted in the vivacity and spirit of the action, sustained by the dialogue of the persons of the drama, introduced by him—in the artful working up the stronger passions, especially of terror and pity, which by alternately afflicting and agitating the soul with mournful and terrible objects, produces a grateful pleasure and delight from that very trouble and emotion; and lastly, in the choice of his subjects, which were always great, noble, interesting, and contained within due bounds by the unity of time, place, and action. Of the ninety tragedies composed by *Æschylus*, only seven are now extant.

Æschylus was in the sole possession of the glory of the stage, when a young rival made his appearance in the person of *Sophocles*, to dispute with him the palm. Twenty times he obtained the prize of poetry over his competitors. Of one hundred and twenty tragedies which he composed, only seven are now extant, but these prove him to have carried the drama almost to perfection.

Euripides was the cotemporary and the great rival of Sophocles Of his seventy-five tragedies, nineteen only remain.

ROME.

SECTION I.

From the Foundation of the City to the Expulsion of Tarquin, the last King of Rome.

1. The early history of this celebrated empire, like that of the other nations of antiquity, is greatly involved in obscurity. According to the account of poets, *Æneas*, a Trojan prince, having escaped from the destruction of his native city, after a variety of

How did he carry his actors? What improvement did Æschylus make? Of his tragedies, how many remain? Who disputed the palm with him? What is said of Euripides?

1. What is said of the early history? What account do the poets give of Æneas?

adventures, landed on the shores of Italy, where he was kindly received by *Latinus*, king of the Latins, who gave him his daughter Lavinia in marriage, and made him heir to his throne. The succession continued in the family of *Æneas* for about four hundred years, until the reign of *Numitor*, who was the fifteenth king in a direct line from the Trojan hero.

2. Rhea Sylvia, the daughter of *Numitor*, was the mother of twin brothers, named *Romulus* and *Remus*. The mother, who had been a vestal virgin, was condemned to be buried alive, the usual punishment for vestals who had suffered a violation of their chastity; and the twins were ordered to be thrown into the Tiber. But as the water into which they were cast was too shallow to drown them, they were discovered and rescued from their perilous situation by Faustulus, the king's herdsman, who brought them up as his own children. After a variety of adventures, Romulus and Remus, we are told, were instrumental in restoring Numitor, their grandfather, to his throne, from which he had been expelled by the usurpation of his brother Aumulius.

3. Subsequent to this event, the two brothers resolved to build a city on the hills where they had passed their youth, and formerly tended their flocks; but a contest arose between them relative to the sovereignty, which proved fatal to *Remus:* it is related that he was killed by his brother, who struck him dead upon the spot, for contemptuously leaping over the city wall.

Romulus being thus left the sole commander, persevered in the building of the city, which, from his own name, he called Rome, and having been elected the first king, he made it the asylum for fugitives, and by this means the number of inhabitants rapidly increased.

4. The newly elected monarch is said to have divided the people into three tribes, each consisting of ten curiæ; and also into two orders of patricians and plebeians. The senate consisted of one hundred of the principal citizens; it was afterwards increased to two hundred members. Besides a guard of three hundred men to attend his person, the king was always preceded by twelve lictors, armed with axes bound up in a bundle of rods; the duty of the lictors was to execute the laws. These wise regulations contributed daily to increase the strength of the new city; multitudes flocked to it from the adjacent towns, and women only were wanted to confirm its growing prosperity. Romulus, in order to supply this deficiency, invited the *Sabines*, a neighboring nation, to a festival in honor of Neptune; and while the strangers were intent upon the spectacle, a number of the Roman youth rushed in among them, and seized the youngest and most beautiful of the women, and carried them off by violence.

5. A sanguinary war ensued, which had brought the city almost to the brink of ruin, when an accommodation was happily

2. What is said of Rhea Sylvia? To what was the mother condemned? What is said of the twins? By whom were they found? What is related of Romulus and Remus?—3. What did they resolve to do? What arose? What is related? What did Romulus now do?—4. How did he divide the people? Of what did the senate consist? By what was the king attended? To what did these regulations contribute? What were wanted? How was this deficiency supplied?—5. What ensued?

effected, through the interposition of the Sabine women who had been carried off by the Romans. Romulus reigned thirty-seven years, and after his death received divine honours, under the name of Quirinus.

6. On the death of Romulus, Numa Pompilius, a native of Cures, a Sabine city, was elected the second king of Rome. He softened the fierce and warlike disposition of the Romans, by cultivating the arts of peace, and inculcating obedience to the laws and respect for religion. He built the temple of Janus, which was to be open during war and shut in time of peace. He died at the age of eighty, after a reign of forty-three years.

7. *Tullus Hostilius* was the third king of Rome. His reign is memorable for the combat between the *Horatii* and *Curiatii*, which is said to have taken place during a war against the Albans. There were, at the time, in each army, three brothers of one birth; those of the Romans called the Horatii, and those of the Albans, the Curiatii, all six remarkable for their strength, activity, and courage; to these it was resolved to commit the fate of the two parties. Finally, the champions met in combat; the contest was for some time obstinate and doubtful; victory at length declared in favor of Rome; the three Curiatii were slain, and only one of the Horatii survived. By this victory the Romans became masters of Alba. Hostilius died after a reign of thirty-two years.

8. After the death of the late monarch, *Ancus Marcius*, the grandson of *Numa*, was elected the fourth king of Rome. He conquered the Latins, and suppressed the insurrections of the Vientes, Fidinates and Volsci. But his victories over his enemies were far less important than his exertions in fortifying and embellishing the city; he erected a prison for malefactors, and built the port of Ostia, at the mouth of the Tiber. *Ancus* died in the twenty-fourth year of his reign.

9. Tarquinius Priscus, or Tarquin the elder, the son of a merchant of Corinth, next succeeded to the throne. His reign is chiefly distinguished for his triumph over the Sabines and Latins, and by the embellishment of the city with works of utility and magnificence; he built the walls of hewn stone, erected the circus, founded the capitol, and constructed the sewers or aqueducts for the purpose of draining the city of the rubbish and superfluous waters. Tarquin was assassinated in the fifty-sixth year of his age, and in the thirty-eighth of his reign.

10. *Servius Tullius*, who was the son of a female slave, and son-in-law of the late monarch, secured his election to the throne through the intrigues of Tanaquil, his mother-in-law. In order to determine the increase or diminution of his subjects, he instituted the census, by which, at the end of every fifth year, the

How long did Romulus reign?—6. Who succeeded? What did he do? What was his age? How long did he reign?—7. Who was the third king of Rome? For what is his reign memorable? Relate the circumstances of this combat?—8. Who was the fourth king of Rome? Whom did he conquer? What did he erect? When did he die?—9. Who next succeeded to the throne? For what was his reign distinguished? What did he build? How did he die?—10. Who succeeded to the throne? What did he institute?

number of the citizens, their dwellings, and the amount of their property were ascertained. The census was closed by an expiatory sacrifice, called a lustrum; hence the period of five years was usually called a lustrum.

11. Servius, in the early part of his reign, had married his two daughters to the two sons of Tarquin, the late king, whose names were *Tarquin* and *Aruns*. But as their dispositions corresponded with those of his daughters, he took care to give *Tullia*, the younger, who was of a violent disposition, to Aruns, who was mild, and the elder to Tarquin, who was haughty and ambitious, hoping thereby, that they would correct each other's defects. *Tarquin* and *Tullia*, however, murdered their consorts, and were shortly afterwards intermarried; and as one crime is often productive of another, they caused the assassination of *Servius*, after which Tarquin usurped the throne. Tullia, in her eagerness to salute her husband as king, is said to have driven her chariot over the dead body of her father, which lay exposed in the street which led to the senate. Thus died *Servius Tullius*, after a useful and prosperous reign of forty-four years.

12. Tarquin, surnamed the proud, having placed himself upon the throne, as we have seen, soon disgusted the people by his tyranny and cruelty. He refused the late king's body a burial, under the pretence of his having been a usurper, and conscious of being hated by all virtuous persons, he ordered all those whom he suspected to have been attached to *Servius* to be put to death.

To divert the attention of the people from his illegal method of obtaining the crown, he kept them constantly employed either in wars, or in erecting public buildings. While besieging Ardea, a small town not far from Rome, Sextus, his son, left the camp to visit the house of Collatinus, under the mask of friendship. He was kindly received and entertained by the virtuous and noble wife of *Collatinus*, named *Lucretia*, whom he ill-treated and threatened with death.

The noble matron brooded over her misfortune for some days and was inconsolable. At length, she sent to the camp for her husband, *Collatinus*, and *Spurius*, her father, who brought with them *Junius Brutus*, the reputed idiot, whom they accidentally met in the way. They found her overwhelmed with grief, and endeavored in vain to console her. "No, never," she replied, "never shall I find any thing in this world worth living for, after the insults of Sextius;" and drawing a poignard from beneath her robe, she plunged it into her own bosom, and expired without a groan.

11. What is related of his two daughters? How did Servius die? Who succeeded to the throne? What did Tullia do, in her eagerness to salute her husband as king? —12. What did Tarquin refuse? What did he order? What is related of Sextus? —13. At midnight, what did he do? What did he threaten? How did Collatinus and Spurius find Lucretia? What reply did she make to them? How did she die?

14. The body of *Lucretia* was brought out and exposed to view in the public forum, where Brutus, who had hitherto acted as an idiot in order to elude the cruelty of Tarquin, inflamed the ardour of the citizens by displaying the horrid transaction. He obtained a decree of the senate, that Tarquin and his family should be for ever banished from Rome; at the same time making it capital for any one to plead for his return. That monarch was accordingly expelled from his kingdom, in the twenty-fifth year of his reign, and the regal government was abolished, after it had continued two hundred and forty-four years.

SECTION II

From the abolition of the regal power to the first Punic War. A. C. 509 to 449

1. The regal authority having been abolished, a republican form of government was established on its ruins. The supreme power was still reserved to the senate and people, but instead of a king, two magistrates, called consuls, were annually chosen, with all authority, privileges, and ensigns of royalty. Brutus, the deliverer of this country, and *Collatinus*, the husband of *Lucretia*, were chosen the first consuls of Rome.

2. But scarcely had the new republic began to exist, when a conspiracy was formed for its destruction. Some young men of the principal families of the state, who had been educated about the king, and had shared in all the luxuries and pleasures of the court, formed a party in Rome in favor of Tarquin, and undertook to re-establish the monarchy. Their design was fortunately discovered before it could be carried into execution; and, surprising as it may appear, the two sons of *Brutus* were found among the number of the conspirators. Few situations could be more affecting than that of Brutus;—a father and a judge; impelled by justice to condemn; by nature to spare the children he loved.

Being brought to trial before him, they were condemned to be beheaded in his presence, while the father beheld the sad spectacle with unaltered countenance. He ceased to be a father, as it has been beautifully observed, that he might execute the duties of the consul, and chose to live bereft of his children, rather than to neglect the public punishment of crime.

3. The insurrection in the city being thus suppressed, Tarquin now resolved to regain his former throne by foreign assistance, and having prevailed upon the Vientes to aid him, advanced towards Rome at the head of a considerable army; but he was defeated by the Romans, under the command of the two consuls

14. What did Brutus do? What did he obtain? How long had the regal government continued?

1. The regal power being abolished, what was established? What two magistrates were chosen? Who were the two first consuls?—2. What is said of the republic? Who were found among the conspirators? What was their fate? What is said of Brutus?—3. What did Tarquin now resolve? By whom was he defeated?

Brutus and *Valerius*, who had been elected in the place of *Collatinus*. But while the Romans rejoiced in the victory they obtained, they had to lament the death of *Brutus*, who fell in the engagement, and the Roman matrons honored his memory by wearing mourning for a whole year. *Valerius* returned to the city and was the first Roman who enjoyed the honor of a triumph.

4. In the mean time, Tarquin, undaunted by his misfortunes, prevailed upon *Porsenna*, one of the kings of Etruria, to espouse his cause, and in conjunction with him, marched directly to Rome and laid siege to the city. This war is signalized by the daring intrepidity of *Horatius Cocles*, who alone resisted the whole force of the enemy at the head of a bridge which led across the Tiber, and also by that of *Mutius Scævolo*, who entered the enemy's camp with a design to assassinate Porsenna, but mistaking the monarch, killed the secretary, who sat by his side. On Porsenna's demanding who he was, Mutius, without reserve, informed him of his country and his design, and by way of punishment of the hand which had missed its aim, he thrust it into the fire which was burning upon the altar before him. *Porsenna*, admiring this noble intrepidity, offered conditions of his peace to the Romans on honorable terms.

5. Tarquin having induced the Latins to enlist in his cause, for a third time approached the city with his army. But while a public enemy threatened them from without, domestic disorders prevailed within the walls of the city. The plebeians, who were poor and oppressed with debt, refused to aid in repelling the enemy unless their debts were remitted on their return, and as the *Valerian* law gave to any condemned citizen the right of appealing to the people, the consuls found their authority of no avail.

6. In this state of things, an extraordinary measure was necessary. A new magistrate was created, styled dictator, who should continue in office only as long as the danger of the state required, and whose power was absolute, not only over all ranks of the state, but even over the very laws, with which he could dispense in cases of public exigency, without consulting the senate or the people. Titus Largius, one of the consuls, being elevated to the office of dictator, collected an army, and having restored tranquillity to the state, resigned the dictatorship before the expiration of six months, with the reputation of having exercised it with justice and moderation.

Shortly after this event, war again was excited by the Tarquins; in this emergency, *Posthumius* was appointed dictator; the Romans were completely victorious, and the sons of Tarquin were slain.

7. On the return of peace, Rome was again disturbed by domes

What had the Romans to lament?—4. In the mean time what did Tarquin do? By what is this war signalized? What is related of Horatius and Mutius?—5 What is said of Tarquin? What did the plebeians refuse?—6. What new magistrate was created? What was his power? Who was the first dictator? What was the fate of the sons of Tarquin?

tic dissensions; the dispute between the creditors and debtors was again renewed. The plebeians, despairing of being able to effect a redress of their grievances in Rome, resolved to move and form a new establishment without its limits. Accordingly, under the conduct of a plebeian, called *Sicinius Bellutus*, they retired to a mountain called *Mons Sacer*, on the banks of the river Anio, about three miles from Rome.

8. At the news of this defection, the senate grew alarmed, and immediately deputed ten of the most respectable of their body, with authority to grant a redress. *Menenius Agrippa*, one of the ten commissioners, eminent for his virtue and wisdom, is said to have effected a reconciliation by relating the celebrated fable of the disagreement between the stomach and the other members of the human body. The application of the fable was so obvious, that the people unanimously cried out, that Agrippa should lead them back to Rome. Before their departure, however, it was proposed by *Lucius Junius*, that for their future security a new order of magistrates should be created, who should have the power of annulling, by a single vote, any measure which they should deem prejudicial to the interests of the people. Those magistrates, called tribunes, were annually elected; their number, which at first was five, afterwards increased to ten. By this measure the aristocracy was restrained and the fury of the populace checked. At the same time two magistrates, styled ædiles, were appointed, whose duty it was to assist the tribunes and take charge of the public buildings.

9. During the late separation, agriculture having been neglected, a famine was the consequence the following season; but the timely arrival of a large quantity of corn from Sicily prevented the evil consequences that were likely to ensue. At this time the resentment of the people was strongly excited against *Coriolanus*, who insisted that the corn should not be distributed until the grievances of the senate were removed; for which proposition he was summoned by the tribunes to a trial before the people, and was condemned to perpetual banishment. He retired to the *Volsci*, and being appointed to the command of their army, he invaded the Roman territories and carried his devastations to the very walls of the city; but he was at length prevailed upon, by the earnest entreaties of his mother and his wife, to withdraw his army.

10. The proposal of the Agrarian law, which had for its object the division of the land obtained by conquest equally among the people, proved a source of discord between the plebeians and patricians; while the former repeatedly urged the measure, the latter as often strenuously opposed the design; the state was in

7. What dispute was again renewed? What did the plebeians resolve to do?—8. At the news of this defection, what did the senate do? What is related of Agrippa? For their future security what was done? What were these magistrates called? At the same time, what other two magistrates were appointed?—9. During the separation, what was neglected? What followed? Against whom was the resentment of the people excited? To what was he sentenced? Where did he retire? What is related of him?—10 What was a source of discord between the plebeians and patricians?

consequence thrown into violent dissensions. Through the influence of the tribune, *Volero*, a law was passed that the election of the tribunes should be made in the comitia, or public meetings of the people. By this law the supreme authority was taken from the patricians and placed in the hands of the plebeians, and the Roman government became a democracy.

11. During the dissensions which grew out of the proposition for the Agrarian law, *Quinctius Cincinnatus*, a man eminent for his wisdom and virtue, and who had retired from public life, was created dictator; but scarcely had he restored tranquillity to the state and resigned his office, than new dangers obliged him a second time to resume it. The Æqui, having invaded the territory of the Romans, enclosed the army of the consul *Minutius*, who had been sent to oppose them, in a defile between two mountains, from which there was no egress. *Cincinnatus*, having raised another army, placed himself at its head, and having defeated the Æqui, and having rescued the army of the consul from their perilous situation, returned in triumph to the city, and after holding the high office of dictator only for the space of fourteen days, he resigned its honors and again retired to labor on his farm.

12. Previous to this period the Romans had not possessed any written body of laws. Under the regal government, the monarch administered justice, and the consuls who succeeded them exercised the same authority. But their arbitrary decisions were frequently the subject of complaint, and all ranks of the citizens became desirous of having a fixed code of laws for the security of their rights. Three commissioners were accordingly sent to collect from the most civilized states of Greece and Italy, such laws as were deemed useful in forming a suitable code.

13. On the return of the commissioners, ten of the principal senators, called decemvirs, were appointed to digest a body of laws, and were invested with absolute power for one year. This gave rise to those celebrated statutes, distinguished by the name of the *Laws of the Twelve Tables*, which formed the basis of the Roman jurisprudence, and continued to be held in the greatest esteem, during the most flourishing period of the republic. Those laws manifest the stern spirit of the people, and were marked by their severity. Nine crimes were punishable with death, one of which was parricide, but to the honor of the Romans, it might be observed, that this crime was unknown among them for more than five hundred years after the foundation of the city.

14. The decemvirs, during the first year of their power, governed with equity and moderation; each in his turn presided for a day, and exercised the sovereign authority. At the expiration of the term for which they were appointed, under a pretence that some laws were yet wanting to complete the code, they entreated

What law was passed? What was the nature of this law?—11. Who at this time was created dictator? What is said of Cincinnatus?—12 What had the Romans never possessed? For what were the commissioners sent to Greece?—13. On their return, what was done? To what did this give rise? What do these laws manifest? How many crimes were punishable with death?—14. What is said of the decemvirs? What did they entreat?

the senate to allow them further time, but having experienced the charms of power, they were unwilling to retire: they soon threw off the mask of moderation, and regardless of the approbation, either of the senate or the people, resolved to continue in decemvirate. A conduct so notorious produced universal discontent, and their flagrant abuse of power brought a speedy termination of their office.

15. While the army was encamped about ten miles from Rome, during a war with the *Sabines* and *Volsci*, *Appius*, one of the leading members of the decemvirate, who remained in the city, appointed *Sicinius Dentatus*, a tribune, who, on account of his extraordinary valor and exploits, was called the *Roman Achilles*, legate, and put him at the head of the supplies which were sent to reinforce the army in the field. On his arrival in the camp, he was appointed at the head of a hundred men, to discover a more commodious place for encampment, as he had very candidly assured the commanders that their present situation was badly chosen. The soldiers, however, who composed his escort, were assassins, and had engaged to murder him. With this view, they led him into the hollow of a mountain, where they intended to put into execution their design. *Dentatus* now perceived, when too late, the treachery of the decemvirs, but resolving to sell his life as dearly as possible, he put his back against a rock, and defended himself with so much bravery, that he killed no less than fifteen, and wounded thirty of his assailants before they were able to accomplish their design.

16. Another transaction, equally atrocious, inspired the citizens with a resolution to break all measures of obedience. While *Appius*, who remained in the city, was seated on his tribunal to dispense justice, he saw a young female, named *Virginia*, passing to one of the public schools, attended by a matron, her nurse. The Decemvir bribed one of his dependents to claim her on the pretense that she was the daughter of one of his slaves; and as the case necessarily came before the tribunal of the Decemvirs, *Appius* iniquitously decided in favor of his friend and placed the maiden in his power.

17. In the mean time, *Virginius*, her father, did all that a parent could, to save his daughter, but finding that all was over, asked permission to take his last farewell of one whom he had so long considered as his child. With this Appius complied, on condition that they should meet in his presence. Virginius, with the most poignant anguish, took his almost expiring daughter in his arms, for a while supported her head upon his breast, and

What did they throw off?—15. What is related of Appius? What were the soldiers who formed the escort of Dentatus? How many did he kill and wound?—16. What other transaction is related of Appius while seated on his tribunal? What sentence did he pronounce?—17. In the mean time, what did Virginius do? What did he ask?

wiped away her tears, then seizing a knife that lay on one of the shambles in the forum, he addressed his daughter, saying, "My dearest child—this, this only can preserve your freedom and your honour." Thus saying, he buried the weapon in her breast, then holding it up, reeking from her wound, he exclaimed, "By this blood, Appius, I devote thy head to the infernal gods." He then ran through the city wildly calling on the people to strike for their freedom, and thence to the camp to spread the flame of liberty throughout the army. *Appius* and *Oppius* died by their own hands in prison; their colleagues were driven into exile, and the decemvirate was abolished, after it had continued for three years, and the consuls were again restored.

18. Unfortunately for Rome, there always appeared some cause left for internal dissensions. By an early law of the state, plebeians were prohibited to intermarry with the patricians, and the office of consul was limited to the latter. After a long contest, the law prohibiting intermarriage was repealed. This concession, it was hoped, would satisfy the people, but it only stimulated them to urge their claim to be admitted to have a share in the consulship; and on the occurrence of war, refused to enlist their names unless their demand was granted. At length it was agreed on both sides, that instead of the consuls, six military tribunes should be chosen, three from the patricians, and three from the plebeians. This institution was soon discontinued, and the consuls were again restored.

19. The consuls being thus restored, in order to lighten the weight of their duties, two new magistrates were created, styled censors, to be chosen every fifth year. Their duty was to estimate the number and the estates of the people, to distribute them into their proper classes, to inspect the morals and manners of their fellow-citizens. The office was one of great dignity and importance, and was exercised for nearly one hundred years by the patricians, afterwards by men of consular dignity, and finally by the emperors.

20. The senate, in order to avoid the evils which frequently arose from the people's refusing to enlist in the army, adopted the wise expedient of giving a regular pay to the troops. From this period, the Roman system of war assumed a new aspect. The senate had the army under its immediate control; the enterprises of the republic were more extensive, and its success more signal and important. As the art of war now became a profession, instead of an occasional employment, it was in consequence greatly improved, and from this period the Roman territory began rapidly to extend.

21. The inhabitants of the city of *Veii* had repeatedly committed depredations on the Roman territories: it was at length decreed by the Roman senate, that Veii should be destroyed,

How did he address his daughter? What did he then do? What was the fate of Appius and Oppius?—18. By a law of the state, what were the plebeians prohibited? What was done after a long contest? At length, what was agreed on both sides.—19. What two new magistrates were now created? What was their duty?—20. What wise expedient did the senate adopt? What were the consequences of this measure?—21. What was at length decreed?

whatever it might cost. Accordingly, a siege was commenced, which continued with various success for ten years. At length, in order to give greater vigour to the operations, *Camillus* was created dictator, and to him was intrusted the sole management of the long protracted war. He caused a passage to be opened under ground, which led into the very citadel, and giving his men directions how to enter the breach, the city was taken and destroyed. *Camillus* was honored with a splendid triumph, in which his chariot was drawn by four white horses; but being afterwards accused of having appropriated a part of the plunder of Veii to his own use, indignant at the ingratitude of his countrymen, he went into voluntary banishment.

22. It was not long before the Romans had cause to repent of their injustice towards the only man who was able to save their country from ruin.

The Gauls, a barbarous and warlike people, having crossed the Alps into the northern part of Italy, under *Brennus*, their king, laid siege to Clusium, a city of Etruria. The inhabitants of Clusium having applied for assistance to the Romans, the senate sent three patricians of the Fabian family on an embassy to *Brennus*, to inquire into the cause of offence given by the citizens of Clusium. To this he sternly replied, that "the right of valiant men lay in their swords: that the Romans themselves had no other right to the cities they had conquered." The ambassadors, on entering the city, assisted the inhabitants against the assailants. This conduct so incensed *Brennus*, that he immediately raised the siege of Clusium, and marched directly for Rome, and in a great battle on the banks of the Allia, he defeated the Roman army with great slaughter.

23. After this victory, the Gauls entered Rome, put to the sword all the inhabitants that fell in their way, pillaged the city, and then burnt it to ashes. They next laid siege to the capitol, which the Romans defended with the utmost bravery. At length having discovered a way which led to the top of the Tarpeian rock, a body of Gauls undertook the difficult task of gaining the summit under the cover of the night, and even succeeded in accomplishing their design, while the Roman sentinel was asleep. At this moment, the gabbling of some sacred geese in the temple of Juno roused the garrison, and through the exertions of *Marius Manlius*, the Gauls were instantly thrown headlong down the precipice.

24. As the Gauls now gave up all hope of being able to reduce the capitol, they agreed to quit the city, on condition that the Romans would pay them one thousand pounds' weight of gold; but after the gold was brought forth, the Gauls endeavored, by fraudulent weights, to impose upon the Romans; and when the latter offered to complain, Brennus, casting his sword and belt

Who was created dictator? What did he cause? How was he honoured? Of what was he accused?—22. What is said of the Gauls? What reply did Brennus make to the embassy sent by the Romans? What did one of the ambassadors do? How did Brennus resent this conduct?—23. On entering Rome, what did the Gauls do? Having discovered a way to the Tarpeian rock, what did the Gauls do? How was the garrison roused?—24. To what did the Gauls agree?

into the scale, replied, that it was the only portion of the vanquished to suffer. At this moment, *Camillus*, who in the mean time had been restored to favor and again appointed dictator, entered the gates of the city at the head of a large army. Having been informed of the insolence of the enemy, he ordered the gold to be carried back to the capitol, saying, that it had been the manner of the Romans to ransom their country by steel, and not by gold. Upon this a battle ensued, in which the Gauls were entirely routed, and the Roman territories delivered from those formidable invaders.

25. After the defeat of the Gauls, through the exertions of *Camillus*, who was honored as the father of his country and the second founder of Rome, the city soon began again to rise from its ashes. Shortly after this, *Manlius*, whose patriotism and valor had shone so conspicuous in defending the capitol and saving the last remains of Rome, abandoned himself to ambitious views; and being accused of aspiring to the sovereign power, he was sentenced to be thrown headlong from the Tarpeian rock. Thus the place which had been the theatre of his glory, became that of his punishment and infamy.

26. The Romans next turned their arms against the Samnites, who inhabited an extensive tract of country in the south of Italy. During this contest, which lasted for about fifty years, the Romans were generally successful, with the exception of a defeat sustained near *Caudium*, when their whole army was compelled to pass under the yoke, formed by two spears placed upright and a third placed across them. But roused by this defeat rather than discouraged, the Romans, the following year, having created *Papirius Cursor*, dictator, gained a signal victory over the Samnites, and compelled them in turn to undergo the same disgrace: and pursuing their good fortune under *Fabius Maximus* and *Decius*, they finally brought them under subjection.

27. A war shortly afterwards followed between the Romans and Latins; but as their clothing, arms and language were similar, the most exact discipline was necessary in order to prevent confusion in the engagement. Orders were therefore issued by *Manlius*, the consul, that no soldier should leave his ranks under the penalty of death. When the armies were drawn out in order of battle, *Metius*, a Latin, challenged to single combat any one of the Roman knights. Upon this, *Titus Manlius*, the son of the consul, forgetful of the orders of his father, accepted the challenge, and slew his adversary. Then taking the spoils of the enemy, he hastened to lay them at the feet of the consul, who, with tears in his eyes, told him that as he had violated military discipline, he had reduced him to the deplorable extremity of sacrificing his son or his country, but added, that a thousand lives would be well lost in such a cause; and accordingly ordered him

At this moment who appeared at the gates of the city? What did he order? What ensued?—25. After the defeat of the Gauls, what took place? What is related of Manlius?—26. Against whom did the Romans next turn their arms? Where did they suffer a defeat? Who was created dictator?—27. What war next followed? What orders were issued by Manlius? What is related of Titus, his son?

to be beheaded. In the mean time the battle ensued, in which the Latins were vanquished, and submitted to the Romans.

28. The Tarentines, who were the allies of the Samnites, being unable to defend themselves, applied for aid to *Pyrrhus*, king of Epirus, the most celebrated general of his age.

Having accepted the invitation, *Pyrrhus* immediately sailed for Tarentum, with an army of thirty thousand men and twenty elephants? The consul, *Lavinus*, hastened to oppose him; but the Romans, unaccustomed to the mode of fighting with elephants, were defeated with the loss of fifteen thousand men; but the loss on the side of the Grecian monarch was nearly the same, and he was heard to say, that another such victory would compel him to abandon his enterprise. Struck with admiration at the heroism of the enemy, he exclaimed, "O with what ease could I conquer the world, had I the Romans for soldiers, or had they me for their king."

29. The conduct of *Fabricius*, the Roman general, during this war, claims universal admiration. On one occasion, having received a letter from the physician of *Pyrrhus*, importing that for a proper reward he would poison the king, the noble Roman, indignant at so base a proposal, gave immediate information of it to *Pyrrhus*, who, admiring the generosity of his enemy, exclaimed, "It is easier to turn the sun from its course, than Fabricius from the path of honor." *Pyrrhus*, after suffering a total defeat near Beneventum, withdrew to his own dominions, and the Romans, shortly after his departure, became masters of all the southern part of Italy.

SECTION III.

From the first Punic War to the conquest of Greece, A. C. 264 to 133.

1. As the history of Rome now becomes connected with that of *Carthage* and *Sicily*, it may not be improper to introduce here a short account of those states. Carthage is said to have been founded by *Dido*, with a colony of Tyrians, about nine hundred years before the Christian era. The government was at first monarchical, but afterwards became republican; it is highly commended by Aristotle as one of the most perfect of antiquity, but according to the same author, it had two great defects; the first was the investing the same person with different public employments; and the second, was that a certain income was required before a man could attain to any important office, by which means poverty might exclude a person of the most exalted merit from holding a civil employment.

28. To whom did the Tarentines apply for aid? Who was sent to [illegible]a? What was the issue of the battle? What did Pyrrhus exclaim?—29. What is related of Fabricius? What did Pyrrhus say of him?

1 What is said of Carthage? Of the government? What were its defects?

2. The supreme power was placed in the senate; there were two magistrates annually elected, called Seffetes, whose authority in *Carthage* answered to that of the consuls at Rome. Commerce was the chief occupation of the Carthaginians, to which they were indebted for their wealth and power. Their religion was a degrading superstition; the cruel practice of offering human victims was exercised among them. At the time of the *Punic wars*, the city of Carthage had risen in wealth and commercial importance surpassing any other city in the world. It had under its dominion a number of towns in Africa, bordering on the Mediterranean, besides a great part of Spain, Sicily, and other islands.

3. From Egypt, the Carthaginians brought flax, paper, corn, &c.; from the coast of the Red Sea, spices, perfumes, gold, pearls and precious stones; from Tyre and Phœnicia, purple, scarlet and the like: in a word, they brought from various countries all things that contribute not only to the convenience, but even to the luxury and pleasures of life. They are represented as being greatly wanting in honor and integrity; cunning, duplicity and breach of faith seems to have been a distinguishing feature in their character; hence the phrase—*Punica Fides—Punic Faith*, was used to denote treachery.

4. The Carthaginians seem never to have excelled as a literary people; there were, however, among them several distinguished scholars. The great *Hannibal*, who in all respects was the ornament of the city, was not unacquainted with polite literature. *Mago*, another celebrated general, wrote twenty-eight volumes upon husbandry, which were afterwards much esteemed by the Romans. There is still extant a Greek version of an account written by *Hanno*, relating to a voyage made by him with a considerable fleet round Africa, for the settling of different colonies. *Clitomachus*, called in the Punic tongue *Asdrubal*, was a great philosopher. Carthage produced several eminent generals, among whom *Hamilcar*, *Asdrubal*, and *Hannibal* were the most distinguished.

5. Sicily is said to have been settled by a colony of *Phœnicians* previous to the Trojan war; but the Greeks at a later period made settlements on the island. It contained many large and populous cities; of these *Syracuse* was the most populous and commercial This city, at an early period, was under a democratical form of government, which in the course of time was overthrown, and a monarchy established in its stead. *Gelon*, one of its sovereigns, is represented as possessed of every virtue; but the tyranny and cruelty of his successors caused a revolution in the state, and the regal government was abolished. After a period of sixty years, it was again restored by *Dionysius*, a man of great abilities; but his son *Dionysius*, the younger, a weak and capricious tyrant,

2. In what was the power placed? What were the magistrates called? What is said of religion? Of Carthage, at the time of the Punic wars?—3. What did the Carthaginians bring from Egypt? From Tyre? How are they represented?—3. Did they ever excel as a literary people? What is said of Hannibal? Of Mago? What is still extant? What did Carthage produce?—5 What is said of Sicily? What did it contain? What is said of Gelon? What was the fate of Dionysius the younger?

was dethroned by the aid of *Timoleon*, an illustrious Corinthian, and banished to Corinth, where he ended his life in poverty.

6. The Romans, being anxious to extend their conquests, soon found an opportunity of indulging in their design. The Mamertines, a people of Campania, obtained assistance of the Romans in a war with *Hiero*, king of Syracuse; the Syracusans, in their turn, assisted the Carthaginians; a war was thus brought on between the latter and the Romans, called the first *Punic War*. The first object of both powers was to obtain possession of Messina, a city which commanded the passage of the straits, but it finally became a contest for the dominion of the whole island.

7. But there seemed an insurmountable obstacle to the ambition of Rome; she had no fleet; while Carthage was sovereign of the sea. The Romans, however, resolved to overcome every obstacle that lay in their way to conquest. A Carthaginian vessel which happened in a storm to be driven on the coast, served as a model; and in the short space of two months, a fleet consisting of one hundred vessels was constructed and ready for sea. The consul *Duilius*, was appointed to the command of the armament, and though much inferior to the enemy in the management of his fleet, yet he gained the first naval victory, defeated the Carthaginians, and took fifty of their vessels.

8. At the commencement of the war, the Syracusans, who had confederated with the Carthaginians, changed their course and joined the Romans. The Carthaginians, however, after a long siege, took the city of Agrigentum. A second naval engagement soon afterwards took place, in which the Romans were again victorious; the Carthaginians, under *Hanno* and *Hamilcar*, lost sixty of their vessels. The consul, *Regulus*, in the mean time, was sent by the senate to carry the war into Africa; and having landed on the coast, defeated the Carthaginians, and carried his victorious arms to the very walls of their capital. But here his good fortune seemed to forsake him; he was signally defeated by the Carthaginians under the command of *Xanthippus*, a Spartan general, and fell into the hands of the enemy.

9. The Carthaginians, weary of continuing the war, became desirous of treating for peace, and with this view, they sent ambassadors to Rome, and among their number was Regulus, who had now been detained four years a prisoner, having previously exacted a promise on oath, that he would return to Carthage if the negotiation should fail. But *Regulus*, not deeming the terms of peace sufficiently advantageous to his country, strenuously opposed their being accepted, and returned to Carthage, where, after the most cruel tortures, he was finally put to death, by being placed in a barrel driven full of nails, pointing inwards, and in this sinful situation he continued until he died.

6. What occasioned the first Punic War? What was the object of both powers?—7. What was an obstacle to the ambition of Rome? How did the Romans surmount the difficulty? Who was appointed to command the fleet? What was the issue of the engagement?—8. What is said of the Syracusans? What was the result of the second naval engagement? What is related of Regulus?—9. Whom did the Carthaginians send to Rome to negotiate a peace? What did Regulus do? How was he put to death?

10. The war was now renewed on both sides with more than former animosity; at length the perseverance of the Romans was crowned with success. Peace was granted to the Carthaginians on the most humiliating conditions; it was agreed that they should abandon Sicily, pay the Romans three thousand two hundred talents, and release their captives. Thus terminated the first *Punic War*, after it had continued twenty-four years. Sicily was now declared a Roman province, but Syracuse still maintained its independent government. After this war, the Romans completed the conquest of Cisalpine Gaul; and now being at peace with all mankind, they closed the temple of *Janus* for the first time since the reign of Numa.

11. The Carthaginians had made peace only, because they were no longer able to continue the war; they therefore took the earliest opportunity of breaking the treaty. They besieged Saguntum, a city in Spain, then in alliance with Rome; and although requested to desist, they refused to comply: this refusal led to a second *Punic War*. To *Hannibal*, the son of Hamilcar, the Carthaginians intrusted the command of their army. This extraordinary man, whilst very young, was brought before the altar and made to take an oath that he never would be in friendship with the Romans, nor desist from opposing their power until he or they should be no more. Being now raised to the chief command of the forces of his country, though only in the twenty-sixth year of his age, he formed the bold design of carrying the war into Italy, as the Romans had before carried it into the dominions of Carthage.

12. For this purpose, leaving *Hanno* to guard his conquest in Spain, he crossed the Pyrenean mountain, entered Gaul, and with an army of fifty thousand foot and nine thousand horse, in a short time appeared at the foot of the *Alps*. It was now in the midst of winter; the prodigious height of the mountains, their steepness, and summits covered with snow, presented a picture that might have discouraged an ordinary individual. But nothing could subdue the resolution of the Carthaginian general: at the end of fifteen days, he effected the passage of the Alps and found himself on the plains of Italy; but with only a half of his numerous army.

13. Scarcely had he arrived in Italy, when the Romans hastened to oppose his progress, over whom he gained four memorable victories,—the first, over *Scipio* near Ticinus; the second, over *Sempronius*, the consul, in which twenty-six thousand Romans were destroyed; the third, near lake Thrasimenus over *Flaminius*; and the fourth at Cannæ, over *Æmilius* and *Varro*. The last was the most memorable defeat the Romans ever sustained. More than forty thousand of their troops were left dead upon the field, together with the consul *Æmilius*. Among the slain were so many Roman knights, that Hannibal is said to have sent to

10. On what conditions was peace granted to the Carthaginians? After the conquest of Cisalpine Gaul, what did the Romans do?—11. What led to the second Punic War? To whom did the Carthaginians intrust the command of their army? What is said of him whilst young? What bold design did he form?—12. Leaving Hanno in Spain, what did Hannibal do? How many days did he occupy in crossing the Alps? 13. What four memorable victories did he now gain?

Carthage three bushels of gold rings, which they wore on their fingers. In the mean time, *Hannibal*, either finding it impracticable to march directly to Rome, or wishing to give his forces rest after so signal a victory, led them to Capua, where he resolved to spend the winter.

14. The chief command of the Roman forces was now given to *Fabius Maximus*, styled the *Shield*, and to Marcellus, the *Sword*, of Rome. After the battle of Cannæ, the good fortune of the Carthaginian general seemed to forsake him. At the siege of Nola he was repulsed with considerable loss, by *Marcellus*, and his army was harassed and weakened by *Fabius*. Marcellus took the city of Syracuse after a siege of three years, during which time it was chiefly defended by the genius of the celebrated *Archimedes*. The inhabitants were put to the sword, and among them Archimedes himself, who was found by a Roman soldier engaged in his study.

15. A large army of Carthaginians, sent from Spain into Italy, under the command of *Asdrubal*, the brother of Hannibal, was defeated, and their general slain by the Romans, under the command of the consuls, *Livy* and *Nero*. The very night on which Hannibal was assured of the arrival of his brother, Asdrubal's head was cut off and thrown into his camp. Scipio, the younger, surnamed Africanus, after his return from the conquest of Spain, was made consul at the early age of twenty-nine; but instead of opposing Hannibal in Italy, formed a wiser plan which was to carry the war into Africa. On his arrival at the very walls of their capital, the Carthaginians, alarmed for the fate of their empire, immediately recalled Hannibal from Italy. On receiving this order, he hastened to return to his native country, after having kept possession of the most beautiful parts of Italy for about fifteen years.

16. Having arrived in Africa, he marched to Adrumetum, and finally upon the plains of Zama he was met by *Scipio* at the head of the Roman army, and after a fruitless attempt to negotiate a peace, a tremendous battle ensued, in which the Carthaginians were totally defeated, with the loss of twenty thousand of their troops, which were left dead upon the plain, and as many more taken prisoners. This victory was followed by a peace, on conditions that Carthage should abandon Spain, Sicily, and all the islands in the Mediterranean, surrender all their prisoners, give up their whole fleet, except ten galleys, and in future undertake no war without the consent of the Romans. To these hard conditions, the Carthaginians were compelled to subscribe. Thus terminated the *Second Punic War*, after having continued for seventeen years.

17. Hannibal, after this event, passed the last thirteen years of his life in exile from his native country, and finally took refuge

What is said of the last? How many rings did he send to Carthage?—14. To whom was the command of the Roman forces now given? What were they styled? By whom was the city of Syracuse defended? What was his fate?—15. What is said of the Carthaginian army? What plan did Scipio, the younger, form? On his arrival what did the Carthaginians do? How long had he remained in Italy?—16. Where was he met by Scipio? What was the issue of the battle? What were the conditions of the peace?—17. Where did Hannibal finally take refuge? How did he die?

in the court of *Prusias*, king of Bithynia. The Romans, who were bent on his destruction, sent Æmilius, one of their most celebrated generals, to demand him from this king, who, fearing the resentment of Rome, determined to deliver up his guest. The unfortunate general, in order to avoid falling into the hands of his enemies, destroyed himself by poison.

18. While the Romans were engaged in hostilities with the Carthaginians, they also carried on a vigorous war against *Philip*, king of Macedonia, which finally terminated in favour of Rome. After this, the Romans turned their arms against Antiochus the Great, king of Syria, who was defeated by Scipio, surnamed Asiaticus, in the great battle of *Magnesia*. A second war followed with Macedonia, which terminated in the defeat of *Perseus*, the last king of that country, at the battle of Pydna; after which Macedonia was reduced to a Roman province.

19. About this time, *Massinissa*, the Numidian, made incursions into a territory claimed by the Carthaginians, who attempted to repel the invasion. The Romans pretending this as a violation of their treaty, laid hold of it as a pretext for commencing the third *Punic War*, with a determination not to desist until the city of Carthage should be destroyed. *Porcius Cato*, one of the most prominent members of the senate, strongly insisted on this measure, and usually concluded his speeches in these words: *Delenda est Carthago*, Carthage must be destroyed.

The Carthaginians, conscious of the superiority of the Romans, endeavored by every species of submission to avert the impending ruin of their country. They yielded to the Romans their ships, their arms, and munitions of war; but they were still required to abandon their capital, that it might be levelled to the ground.

20. This demand was received with mingled feelings of sorrow and despair; but finding no alternative, the wretched Carthaginians began to prepare to suffer the utmost extremities, in order to save the seat of their empire. The vessels of gold and silver which adorned their luxurious banquets, were now converted into arms; even the women parted with their ornaments, and cut off their hair, to be made into bow-strings. After a desperate resistance for three years, the city was taken by *Scipio*, also called Africanus, and destroyed. Thus was Carthage, one of the most renowned cities of antiquity, with its walls and temples razed to its foundation. Such of the inhabitants as refused to surrender themselves prisoners of war, either fell by the sword, or perished in the ruins of their city. The scenes of horror presented on the occasion, it is said, even forced tears from the eyes of the Roman general.

21. The destruction of Carthage was succeeded by the conquests of several other states. Corinth was taken and destroyed

18. What other war did the Romans carry on at this time? Against whom did they next turn their arms? What happened after the battle of Pydna?—19. What led to the third Punic war? How did Cato usually conclude his speeches? What did the Carthaginians do?—20. How was this demand received? What did he make of their vessels of gold and silver? How long did the siege last? What is said of the scene?—21. What was the reduction of Carthage succeeded by? What is related of the inhabitants of Numantia?

by the consul Mummius, and Greece reduced to a Roman province. *Scipio* having laid siege to Numantia, a city in Spain, the inhabitants, to avoid falling into the hands of the enemy, set fire to the town, and perished in the flames. After this event, Spain fell under the dominion of Rome.

SECTION IV.

The Sedition of the Gracchii; Civil Wars; Conspiracy of Catiline. A. C. 133 *to* 63.

1. The Romans, who had been long distinguished for temperance and military enterprise, were not as yet a literary people; the arts and sciences had been but little cultivated among them After the conquest of Greece, a favorable change took place; with the luxury of that nation was introduced at Rome a taste for literature. But as they grew in power, luxury and a corruption of manners began to prevail. By the destruction of Carthage, Rome was left without a rival; her arms were everywhere successful.

2. But when she had triumphed over all her enemies abroad, domestic dissensions began to prevail at home. *Tiberius* and *Caius Gracchus*, men of eloquence and influence, distinguished themselves by declaiming against the corruptions which began to prevail among the great, and by asserting the claims of the people. *Tiberius*, the elder of the two brothers, while tribune, with a view of checking the power of the patricians, and abridging their immense estates, endeavored to revive the Licinian law, which ordained that no citizen should possess more than five hundred acres of public land. In consequence of this proposal a tumult followed, in which *Tiberius*, together with three hundred of his friends, was slain in the streets of Rome by the partisans of the senate.

3. When this tragical event took place, *Caius Gracchus*, in the twenty-first year of his age, was yet in retirement, engaged in the quiet pursuit of study. The fatal example of his brother did not deter him from following a similar career. Having been elected to the tribuneship, he procured an edict granting the freedom of the city to the inhabitants of Latium, and afterwards to all the people on that side of the Alps; he also procured that the price of corn should be fixed at a moderate rate, and a monthly distribution of it among the people. He then proceeded to an inspection into the late corruptions of the senate, the whole body of which being convicted of bribery, extortion, and sale of offices These measures did not fail to enkindle the resentment of that body; *Gracchus* was marked out for destruction, and he finally

1 What is said of the Romans? After the conquest of Greece what took place? 2. What now began to prevail? What did Tiberius Gracchus endeavour to revive? In consequence of this, what followed?—3. Having been elected to the tribuneship, what did Caius Gracchus procure? What did he then proceed to do? What was the consequence of these measures?

fell a victim to their vengeance, with three thousand of his partisans, who were slaughtered in the streets of Rome by the consul *Opimius*.

4. Jugurtha, the grandson of the famous *Masinissa*, attempted to usurp the throne of Numidia, by destroying his cousins Hiempsal and Adherbal, the sons of the late king *Micipsa*. The elder fell a victim to his treachery, but Adherbal, the younger, having escaped, applied for assistance to the senate of Rome, but that body being bribed by Jugurtha, divided the kingdom between the two. *Jugurtha* having invaded the territories of Adherbal, defeated and slew him in battle, then seized upon his whole kingdom; but by this act he drew upon himself the resentment of Rome. War having been declared against him, the command of the army was at first confided to *Metellus*, but when on the point of gaining a complete triumph over the king of Numidia, he was supplanted in the command by the intrigues of *Caius Marius*, who had the honor of terminating the war. *Jugurtha* was defeated and taken prisoner, and led to Rome in chains, and having adorned the triumph of the conqueror, was cast into prison and starved to death.

5. About this period the Roman republic was again convulsed by domestic dissensions. The Italian states being frustrated in their aims of gaining the freedom of Rome, by the intrigues of the senate, resolved to gain by force what they could not obtain as a favour. This gave rise to the *Social War*, which continued to rage for several years, and is said to have involved the destruction of three hundred thousand men. It was finally terminated by granting the rights of citizenship to all who should lay down their arms and return to their allegiance.

6. This destructive war being concluded, the Romans next turned their arms against *Mithridates*, king of Pontus, the most powerful monarch of the East, who caused eighty thousand Romans, who dwelt in the cities of Asia Minor, to be massacred in one day. In this celebrated contest, styled the Mithridatic war, the Roman generals, *Sylla*, *Lucullus*, and *Pompey*, successively bore a distinguished part. The chief command in the war against *Mithridates* was first given to *Sylla*, a man of great talents and an able general; but *Marius*, who had been distinguished for his warlike genius and exploits for nearly half a century, now in the seventieth year of his age, had the address to get the command of the army transferred from *Sylla* to himself.

7. Sylla, on receiving this intelligence, finding his troops devoted to his interest, marched directly to Rome, which he entered as a place taken by storm, and proceeding to the senate, compelled that body to issue a decree declaring *Marius* to be a public enemy. *Marius*, in the mean time, fled to Africa, and Sylla, after some delay, entered upon the Mithridatic war. *Cinna*, a partisan of Marius, having collected an army in his favor, re-

4. What is said of Jugurtha? Who fel a victim to his treachery? How did he incur the resentment of Rome? What was his fate?—5. What is said of the Italian states? What did this give rise to? How was it terminated?—6. Against whom did the Romans next turn their arms? What generals took part in the Mithridatic war? What is said of Marius?—7. On receiving this intelligence, what did Sylla do?

called the veteran warrior, and they soon presented themselves at the gates of Rome. *Marius* refused to enter the city, alleging that having been banished by a public decree, it was necessary that another should authorize his return. But before the form of annulling the sentence of his banishment was concluded, he entered the city at the head of his guards, and ordered a general massacre of all who had ever been obnoxious to him. Many of those who had never offended him were put to death; and at last, even his own officers could not approach him without terror. He next proceeded to abrogate all laws made by his rival, and associated himself in the consulship with *Cinna*. Thus having gratified his two favourite passions, vengeance and ambition, his bloody career was shortened by death, and shortly afterwards, *Cinna* was cut off by assassination.

8. In the mean time, these accounts were brought to *Sylla*, who was pursuing a victorious campaign against Mithridates; but having concluded a peace with that monarch, he hastened to Rome to take vengeance on his enemies. Having entered the city, he caused a more horrible massacre than that which took place under *Marius*. He ordered eight thousand men, who surrendered themselves to him, to be put to death, while he, without being the least discomposed, harangued the senate. The day following, he proscribed forty senators and sixteen hundred knights; and after a short interval, forty senators more, with a much greater number of the most distinguished citizens of Rome. He then caused himself to be proclaimed perpetual dictator, but after having held it for nearly three years, to the astonishment of all mankind, he resigned the dictatorship, and retired to the country, where he passed the remainder of his days in the society of licentious persons, and the occasional pursuit of literature. After his death, a magnificent monument was erected to him, with the following epitaph written by himself:—"I am *Sylla*, the Fortunate, who, in the course of my life, have surpassed both friends and enemies; the former in the good, and the latter in the evil I have done them." In the civil war between *Marius* and *Sylla*, one hundred and fifty thousand Roman citizens are said to have been sacrificed, including among them more than two hundred senators and persons of distinguished rank.

9. While the commonwealth was yet distracted by the old dissensions, new calamities were added. *Spartacus*, a Thracian, who had been kept at Capua as a gladiator, placing himself at the head of an army of slaves, laid waste the country, but was at length totally defeated by *Crassus*, with the loss of forty thousand men. A few years after this event, a conspiracy, which threatened the destruction of Rome, was headed by *Catiline*, a man of courage and talents, but of ruined fortune, and of the most profligate character. A plan was concerted for a simulta-

What did Cinna do in favour of Marius? What did Marius refuse? Having entered the city, what did he order? What did he next do?—8. What did Sylla do on entering the city? What did he cause to be proclaimed? What was the epitaph written by himself? How many citizens perished in the civil war?—9. What is related of Spartacus? What took place after this event? What plan was formed? By whom was it detected?

neous insurrection throughout Italy; that Rome should be fired in different places at once, and that in the general confusion, *Catiline*, at the head of an army, should enter the city and massacre all the senators. The plot was fortunately detected and suppressed by the vigilance and energy of *Cicero*, the great Roman orator, who was consul at the time. Catiline, at the head of an army of twelve thousand men, was defeated and slain in the battle.

SECTION V.

From the First Triumvirate to the Dissolution of the Commonwealth. A. C. 60 to 31.

1. Pompey, who, on account of his military exploits, was surnamed the Great, having been appointed to conduct the Mithridatic war, brought it to a successful termination. He defeated *Mithridates* and *Tigranes*, king of Armenia, reduced Syria, together with Judea, to a Roman province. On his return to Rome, he was honored with a splendid triumph, which continued three days, during which the citizens gazed with astonishment on the spoils of eastern grandeur which preceded his chariot.

2. Pompey, however, found a great rival in *Crassus*, who was the richest man in Rome, and courted popularity by his extensive patronage and great liberality. As they both aspired to the first place in the republic, a mutual jealousy existed between them. Such was the state of things, when *Julius Cæsar*, a young man, who had already distinguished himself by his military achievements, had the address to affect a reconciliation between them, and to ingratiate himself into the favor of each. They agreed to appropriate to themselves the whole power of the state, and entered into that famous league, styled the *First Triumvirate*.

3. They immediately proceeded to divide the Roman provinces among themselves. *Pompey*, who had remained at Rome, received Spain and Africa; Syria fell to the lot of *Crassus*, and *Cæsar* chose Gaul for his portion, and as soon as time permitted proceeded to take possession of his province. *Crassus*, in a war with the Parthians, was defeated, and slain, leaving the empire to his two colleagues. The brilliant career of victory which attended the arms of *Cæsar*, in Gaul, his high military reputation, and increasing popularity, did not fail to awaken a spirit of jealousy in the breast of Pompey. Cæsar, desirous of trying whether his rival would promote or oppose his pretensions, applied to the senate for a continuation of his authority, which was about to expire. That body, being devoted to the interests of *Pompey*, denied his request and finally ordered him to lay down his government, and disband his forces, within a limited time, under the penalty of being considered an enemy to the commonwealth.

1 What is said of Pompey? How was he honoured on his return to Rome? 2. In whom did Pompey find a rival? Who effected a reconciliation between them. What did they agree to do?—3. Where did Pompey remain? What fell to the lot of Crassus? What did Cæsar choose? What happened to Crassus? What was the effect of Cæsar's career of victory? What is said of Cæsar?

4. This hasty measure determined the course of *Cæsar*. He now resolved to support his claim by force of arms, and finding his troops devoted to his interest, he immediately commenced his march towards Italy. Having crossed the Alps, he halted at Ravenna, and wrote again to the senate, offering to resign all command, if Pompey would follow his example; but that body refused to listen to his demand. Proceeding on his march, he soon arrived on the banks of the *Rubicon*, a small river separating Italy from Cisalpine Gaul, and forming the limits of his command The Romans had always been taught to consider this river as the sacred boundary of their domestic empire; Cæsar, therefore, when arrived on the banks of this famous stream, stopped short, as if impressed with the greatness of his enterprise, and its fearful consequences; he pondered for some time in fixed melancholy, looking upon the river, and then observed to *Pollio*, one of his generals, "If I pass this river, what miseries shall I bring upon my country; and if I now stop short, I am undone." Thus saying, he exclaimed, "The die is cast;" and putting spurs to his horse, he plunged into the stream, followed by his troops.

5. The news of *Cæsar's* movement excited the utmost consternation at Rome. Pompey, who had boasted that he could raise an army by stamping his foot upon the ground, finding himself unable to resist Cæsar in Rome, where he had many partisans, led his forces to Capua, where he had a few legions, thence he proceeded to Brundusium, and finally passed over to Dyrrachium, in Macedonia. In his retreat, he was followed by the consuls and the greater part of the senators; among them was the famous *Cato*, and *Cicero*, the orator.

6. Cæsar, in the mean time, having made himself master of all Italy in the space of sixty days, marched to Rome, entered the city in triumph, amidst the acclamations of the citizens, seized the public treasury, and possessed himself of the supreme authority On every occasion, he manifested the greatest liberality and clemency; he said that he had entered Italy, not to injure, but to restore the liberties of Rome. After a stay of only a few days, he proceeded to Spain, where he defeated *Pompey's* lieutenant, made himself master of the whole country, and again returned victorious to Rome. The citizens received him with fresh demonstrations of joy, and created him consul and dictator, but the latter office he resigned, after he had held it eleven days.

7. While *Cæsar* was thus employed, *Pompey* was equally assiduous in making preparation to oppose him. All the monarchs of the east had declared in his favor, and sent him large supplies; his army was numerous, and his fleet consisted of five hundred vessels. Cæsar, remaining only eleven days in Rome, led his forces in pursuit of *Pompey*. But before coming to any general

4. What did he now resolve? When he arrived on the banks of the Rubicon what is related of Cæsar? What did he say?—5. What is said of Pompey? Where did he proceed? By whom was he followed?—6. In the mean time what did Cæsar do? What did he manifest? Where did he proceed? What was he created?—7 While Cæsar was thus employed, what is said of Pompey? Before coming to any engagement, what did Cæsar do?

engagement, he once more made an effort to bring his rival to an accommodation, offering to refer all to the senate and people of Rome; this overture was rejected, on the ground that the people of Rome were too much in Cæsar's interest.

8. The two armies came in sight of each other near Dyrrachium, where an engagement took place, which terminated in favor of Pompey, who afterwards led his forces to the plains of *Pharsalia*, where he determined to await the arrival of *Cæsar*, and decide the fate of the empire by a single battle. This was what *Cæsar* had long and ardently desired; and now, learning the resolution of Pompey, hastened to meet him. Every thing connected with the contest about to follow was calculated to excite the deepest interest; the armies were composed of the bravest soldiers in the world, commanded by the two greatest generals of the age, and the prize contended for was nothing less than the Roman empire. Pompey's army consisted of upwards of fifty thousand men, while the forces of *Cæsar* were less than half that number, yet under much better discipline.

9. As the armies approached, the two generals went from rank to rank, encouraging their men, animating their hopes, or lessening their apprehensions. *Pompey* urged the justice of his cause, declaring that he was about to engage in the defence of liberty and his country. *Cæsar*, on the other hand, insisted on nothing so strongly to his soldiers as his frequent and unsuccessful endeavors for peace; he spoke of the blood he was about to shed, with the deepest regret, and only pled the necessity which urged him to it. There was only so much space between the two armies as to give room for fighting. The signal for the battle was given; Cæsar's men rushed to the combat with their usual impetuosity; the dreadful conflict had now raged with unabating fury, from early in the morning till noon, when the scales of victory turned in favor of *Cæsar*, whose loss only amounted to two hundred men, while fifteen thousand of *Pompey's* troops were left dead upon the plain, and twenty-four thousand surrendered themselves prisoners of war.

10. *Cæsar*, on this occasion, manifested his usual characteristic disposition of clemency and humanity. He set at liberty the senators and Roman knights, and incorporated with his own army the greater number of the prisoners; and committed to the flames all Pompey's letters without reading them. When viewing the field strewed with his fallen countrymen, he seemed deeply affected at the melancholy spectacle, and was heard to say: "They would have it so."

11. The situation of *Pompey* was deplorable in the extreme For thirty years he had been accustomed to victory, and ruled the councils of the commonwealth; a single day beheld him precipitated from the summit of power, a miserable fugitive. Escaping

8. Where did a slight engagement take place? Where did Pompey lead his forces? What is said of Cæsar? What of the armies?—9. As the armies approached, what was done? What did Pompey urge? On what did Cæsar insist? What was the issue of the battle? What was the number of the slain?—10. What is said of Cæsar on this occasion? On viewing the field what was he heard to say?—11 What was the situation of Pompey?

from the field of battle, and wandering along the beautiful vale of Tempe, he finally found means of sailing to Lesbos, where he met his wife *Cornelia*. Their meeting was deeply affecting; at the news of his reverse of fortune, she fainted; at length recovering, she ran through the city to the sea side. Pompey received her without speaking a word, and for some time supported her in his arms in silent anguish. But time would not permit him long to indulge in grief. Accompanied by *Cornelia*, he sailed for Egypt with a few friends, to seek protection of *Ptolemy*, whose father he had befriended. But as he approached the shore, he was basely murdered while yet within sight of his wife, and his body thrown upon the sand. His freedman burnt the corpse and buried the ashes, over which was placed the following inscription: "He, whose merits deserve a temple, can now scarcely find a tomb."

12. In the meantime, Cæsar lost no time in pursuing his rival to Egypt, but on his arrival there, the first news he received was the account of Pompey's unfortunate end; and shortly afterwards he was presented with the head and ring of the fallen general, but turning his face from the sight, he gave vent to his feelings in a flood of tears; and shortly afterwards ordered a splendid monument to be erected to his memory. The throne of Egypt at this time, was disputed by *Ptolemy* and his sister, the celebrated Cleopatra; but Cæsar, captivated by the charms of the beautiful queen, decided the contest in her favor, and at length reduced Egypt to the dominion of Rome. Cæsar, after this event, abandoned himself to pleasure in the company of Cleopatra, but was soon called to suppress the revolt of *Pharnaces*, the son of *Mithridates*, who had seized upon Colchis and Armenia. *Cæsar* defeated him in a battle at Zela, with so much ease that in writing to the senate at Rome, he expressed the rapidity of his victory and suppression of the revolt in these words: *Veni, vidi, vici: "I came, I saw, I conquered."*

13. Leaving the scene of conquest in the East, Cæsar hastened to Rome, where his presence was much required by reason of the disorders occasioned by the bad administration of Antony, who governed the city during his absence; but tranquillity was soon restored. Cæsar's stay at Rome was short, being called into Africa to oppose an army raised by the partisans of *Pompey*, under the command of *Scipio* and *Cato*, assisted by *Juba*, king of Mauritania; he, however, defeated their united forces in the battle of Thapsus. Upon this *Cato*, who was a rigid Stoic and stern republican, fled to Utica, where he resolved to resist the power of Cæsar, but finding that all was lost, determined not to survive the liberty of his country, and therefore killed himself in despair.

14. At the conclusion of the war in Africa, *Cæsar* returned to Rome, and celebrated a magnificent triumph, which lasted four days; the first was for Gaul, the second for Egypt, the third for

How did he receive his wife? Where did he sail? What was his fate? What inscription was placed on his tomb?—12. In the meantime what did Cæsar do? What is said of the throne of Egypt at this time? Of Cæsar? After the battle of Zela, how did Cæsar express the rapidity of his victory?—13. What was Cæsar's next course? What called him into Africa? What is related of Cato?—14. At the conclusion of the war what did Cæsar do?

his victories in the East, and the fourth for his victory over *Juba*. He distributed liberally rewards to his veteran soldiers and officers; the citizens also shared his bounty; after distributing a certain quantity of corn, oil and money, among them, he entertained them at a public feast, at which twenty thousand tables were set, and treated them to a combat of gladiators. The senate and the people, intoxicated by the allurements of pleasure, seemed to vie with each other in their acts of servility and adulation towards the man who had deprived them of their liberty. He was hailed as the father of his country, created perpetual dictator, received the appellation of emperor, and his person was declared sacred.

15. Having restored order in Rome, he again found himself obliged to go into Spain, where *Labienus* and the two sons of Pompey had raised an army against him; but he completely defeated them in an obstinate battle, fought on the plains of Munda. *Cæsar*, by this victory, having triumphed over all his enemies, devoted the remainder of his life to the benefit of the commonwealth. As clemency was his favorite virtue, he readily pardoned all who had at any time bore arms against him; without any distinction of party, he seemed only to consider the happiness and prosperity of the people; he adorned the city with magnificent buildings; rebuilt Carthage and Corinth, sending colonies to both these places: he corrected many abuses in the state, reformed the calendar, undertook to drain the Pontine marsh, and intended to cut through the isthmus of Peloponnesus.

16. But while he thus meditated projects beyond the limits of the longest life, a deep conspiracy was formed against him, embracing no less than sixty senators, among whom were *Brutus* and *Cassius*, whose lives had been spared by the conqueror after the battle of Pharsalia. It had been rumoured that a crown would be presented to him on the ides of March, namely, the fifteenth of that month: the conspirators therefore fixed upon that day for the execution of their design.

Accordingly, as soon as *Cæsar* had taken his seat in the senate-house, they assembled around him under the pretence of soliciting for the pardon of a certain individual who had been banished by Cæsar's order, and assailed him with their daggers. He defended himself for some time with great vigor, until seeing Brutus, his friend, whom he tenderly loved, among the conspirators, he exclaimed, *et tu Brute, "And you too, Brutus,"* then resigning himself to his fate and covering his face with his robe, he fell, pierced with twenty-three wounds, at the base of Pompey's statue.

Thus perished *Julius Cæsar*, in the fifty-sixth year of his age, whose ruling passion was ambition, and whose redeeming virtue was clemency. [*See particulars of his character in Biography.*]

How did he entertain the people? How was he hailed? &c.—15. Why was he again obliged to go into Spain? Having triumphed over all his enemies, what did he resolve to do? Mention some of the acts he now performed.—16. What was formed against him? What had been rumoured? What happened as Cæsar took his seat in the senate-house? How did he defend himself? On seeing Brutus, what did he say? What was his age?

17 No sooner was the death of Cæsar known, than the whole city was thrown into the utmost consternation. His bleeding corpse was exposed in the forum; his friend, Mark Antony, pronounced over it a funeral oration, and by his eloquent appeals to the sympathy of the people, so inflamed their resentment against his murderers, that they were obliged to escape from the city.

Mark Antony, who was a man of great military talents, but of a most profligate character; *Lepidus*, who was possessed of immense wealth; and *Octavius Cæsar*, afterwards surnamed *Augustus*, who was Cæsar's grand-nephew and adopted heir, formed the design of dividing among themselves the supreme authority and thus established the second *Triumvirate*, which produced the most dreadful calamities in the republic.

18. They stipulated that all their enemies should be destroyed, each sacrificing his nearest friends to the vengeance of his colleagues. Thus Antony consigned to death his uncle *Lucius;* Lepidus his brother *Paulus;* and Octavius gave up his friend, the celebrated *Cicero*, to whom he was under the most binding obligation, in order to gratify the hatred of Antony. The illustrious orator was assassinated in the sixty-fourth year of his age, by Popillius Lanus, whose life he had saved in a capital case. Rome was again deluged in the blood of her citizens; in the horrible proscription that followed, three hundred senators, with two thousand knights, besides many other persons of distinguished rank, were sacrificed.

19. In the mean time, Brutus and Cassius, having retired into Thrace, collected an army of one hundred thousand men, and made the last and expiring effort to restore the commonwealth. *Anthony* and *Octavius* marched against them with an army superior in number. The empire of the world again depended upon the issue of a single battle. The two armies met on the plains of *Philippi*, and after a dreadful conflict, which lasted for two days, the death-blow was given to Roman liberty, by the total defeat of the republican army. *Brutus* and *Cassius* resolving not to survive the liberties of their country, avoided the vengeance of their enemies by a voluntary death.

20. The power of the *Triumviri* being thus established upon the ruins of the commonwealth, they began to think of enjoying the honors to which they had aspired. Lepidus was shortly after deposed and banished. *Antony* went into Greece, and having made some stay at Athens, he passed into Asia. He proceeded from kingdom to kingdom, attended by a crowd of sovereigns, exacting contributions and giving away crowns with capricious insolence. He summoned *Cleopatra*, queen of Egypt, to Tarsus, to answer to the charge of having aided the conspirators. She accordingly came, decked in all the emblems of the queen of love; her galley was covered with gold; the sails of

17. What was done by Mark Antony? Who composed the second Triumvirate? —18 What did they stipulate? What was the fate of the illustrious orator? What is said of Rome?—19 What was done by Brutus and Cassius? By whom were they opposed? Where did the armies meet? What was the issue of the battle? What was the fate of Brutus and Cassius?—20. What was the fate of Lepidus? Where did Antony go? What is related of Cleopatra?

purple floating to the wind; the oars of silver swept to the sound of flutes and cymbals; she reclined upon a couch spangled with stars of gold, and such ornaments as the poets usually ascribe to Venus. Antony, captivated by her charms, forgot to decide upon her cause, and giving up all the pursuits of ambition, abandoned himself to pleasure in the company of the Egyptian queen. He lavished on her the provinces of the Roman empire; and having on her account divorced his wife *Octavia*, the sister of his colleague, an open rupture took place between him and *Octavius*.

21. The great battle of Actium decided the contest in favor of Octavius, who, by this victory, was left sole master of the empire. After this defeat, Antony put an end to his life by falling on his sword; and *Cleopatra*, to avoid being led captive to Rome to grace the triumph of Augustus, procured her own death by the poison of an asp.

SECTION VI.

Rome under the Emperors. The Cæsars: Augustus, Tiberius, Caligula, Claudius, Nero, Galba, Otho, Vitellius, Vespasian, Titus, and Domitian. A. C. 31, to A. D. 96.

1. By the death of Antony, Octavius, now styled *Augustus*, became sole master of the Roman empire. Having returned in triumph to Rome, he endeavored, by sumptuous feasts and magnificent shows, to obliterate the impressions of his former cruelty and resolved to secure, by acts of clemency and benevolence, that throne, the foundation of which was laid in blood. Having established order in the state, Augustus found himself agitated by different inclinations, and considered for some time whether he should retain the imperial authority or restore the republic. By *Agrippa* he was advised to pursue the latter course; but following the advice of *Mæcenas*, he resolved to retain the sovereign authority.

2. Augustus, in his administration, affected an appearance of great moderation and respect for the public rights, and having gained the affections of the people and his soldiers, he endeavored by every means to render permanent their attachment. As a general, he was more fortunate than eminent; though the general character of his reign was pacific, still several wars were successfully carried on by his lieutenants; he seemed to aim at gaining a character by the arts of peace alone: he embellished the city, erected public buildings and pursued the policy of maintaining order and tranquillity in every portion of his vast dominions. During his reign, the temple of Janus was closed for

What did he lavish on her? What took place between him and Octavius?—21. What is said of the battle of Actium? What was the end of Antony and Cleopatra?

1. Who now became sole master of the empire? What did he endeavour to do? By what was he agitated? Whose advice did he follow?—2. What did Augustus effect What is said of him as a general? During his reign, what was closed?

the first time since the commencement of the second *Punic war*, and third time from the reign of *Numa*.

Augustus having accompanied Tiberius in his march into Illyria, was taken dangerously ill, and on his return, died at Nolla, near Capua, in the seventy-sixth year of his age, after an illustrious reign of forty-four years.

3. Augustus was possessed of eminent abilities, both as a warrior and a statesman; but the cruelties and treachery exercised by him while a member of the triumvirate, have left an indelible stain upon his character, and rendered it doubtful whether the virtues which he manifested in after-life sprung rather from policy than from principle. The emperor and his chief minister, Mæcenas, were both eminent patrons of learning and the arts; and the Augustan age of Roman literature has been justly admired by all succeeding ages. Among those who distinguished his reign were the celebrated poets *Virgil*, *Horace*, and *Ovid*, with *Livy*, the historian. But the most memorable event which took place during the reign of Augustus, was the birth of our *Lord* and *Saviour Jesus Christ*, which happened, according to the best authorities, in the twenty-sixth year of his reign, and four years before the period commonly assigned for the Christian era.

4. Augustus, previous to his death, had nominated *Tiberius* to succeed him in the empire. The new emperor, at the commencement of his reign, exhibited a show of moderation and clemency; but he soon threw off the mask and appeared in his natural character, as a cruel and odious tyrant. The brilliant success of his nephew Germanicus, in Germany, excited the jealousy of Tiberius, who recalled him to Rome, and is supposed to have caused his death by poison. Having then taken into his confidence Sejanus, a Roman knight, who became the minister of his cruelty and pleasure, he retired to the island of Capreæ, and abandoned himself to the most infamous debaucheries. Sejanus, now possessed of almost unlimited power, committed the most fearful cruelties against the citizens of Rome; *Nero* and *Drusus*, the sons of Germanicus, were starved to death in prison; Sabinus, Gallus, and other distinguished persons were executed upon slight pretences; but his career was of short duration; being accused of treason, he was suddenly precipitated from his elevation and executed by order of the senate; his body was afterwards dragged ignominiously through the streets.

5. This event seemed only to increase the emperor's rage for cruelty; now weary of particular executions, he gave orders that all the accused should be put to death without further examination. When one *Carnulius* had killed himself to avoid the torture, "Ah," exclaimed Tiberius, "how has that man been able

Where did he die? What was his age, and length of his reign?—3. What is said of the abilities of Augustus? Of what was he patron? Who were distinguished in his reign? What was the most memorable event that took place during it?—4. Whom did Augustus nominate? How did he commence his reign? What excited his jealousy? Whom did he take into his confidence? What is said of Sejanus? What was his fate?—5 What orders did the emperor give now? What exclamation did he make?

to escape me." He died in the seventy-eighth year of his age and twenty-second of his reign; his death was hastened either by strangling or poison. In the eighteenth year of this emperor's reign, *Jesus Christ* suffered death upon the cross.

6. Tiberius adopted for successor, *Caligula*, who commenced his reign under the most favorable auspices, and his first acts were even beneficent and patriotic: but his subsequent conduct was marked by every species of human depravity. He assumed divine honors, and caused temples to be built and sacrifices to be offered to himself as a divinity. He took such delight in cruelty, that he wished that all the Roman people had but one neck, that he might despatch them at a single blow. Happy for mankind, his reign was of short duration; he was assassinated in the twenty-ninth year of his age and fourth of his reign, A. D. 41

7. After the death of *Caligula*, his uncle *Claudius*, and grandson of Mark Antony, was raised to the throne. He was a man of weak and timid character, and a slave to the most degrading vices. The only remarkable enterprise during his reign, was his expedition into Britain. *Caractacus*, the illustrious king of that island, after a brave resistance, was taken prisoner and carried captive to Rome. As he passed through the streets and observed the splendor of the city, he exclaimed, "How is it possible that men possessed of such magnificence at home, should envy Caractacus in an humble cottage in Britain."

Claudius was poisoned by his wife Agrippina, in the fourteenth year of his reign and sixty-fourth of his age, in order to make room for *Nero*, her son by a former husband, A. D. 55.

8. *Nero*, now in the seventeenth year of his age, began his reign with universal approbation; he was even so much inclined to clemency and forgiveness, that when obliged to sign a warrant for the execution of a criminal, he would exclaim, "Would to heaven that I had never learned to write." He had received an excellent education under the philosopher Seneca, and while he followed the counsels of his illustrious preceptor, he governed with general applause. But as he advanced in age, every trace of virtue vanished with his increasing years. Abandoning the advice of his virtuous counsellors, he soon gave himself up to every species of depravity, and rendered his name proverbial in all succeeding ages, as a detestable tyrant. The first alarming instance of his cruelty, was the execution of his own mother *Agrippina*. Among others who fell victims to his cruelty, were *Seneca*, the philosopher, *Burrhus*, the prefect of the pretorian guard, and *Lucan*, the poet.

9. In his wild extravagance he caused the city of Rome to be set on fire, that it might exhibit the representation of the burning of Troy, and stood upon a high tower that he might enjoy the

When did he die? What took place in the eighteenth year of his reign?—6 By whom was he succeeded? What is said of him? What did he assume? How did he die?—7 Who was next raised to the throne? What was his character? Who was led captive to Rome? What did he exclaim? What was the end of Claudius?—8 Who succeeded him? What is said of Nero? By whom was he educated? What was the first alarming instance of his cruelty? Who were some of the other victims?—9 What did he cause?

scene. The conflagration continued for nine days, and a great part of the city was burnt to ashes. But in order to avert from himself the public odium of this action, he openly charged it upon the Christians, who had now become numerous at Rome, and published against them a violent persecution, during which the two illustrious apostles *St. Peter* and *St. Paul* suffered martyrdom; the former was crucified with his head downwards; the latter being a Roman citizen, had the honor of dying by the sword. Nero having rendered himself contemptible by his follies and crimes, was soon destined to finish his career by a tragical end. The army in Spain having declared against him, raised *Galba* to the throne; the unhappy tyrant, finding himself deserted by all and condemned by the senate, avoided falling into the hands of his enemies by a voluntary death, in the fourteenth year of his reign and thirty-second of his age.

10. On the death of Nero, *Galba* was acknowledged emperor by the senate, as he had been previously declared by the legions under his command. He was a man of much prudence and virtue, and had acquired a high military reputation, but he was now in the seventy-second year of his age, and soon became unpopular with the army by his severity and parsimony. At length, finding himself unable to sustain the duties of the government alone, he adopted for his successor the virtuous *Piso*. This measure, however, gave rise to a revolt in the army headed by *Otho*, which terminated in the death both of the emperor and *Piso*, after a reign of seven months. *Tacitus* says of him, that "had he never ascended the throne, he would have been deemed by all capable of reigning."

11. *Otho* was now declared emperor by the army; but in *Vitellius* he found a formidable rival, who now aspired to the imperial throne. *Otho* being defeated, slew himself, after a reign of ninety-five days. Upon this event, *Vitellius* was proclaimed emperor, but having rendered himself odious to the people by his profligacy and tyranny, he was assassinated before he had completed the first year of his reign; at the same time *Vespasian*, who was now at the head of the army in Egypt, was proclaimed emperor by his troop. On the arrival of the newly elected emperor at Rome, he was received with universal joy. He had risen from an humble origin to the highest station in the state; he was equally distinguished for his affability, clemency, and firmness. He ornamented the city by erecting various edifices, built the amphitheatre or coliseum, cherished the arts, and was a patron of learned men, among whom were *Josephus*, the Jewish historian, *Quintilian*, the orator, and *Pliny*, the naturalist.

12. The most memorable event of the reign of *Vespasian* was the destruction of Jerusalem by his son *Titus;* after a tremen-

How long did the conflagration last? How did he avert the odium from himself? During the persecution, who suffered martyrdom? What did the army in Spain do? What was the end of Nero?—10. Who was now acknowledged by the senate? What is said of Galba? What did he adopt? What was his end? What did Tacitus say of him?—11. Who was now declared emperor? What was his fate? Who succeeded? What was the end of Vitellius? Who was next? From what had he risen? Of what was he the patron?—12. What was the most memorable event of his reign?

dous siege of six months, the city was taken and razed to the ground, verifying the predictions of our divine Saviour, that "not a stone should remain upon a stone." According to *Josephus*, the number of the Jews that perished during the siege exceeded one million, and the captives amounted to almost a hundred thousand. *Vespasian* having reigned ten years, beloved by his subjects, died at Campania, in the seventieth year of his age, A. D. 79.

13. The late emperor was succeeded by his son *Titus*, who, on account of his amiable virtues, justice and humanity, obtained the appellation of the "Delight of mankind." Recollecting one evening, that he had done no act of beneficence during that day, he exclaimed, "My friends, I have lost a day." His reign is memorable for the great eruption of Mount Vesuvius, which overwhelmed the cities of *Herculaneum* and *Pompeii*, and caused the death of *Pliny*, the naturalist, whose curiosity led him too near the scene. *Titus* died in the third year of his reign, and in the forty-first of his age; but strong suspicion was entertained that he was poisoned by his brother *Domitian*, who succeeded to the throne, A. D. 81.

14. *Domitian* was another Nero in his character. He caused himself to be worshipped as a god; many of the most illustrious men of Rome fell victims to his cruelty. He banished the philosophers from the city, and raised a dreadful persecution against the Christians. He frequently shut himself up in his chamber, and amused himself by catching flies and piercing them with a bodkin, hence his servant being asked if any one was with the emperor, replied, "No, not even a fly." His reign was signalized by the success of the Roman arms in Britain, under the command of Agricola, a distinguished general who had been sent to the country by Vespasian, and conquered all the southern portion of the island. *Domitian* was assassinated at the instigation of his wife, in the fifteenth year of his reign, A. D. 96. He was the last of those emperors called the *Twelve Cæsars;* Julius Cæsar, the dictator, being considered the first; although Augustus was the first who was generally styled emperor.

SECTION VII.

From Nerva to Constantine the Great. From A. D. 96 *to* 306.

1. After the death of Domitian, *Nerva* was elected to the throne. He was a man distinguished for virtue and clemency, but did not possess sufficient energy to suppress the disorders of the empire; and having adopted *Trajan* for his successor, he died after a short reign of sixteen months.

What number of Jews perished during the siege? When did he die?—13. By whom was he succeeded? What is said of Titus? For what is his reign memorable? When did he die?—14 What is said of Domitian, his successor? What instance is given of his cruelty? By what was his reign signalized? How did he die? Of whom was he the last?

1. Who was now elected to the throne? What is said of him?

2. Trajan, a native of Seville, in Spain, is esteemed one of the greatest and most powerful of the Roman emperors; he was equally distinguished for affability, clemency, and munificence, on presenting the sword to the prefect of the pretorian guard, he made use of these remarkable words: "Make use of it for me, if I do my duty; if not, use it against me." The senate conferred on him the title of *Optimus*, the *Best*, and that body was long accustomed to salute every newly elected emperor with this expression: "Reign fortunately as *Augustus*, and virtuously as *Trajan*."

3. Trajan was one of the greatest generals of his age; he enlarged the boundaries of the empire, subdued the Parthians, brought under subjection Assyria, Arabia Felix and Mesopotamia; and in commemoration of his victory over the Dacians, he erected a pillar at Rome, which bears his name, and which still remains as one of the most remarkable monuments of that city.

He was a munificent patron of literature, and in his reign *Pliny*, the younger, *Juvenal*, and *Plutarch* flourished. Although this prince was much celebrated for his virtues, still his character has been tarnished by a want of equity with regard to the Christians who were persecuted during his reign. He died of apoplexy, in the sixty-third year of his age, and the twentieth of his reign, A. D. 117.

4. Trajan was succeeded by *Adrian*, his nephew, who, in some respects, was the most remarkable of the Roman emperors. His administration was generally equitable and beneficent; he was highly skilful in all the accomplishments of the age; he composed with great beauty, both in prose and verse; he pleaded at the bar, and was one of the best orators of his time. Deeming the limits of the empire too extensive, he abandoned the career of conquest, and devoted himself to the arts of peace. He spent thirteen years in visiting the provinces of the empire, and during his progress he reformed abuses, relieved his subjects from many burdens, and rebuilt various cities. While in Britain, he caused a turf wall to be erected across the island from Carlisle to Newcastle, in order to prevent the incursions of the Picts.

5. He rebuilt the city of Jerusalem, and changed its name to *Ælia Capitolina*. In consequence of an insurrection of the Jews, he sent against them a powerful army, which destroyed about one thousand of their towns, and nearly six hundred thousand of these unfortunate people; he then banished all those who remained, and by a public decree, forbade them to return within view of their native soil. He passed several wise regulations, among which was a law prohibiting masters to kill their slaves, as had been before allowed, but ordained that they should be tried by the laws enacted against capital offences. Adrian having

2. What is said of Trajan? What words did he make use of on presenting the prefect of the guard?—3. What was Trajan? What did he erect? Of what was he the patron? What has tarnished his character? When did he die?—4. By whom was he succeeded? In what was he skilful? What did he abandon? In what did he spend thirteen years of his reign? What did he do in Britain?—5 What city did he rebuild? What severity did he exercise against the Jews?

adopted for his successor *Titus Antoninus*, died after a prosperous reign of twenty-two years, and in the sixty-third year of his age, A. D. 138.

6. Antoninus, surnamed the *Pious*, was eminently distinguished for his public and private virtues, although his reign was marked by few striking events. He showed himself one of the most excellent princes for justice, clemency, and moderation. During his reign, *St. Justin*, the martyr, wrote his Apology for the Christians, and directed it to the emperor, the senate, and the people of Rome; still many Christians continued to suffer for their faith. Having adopted *Marcus Aurelius Antoninus* for his successor, he expired at Lorium, near Rome, in the twenty-third year of his reign, and in the seventieth of his age, A. D. 161.

7. Marcus Aurelius was esteemed as a model of pagan virtue, and was greatly attached, both by nature and education, to the Stoic philosophy, which he exemplified in his life, as well as illustrated in his book, entitled "Meditations." While engaged in a war with the Germans, his army experienced a remarkable deliverance, through the prayers of a Christian legion then serving under his command. The emperor, in a letter to the senate, after stating the distressed situation of his army, says: "I put up my fervent prayers to the gods for our relief; but the gods were deaf. I knew there were many Christians in the army. I called them around me and commanded them to address their God in our behalf. No sooner had they fallen upon their knees to pray, than a copious and refreshing rain fell from the heavens. But while the rain was refreshing to us, it drove furiously against our enemies, like a tempest of hail, attended with vivid flashes of lightning and dreadful claps of thunder. Wherefore, since the prayers of these people are so powerful with their God, let us grant to the Christians full liberty of professing themselves such, lest they employ their prayers against us. My will is that their religion be no longer considered a crime in them."

8. The Christian soldiers who had saved the Roman army by their prayers, were afterwards distinguished by the name of the *Thundering Legion*. Notwithstanding the humane disposition of Aurelius, many Christians suffered during his reign, owing chiefly to the violence of Verus, his colleague in the empire. Among the most illustrious who received the crown of martyrdom, were *St. Justin* and *St. Polycarp*, bishop of Smyrna. Aurelius died in the nineteenth year of his reign, and the fifty-ninth of his age; he was the last of those styled *the five good emperors*, A. D. 180.

9. Aurelius was succeeded by his degenerate son, *Commodus*, whose whole reign was a tissue of folly, cruelty, and injustice; but his crimes finally brought him to a tragical end; he was

Whom did he adopt for his successor? When did he die?—6. What did Antoninus show himself? Who wrote an apology for the Christians? When and where did he die?—7. What is said of Marcus Aurelius? In a war with the Germans, what did he experience? Can you relate, in substance, his letter to the senate?—8. What is said of the Christian soldiers? Of the Christians during his reign? Who were the most illustrious of the sufferers? At what age, and when did he die?—9. What is said of Commodus?

assassinated in the thirteenth year of his reign, and thirty-second of his age. *Pertinax*, a man of humble birth, who had risen by his merit, and was styled the "tennis-ball of fortune," on account of the various conditions through which he had passed, was proclaimed emperor by the pretorian guards. But having given offence by his severity, in correcting abuses, he was put to death by the hands of the very soldiers who had raised him to the throne only three months before.

10. The empire was now put up for sale by the soldiers, and purchased by *Didius Julianus*, for the sum of nine millions of dollars. But the new emperor only enjoyed the honours of royalty for the space of five months, being assassinated by the order of *Septimus Severus*, who was proclaimed emperor in his stead. Severus having triumphed over his two competitors, *Niger* and *Albinus*, governed with great ability. He made an expedition into Britain, and built a stone wall extending from Solway Frith to the German Ocean, and nearly parallel with that of Adrian. He died at York, in the eighteenth year of his reign, and in the sixty-sixth of his age, A. D. 211.

11. Severus left the empire to his two sons, Caracalla and Geta, but Caracalla resolving to govern alone, murdered his brother in his mother's arms. His tyranny and cruelty at length excited against him the resentment of *Macrinus*, the commander of his forces, who caused him to be assassinated, in the sixth year of his reign. Macrinus was immediately declared emperor in his place, but after a reign of fourteen months, was in his turn supplanted by Heliogabalus, by whose command he was put to death. *Heliogabalus* was only in the fourteenth year of his age when he succeeded to the throne, yet he showed himself to be a monster of vice, extravagance and cruelty; he was murdered by the soldiers, and his body thrown into the Tiber, after a short reign of four years, having in that short period married and divorced six wives.

12. *Alexander Severus*, his cousin, who was chosen to succeed him, was a mild and amiable prince, whose excellent character shines with redoubled lustre when contrasted with those who preceded and followed him. His acquirements were equal to his virtues; he excelled in music, painting, sculpture, and poetry. During an expedition against the Germans, who had made an irruption into the empire, he was murdered by a mutiny of his soldiers, in the fourteenth year of his reign, and twenty-ninth of his age, A. D. 235.

13. On the death of Alexander, *Maximin*, who had headed the mutiny against him, was elevated to the throne. Maximin was the son of a herdsman of Thrace: he was no less remark

What was his end? By whom was he succeeded? What was the fate of Pertinax? —10. What was now done with the empire? By whom was it purchased? What was his end? Who succeeded? Where and when did Severus die?—11. To whom did Severus leave the empire? What is related of Caracalla? What was his fate? Who was declared emperor? By whose command was he put to death, and who succeeded? What is said of Heliogabalus? What was his end?—12. Who was chosen to succeed him? What is said of Alexander Severus? How and when did he die?—13 Who succeeded to the throne

able for the symmetry of his person and extraordinary strength than for his gigantic stature, being eight and a half feet in height; he was also distinguished for his military talents. Previous to his elevation, he was remarkable for his simplicity, discipline and virtue; but after his accession to the throne, he became a monster of cruelty, and seemed to sport with the terrors of mankind. He was finally assassinated by his soldiers, in the third year of his reign.

14. The interval from the reign of *Maximin*, and that of *Diocletian*, was filled by sixteen reigns, which furnish little that is pleasing or interesting. Of all the emperors who successively occupied the throne during that period of forty-six years, *Claudius* and *Tacitus* alone died a natural death. The emperor Valerian, in a war with *Sapor*, king of Persia, was defeated and taken prisoner. The Persian monarch treated his captive with the greatest indignity and cruelty. He used him as a footstool for mounting his horse, and finally ordered him to be put to death, then caused him to be flayed, and his skin to be painted red, and suspended in one of the Persian temples, as a monument of disgrace to the Romans.

15. The reign of *Aurelian* was distinguished for brilliant military achievements. He defeated the Goths, and repelled the incursions of the Germans; but his most renowned victory was that over *Zenobia*, the famous queen of *Palmyra*, who fell into his hands; her secretary, *Longinus*, the celebrated critic, was put to death by the order of the conqueror. On his return to Rome, Aurelian was honored with a most splendid triumph; *Zenobia* was reserved to grace the scene, bound in chains of gold, and decked with a profusion of pearls and diamonds.

16. *Diocletian*, who was the son of a Dalmatian slave, rose by his merit from the rank of a common soldier to that of an eminent commander, and was finally elevated to the throne, on the death of Numerian, A. D. 284.

Two years after his accession, he associated with himself, in government, his friend Maximin; and in 292, they took two other colleagues, *Galerius* and *Constantius*, each bearing the title of *Cæsar*. The empire was now divided into four parts, under the government of two emperors and two Cæsars, each nominally supreme, but in reality controlled by the superior talents of Diocletian.

17. At this time happened the tenth and last persecution of the Christians, which continued for several years with so much violence, that the tyrants boasted that they had extinguished the Christian name.

Diocletian and Maximin, in the midst of their triumphs, surprised the world by resigning their dignities on the same day

For what was **Maximin remarkable? How did he die?—14. How many reigns between that of Maximin and Diocletian? What is related of the emperor Valerian?** —15. For what was the reign of Aurelian distinguished? What was his most renowned victory? What is said of Zenobia?—16. What is said of Diocletian? Whom did he associate with himself in the government? How was the empire now divided? —17. What happened at this time? How did Diocletian and Maximin surprise the world? Where did Diocletian retire? What is said of Maximin?

and both retiring into private station. A. D. 304. It is generally believed that they were compelled to take this step by *Galerius*, who, together with *Constantius*, was immediately afterwards acknowledged emperor. Diocletian seems to have been contented with his lot; he retired to Salona, in his native country, Dalmatia, where he lived eight years, and amused himself in cultivating a small garden. Maximin attempted several times, but in vain, to resume the sovereign power, which he had abdicated, and even to murder his son-in-law, *Constantine;* but being detected, he slew himself in despair.

SECTION VIII.

From the Accession of Constantine to the Extinction of the Western Empire. A. D. 306 to 476.

1. Constantius died at York, in Britain, having previously appointed his son *Constantine*, surnamed the Great, his successor; *Constantine* had several competitors for the crown; of these, Maxentius was the most formidable, who had made himself master of Italy and Rome. As the emperor was on his march, at the head of his army, against his rival, he saw in the heaven, after mid-day, a luminous cross, bearing this inscription in Greek, εν τουτω νικα, *Conquer by this.* The circumstance is related by several historians of that period, particularly by Eusebius, in his life of Constantine. In consequence of this vision, the emperor avowed himself the friend and supporter of Christianity; and caused a splendid banner, called the Labarum, to be carried before his army, bearing a representation of the cross he had seen in the heavens. He now prosecuted the war against *Maxentius* with redoubled energy. A final battle was fought on the banks of the Tiber, in which *Constantine* was victorious; Maxentius himself perished in the river, A. D. 312.

2. On the following day Constantine made a solemn entry into Rome, where he was received with universal joy and hailed as the deliverer of the empire. As a lasting monument of this event, a magnificent triumphal arch was built at the foot of Mount Palatine, which remains at the present time. He published an edict in favor of Christianity, which he now openly embraced, and claims the honor of being enrolled as the first Christian emperor. He put an end to the persecution of the Christians, also to the combats of gladiators, and other barbarous exhibitions. His reign forms an important era in ecclesiastical history, as the Roman government now lent all its influence to support that religion which it had repeatedly attempted to destroy. His reign is memorable for the celebrated council of *Nice*, convened for the purpose of condemning the heresy of *Arius*, who denied the divinity of Christ.

1. What had Constantine? What is related of him as he marched at the head of his army? In consequence of this vision, what did he do? Where was a final battle fought?—2. On the following day, what did Constantine do? What did he publish? What does his reign form? For what is it memorable?

3. But the most important event of his reign was the removal of the seat of the empire from Rome to Byzantium, in Thrace, where he built a magnificent city, called from his own name, Constantinople. As the empire had long been verging to ruin, this measure is thought by many to have hastened its downfall. Constantine died at Nicomedia, after an illustrious reign of thirty-one years, and the sixty-third of his age, A. D. 337. The character of Constantine has been variously represented by different writers. His greatest fault was his severity towards his son Crispus, a young prince of the most amiable character; the emperor being so far deceived by artful calumny as to believe him guilty of the most atrocious design, and in the first moment of indignation caused him to be put to death. He has also been charged with a want of political sagacity in removing the seat of government. Still whatever may have been his faults, we must admire and esteem his eminent qualities. The splendor of his military, political, and religious achievements, has deservedly gained for him the surname of Great, which posterity has conferred upon him.

4. Constantine left the empire divided among his three sons, *Constantine* II., *Constans* and *Constantius*. In the space of a few years, the two former were slain, leaving Constantius, the youngest, sole master of the empire. His character was marked by weakness, jealousy, and cruelty. During his reign the empire was harassed and weakened by the inroads of the barbarians from the north, and the incursions of the Persians on the eastern provinces. Constantius died, after an unfortunate reign of twenty-four years, A. D. 361.

5. *Constantius* was succeeded by his cousin *Julian*, surnamed the *Apostate*, on account of his having renounced Christianity, in which he had been educated, and relapsed into Paganism. He was a man possessed of considerable learning, of great military talents, but the slave of the most bigoted superstition. He restored the pagan worship, and attempted to suppress Christianity. With a design of falsifying the prediction of our Saviour, he undertook to re-assemble the Jews and to rebuild their temple; but his design is stated by a number of ancient writers to have been miraculously defeated by the eruption of fire-balls from the ground, which dislodged the stones, melted the iron instruments, and dispersed the workmen. Julian was slain in a war with the Persians, in the second year of his reign, and the thirty-second of his age, A. D. 363.

6. Julian was succeeded by *Jovian*, who restored the Christian religion and recalled *St. Athanasius*, who had been banished by the order of Julian; but he died suddenly, after a mild and equitable reign of seven months.

Valentinian, who was chosen to succeed him, associated with

3. What was the most important event of his reign? Where and when did he die? What is said of his character?—4. How did Constantine leave the empire? What is said of Constantius? When did he die?—5. By whom was Constantius succeeded? What is said of Julian? What did he restore? What did he undertake? What is said of his design? How did he die?—6. What is said of Jovian? Who was chosen to succeed him?

himself in the empire his brother Valens, who governed the eastern provinces; and from this period the division of the empire into eastern and western became fixed and permanent. The barbarians continued to make inroads into different parts of the empire, and the Goths finally obtained a settlement in Thrace. The domestic administration of Valentinian was equitable and wise; his temper, however, was violent. On a certain occasion, when transported with rage, he ruptured a blood-vessel, and expired in a few hours, in the fifty-fourth year of his age, and in the twelfth of his reign.

7. In the east, *Valens* held the sceptre with a weak and inefficient hand. Favoring the Arian heresy, he threw the provinces into confusion and contention, and at the same time exposed his dominions to the inroads of the barbarians. He was defeated and slain in an expedition against the Goths, in the fifteenth year of his reign.

Gratian, the son and successor of Valentinian, associated with himself *Theodosius*, afterwards surnamed the Great. The reign of this illustrious monarch was signalized by the complete triumph of Christianity and the downfall of paganism throughout the Roman dominions. By his great military abilities he successfully repelled the encroachments of the barbarians, and by his wise administration he strengthened in some measure the empire, which had been already hastening to its ruin. After an illustrious reign of eighteen years, he left his dominions to two sons *Honorius* in the west, and *Arcadius* in the east, A. D. 395.

8. *Theodosius* was the last monarch who presided over both divisions of the empire. By all the authors of that period, with the exception of *Zosimus*, a Pagan writer, he is represented as a model of every public and private virtue, and worthy of the imitation of all Christian princes. His inclinations were naturally violent; but if he committed any fault contrary to his usual clemency and meekness, he soon repaired it in a manner worthy of his character. On one occasion the populace of Thessalonica, in a tumultuous insurrection, stoned their governor to death. *Theodosius*, on receiving intelligence of this outrage, in a moment of irritation, gave orders for the soldiery to be let loose on the inhabitants of the city for three hours; the commission was executed with so much fury, that seven thousand persons were put to the sword. No sooner was *St. Ambrose*, archbishop of Milan, informed of this awful deed, than he declared to the emperor that he could not admit him into the church until he had atoned, by a public penance, for the enormity of the massacre he had occasioned. Theodosius humbly submitted to the decision of the prelate, and remained excluded from the church for eight months.

9. During the weak reign of Honorius and Arcadius the barbarians made a successful irruption into the empire, and possessed

What is said of the barbarians? How did Valentinian die?—7. What is said of Valens? How did he die? Whom did Gratian associate with himself? To whom did Theodosius leave his dominions?—8. What is said of Theodosius? Of his inclinations? On one occasion what is related of him? What did St Ambrose do?—9. What happened during the reign of Honorius and Arcadius?

themselves of several of the most fertile provinces. The Goths, under the famous *Alaric*, spread their devastations to the very walls of Constantinople, and filled all Greece with the terror of their arms. Alaric then penetrated into Italy at the head of a powerful army, but was defeated with great loss by the Romans under the command of *Stilico*. After the death of this general *Alaric* invaded Italy a second time, and having taken and pillaged several cities, he at length pitched his camp before the walls of Rome. This famous city, which had for ages been the mistress of the world, and had enriched herself by the spoils of vanquished nations, was now reduced to the greatest extremities by famine and pestilence.

10. Rome was finally taken by *Alaric*, who gave up the city to be plundered by his soldiers, with a charge to spill the blood of none but those whom they found in arms, and to spare all those who took refuge in the churches. The fearful devastation continued for six days, during which the fierce barbarians, notwithstanding the injunctions of the chieftain, indulged their cruelty and ferocity without pity or restraint. *Alaric* now prepared to invade Sicily and Africa, but death suddenly put an end to all his ambitious projects; but the Goths having elected *Ataulphus* for their leader, took possession of the southern part of Gaul, and laid the foundation of their kingdom in Spain.

11. A few years after the sacking of Rome by Alaric, the country was again devastated by the Huns, a barbarous people of Scythian origin, under the command of *Attila*, their king, styled the scourge of God. Having overrun the eastern empire, he invaded Gaul with an army of five hundred thousand men; but he was defeated on the plains of Chalons, by the combined forces of the Romans under Ætius and the Goths under Theodoric, with a loss of one hundred and sixty thousand men. The warlike spirit of Attila was checked by this defeat, but not subdued; placing himself again at the head of his army, he shortly afterwards invaded Italy, and having extended his ravages to the gates of Rome, compelled *Valentinian* III. to purchase a peace by the payment of immense sums of money, with his sister Honoria in marriage. Attila died shortly after this event; and his body is said to have been buried, enclosed in three coffins, the first of gold, the second of silver, and the third of iron; and the men who dug the grave were put to death, lest they should reveal the place of his burial.

12. Every circumstance now seemed to hasten the downfall of the empire, which had been long on the verge of ruin. *Ætius*, the only man capable of defending it against its numerous enemies, was slain by the hand of Valentinian himself, on a pretended charge of conspiracy.

Shortly after this event, *Valentinian* was assassinated in his turn, at the instigation of *Petronius Maximus*, who was pro-

What is said of the Goths? What did Alaric do a second time?—10. What is said of Rome? How long did the devastation continue? What is said of Alaric?—11. By whom was the country next devastated? With how large an army did he invade Gaul? Where and by whom was he defeated? How did Valentinian purchase a peace? What is said of the body of Attila?—12 What is said of Ætius? How did Valentinian die? What was done by Eudoxia?

claimed emperor in his stead, and the empress Eudoxia invited *Genseric*, king of the Vandals, to avenge the murder of her husband. He eagerly embraced the opportunity, landed in Italy with a numerous army of Moors and Vandals, took the city of Rome, which he gave up to his soldiers to be pillaged for eleven days; and after having destroyed many of the monuments of art and literature which Alaric had spared, and enriched himself with the spoils of the city, he returned to Carthage.

13. From the reign of Valentinian III. the Western empire dragged out a precarious existence under nine successive emperors, for the space of twenty-one years, until its final termination in 476, by the resignation of *Romulus Augustus*, the last of its emperors, to *Odoacer*, the chief of the Heruli, who assumed the title of king of Italy. Thus terminated the Roman empire in the West, twelve hundred and twenty-nine years after the building of the city, and five hundred and seven years after the battle of Actium. Such, observes Goldsmith, was the end of this mighty empire, which had conquered mankind by its arms, and instructed the world by its wisdom; which had risen by temperance, and fell by luxury; which had been established by a spirit of patriotism and sunk to ruin when the empire had become so extensive that a Roman citizen was but an empty name.

SECTION IX.

Roman Antiquities.

1. The political state or government among the Romans varied very much during the successive periods of its existence. It was at first a monarchy; it afterwards became a republic, with a preponderance of aristocratic power, which gradually gave way to the influence of the people. The republican form of government was overthrown by Julius Cæsar, and finally destroyed by Augustus, when it became a despotic monarchy.

2. The kings of Rome were not absolute or hereditary, but limited and elective. They could neither enact laws nor make war or peace, without the consent of the senate and people. They wore a white robe, adorned with stripes of purple or fringed with the same colour; their crown was gold, and their sceptre was made of ivory. They sat in the curule chair, which was a chair of state made of ivory; and they were attended by twelve lictors, carrying fasces, which were a bundle of rods, with an axe bound in the middle of them.

3. The senate at first consisted of one hundred members, but was afterwards increased to two hundred by Tarquin the Elder,

What is said of Genseric?—13. From the reign of Valentinian, what is said of the Western empire? When did the empire terminate? Who was the last of the emperors? How long had the Roman empire lasted? What does Goldsmith observe?

1. What is said of the political state? What was it first? What did it become? By whom was the republican government overthrown?—2. What is said of the kings? What did they wear? By whom were they attended?—3. Of what did the Senate consist?

and towards the latter days of the republic, it consisted of one thousand. The senators were at first nominated by the king, but afterwards chosen by the consuls, and finally by the censors. They usually assembled three times a month, but oftener if special business required it. A decree passed by a majority of the senate and approved of by the tribunes of the people, was termed a *senatus consultum*. The senators were styled *patres*, or fathers, on account of their age, gravity, and paternal care of the state, and from them the patricians derived their designation. The magistrates of the Roman republic were elective, and previous to their election they were called *candidati* or candidates, from the white robe which they wore while soliciting the votes of the people.

4. The consuls had the same badges as the kings, with the exception of the crown; and their authority was nearly equal, except that it was limited to one year. In dangerous conjunctures, they were clothed with absolute power by the solemn decree, "that the consuls take care that the commonwealth sustain no harm." In order to be a candidate for the consulship, the person was required to be forty-three years of age. The prætors were next in dignity to the consuls, and in their absence supplied their place; it was their duty to preside at the assemblies of the people, and to convene the senate upon any emergency.

5. The office of censor was esteemed more honorable than that of consul, though attended with less power. There were two censors, chosen every five years, and their most important duty was to take, every fifth year, the census of the people, after which they made a solemn lustration, or expiatory sacrifice in the Campus Martius. The tribunes were officers, created to protect the plebeians against the patricians. The ediles were officers whose duty it was to take care of the public edifices, baths, aqueducts, roads, markets, &c. The questors were elected by the people to take care of the public revenue. They were of two orders; the military questors who accompanied the army, and took care of the payment of soldiers, and the provincial questors, who attended the consuls into the provinces and received the taxes and tribute.

6. The assemblies of the people, in order to elect their magistrates, or to decide concerning war or peace, and the like, were called a *comitia*; of which there were three kinds, the *curiata*, *centuriata*, and the *tributa*. The *comitia curiata* consisted of an assembly of the resident Roman citizens, who were divided into thirty curiæ. The *comitia centuriata* were the principal assembly of the people, in which they gave their votes according to the census. They elected, during these *comitia*, the consuls, prætors, and censors; important laws were enacted, and cases of high treason

How often did they assemble? What was a decree termed? What were senators called?—4. What is said of the consuls? In dangerous conjunctures, with what were they clothed? What age was required? Who were next in dignity?—5. What is said of the office of censor? Who were the tribunes? Who were the ediles? The questors? Of how many orders were they?—6. What was the assemblies of the people called? Of what did the *comitia curiata* consist? What was done at the *comitia centuriata*? What was the *comitia tributa*?

were tried; and they were held in the Campus Martius. The *comitia tributa* were an assembly in which the people voted in tribes, according to their regions and wards; and they were held to create inferior magistrates, to elect certain priests, &c. The *comitia* continued to be assembled for upwards of seven hundred years, until the time of Julius Cæsar, who abridged that liberty, and shared with the people the right of creating the magistrates; Augustus infringed still further on this right, and Tiberius finally deprived the people altogether of the privilege of election.

7. The priests among the Romans did not form a distinct order of the citizens, but were chosen from the most honorable men of the state. The *pontifices*, fifteen in number, were priests who judged all causes relating to religion, regulated the feasts, sacrifices, and all other sacred institutions. The *pontifex maximus*, or high priest, was a person of great dignity and authority; he held his office for life, and all other priests were subject to him. The *augurs* were fifteen in number, whose duty it was to foretell future events, to interpret dreams, oracles, prodigies, &c. The *haruspices* were priests, whose business it was to examine the beasts offered in sacrifice, and from them to divine the success of any enterprise, and to obtain omens of futurity. The *quindecemviri*, were fifteen priests who had the charge of the Sibylline books, which were three prophetic volumes, said to contain the fate of the Roman empire; they were procured from a woman of extraordinary appearance, during the reign of Tarquin the Proud. The Vestal Virgins were females, consecrated to the worship of Vesta.

8. The *gladiators* were persons who fought with weapons in the public circus or amphitheatre, for the amusement of the people. These combats were introduced about four hundred years after the foundation of the city, and became the most favorite entertainment. The combatants were at first composed of captive slaves and condemned malefactors; but in the more degenerate period of the empire, free-born citizens, and even senators engaged in this inhuman and disgraceful amusement, in which numbers were destroyed. After the triumph of Trajan over the Dacians, spectacles were exhibited for one hundred and twenty-three days, in which eleven thousand animals of different kinds were killed, and ten thousand gladiators fought.

9. The *toga* and the *tunica* were the most distinguished part of the Roman dress. The *toga*, or gown, worn by the Roman citizen only, was loose and flowing, and covered the whole body; it had no sleeves, and was disposed in graceful folds, which gave the wearer a majestic appearance. The *toga virilis* was assumed by young men at the age of seventeen years. The *tunica*, or tunic, was a white woollen vest, which came down below the knees and was fastened about the waist by a girdle. The dress

How long did they continue to assemble?—7. What is said of the priests? Of the *pontifices*? Who was the *pontifex maximus*? The augurs? The *haruspices*? The *quindecemvir*? The vestal virgins?—8 Who were the gladiators? When were these combats introduced? What is said of them after the triumph of Trajan?—9 What was the *toga*? The *toga virilis*? The *tunica*?

of the women was similar to that of the men; their tunic, however, was longer and furnished with sleeves; they wore jewels, bracelets, rings, and various other ornaments in great profusion. Hats and caps were worn by the Romans only on journeys, or the public games; in the city they usually went without any covering on the head.

10. The principal meal among the Romans was their supper, which they took about four o'clock in the afternoon; their breakfast was not a regular meal, it was taken by each one separately and without order, and their dinner was only a slight repast. In the early ages, the diet of the Romans consisted chiefly of milk and vegetables, and they sat upright at the table on benches; but in the latter days of the republic, when riches were introduced by their conquests, luxury was carried to excess, and they then reclined at their meals on sumptuous couches. These couches were similar to the modern sofa, and generally calculated to hold three persons; they so reclined upon them that the head of the one was opposite the breast of the other, and in serving themselves they used only one hand.

11. Fathers at Rome were generally invested with the power of life and death over their children. The exposure of infants was at first somewhat frequent, but at length entirely ceased. Slaves constituted a large portion of the population of Rome Their lives were at the disposal of their masters. They were not only employed in domestic service, but also in various trades and manufactures. They were considered as mere property, and were publicly sold in the market-place; and if capitally convicted, their punishment was crucifixion. At the feasts of Saturn and at the Ides of August, the slaves were allowed great privileges, and masters at those periods waited on them at table.

12. The system of education among the Romans, which was in its highest state of improvement during the reign of Augustus, was much admired. The utmost attention was bestowed on the early formation of the mind and character. The Roman matrons themselves nursed their own children, and next to the care bestowed on their morals, a remarkable degree of attention seems to have been given to their language. From the earliest dawn of reason, a regular course of discipline was pursued by some matron of the family, and as the children grew towards manhood, they were habituated to all the athletic exercises that could impart agility or grace, and fit them for the profession of arms. Eloquence and the military art were the surest road to preferment. Oratory, which led to the highest honors in the state, was the favorite study at Rome, and was taught as a science in the public schools. Poetry among the Romans, as with most of other nations, appears to have been the earliest intellectual efforts. The names that adorn the Roman drama are those of *Livius*

What is said of the dress of women? Of hats, &c.?—10. What was the principal meal? What is said of breakfast? In the early ages, what was the diet of the Romans? How did they sit at table? What is said of these couches?—11. What is said of fathers? Of infants? What is said of slaves?—12. What is said of education? Of the Roman matrons? What was pursued? What is said of eloquence, &c? Of oratory? Of poetry?

Andronicus, *Ennius*, *Plautus* and *Cæcilius*. In epic poetry, *Virgil* has excelled all other poets of ancient times, with the exception of *Homer*. Philosophy was first taught at Rome, about the end of the third *Punic war*, and was introduced from Greece. The system of the Stoics was at first most generally received; the philosophy of *Aristotle* was afterwards greatly cultivated; but with the introduction of luxury, the philosophy of Epicurus became fashionable.

JEWISH HISTORY.

SECTION I.

The Early History of the Jews, until their deliverance from Egypt.

1. The Jews, commonly called the people of God, derive their origin from *Abraham*, the son of *Terah*, the tenth in lineal descent from *Shem*, the son of *Noah*. The call of Abraham is a remarkable event in his history, and took place 1921 years before the Christian era. Abraham intended to settle in Haran, but in obedience to the will of God he removed to the land of Canaan, which was appointed to be the inheritance of his posterity. After his arrival there, his first care was to erect an altar for the worship of God, who appeared to him and confirmed the promise, which he had before made, of giving the country to his children. When he had lived some time in Canaan, a famine compelled him to remove his family into Egypt, where he resided until the famine ceased, and then again returned.

2. Shortly after this time, happened the remarkable visitation of the divine wrath on *Sodom* and several other cities. The crimes of these cities cried aloud to heaven for vengeance; accordingly three angels, in the form of young men, were sent to destroy them. Abraham having entertained the heavenly visitors in his tent, accompanied them on their way to Sodom, and obtained from them, that Lot and his family should be spared. Lot was therefore admonished to depart with his wife and his two daughters, and they were ordered not to look upon the city. But scarcely had he reached a place of safety, when *Sodom* and *Gomorrah*, with two other cities, were consumed by fire that fell from heaven, leaving the site on which they stood, and the country in the vicinity, a lake, called at the present time, the Dead Sea, the water of which is clear and heavy, but extremely nauseous and bitter to the taste. When the noise of the falling fire was heard, Lot's wife, forgetful of the injunction of the angels,

Mention some names that adorn the drama? What is said of Virgil? What is said of philosophy?

1. What is said of the Jews? When was the call of Abraham? Where did he settle? Where did he remove? Where did he remove with his family?—2. After this time, what happened? What is said of Abraham? Who was admonished to depart? What is the site on which it stood now called? What is related of Lot's wife?

looked back upon the city; but her curiosity was punished on the spot; she was changed into a pillar of salt, to serve as a warning to those who, at any time, cast back a wishful look on the sinful objects which they have once forsaken.

3. Sarah, the wife of Abraham, when far advanced in years, brought him a son, who was called *Isaac*. When Isaac had grown up, God, to try the faith of Abraham, commanded him to offer his son in sacrifice on a mountain which he should point out to him. The holy patriarch obeyed without hesitation, but at the moment when his hand was raised to strike the victim, an angel was sent to stop his arm, and to assure him that God was satisfied with the readiness of his obedience. Isaac was afterwards married to *Rebecca*, the mother of *Esau* and *Jacob*. Jacob, by the command of the Lord, took the name Israel, hence his posterity were called *Israelites*, or children of *Israel*.

4. Jacob had twelve sons, of whom *Joseph* was particularly loved by his father, and on that account hated by the rest of his brothers. On a certain occasion, as they were tending their flocks, at some distance from home, Joseph was sent by his father to see how they conducted themselves; they immediately seized and sold him as a slave to some Ishmaelite merchants, and told his father that he had been devoured by wild beasts. The merchants carried him into Egypt, and sold him to *Potiphar*, an officer of the court. Joseph served Potiphar with so much fidelity, that he soon committed to him the care of his household. The wife of Potiphar repeatedly attempted to seduce Joseph to the commission of a flagitious crime, but the virtuous youth rejected her proposals with disdain; but she, incensed at this, maliciously accused him of an attempt against her honor. On this false accusation Joseph was thrown into prison, where he languished for several years.

5. At length *Pharaoh*, the king of Egypt, had two dreams that greatly perplexed him, and could find no one in Egypt able to interpret them. Finally he was informed of a Hebrew servant, then in prison, who had wisely interpreted the dreams of two of his officers; this was Joseph, who, on being introduced to the king, explained his dreams, and told him that they predicted an abundant product of the earth for seven years, and afterwards a famine for the same space of time. Upon this he was not only released from prison, but appointed to administer the affairs of Egypt under Pharaoh.

6. During the famine which followed, all his brothers, with the exception of Benjamin, went into Egypt for the purpose of buying provisions. Joseph knew them, but he was unknown to them; he therefore asked them, as if strangers to him, from whence they had come, and whether they were spies. They answered him with profound respect, that they had come into Egypt with the honest intention of buying corn; that they were twelve brothers;

3 When Isaac had grown up, what did God command? What did the holy patriarch do? Whom did Isaac marry?—4. How many sons had Jacob? On a certain occasion, what took place? Where was Joseph carried? What is said of the wife of Potiphar? What happened to Joseph?—5. What is said of Pharaoh? Of what was he informed? What did Joseph tell him?—6. During the famine, what took place? What did Joseph ask them?

that one of them no longer existed, and that the youngest, called Benjamin, was left at home with his father Jacob in Canaan. The name of Benjamin touched the inmost feeling of Joseph's breast; he therefore determined to have him brought into Egypt. For this purpose he seemed to give no credit to their words, and said, that to assure himself of the truth of their account, one of them should remain as a hostage, until their younger brother should be brought into Egypt. Therefore retaining Simeon, the rest were permitted to depart.

7. Upon their return home, they informed their father of all that had passed, and particularly of the engagement they were under of taking *Benjamin* into Egypt, where *Simeon* was detained as a pledge of their promise. Nothing could exceed the grief of the aged father on receiving this intelligence; he bemoaned his misfortunes; he spoke of his children, and became inconsolable at the thought of parting with the last and dearest of his sons. Joseph, said he, is no more, Simeon is in chains, and must Benjamin, also, be taken from me? No, I will not consent; to part with him would wring my very soul with grief, and carry my gray hairs in anguish to the grave.

8. Jacob, however, at length consented to the departure of Benjamin, who accompanied his brothers on their return to Egypt. They were kindly received by Joseph, who finally made himself known to them in these words: "I am Joseph; does my father yet live?" Struck silent with amazement, they were for some time unable to reply. Joseph wept and tenderly embraced them all; but with greater feeling he threw himself upon the neck of Benjamin, and pressed him to his breast. He then told them to hasten to their father and let him know that his son Joseph was still alive, and to bring him down into Egypt. Jacob accordingly removed with all his family into Egypt, and Joseph assigned them a residence in the land of Goshen, a fertile district situated between the Nile and the Red Sea.

9. Jacob lived seventeen years after his removal into Egypt. Upon being informed of his illness, Joseph, with filial piety, hastened to pay the last duties of affection to his dying father. The venerable patriarch raised himself in his bed at his son's approach, and spoke to him of the inheritance which God had promised to his seed in the land of Canaan, where he desired his remains to be removed after his death. He called his other sons around his bed, and gave to each a special blessing: the most memorable was that which he spoke of Judah, in which he expressly declared, that from his race the *Messiah*, the expected of all nations, should be born, and that this great event should take place at or near the time when the sovereign power should be entirely taken away from the Jewish nation. Jacob died in the one hundred and forty-seventh year of his age.

How did the name of Benjamin affect Joseph? What method did he adopt to bring him into Egypt?—7. What did they inform their father? What is said of the aged father? What did he say?—8. To what did Jacob at length consent? In what words did Joseph make himself known? What did he tell them?—9. How long did Jacob live? What is said of Joseph? What did the patriarch do? What did he say of the Messiah?

10. Joseph, after the death of his father, continued to rule over Egypt until his death, which occurred about the year 1635 A. C. In less than forty years after this event, a total change took place in the affairs of Egypt; a new king occupied the throne who knew not Joseph, and forgetful of his administration, cruelly oppressed the Hebrew people. To check their increase and prosperity, the most rigorous measures were adopted; their lives were embittered by hard service at public works, and all their male children were ordered to be thrown into the river Nile.

11. After much suffering, God raised up a deliverer of his chosen people, who should rescue them from a state of cruel servitude, and bring them out of the land of bondage. This deliverer was *Moses*, the most distinguished personage of ancient times. In consequence of Pharaoh's inhuman decree, Moses was exposed by his mother on the banks of the Nile, and was found by the king's daughter, who compassionately adopted him and thus saved his life. Before the obdurate heart of Pharaoh could be induced to consent to the departure of the Hebrews, a number of extraordinary and supernatural events took place, called the ten plagues of Egypt. The first of these plagues was the change of the waters of the Nile, and of all the wells of Egypt, into blood; and by the last the whole land was covered with darkness for three days.

12. Pharaoh at length consented to allow Moses to conduct the Israelites into the wilderness for three days, to perform their religious duties. At the expiration of this time, finding that they did not return, he put himself at the head of his army and resolved to pursue them. Moses retreated until he came to the shore of the Red Sea, where, seeing the hosts of Egypt pressing forward, he extended his arm over the profound abyss, as God commanded him; the waters suddenly divided and opened a passage to the opposite shore. The Israelites immediately entered the dry hollow of the deep, amazed at the watery bounds that stood suspended as walls upon their right and on their left.

13. Pharaoh, insensible of the miracle, and thinking the passage as safe and as free for him as the Israelites, entered precipitately after them, with all his army, and advanced to the middle of the abyss before he became sensible of his danger. By this time, Moses, who, with all his followers, had reached the other shore, stretched forth his hand again over the sea, and called back the waters to their natural state. Shut up within the bosom of the deep, the whole Egyptian host perished, with the loss of their chariots and arms.

14. The Israelites, after their deliverance from Egypt, wandered through the desert for forty years, during which time they received many signal proofs of the divine favor in their regard.

10. What is now said of Joseph? Of the new king? To check their increase what was adopted?—11. What did God raise up? Who was this deliverer? What is related of Moses? What was the first and last plague of Egypt?—12. To what did Pharaoh at length consent? At the expiration of this time, what did he do? What did Moses do? Where did the Israelites enter?—13. What is related of Pharaoh? When Moses had reached the other shore, what did he do? What happened to the Egyptian host?—14. What is said of the Israelites?

They were supplied with food by manna, which fell regularly every morning, except on the Sabbath. On one occasion, when they were greatly in want of water, Moses supplied them with that element, by striking a rock with his rod and causing a stream to issue from it. In the second year after their deliverance from Egypt, Moses numbered the children of Israel, and found them to amount to six hundred and three thousand five hundred and fifty men, besides women and children. When they arrived at *Mount Sinai*, God gave them his divine law, amidst thunder and lightning. Moses was the only person allowed to ascend the mountain, and during his absence the ungrateful Israelites fell into idolatry, and worshipped a golden calf.

15. Moses, after forty days and nights spent in his secret interview, received two tables of stone from God, who had engraved upon them, as the Scripture expresses it, with his own hand, the ten commands. In these ten fundamental precepts are contained an admirable summary of our various duties to God and to man. We are directed to adore one only Deity, the author of all blessings; we are commanded to reverence his holy name, and are reminded of the dreadful vengeance denounced against those who shall transfer to idols, or to the creature, that worship which is due only to the Creator. To prevent the neglect of those sacred obligations, we are commanded to abstain from work one day in each week, that it may be more immediately devoted to the duties of religion. Four of the precepts of the Mosaic code comprehend the principles of universal jurisprudence. Thou shalt not kill: Thou shalt not commit adultery: Thou shalt not steal: Thou shalt not bear false witness. They have formed the basis of criminal law in all civilized nations, and are essential to the good order of society.

16. When Moses descended from the mountain, and found the people worshipping a golden calf, transported with holy indignation at the sight, he threw down the tables of the law, and broke them into pieces: then seizing the idol, he immediately broke it down and cast it into the fire; and placing himself at the entrance of the camp, he proclaimed aloud that all those who still retained a sense of their duty to God, should come forth and join him. The faithful tribe of *Levi*, having arranged themselves by his side, Moses ordered them to march through the camp and put to death all who came in their way. The order was immediately executed, and above twenty thousand men were put to death, to expiate the guilt of those who remained.

17. Moses, by the express command of God, having prepared two tables of stone, like those he had broken, went again to the summit of Sinai, where he received the same words engraved on

With what were they supplied? On one occasion, what is related? In the second year, what was the number found to be? At Mount Sinai, what was given? What is said of Moses?—15. What did Moses receive? In these, what are contained? What are we directed, &c.? To prevent, &c., what are we commanded? What do four of these precepts comprehend? What are these four precepts?—16. What is now said of Moses? What did he do with the idol? What did Moses order them? How many were put to death?—17. What did Moses now do?

them which had been engraved on the first. When he came down from the mountain and approached the camp, the Israelites perceived a bright halo of glory which encircled his countenance, and made them afraid to approach him. Being told the cause of their dread, he covered his face with a veil, which he afterwards continued to wear. Moses then caused the tabernacle to be built, which was a quadrangular tent, thirty cubits in length, and nine in breadth. The inside of the tabernacle was hung with richly embroidered tapestry, and was divided into two parts by four pillars, before which was suspended a veil of the most exquisite needlework, variegated with the brightest colouring of purple and scarlet. The apartment enclosed behind the veil was called the holy of holies, and the space between the veil and the entrance was called the sanctuary. The tabernacle being finished, the ark of the covenant was made. It measured two cubits and a half in length, one and a half in breadth, and the same in height; it was made of incorruptible wood, plated within and without with the purest gold, and covered with a lid, also of solid gold, which was called the mercy-seat. On the mercy-seat were placed two cherubs face to face, with their wings extended, so as to cover the ark. The ark, when finished, was placed in the tabernacle.

18. About this time Moses sent twelve men to survey the land of Canaan; all, with the exception of *Joshua* and *Caleb*, gave an unfavorable report, which caused the people to murmur. In consequence of this offence, God condemned all those who were twenty years of age, when they left Egypt, to die in the wilderness, except Joshua and Caleb.

The earth opened and swallowed *Korah*, *Dathan* and *Abiram*, for heading a revolt against Moses; at the same time fire descended and destroyed two hundred and fifty of those who had participated in their offence. Moses at length died at the age of one hundred and twenty years, on mount *Nebo*, in the land of Moab, having first taken a view of the promised land, which he was not permitted to enter. After the death of Moses, Joshua was acknowledged his successor in the supreme temporal command, who, having conquered the various nations that opposed him, and having surmounted innumerable obstacles, finally conducted the Israelites into the land of promise.

When he came down, what is related of him? What did he cause to be built? Describe the tabernacle? The tabernacle being finished, what was made? What did it measure? On the mercy-seat what was placed?—18. At this time, what was done? What was their report? In consequence of this, to what were they condemned? What is related of Korah? At what age did Moses die, and where? After the death of Moses, what did Joshua, his successor, do?

SECTION II.

The government of the Judges; the regal government; the restoration of the Jews from captivity,

1. The period during the government of the judges was extremely turbulent, and marked by an almost uninterrupted series of hostilities with their warlike neighbours. We are not informed for a certainty as to the manner of choosing the judges, and what was the extent of their power. They appear to have been military chiefs for the command of the army, and some of them acquired a distinguished fame by their successful expeditions against the enemies of their country. The most distinguished of those who filled the office of judge were the two last, *Eli* and *Samuel*. Eli, who united in his person the duties of judge with the functions of high-priest, appears to have been incapable of discharging the obligations dependent on these two important offices. The people fell into idolatry, and, in punishment of their crimes, were subjugated by an ancient nation called the Philistines. In a great battle with the Philistines, the Hebrew army was defeated with dreadful slaughter, the two sons of Eli were slain, and the ark of the covenant fell into the hands of the enemy. At the news of this disaster, the venerable high-priest, Eli, now sightless with age, fell backward from his seat and expired on the spot.

2. The next and last judge of the Hebrews, was Samuel the prophet, A. C. 1112. He brought back the people to a sense of their duty, and soon restored the fallen glory of Israel by a signal victory over the Philistines. Peace was restored, public virtue again flourished, and Samuel for twenty years governed the Hebrew nation with wisdom and prudence. When age had rendered him incapable of executing his laborious duties, he united his two sons with him in the administration of the government. But the conduct of Samuel's sons, who did not inherit their father's virtue, gave offence to the Israelites, and they desired to be governed by a king, like the other nations around them. Samuel at length yielded to their request, and privately anointed *Saul*, the son of *Cis*, of the tribe of Benjamin, as king of Israel. Samuel then assembled the tribes, that they might elect a person to rule over them, and having cast their votes, the lot fell upon the very person of Saul.

3. This event confirmed what had already passed in private, and indisputably proves that God presides over and directs the affairs of man. The name of Saul was immediately echoed through the tribes; and on being presented before them, the whole multitude exclaimed, God save the king. This event took

1. What was the period during the government of the judges? What do they appear to have been? Who were the most distinguished? What is said of Eli? Into what did the people fall? In a great battle, what took place? At the news of this disaster, what happened?—2. Who was the last judge? What is said of him? Why did the Israelites desire to be governed by a king? Who was the first king?—3. What is said of this event? What did the multitude exclaim?

place after the government of the judges had subsided, with some intermission, for three hundred and fifty-six years from the time of Joshua, A. C. 1091.

4. The beginning of the reign of Saul was auspicious, and distinguished by a complete victory over the Philistines, Amonites, and other nations. He was at length ordered by Almighty God through the prophet Samuel, to destroy the Amalekites, an idolatrous and perfidious nation, the ever-declared enemies of the Hebrew people; and not to reserve the least thing that belonged to them. In obedience to this order, Saul put himself at the head of his army and marched against that devoted people; but far from complying with the letter of his instructions, he spared the life of their king, reserved the choicest of the flocks, and took to himself the most precious of the spoils. On account of this and other acts of disobedience, Samuel, on the part of God, declared to Saul that the kingdom of Israel should be taken from him and transferred to another. Accordingly Samuel, by the divine direction, privately anointed David king, and appointed him to succeed to the throne, which Saul had forfeited by his crimes. The whole reign of Saul was a continued series of foreign or domestic troubles; being at length defeated in a war with the Philistines, he killed himself by falling upon his own sword, after a reign of forty years, A. C. 1051.

5. *David*, who had been anointed king by Samuel before the death of Saul, and held his title by divine appointment, was therefore acknowledged by the powerful tribe of Judah. He found, however, a powerful rival in Ishbosheth, the son of Saul, who founded his claim on the right of descent, and was supported by many of the tribes. A civil war ensued, which continued for seven years, and was finally terminated by the death of Ishbosheth; after this event all the tribes submitted to David, and the crown became hereditary in his family.

6. The reign of David was illustrious and interesting. He enlarged the bounds of his kingdom, took Jerusalem, which he made the capital of his dominions, and enriched himself and his subjects by the spoils of his enemies. He revived among the people an attachment for religion, by the institution of solemn ceremonies; and he introduced a taste for the arts by inviting into the country able artists, for the completion of the magnificent edifices which he erected. The latter part of his reign was imbittered by severe affliction. The kingdom was ravaged by pestilence, famine, and disastrous wars. His mind was harassed by domestic misfortunes. Some of his sons were disobedient and wicked. His favorite son, *Absalom*, excited a rebellion against his father, with a design of dethroning him; but he was defeated and slain. David caused his son Solomon to be crowned in the year 1011, before the Christian era, and died the following year,

When did this take place?—4. What is said of the beginning of the reign of Saul? What was he ordered? What did he do? On account of this, what did Samuel do? Whom did he anoint as king? How did Saul die?—5. What is said of David? What did he find? What ensued?—6. What is said of the reign of David? What did he do? What is said of the latter part of his reign? Of his favorite son Absalom?

having reigned seven years and a half over Judah, and thirty-three years over all Israel.

7. During the reign of *Solomon*, the kingdom of Israel rose to a higher degree of prosperity, felicity, and glory, than it enjoyed at any former or subsequent period. He directed the councils of all the petty states situated between the Euphrates and Mediterranean, and held the balance of power between the two great monarchies of Egypt and Assyria. Commerce was in a high degree flourishing; the vessels of Israel, under the direction of Tyrian mariners, traded to the land of Ophir, which is supposed to be a district of Ethiopia, on the eastern coast of Africa. By these lucrative voyages they augmented the wealth of the nation, which David had already enriched by the spoils of war. But this prosperity began at length to decline. Solomon, elated by the uniform prosperity which attended his reign, set no bounds to his magnificence and luxury, and in order to support his profuse expenditure, laid heavy taxes upon the people; this finally alienated the affections of his subjects, and towards the close of his reign gave rise to a powerful faction, headed by a young man called Jeroboam.

8. The most remarkable event in the reign of Solomon was the building of a magnificent temple at Jerusalem, which was completed in the space of seven years. The plan had been formed by David, and materials, workmen, and money provided for its erection. It was probably the most superb and costly fabric of ancient times.

The wisdom of Solomon is proverbial. The books of Proverbs and Ecclesiastes are ascribed to him, either as the author or collector; they abound with precepts and maxims applicable to every state and condition of life. But notwithstanding the superior wisdom for which Solomon has been so justly celebrated, he appears to have been immersed in sensual pleasures. He married no fewer than a thousand wives, seven hundred of whom held the rank and title of queens. The influence of these women, chosen for the most part from idolatrous nations, led him into effeminacy and neglect of his important duties to God and his people; and their influence and superstitions at length drew him into idolatry. He died after an illustrious reign of forty years, leaving the world in doubt whether his memory be more worthy of praise or censure, whether he died a friend or enemy of his Creator. A. C. 971.

9. With Solomon expired the grandeur and tranquillity of the Hebrews. Upon the accession of his son *Rehoboam* to the throne, the faction of Jeroboam broke out into open rebellion, and terminated in the revolt of the ten tribes from their allegiance to the house of David, leaving only the two tribes of Judah and Benja-

How long did he reign?—7. During the reign of Solomon, what is said of the kingdom of Israel? What did he direct? What is said of commerce? What did Solomon do when elated by prosperity?—8. What was the most remarkable event of his reign? What was it probably? What books are ascribed to him? In what does he appear to have been immersed? How many wives had he? Into what was he drawn? When did he die?—9. On the accession of Rehoboam, what broke out?

min loyal to their lawful sovereign. The revolted tribes elected Jeroboam for their king, and the monarchy was thus divided into two separate kingdoms of Israel and Judah.

10. The policy of *Jeroboam* produced a religious as well as a political separation. Being persuaded that should he permit his subjects to go into the kingdom of Judah, in order to perform the duties of religion in the temple at Jerusalem, they would by degrees lose their respect for his authority, and perhaps return to the allegiance of their former sovereign; he therefore ordered two new temples to be built, the one at Bethel and the other at Dan; and in them two golden calves to be set up and divine honors to be paid to them, as to the God who had conducted the children of Israel out of the land of Egypt. Jeroboam, after a turbulent reign of twenty-two years, finished a wicked life by an unhappy end. His name is never mentioned in holy scripture but with detestation, on account of his having set up the worship of idols, which was continued by all the kings who succeeded to the throne of Israel, until an end was put to that kingdom by the Assyrians.

11. After this memorable epoch, the history of the two kingdoms of Israel and Judah, for a period of almost four hundred years, exhibits a series of disunion, vice, wars, massacres, servitude, and affliction from famine and pestilence. At length, the kingdom of the ten tribes was extinguished. The people were transported into Assyria and dispersed into different parts of the country, from which they never returned. The few left in Canaan were intermixed with strangers, and from that mixture of different nations originated a race of people, who were afterwards known by the name of Samaritans. This event took place about 720 A. C., after the kingdom had subsisted two hundred and fifty-four years.

12. The tottering kingdom of Judah still continued to enjoy a precarious existence; it was invaded at different times by the Babylonians; at length rendered tributary, and finally subjugated; its metropolis, the city of Jerusalem, was destroyed, the temple was demolished by the order of the conqueror, Nebuchadnezzar; all the principal inhabitants were stripped of every thing valuable, and carried captives to Babylon. Thus ended the kingdom of Judah, after it had subsisted four hundred and sixty-eight years from the beginning of the reign of David, and three hundred and eighty-eight years after the separation of the ten tribes.

13. The privation of liberty and the miseries of bondage seem to have brought the Jewish people to a sense of their past transgressions. Unable to resist the power of man, they now placed their sole confidence in the goodness and mercy of God, who heard their supplications and looked with compassion on their

Whom did the revolted tribes elect?—10. What did the policy of Jeroboam produce? What did he order? Why is his name mentioned with detestation in the Scripture?—11. After this epoch, what does the history exhibit? What at length happened? What became of the people? When did this event take place?—12. What is said of the kingdom of Judah? What at length happened to it? What became of the inhabitants? How long had it subsisted?—13. Unable to resist the power of man, what did they do?

sufferings. *Cyrus*, king of Persia, having conquered Babylon, published a decree by which the Jewish people were set at liberty, and permitted to return to their native country, after they had languished in captivity for seventy years: the decree, moreover, allowed them to rebuild Jerusalem and their temple, of which Cyrus gave them a new plan, and ordered that the expense of erecting it should be paid out of the royal treasury. He also restored to them all the sacred vessels which had been brought to Babylon by *Nebuchadnezzar*, when the temple was destroyed. In consequence of this edict, about forty-two thousand of the Jewish people commenced their march toward their native country, where they arrived about five hundred and thirty-six years before the Christian era. From this period the Israelites, who returned from captivity, are properly called Jews, because the tribe of Judah was by far the most powerful after their restoration to liberty.

SECTION III.

From the restoration of the Jews to their native country, to the Destruction of Jerusalem by the Romans.

1. MANY of the Jews remained at Babylon, while those who returned to Palestine began the work of rebuilding the temple with alacrity and vigor. When it began to raise above the foundation, the young manifested their delight in tears of joy, whilst the ancients wept to see how far the outlines of the new edifice fell short of the old. The progress of the work suffered a temporary obstruction, through the intrigues of their enemies and the caprice of Cambyses, the successor of Cyrus. But in the beginning of the reign of Darius, the decree of Cyrus in favor of the Jews was ratified; and that prince even contributed liberally towards the expense, and in the sixth year of his reign, the temple was completed and dedicated with great solemnity.

2. Darius, during the remainder of his reign, continued to manifest his favor for the Jews, and their privileges were confirmed by his son Xerxes. Their interest was still greater with Artaxerxes, called Ahasuerus in the Scripture, through the influence of his queen, Esther, a Jewess, and also through the services of her uncle, *Mordecai*, who had discovered and frustrated a conspiracy against the king's life. From Artaxerxes, Ezra obtained liberal donations to be applied to the service of the temple, and full power to govern the Jews; and *Nehemiah* was afterwards commissioned to rebuild the walls of Jerusalem, and to

What did Cyrus publish? What did the decree allow? What did he restore? In consequence of this edict, what was done? From this period, why are they called Jews?

1. When the temple began to rise above its foundation, what is said of the young? What did the progress of the work suffer? In the beginning of the reign of Darius, what was done?—2. What did Darius continue to do? Through whose influence was their interest still greater with Artaxerxes? What did Ezra obtain from Artaxerxes?

reform many abuses among the people. *Ezra* and *Nehemah* seem to have been the two last governors of Judah, which probably became subject to the governor of Syria, from whom the high-priest derived his authority.

3. From this period, most of the calamities which befel the Jewish nation must be ascribed to the men who aspired to the sacerdotal dignity, through motives of ambition and avarice more than zeal for religion. For several centuries, the office of high priest was the chief object of ambition among the leading men of the state. The candidates purchased the office from the Assyrian governors, and retained it by means of money. Hence they oppressed the people with taxes that they might meet their pecuniary engagements, and the high-priest, Menelaus, sold some of the richest vessels belonging to the temple.

4. About the year 328, A. C., *Alexander* the Great having besieged Tyre, was greatly incensed against the Jews, because they had refused to supply his army with provisions during the siege. After the taking of Tyre, he marched to Jerusalem with the intention of punishing the Jews for their disobedience to his orders. Juddica, the high-priest, was ordered in a dream to meet the threatening conqueror in his pontifical robes, at the head of all the priests in their proper habits, and attended by the people dressed in white garments. Alexander was struck with this religious pomp, and approaching the high-priest with the deepest respect, embraced him with a kind of religious veneration. He told his attendants, who expressed their surprise at this submissive behavior, that he did not pay this profound respect to the high-priest, but to the God whose minister he was.

5. Alexander, on his departure, granted to the Jews the freedom of their country, laws and religion, and exempted them from paying tribute every seventh year; and during his whole reign they enjoyed great tranquillity; but with him the prosperous condition of their country expired. Judea was successively invaded and subdued by the Syrians and Egyptians, and the people reduced to bondage. The Jews kept the Sabbath so rigidly, that they would not, on that day, engage in battle nor defend themselves, although attacked by an enemy. Ptolemy, king of Egypt, having invaded Judea, took advantage of this religious impediment. He entered Jerusalem on the Sabbath-day without resistance, and carried away to Egypt one hundred thousand captives.

6. About the year 198, A. C., *Antiochus* the Great, king of Syria, after taking the city of Jerusalem, and plundering the temple, sold forty thousand Jews to the neighboring nations, and established paganism through Judea. The sacrifices ceased, and for a season there scarcely existed the slightest external signs of religion. During the scene of desolation which stained the land

Who seem to have been the last governors of Judah?—3. From this period, to what must most of the calamities be ascribed? From whom did the candidates purchase the office?—4. What happened about the year 328? What is related of Juddica, the high-priest? What did Alexander tell his attendants?—5. What was granted to the Jews by Alexander? By whom was Judea now invaded? What did Ptolemy, king of Egypt, do?—6. What was done by Antiochus the Great? During the scene of desolation, what did Mattathias undertake?

of Judea with the blood of its best citizens, *Mattathias*, a man of the sacerdotal order, undertook the deliverance of his country He retired into the wilderness with his five sons, surnamed the *Maccabees*, and was soon joined by a great number of the Jews, who wished to avoid idolatry and religious persecution. An army was shortly raised sufficiently strong to face the enemy in the field. Mattathias, placing himself at the head of his forces, led them against the troops of Antiochus, and forced them to retreat before him, and to fly for safety beyond the boundaries of Judea.

7. After the death of Mattathias, *Judas Maccabees*, his eldest son, was placed at the head of the army. The achievements of this distinguished man, the deliverance of his country from foreign oppression, his talents, bravery, and patriotism, have ranked him among the most illustrious heroes of Greece and Rome. His patriotism was only surpassed by his zeal for religion. Having vanquished the enemies of his country, his first care was to repair the devastations they had caused. The temple was in a desolate condition, the altar and sanctuary profaned, the gates burned, and court overgrown with shrubs. Having appointed priests of unblemished character for the performance of the sacrifices, he repaired the holy places, threw down the altar on which the idol of Jupiter stood, and having erected another, dedicated it with great joy and religious festivity. The sacred veil was again hung up, and the sacred vessels, golden candlesticks, and altar of perfumes, were again replaced. *Judas Maccabees* having thus, by many signal victories, delivered his country from bondage and idolatry, was at last slain in battle, 157, A. C.

8. The brothers of Judas, pursuing the advantage already gained, completely established the independence of their country, and the republican form of government afterwards changed to that of a monarchy. *John Hyrcanus*, the son of Simon Maccabees, united in his person the office of high-priest and that of commander-in-chief of the army, and possessing all the abilities requisite for the military and pontifical offices, he vanquished the enemies of his country and firmly established his authority. His sons assumed the title as well as the power of kings, and the succession remained in his family for about one hundred and twenty-six years. The unfortunate dissensions of this family terminated ultimately in the conquest of Judea, by *Pompey* the Great, who took Jerusalem and subjugated the Jewish nation to the dominion of the Romans, 59, A. C.

9. After this event the Jewish monarchy was re-established by the favor and under the protection of the Romans, who placed *Herod* the Great, son of *Antipater*, on the throne of David. This prince demolished the old temple of Jerusalem, and rebuilt in a very magnificent manner. He reigned with great splendor, but

Where did he retire?—7. Who was now placed at the head of the army? What is said of his achievements? Of the temple? What did he throw down? How did Judas Maccabees die?—8. What is said of the brothers of Judas? Of John Hyrcanus? What did his sons assume? Who conquered Judea?—9 After this, by whom was the Jewish monarchy re-established? In whose favour? What did this prince do?

was cruel and despotic; his public life exhibits a continued scene of battles, tyranny, and violence. His reign is rendered memorable by the birth of our divine *Saviour, Jesus Christ.* When this remarkable event took place, the Wise Men, as the scripture calls them, from the east came to Jerusalem to adore the new-born king of the Jews, and desired to know where he was to be found. Herod, aware that he had no other title to the crown of Judea than that which the Romans had given him, was much alarmed at this inquiry which was made about another king. He therefore dismissed the Wise Men with a strict injunction to bring him back an account of the child when they had found it, that he might go, as he pretended, to adore it. The Wise Men, having paid their adoration to the infant at the manger of Bethlehem, were admonished, in their sleep, to return by another way to their country. Herod, finding himself thus deceived by the sages, with cruelty that would shock the most savage barbarian, gave orders that every male child born at Bethlehem within the two last years should be put to death. Herod died in the first year of the birth of Christ, or the fourth of the vulgar era.

10. During the reign of Herod II. *St. John* the *Baptist* was beheaded, because he reproved the monarch for the crime of marrying his brother's wife; it was also during the same reign that our Saviour's crucifixion, resurrection, and ascension took place. In the reign of his son, Herod the Great, the Apostle, *St. James*, suffered martyrdom, and *St. Peter* was imprisoned; but the unhappy monarch himself died a miserable death, being devoured by worms. Before his son, Agrippa, who was the last king of Judea, *St. Paul* pleaded in defence of the gospel. From this period the governors of Judea were appointed by the Roman emperors; in this condition it remained until the final extinction of the Jewish nation.

11. The rapacity and cruelty of Florus, the last governor of Judea, caused a rebellion of the Jews, in which one hundred and fifty thousand persons are said to have perished, A. D. 66. The unhappy Jerusalem was now hastening to its downfall; while the sanguinary and violent factions among the Jews themselves, contributed towards this event. In the reign of Vespasian, *Titus*, the Roman general, was sent into Judea to suppress the revolt of the Jews. He commenced the siege of the city about the festival of Easter, at which time an immense multitude of people was shut up within the walls. With so much ardor did Titus conduct the operations of the siege, that the city was taken within the space of five months, and so completely demolished, that not a stone was left upon a stone, except a part of the western wall, and three towers preserved for the Roman garrison left in Judea.

12. The last siege of Jerusalem was attended with scenes of

For what is his reign memorable? When this event took place, what is related? Finding himself deceived, what orders did he give?—10. Why was St. John beheaded? What took place in the reign of Herod the Great? From this period, how were the governors appointed?—11. What did the rapacity of Florus cause? In the reign of Vespasian, what took place? How long did the siege last? What is said of the destruction of the city?—12 What is said of the siege of Jerusalem?

carnage, famine, disease and desperation, far more horrible than any to be met with in the annals of human misery. During the calamitous progress of the siege, Titus displayed many instances of humanity towards the besieged, and made every effort for the preservation of the city and temple, but in vain; and viewing the disasters that befel the nation, he confessed that he was only the instrument of divine vengeance. The magnificent temple of the Jews perished with the general wreck of the nation, thus literally fulfilling the predictions of our Lord concerning the utter destruction of Jerusalem. This event took place about the year 72, A. D.

13. According to the most accurate calculation, about eleven hundred thousand Jews perished during the siege of their capital, and ninety-seven thousand, who were made prisoners, were sold as slaves to different nations. Since that time the descendants of those who survived the dissolution of the Jewish nation have been wandering from nation to nation, objects of contempt rather than of commiseration. In but few countries have they enjoyed the same privileges as those among whom they were permitted to reside.

14. Antiquities. The country of the ancient Hebrews was distinguished by several names, such as the land of *Canaan*, the *Holy Land*, *Palestine*, *Judea*, &c.; and the people themselves were variously called, as the people of God, Israelites and Jews. After the entrance of the Israelites into the land of Canaan, it was divided into twelve different portions, which were assigned to the twelve several tribes into which they were separated.

15. Remains of Ancient Works. Among the ancient works of Palestine, *Jacob's well*, the *pools* of *Solomon*, *Gihon*, and *Bethesa*, and sepulchral monuments, are the most remarkable. Jacob's well is highly venerated on account of its great antiquity It is hewn out of the solid rock, about one hundred feet in depth, and nine in diameter, and is at present covered with a stone vault.

The pools of Solomon, supposed to have been constructed by the order of that monarch, appear to have been a work of immense cost and labor. They are three in a row, so situated that the water of the uppermost may fall into the second, and from second to the third. They are of equal breadth, being about ninety paces each; though they vary in length, the longest being two hundred and twenty paces. The pools of Gihon and Bethesa are similar works, and may be ranked among the most stately ruins. The sepulchral monuments are to be found in various parts of the country. The most magnificent remains of this kind are the royal sepulchres within the walls of Jerusalem; they are all hewn out of the solid marble rock, and contain several spacious apartments.

Of Titus? Of the temple? When did this event take place?—13. How many Jews perished during the siege? How many were sold as slaves? Since that time what is said of the inhabitants?—14. What is said of the country? How was it divided?—15. What are some of the ancient works of Palestine? What is said of Jacob's well? Of Solomon's pools? What are the pools Gihon and Bethesa? Which are the most magnificent of the sepulchral monuments?

16. Cities. Of these, *Jerusalem*, the metropolis of the country and the centre of the Jewish worship, was the most celebrated, and no place in the world recalls so many hallowed associations The city was built on several hills, the largest of which was Mount Zion. It was enlarged and embellished by David, Solomon, and other kings. On the east or lower city was Mount Moriah, on which stood the magnificent temple of Solomon. After the destruction of Jerusalem by Titus, a new city was built in the reign of *Adrian*, the Roman emperor, and called *Ælia Capitolina*, but there was a considerable alteration in the site. Mount Zion, the principal quarter of the ancient city, was not comprised within the limits of the new one. It subsists at the present time in a deplorable condition, inhabited by Turks, Jews, and Christians. *Gaza* and *Ascalon* were the other two most noted cities.

17. Manners and Customs. The rite of circumcision has distinguished the Jewish people from the earliest period of their history. It was always accompanied with great feasting and other demonstrations of joy. At this time, the child was named in the presence of the company assembled, among whom bread and wine were distributed.

Their diet, except on festivals, seems to have been very plain. bread, water, and vinegar were in common use. Honey was esteemed a peculiar delicacy, and the milk of goats was considered excellent for food.

Their amusements seem to have consisted chiefly in social repasts, music, and dancing, which partook of a religious character. Their mourning for the death of friends was expressed by rending their garments, tearing their hair, heaping ashes upon their heads wearing sackcloth, and lying on the ground. From the pains they took to provide a place of burial for themselves and their descendants, it is evident that they considered it a heavy calamity to be denied a burial, and a favor to be interred among their ancestors. Their sepulchres were on their own land, and were often cut out of a rock.

18. The language of the Jews was the Hebrew, the genius of which is pure, primitive, and natural; and it is highly probable that they had the art of writing very early. The materials on which they first wrote were plates of stone; they afterwards used what was called rolls, which is supposed to be a kind of parchment. The arts in which they most excelled were those of war, husbandry, poetry, and music. Their situation made them a warlike people, being surrounded by enemies. Their arms of defence were the shield, helmet, coat of mail, and breast-plate; their offensive weapons were the two-edged sword, javelin, sling, and the bow and arrow. In poetry they peculiarly excelled; their inspired productions, as to native energy and beauty, are unrivalled.

16. Which was the most celebrated city? On what was it built? When was a new city built? What are the other most noted cities?—17. What rite has distinguished the Jewish people? What is said of their diet? Of what did their amusements consist? How was their mourning for the dead expressed?—18. What is said of their language? On what did they write? What did the situation make them? What were their arms? In poetry, what is said of them?

MYTHOLOGY OF ANCIENT NATIONS.

1. All the nations of antiquity, except the Jews, were heathens and idolaters. Their system of religion was called Polytheism, as it acknowledged a plurality of gods, and they worshipped their divinities by various representations, called idols. The first objects of adoration among the pagan nations, after they had lost the correct knowledge of the true God, were the heavenly bodies. Hence we find that the names of the principal gods correspond with the names of the chief planets, such as *Saturn*, *Jupiter*, *Venus*, &c. *Osiris* and *Isis*, the principal deities among the Egyptians, are supposed to have been the sun and moon. In the process of time, they built temples to the heavenly bodies, as being subordinate agents of the divine power, and by worshipping them they supposed they would obtain the favor of the Deity. From this they descended to the worship of objects on the earth, as they were thought to represent the stars or the Deity; thus idolatry arose shortly after the deluge.

2. In the course of time, adoration was bestowed on those objects which were thought to confer peculiar benefits on man. Thus the Egyptians regarded the Nile as sacred, because by its inundations it fertilized the earth. Again, great heroes and persons, who, during their lives, had been benefactors to the human race, were deified after their death. From these they descended to the worship of the most degrading objects, and paid divine honors to beasts, birds, insects, and even to vegetables, such as leeks and onions; moreover, temples were dedicated to evil demons and the most debasing passions.

3. The Babylonians adored the heavenly bodies, and among them *Jupiter* was worshipped, under the name of *Belus*, to whom magnificent temples were erected at Babylon. The Canaanites and Syrians worshipped *Baal*, *Tammuz*, *Magog*, and *Asturte*. *Moloch* was the Saturn of the Phœnicians and Carthaginians, to whom human victims, particularly children, were immolated. *Baal-peor* was the idol of the Moabites; his rites were degrading and cruel. *Dagon* was the chief god of the Philistines; his figure was a compound of a man and a fish. Among the Celts, the sacred rites were performed in groves dedicated to their gods, to whom human victims were frequently offered; colossal images of wicker-work were filled with human criminals and consumed by fire.

4. According to the pagan theology, there were twelve chief, deities engaged in the creation and government of the universe. Agreeable to this theory, *Jupiter*, *Neptune*, and *Vulcan* fabricated the world; *Ceres*, *Juno* and *Diana* animated it; *Mercury*, *Venus* and *Apollo* harmonized it; and lastly, *Vesta*, *Minerva* and *Mars*

1. What were all the nations of antiquity? What was their system called? What were the first objects of adoration? What do we find? In the process of time, what did they do?—2. What did the Egyptians regard? Why? To what was divine honours paid?—3. What is said of the Babylonians? What was Moloch? Baal-peor? Dagon? Among the Celts, where were the sacred rites performed?—4. Agreeable to this theory, what is said of the world? What were these twelve called?

presided over it with a guardian power, and these twelve were called the celestial deities.

Jupiter, who was represented as supreme, and styled the father of the gods and men, was the son of *Saturn* and *Cybele*, and was born on Mount Ida, in Crete. He deposed his father, and divided the world between himself and his two brothers, *Neptune* and *Pluto*. Neptune had the jurisdiction over the sea, and Pluto that of the infernal regions; but the sovereignty of heaven and earth he reserved to himself. One of his chief exploits was the conquest of the Titans or giants, who are said to have placed several mountains on each other, in order to scale the heavens. He is generally represented as a majestic personage, seated upon a throne, with a sceptre in one hand and thunderbolts in the other. The heavens tremble at his nod, and he governed all things except the Fates.

5. Apollo was the son of *Jupiter* and *Latona*, and was born on the island of Delos. He presided over music, medicine, poetry, the fine arts, and archery. For his offence in killing the Cyclops, he was banished from heaven, and obliged to hire himself as a shepherd to *Admetus*, king of Thessaly, in which employment he remained for nine years. His exploits are represented as extraordinary; among others he caused *Midas* to receive a pair of asses' ears, for preferring *Pan's* music to his; he turned into a violet the beautiful boy Hyacinth, whom he accidentally killed; and changed *Daphne* into a laurel.

6. Mars was the son of *Jupiter* and *Juno*. He was the god of war, and the patron of all that is cruel and furious; the horse, the wolf, the magpie and vulture, were offered to him. During the Trojan war, Mars was wounded by *Diomedes*, and retreating to heaven, he complained to Jupiter that Minerva had directed the weapon of his antagonist. He is represented as an old man, armed and standing in a chariot drawn by two horses, called Fright and Terror; his sister Bellona was his charioteer; Discord went before him in a tattered garment with a torch, *Anger* and *Clamor* followed.

7. Mercury, the son of *Jupiter* and *Maia*, was the messenger of the gods, and the patron of travellers, shepherds, orators, merchants, thieves and dishonest persons. He was doubtless some enlightened person, in a remote age, who, on account of his actions and services, was worshipped after his death. He seems to have been the first who taught the arts of civilization.

Vulcan, the son of *Jupiter* and *Juno*, was the god of fire, and the patron of those who wrought in the metallic arts. He was kicked out of heaven by Jupiter, for attempting to deliver his mother from a chain by which she was suspended. He continued to descend for nine successive days and nights, and at length fell upon the isle of Lemnos, but was crippled by the fall. He was

What is Jupiter styled? What did he do? What is one of his chief exploits? How is he represented?—5. Who was Apollo? Over what did he preside? From where was he banished? What were his exploits?—6. Who was Mars? Of what was he the god? During the Trojan war, what is said of him? How is he represented?—7. What was Mercury? What did he teach? Who was Vulcan? What is said of him? What did he forge?

the artificer of heaven, and forged the thunderbolts of Jupiter, also the arms of the gods.

8. Juno, styled the queen of heaven, was both the sister and wife of Jupiter. In her character, she was haughty, jealous, and inexorable. In her figure she was lofty, graceful and majestic; *Iris*, displaying the rich colors of the rainbow, was her usual attendant.

Minerva, the goddess of wisdom, was the most accomplished of all the goddesses, and the only divinity that seems equal to Jupiter. She is said to have instructed man in the arts of ship-building, navigation, spinning, and weaving. Her worship was universally established, but at Athens it claimed particular attention. The owl was sacred to her.

Venus, the goddess of love and beauty, was the daughter of *Jupiter* and *Dione*, or, as some say, she sprung from the foam of the sea. Her worship was licentious in a high degree, and attended with most disgraceful ceremonies.

Diana was the queen of the woods, and the goddess of hunting. She devoted herself to perpetual celibacy, and was attended by eighty nymphs. The poppy was sacred to her.

9. Ceres, the daughter of *Saturn* and *Cybele*, was the goddess of corn and harvest, and the first who taught the cultivation of the earth. The Eleusinian Mysteries were celebrated in her honor.

Vesta was the goddess of fire, and the guardian of houses. She was represented in a long flowing robe, a veil on her head, a lamp in one hand, and a javelin in the other.

10. Neptune, the brother of *Jupiter*, was the second in rank among the gods, and reigned over the sea. He is represented seated on a chariot drawn by dolphins and sea horses; in his hand he holds a trident or sceptre, with three prongs. *Oceanus*, a sea god, was called the father of rivers. Triton, also a marine deity, was the son of *Neptune* and *Amphritite;* he was his father's companion and trumpeter. *Nereus*, a sea god, the son of *Oceanus*, was the father of fifty daughters, who were called *Nereides*. *Proteus*, the son of Oceanus, could foretell future events, and change himself into any shape.

11. The infernal deities were, *Pluto* and his consort *Proserpine*, *Plutus*, *Charon*, the *Furies*, *Fates*, and the three judges, *Minos*, *Æacus*, and *Rhadamanthus*. Pluto, who exercised dominion over the infernal regions, was the brother of Jupiter. The goddesses all refusing to marry him, on account of his deformity and gloomy disposition, he seized upon Proserpine, the daughter of Ceres, in Sicily, opened a passage through the earth, and carried her to his residence; and having married her, made her queen of hell. There were no temples raised to his honor. Plutus, an infernal deity, was the god of riches; he was lame, blind, injudicious, and timorous.

8. What was Juno? What was she in her character? In her figure? What was Minerva? What is said of her? What was sacred to her? Who was Venus? What is said of her worship? Who was Diana?—9. Who was Ceres? What were celebrated in her honour? What was Vesta? How was she represented?—10. What was Neptune? How is she represented? Who was Oceanus? Triton? Nereus? Proteus?—11. Name the infernal deities? What is said of Pluto? Who was Plutus? What was he?

12. Charon was the ferryman, who conducted the ghosts across the river *Lethe*, on their way to Pluto's regions. He is represented as an old man, with white hair, a long beard, and garments deformed with filth, and remarkable for the harshness of his speech, and ill temper. None could enter Charon's boat if they had not received a regular burial; without this, they were supposed to wander a hundred years amidst the mud and slime of the shore. Each ghost paid a small brass coin for his fare.

13. The Furies were three in number, namely: *Alecto*, *Tisiphone* and *Megæra*. They had the faces of women, but their looks were full of terror, they held lighted torches in their hands, and snakes lashed their necks and shoulders. Their office was to punish the crimes of wicked men, and to torment the consciences of secret offenders.

The Fates were three daughters of *Jupiter* and *Themis*. Their names were, *Clotho*, *Lachesis*, and *Atropos*. They decided on the fortunes of mankind; Clotho drew the thread of life; Lachesis turned the wheel, and Atropos cut it with her scissors. The duty of the three judges was to assign the various punishments of the wicked, adapted to their crimes; and to place the good in the delightful realms of Elysium.

14. There were many other divinities of various characters, such as *Bacchus*, *Cupid*, the *Muses*, the Graces, &c. Bacchus, the son of Jupiter and Semele, was the god of wine. His festivals were celebrated by persons of both sexes, who dressed themselves in skins, and ran shouting through the hills and country places; these solemnities were attended with the most disgusting scenes of intoxication and debauchery. The fir, the fig tree, ivy and vine were sacred to him.

Cupid, representing the passion of love, was a beautiful winged boy; often with a bandage over his eyes, also with a bow and arrow in his hand, with which to wound the hearts of mortals.

The *Muses* were nine in number, namely: *Calliope*, who presided over eloquence, and heroic and epic poetry; *Clio* presided over history; *Erato* was the Muse of elegiac and lyric poetry; *Euterpe* presided over music; *Melpomene* was the inventress and muse of tragedy; *Polyhymnia* was the muse of singing and rhetoric; *Terpsichore* presided over dancing; *Thalia*, the muse of pastoral and comic poetry; and *Urania*, who presided over hymns and sacred subjects; and also the muse of astronomy.

15. The Graces were the three daughters of *Bacchus* and *Venus*; they were supposed to give to beauty all its charms of attraction. Besides these, there were several rural deities; such as *Pan*, the god of shepherds and hunters; *Sylvanus*, who presided over the woods; *Priapus*, the god of the gardens; *Terminus*, who was considered as watching over the boundaries of land; and others

12. Who was Charon? How is he represented? What is said of those who did not receive a regular burial?—13. Name the Furies? What had they? What was their office? Name the Fates? What did each one do? What was the duty of the judges?—14. Name some of the other divinities? What was Bacchus? What is said of his festivals? What is said of Cupid? What was the number of the muses, and over what did they preside?—15. Who were the Graces? What was Pan? Sylvanus? Priapus? Terminus?

The *Sirens* were three fabulous persons, who are said to have had the faces of women, and the lower parts of their bodies like a fish. They had such melodious voices that mariners were often allured and destroyed by them. The *Gorgons* were three sisters, who are said to have had the power of transforming those into stones who looked upon them. The *Harpies* were winged monsters, which had the face of a woman, the body and wings of a vulture, claws on the hands and feet, and the ears of a bear.

16. The objects of worship among the ancient nations, particularly among the Greeks and Romans, are said to have amounted to thirty thousand. To these, temples were erected, festivals instituted, games celebrated, and sacrifices offered, with a greater or less degree of pomp, according to the degree of estimation in which the deity was held. The most celebrated temples of antiquity, were those of *Diana* at *Ephesus*, of Apollo, in the city of *Miletus*, of Cerus and Proserpine, at *Eleusis*, and that of Jupiter Olympus, and the Parthenon of Minerva, at *Athens*. The famous temple of Diana, at Ephesus, one of the seven wonders of the world, was completed two hundred and twenty years after its foundation. It was four hundred and twenty-five feet in length, and two hundred in breadth: the roof was supported by one hundred and twenty-seven columns, sixty feet high, placed there by so many kings. This temple was burnt on the night that Alexander the Great was born, by *Erostratus*, who alleged that he perpetrated the deed merely for the purpose of immortalizing his name in destroying so magnificent a building.

17. Oracles were consulted, particularly by the Greeks and Romans, on all important occasions, and their determinations were held sacred and inviolable. The most celebrated oracles were those of *Apollo*, at *Delphi* and *Delos;* the oracles of Jupiter, at *Dodona*, and that of *Trophonius;* where future events were made known to those who sought to know the will of the gods. The responses were generally delivered by a priestess, who was supposed to be divinely inspired; but usually in verse, and contained in very ambiguous language, so that one answer would agree with various and sometimes opposite events. It must, however, be confessed, that sometimes the answers of the oracles were substantially correct, a fact which is proved by many passages in ancient history; but it is a question among the learned, whether the answers of the oracles should be ascribed to the operations of demons, or only to the imposture of men. The best established opinion is, that demons were the real agents in the oracles, although we find many instances in Grecian history, where the Delphic priestess suffered herself to be corrupted by presents, and gave an answer to suit the will or to gratify the passions or inclination of those who came to consult her.

18. There is one fact, however, deserving of notice namely,

What were the Sirens? The Gorgons? The Harpies?—16. What was the number of objects of worship? Name the most celebrated temples? What is said of the temple of Diana at Ephesus? By whom was it burnt?—17. What is said of Oracles? Which were the most celebrated? How were the responses given? What is a question among the learned? What is the best established opinion?

that the responses of the oracles ceased when Christianity began to be preached; not on a sudden, but in proportion as its salutary doctrines became known to mankind. *Tertullian*, in one of his apologies, challenges the pagans to make the experiment, and consents that a Christian should be put to death, if he did not oblige the oracles to confess themselves devils. *Lactantius* informs us that every Christian could silence the oracles only by making the sign of the cross. When Julian, the apostate, went to Daphne, near Antioch, to consult Apollo, the god, notwithstanding all the sacrifices offered to him, continued mute, and only recovered his speech to answer those who inquired the cause of his silence, and ascribed it to the interment of certain Christian bodies in the neighborhood.

19. The ancients generally inculcated the belief in a future state of existence, believing that the virtuous would be happy in *Elysium* or *Paradise*, and that the wicked would be miserable in *Tartarus*, or *Hell*. Of hell, they drew the most gloomy and horrific picture, where men, who had been remarkable for their crimes, while on earth, were punished with a variety of tortures. On the contrary, the prospect of Elysium was described as beautiful and inviting in the highest degree. In that delightful region, there was no inclement weather, but mild winds constantly blew from the ocean, to refresh the inhabitants, who lived without care or anxiety; the sky was perpetually serene, and the fertile earth produced, twice a year, delicious fruit in abundance.

18. What fact deserves notice? Of what does Lactantius inform us? What is related of Julian?—19. Of what did the ancients inculcate the belief? Of hell, what picture did they draw? How was Elysium described?

MODERN HISTORY.

VARIOUS periods have been adopted by different historians for the commencement of Modern History. Some have adopted the establishment of the Western Empire, under Charlemagne, A. D. 800; others again, have taken the downfall of the Western Empire of the Romans, A. D. 476, while the majority assume the commencement of the Christian era. That portion of Modern History, styled the Middle Ages, will claim our first attention.

THE MIDDLE AGES.

1. THE period intervening between the subversion of the Western Empire of the Romans, to the downfall of the Eastern Empire, has been usually denominated the Middle Ages,* and by some writers, the Dark Ages. The great majority of writers represent Europe, during those ages, as sunk in ignorance and barbarism. How far they are correct in their estimate, we leave for the reader to judge, after giving a summary view of these ages separately.

2. THE SIXTH AGE. During the early part of the preceding century, the Roman Empire of the West had been rapidly on the decline, until its final overthrow in the year 476. The inundation of the northern hordes of Goths, Vandals, and Huns, under Alaric, Attila, and other leaders, had swept from the face of Italy almost every trace of civilization, and planted on its once fertile plains a wild and savage race of barbarians. Under the oppressive reign of Anastasius in the East, insurrections prevailed in the provinces, and sedition at Constantinople itself. The empire was assailed from without by the Persians, Bulgarians, Arabians, and the barbarous tribes from the north. Under his successors, Justin and Justinian, an uninterrupted series of war continued to rage in different parts of the empire. Italy and the West had been severed from it towards the close of the preceding century. After a long and sanguinary contest, during which Rome was repeatedly taken and retaken by the contending powers, Italy was again reconquered by Belisarius and Narses, the generals of Justinian.

1 What period has been denominated the Middle Ages?—2. What is said of the early part of the preceding century? What had the inundation, &c., swept from the face of Italy? What prevailed in the East? Under Justin and Justinian, what continued? What is said of Italy?

* Some historians regard only the ninth, tenth and eleventh centuries as the Middle Ages.

3. In Gaul, the Burgundians, Franks, and Visigoths were almost incessantly at war. In Africa and in Spain, the Goths and Vandals were constantly engaged in civil broils with each other, or at war with the Romans; and England, during the whole of this century, struggled for its liberty with the Saxons, Jutes and Angles, who eventually established their empire in the island, called the Heptarchy. In this violent and general confusion that prevailed throughout Christendom, we may easily infer what must have been the decay of learning and science. The rude barbarians who had subjugated nations more polished than themselves, were indebted only to their courage for success, and valued no other arts than those of managing, with effect, the buckler and the sword; literature and polite arts they esteemed below the notice of warriors who had subdued the kingdoms of the West. Whatever of learning survived the wreck of barbarian devastation, found an asylum in the monastic institutions, and in those towns where a bishop held his residence, at which a school of literature and theology was usually established.

4. If amidst the ignorance which almost universally prevailed, owing to the causes above mentioned, we find certain practices of a superstitious nature, it is not a matter of surprise. Some were persuaded that providence would not suffer perjury, falsehood, or any crime against justice, to go unpunished, and that he would never permit the innocent to perish, in whatever circumstances they might be placed. This belief gave rise to all those various kinds of ordeals, by water, by fire, single combat, and the like. Among the writers of the sixth century, the following names are eminently distinguished: St. Gregory the Great, bishop of Rome; St. Cæsarius, archbishop of Arles; Evagrius the Syrian, an ecclesiastical historian; St. Fulgentius, an African bishop; St. Gregory of Tours, who, in ten books wrote the history of the Franks; and also St. John Climacus.

5. THE SEVENTH AGE. At the commencement of this century, Phocas occupied the throne at Constantinople, a tyrant possessed of almost every vice that can inflict disgrace on humanity, without a redeeming virtue. While he amused himself with the oppression of his subjects, the barbarians overrun the provinces of the empire, and filled them with carnage and desolation. Under the reign of Heraclius, his successor, the state was delivered from external foes; he wrested the provinces from the hands of the Persians, and spread the terror of his arms over the East. But his vast dominions had been depopulated by the continual wars which the empire had to sustain against the ravages of the barbarians, and by the absolute and arbitrary power of cruel and avaricious governors, while that portion of his subjects which still remained groaned under the weight of oppression.

6. Such was the state of things, when Mahometanism rose, and

3. What prevailed in Gaul? In Africa and Spain? In England? In this violent confusion, what may we *infer*? What is said of the rude barbarians? Where did learning find an asylum?—4. What is no matter of surprise? What were some persuaded? To what did this belief give rise? Who were distinguished as writers in this century?—5. Who now occupied the throne of Constantinople? Under the reign of Heraclius, what was done?—6. In this state of things, what arose?

swept like an impetuous torrent over the countries of the East, bearing down before it every trace of civilization, and every monument of art. As the conquerors of the West had formerly esteemed no other arts than those of arms, so the new race of warriors in the East, equally estranged to the advantages which science bestow, in the first transports of success destroyed every trace of literature, and every vestige of art. Such was the rapidity of their conquests, that before the end of this century they had established their dominion over Persia, Syria, Asia Minor, Arabia, and Egypt. After the taking of Alexandria, the celebrated library of that city, containing from five to seven hundred thousand volumes, was consigned to the flames.

7. The flame of war still continued to rage on the plains of Italy, where the restless Lombards resisted every effort of the Eastern emperors to re-establish their authority. France was portioned out into provinces, nominally governed by kings, who abandoned themselves to the pursuit of pleasure, and indulged in effeminacy and sloth, and left the administration of the government to a minister, styled the *Mayor* of the palace. Spain was in a state of anarchy and civil broils; no less than fourteen kings reigned in this country during this century, and of this number, one half were dethroned, or fell by the hand of some unprincipled usurper.

The general state of literature and polite arts continued to decline during this century. While religious fanaticism in the East had absorbed all the faculties of the human mind, in the West, continual and sanguinary wars had left but little leisure for the cultivation of science.

8. THE EIGHTH AGE. The empire of the caliphs continued to spread, and by the beginning of this century it extended from Canton, in China, to the southern extremity of Spain. The emperors of Constantinople, during this period, without attending to the disorders of the state, labored industriously, either to enforce some erroneous doctrine regarding faith, or more laudably to restore tranquillity to the church. Philippicus was scarcely seated on the throne, when he turned his whole attention toward the establishment of Monotheism. Leo, the Isaurian, and Constantine, his successor, were equally industrious in prohibiting the veneration paid to sacred images in the churches, while the empress Irene zealously labored to re-establish it. The edicts of Leo against sacred images, caused not only commotion in the East, but also produced insurrections in Italy, which the Lombards converted to their own advantage.

9. Under their king, Astolphus, they possessed themselves of the exarchate of *Ravena*, which, until this period, had remained under the dominion of the Eastern emperors, and subsequently undertook the conquest of Rome. When the imperial city was reduced to the last extremity, Pope Zachry applied for aid to Pe-

What did the new race of warriors do? After the taking of Alexandria, what was done?—7. Where did the flame of war still continue? What is said of France? Of Spain? What is said of the state of literature?—8. What is said of the empire of the caliphs? Of the emperors of Constantinople? Of Philippicus? Of Leo?—9. To whom did Pope Zachry apply for aid?

pin, the son of Charles Martel. That enterprising prince readily responded to the call, hastened to Italy, delivered Rome and its territories from the power of the Lombards, and with a noble generosity bestowed the territory thus acquired by his arms, on the sovereign pontiff, and raised the head of the Christian church to the title of temporal prince.

10. Literature, at the early part of this century, was still in the utmost depression; but the flame which had been almost extinguished, began once more to revive in the East. At the birth of Mahometanism, the Mussulmen declared war indiscriminately against all who refused to embrace their superstitions, and death was the usual portion of the vanquished. But after the first transports of their enthusiasm had subsided, they mitigated the cruelty of this impolitic measure, and for fear of changing their conquered territories into one vast wilderness of desolation, they granted a kind of toleration of all religions, with the exception of gross idolatry. This indulgence caused many who had not lost all taste for the arts and sciences, to settle in the dominion of the caliphs. During the reign of Ommiades, and his immediate successor Almanzor, every encouragement was given to letters and learned men.

Thus while the emperors of Constantinople were wholly employed in compelling their subjects to adopt their own respective innovations in religion, the Saracen caliphs were endeavoring to diffuse a taste for science throughout their dominions.

11. In France, the arts and sciences, which had taken refuge in the monasteries, were, during the early part of this century, banished from these sacred asylums. The continued hostilities in which the country was involved, filled every place with tumult and devastation. Ecclesiastical property was distributed among favourite chieftains, who, instead of providing for the subsistence of a competent number of clergy to serve the churches, filled the monasteries with their soldiery; while the monks and clerical men, thus compelled to live with the military, gradually imbibed their spirit. Ignorance and vice, as a natural consequence, became almost general, not only in France, but also throughout the continent of Europe, towards the middle of this century; Ireland and England were almost exclusively the seats of learning during this period.

12. Fortunately for the cause of literature, Charlemagne at this time was called to fill the throne of France. This illustrious prince formed the noble design of removing the barriers which prevented the diffusion of knowledge, and of furnishing his subjects with the means of instruction. He established schools in all the principal cities and towns, throughout his vast dominions, for the gratuitous education of children and the ignorant; he exhorted the bishops and abbots again to establish schools and universities in

What did Pepin do?—10. What is said of literature at the early part of this century? What did the Mussulmen declare? After the first transports, what did they mitigate? What did this indulgence cause?—11. In France, what is said of the arts, &c.? What is said of the monks and clerical men? Of ignorance and vice? Of Ireland, &c.?—12. Who was called to the throne of France? What is said of this prince? What did he establish?

their respective cathedrals and abbeys, for the laudable purpose of teaching the liberal arts and sciences; and invited into France the most celebrated scholars of the age; such as Alcuin, of York, Clement, and others, who were employed with considerable success, in the literary regeneration of Europe.

13. The Ninth Age. The Saracen caliphs continued to patronise learning, particularly the science of astronomy. This produced a great number of proficients in that beautiful and eminently useful branch of knowledge. Many also applied themselves to the study of judicial astrology, while others distinguished themselves in various other departments of literature. On the contrary, under the Greek emperors, the liberal arts were much neglected and despised. Leo, the Isaurian, had destroyed every thing favorable to literature, and learned men were consigned to oblivion and contempt.

14. However, towards the middle of this century we find a taste for literature manifest itself again among the Greeks. It was owing to the efforts of Amon, the Saracen caliph to attract Leo, the philosopher, to his court, that the emperor Theophilus discovered the treasure he possessed in that great man. He encouraged his talents, and intrusted him with the charge of the public instruction. Bardes, who governed under the emperor Michael, undertook, with the aid of Photius, to revive learning in the Eastern Empire, by establishing professors of the various sciences and polite arts, and attaching to their functions honorary privileges and pecuniary compensations.

15. In the West, sacred and profane learning continued to flourish in the numerous schools established by Charlemagne, until the dreadful disorders of succeeding reigns partially banished the light of science from the kingdom. After the death of that illustrious monarch, his vast dominions were inherited by Louis the Mild, who divided them between his three sons, who seem to have inherited none of the noble qualities of their father. They were continually engaged in hostilities with each other, while their kingdoms were rent with civil discords, and their provinces were inundated on every side, by the irruption of the Danes, Normans and Saracens.

16. Amidst the evils that ensued, we are not surprised that ignorance again prevailed, particularly among the nobles, who, following exclusively the profession of arms, had but little time to attend to literary pursuits, and even boasted of their want of knowledge. Hence we find that many of the deeds and legal documents of this period terminated in the following words: "And the aforesaid lord has declared that he did not know how to sign his name, owing to his being a nobleman." But while literature was neglected on the part of the nobility, the most strenuous efforts were made to keep alive the sacred flame of sci-

What is said of Alcuin, &c.?—13. What is said of the caliphs? Of the liberal arts under the Greek emperors?—14. Towards the middle of this century, what do we find? What did Bardes undertake?—15. In the West, what is said of learning? In what were they continually engaged?—16. Amidst the evils that ensued, what is said? How were many of the documents of this period written? By whom were the most strenuous efforts made?

ence, and to diffuse instruction among the people, by the clergy and prelates of the church. By a reference to the decrees of the councils, during this period, it will be seen that the ecclesiastics were unremitting in their zeal for the extension of knowledge. In the council of Toul, held in 859, princes and bishops are earnestly recommended to establish public schools, for the purpose of teaching sacred science and polite literature. In all the monasteries and episcopal houses, schools were established, in which a relish for study and literary acquirements was carefully preserved. Besides the study of the Sacred Scriptures, the students in these institutions were taught what was termed the seven liberal arts; namely, grammar, logic, rhetoric, arithmetic, geometry, astronomy and music.

17. In England, the incursions of the Danes, and the ravages of civil war, had erased almost every monument of art, and banished from the land every trace of learning; the monasteries were burned, the monks murdered or dispersed, the libraries and schools destroyed; ignorance and vice necessarily ensued. Happy for the island, at this moment of its greatest depression, Alfred the Great was called to the throne. Having delivered his country from the dominion of the Danes, and driven the barbarous invaders from his shores, he turned his whole attention towards repairing the evils which their ravages had caused.

18. To effect this object, he established throughout his dominions schools, in which were taught all the useful branches; repaired the University of Oxford, invited from foreign countries, men eminent for their learning, encouraged architecture, and laid the foundation of the British navy, which for so many centuries after maintained the empire of the sea. To this illustrious prince, England is indebted for many of the valuable laws which, at present, form the fairest feature in her constitution.

Although this age was not productive of many eminent scholars, still it has left behind it a few names that would do honor to literature even at this day. Lupus, Abbot of Ferrieres, a writer of this century, is admitted by all impartial critics to have been a man of profound erudition; and few ages can boast of a more distinguished scholar than Alfred the Great, of England.

19. The Tenth Age. The Greek empire, during this age, was distracted by a series of revolts and unnatural conspiracies. The emperor, Romanus, was dethroned by his son Constantine; Constantine, in his turn, was deprived of his crown and life, by his own ungrateful son, who, at the instigation of his wife, administered a cup of poison to his royal father. The parricide did not long enjoy the fruits of his impiety. The army declared their general, Nicephorus, emperor, who, after a short reign, was carried off by the hand of conspiracy, in order to make room for Zimisces. This last emperor fell a victim to the treachery of his

In the council of Toul, what was recommended? In the monasteries, what were established? What were the students taught?—17. In England, what had the incursions of the Danes erased? Who was called to the throne? To what did he turn his attention?—18. To effect this object, what did he do? Who was a writer of this age?—19 What is said of the Greek empire during this age? Of Romanus?

chief minister, Basil, who, dreading the punishment which his crimes had merited, contrived the death of his sovereign.

20. During these revolutions of the state, literature was not entirely neglected; some of the emperors gave considerable encouragement to science, and invited from other countries men of talents to teach at Constantinople. We do not, however, find that the Greek empire, during the tenth century, produced any distinguished writers. Learning was still patronised by the Saracen caliphs, and by the sultans, who had usurped a great part of their authority. Many of their learned men were employed in translating into the Arabic tongue the writings of the ancient philosophers; while others applied themselves with much diligence to the study of the translations, already set forth in the preceding age.

21. Italy, during this century, was greatly distracted by civil dissensions, and finally re-united to the Germanic empire by Otho I. France was exposed to the incursions of the Normans, in whose favor Charles the Simple ceded a part of Neustria, which from them was called Normandy. Tumult and disorder continued to convulse the state, until Hugh Capet was raised to the throne. The feudal system, during this period, had risen to its greatest power. During the preceding century, the vassals of the crown had been gradually increasing in power, so that at the present period, we find them possessed of almost unlimited authority. Each nobleman had his fortress and his castle, situated on some commanding eminence, which overlooked the surrounding country. Here, secure from violence, he oppressed the people, laid all travellers and merchants under contributions, and imposed upon them arbitrary tolls by way of tribute, and not unfrequently set at defiance the authority of his sovereign.

22. In Germany, the same state of things prevailed; the great, continually in arms against each other, or at war with the sovereign. Nor was England more favored than the nations on the continent; it was either harassed by new incursions of the Danes, or implicated in domestic feuds. The monastries during these evil times were almost the only asylums of learning. Here in the silence of the cloister, aloof from the turmoil of the camp, the peaceful monks continued to cultivate a taste for science and classical literature. This century produced several men eminent for their talents; among these St. Bruno, archbishop of Cologne, and St. Dunstan, in England, were particularly distinguished.

23. THE ELEVENTH AGE. In the Eastern empire, during the greater part of this century, treachery, poison, and parricide, were the usual means employed in the advancement or deposition of an emperor. Hence we may easily form an idea of the disorders of the government, and the deplorable condition of the people, who were, moreover, daily exposed to the incursions of

20. During these revolutions, what was the state of literature? By whom was learning still patronised? In what were their learned men employed?—21. What is said of Italy? Of France? Of the feudal system? What had each nobleman? What did he do?—22. In Germany what prevailed? What is said of England? Of the monasteries during these times? What did this century produce?—23. What is said of the Eastern empire during this century?

the Bulgarians, Saracens, and Turks. The arts and sciences were totally neglected until towards the middle of the century when the study of letters began again to revive. Grammar and philosophy were cultivated with much care, although the philosophy consisted chiefly in forming syllogisms and deducing sophistical conclusions; an exercise calculated rather to contract than improve the mental faculties.

24. Literature continued to meet with patronage among the Saracens, who, after subjecting Persia, Syria and Palestine, granted protection to learned men, and founded several academies. The extraordinary power exercised by the sovereign pontiff, even over temporal princes, during this and several succeeding centuries, is no doubt a matter of surprise to the reader at the present day; of this subject I will speak more at large under the head of Italy; suffice it to say at present, that this power, invested in the pope, although it may appear derogatory to the rights of princes, had, nevertheless, a salutary influence in correcting the abuses of the times, and of restraining the lawless passions of sovereigns. Fewer acts of violence were committed, the churches and monasteries were more respected, order and discipline better observed; the sciences were cultivated in peace; public academies were opened for all who wished to improve; the schools were crowded with students, full of noble emulation which diffused itself through all ranks and conditions of life.

25. During the eleventh century, the method of Alcuin was adopted in the schools under the name of *Trivium* and *Quadrivium*. The *Trivium* included grammar, logic and dialectics, while arithmetic, geometry, astronomy, and music, constituted the *Quadrivium*. But as the number of scholars multiplied, the works of Aristotle and Avicennes, with the categories attributed to St. Augustin, were very generally studied in the West. The most remarkable event towards the close of this century was the commencement of the *Crusades* or sacred wars undertaken for the recovery of Palestine from the dominion of the Turks, A. D. 1096. This century, with the latter part of the preceding, was distinguished for several important and useful inventions. (*See chronological table.*)

26. The Twelfth Age. At the commencement of this century, anarchy and confusion reigned in the East. The new states which the Christians had established in Palestine were subjects of continual war; the sultans were constantly in the field, to arrest the progress of the Crusaders. The emperors of Constantinople, unable to repel the invasion of the Saracens, and jealous of the success of the Crusaders, tampered with both, without being able to take advantage either of their victories or defeats.

What is said of the arts, &c.? Of grammar?—24. Where did literature still meet with patronage? What will no doubt be a subject of surprise? What is observed of this power? what is said of the sciences? Of public academies?—25. During the eleventh century, what method was adopted in the schools? What did the *Trivium* include? What constituted the *Quadrivium*? As the number of scholars multiplied, what was studied in the West? What was the most remarkable event of this century?—26. At the commencement of this century, what reigned in the East? What is said of the sultans? Of the emperors of Constantinople?

The state of literature, notwithstanding the unfavorable aspect of things, was gradually improving. In the midst of the confusion of war, the caliphs and sultans, being generally men of learning, paid much attention to the advancement of science. The schools and academies established throughout the Mussulman empire were highly flourishing.

27. The Greeks were somewhat improved from their frequent intercourse with the Saracens. The emulation which had been excited in the West during the preceding century, the patronage of princes, combined with the propagation of the religious orders of Citeaux, Cluni, and the Carthusians, greatly multiplied the number of schools and academies; every abbey and almost every monastery was an institution for the diffusion of literary knowledge. The art of writing was cultivated with greater application and success during this than at any former period; and the manuscripts which have descended to the present time are standing monuments of the perfection to which that art was carried during the twelfth and following centuries. The University of Padua, and that of Paris, was founded about the year 1180. The writers of this age most deserving of notice are St. Bernard, Peter, abbot of Cluni, Peter of Blois, and Pope Innocent III.

28. The Thirteenth Age. The East, at the beginning of this century, was occupied by the Moguls, Saracens, and Turks, among whom an almost uninterrupted series of wars continued to rage. Gengis-khan and his successors reduced a considerable portion of the Saracen and Turkish empires; while the princes of the West took Constantinople and established there a Latin emperor, whose successors swayed the Greek sceptre, until the middle of this age. The Greek emperors, after their restoration, were continually harassed by the Turks, who finally reduced a considerable portion of the Grecian provinces.

29. In the West, Germany was convulsed by the factions of competitors for the empire. Otho was at length acknowledged by the people, and crowned by Pope Innocent III., after a solemn promise to protect the patrimony of the pontiff. The emperor, however, on account of some disagreement with the Romans, proceeded to avenge himself by invading and ravaging the territories of the church. Upon this he was deposed by a council assembled by the pope, while the princes of Germany elected Frederick II. in his place. Otho was not disposed to yield without a contest, and thus involved the empire in all the horrors of civil war. Frederick was finally successful; the death of his rival left him in quiet possession of the throne.

30. France was either involved in hostilities with England, or engaged in a calamitous warfare with the Albigenses, who had desolated her southern provinces. Consequently the West was still the theatre of discord and civil dissension. Science was

Of the state of literature? Of schools, &c.?—27. What is said of the Greeks. What did the emulation, &c., do? What is said of the art of writing? What universities were founded? Who were writers of this age?—28. What is said of the East? What did the princes of the West do?—29. In the West, what was Germany? What did the emperor do? What was done by the council? What was the result of the civil war?—30. What is said of France?

still patronised by the Moguls, and learning continued to flourish throughout their vast empire; while on the other hand the conquests of the Turks had greatly tended to retard it within the limits of their jurisdiction. Some few men of learning flourished among the Greeks, but most of their efforts were made in vain attempts to justify their schism, and to refute the writings of the Latin theologians. In the West, science, which had been hitherto chiefly confined to the abbeys and monasteries, now burst forth from its confinement, and enlightened by its rays the whole face of Europe. The great Universities of Naples, Vienna, Salamanca, Cambridge, and Lisbon, institutions of which Europe is proud at the present day, were founded during this century. Among the scholars of this age, the following were the most distinguished: Roger Bacon, an eminent English philosopher; Matthew, of Paris, an accurate English historian, also distinguished as an orator and a poet; the names of Albertus Magnus, St. Bonaventure, and St. Thomas, of Aquin, stand pre-eminent as ecclesiastical writers.

31. THE FOURTEENTH AGE. The fourteenth century beheld the rise and progress of the savage and warlike nation of Ottomans, who were destined, at a future period, to destroy the last vestige of Roman power. Hitherto the conquest of the Turks had been confined to Asia, but under their celebrated chief, Orcan, they crossed the straits of Constantinople, and carried their victorious arms into the plains of Europe; they took several important towns, and finally made the city of Adrianople the seat of their empire. The Greek emperors, alarmed at the progress of the Turks, and feeling sensibly the want of support from the West, used the most strenuous efforts to effect the re-union of the Greek with the Latin church; but the long-settled prejudice of their subjects placed an insuperable barrier to all their endeavors.

32. Notwithstanding the distracted state of Christendom during the greater part of this century, occasioned by wars between England and France, Germany and Italy, and the great schism of the West, science continued to advance and to gain a liberal support in every part of Europe.

Such is a concise view of the condition of society, and the state of literature during that period denominated the *Middle Ages*.

33. A few of the most remarkable institutions which characterize the history of Europe and the state of society during the *Middle Ages*, were those of the *Feudal System* and *Chivalry*, the *Crusades* and *Monastic Establishments*. With respect to the three first, we will speak under their proper heads. The last, however may deserve a passing notice. Whatever may be our individual opinion with regard to the *Monastic Institutions* at the present day, all impartial historians admit that they were peculiarly beneficial to society during the *Middle Ages*.

What is said of science in the West? What universities were founded? Who were the most distinguished scholars of this age?—31. What did this century behold? What is said of the conquests of the Turks? Of the Greek emperors?—32 What is said of literature during this century?—33. What are some of the most remarkable institutions, &c.? Which deserve a passing notice? What are they admitted?

34. In these institutions, particularly the larger monasteries and abbeys, schools of instruction were established, in which a taste for the classics and the more useful branches of study were carefully preserved. In all, the monks had their various duties assigned them. While numbers were employed in transcribing books for the use of the church and schools, others were engaged in teaching in the academies attached to these institutions, while others again were occupied in manual labor, in attending to the duties of the farm, the garden, and the like, having at the same time certain hours set apart for devotional exercises.

35. Previous to the discovery of the art of printing, books were extremely scarce, and only procured at an immense price; this circumstance tended materially to retard the progress of science. The labor of transcribing a work was great, and the process tedious, hence the multiplication of books was extremely slow. The monasteries, however, supplied in some measure this deficiency, always employing a number of their inmates in the laudable work of transcribing books. They not only transcribed such books as were immediately used in the church and schools, but also carefully transcribed and preserved the writings of ancient authors; hence whatever we have of ancient literature, has been chiefly transmitted to us through the medium of the *monasteries*.

36. They served, therefore, during the *Middle Ages*, a twofold purpose, as literary institutions, where the sons of the great and the children of the poor shared alike the benefits of education; and as a source from which books of instruction were furnished, supplying, in a limited manner, the place of printing establishments of more modern times.

Like other institutions, they may have had their faults, but they were rather the faults of individuals, or the age, than of the institutions themselves. While some, under the garb of religion, may have entered them as a place for the enjoyment of ease and repose, they afforded an asylum for the poor and afflicted of every class.

SARACEN EMPIRE.

The Rise of Mahometanism, Saracen Conquests, &c.

1. The Saracens were a people who inhabited the north-western part of Arabia; like the other tribes of the country, they traced their descent from Ishmael, and professed a mixed religion, made up of Judaism and idolatry. They had but little intercourse with the neighboring nations, except when they occasionally sold

34. In these institutions, what were established? What were the duties of the monks?—35. Previous to the discovery of printing what were scarce? What did the monasteries supply? What books did they transcribe?—36. What did they serve? Like other institutions, what had they?

1 What were the Saracens? What had they?

their services, as mercenaries, to those who paid them the most liberal reward, and were usually noted for their courage and bravery. Many of the Christian sects, in order to avoid persecution, had taken refuge in Arabia, and towards the end of the sixth century, Christianity prevailed in some parts of the country.

2. Such was the state of Arabia when *Mahomet* or *Mohammed*, the famous impostor, appeared. He was a native of Mecca, and was born about the year 570. At the age of forty, he assumed the quality of a prophet, and pretended that he had received a divine commission to restore the Jewish and Christian religions, which he maintained had greatly fallen from their primitive purity. Upon this foundation he established his system of religion, which consisted of a compound of Judaism, Christianity, and of his own fanciful notions. Being subject to fits of epilepsy, he attributed them to the visits of the *Archangel Gabriel*, by whom he pretended he was taught, but whose presence he was unable to bear without trances and convulsions.

3. As Mahomet was a man of no education, even unable to read or write, the Koran, or Alcoran, the book which contains the principles of his doctrine, was compiled with the assistance of a Jewish Rabbin, and a Nestorian monk. The Koran consists chiefly of some beautiful sentences taken from Holy Writ, with a strange medley of the most absurd ideas, without connection or design, though expressed in a lofty and animated style. The two leading principles of his religion were, that "There is but one God, and Mahomet is his prophet." He taught that others, at various times, such as Abraham, Moses, and Jesus Christ, had been divinely commissioned to teach mankind, but that he himself was the last and the greatest of the prophets.

4. He propagated his religion by the sword, and stimulated the courage of his followers by the promise of a martyr's crown in a paradise of delights, to every one who should fall in battle. It was inculcated as a fundamental doctrine, that "to fight for the faith was an act of obedience to God." Hence the Mahometans styled their fierce and bloody ravages *Sacred Wars*. They termed their religion Islam or Islamism, and called themselves Mussulmans or Moslems, that is, true believers.

Mahomet, at the commencement of his efforts, had but little success in making proselytes. His first converts were his wife Kadija, his slave Zeid, his father-in-law Abubeker, and his cousin, the famous Ali. These, with ten others, were all whom he had persuaded to acknowledge the truth of his mission, at the expiration of three years.

5. A popular tumult being raised against him at Mecca, he was obliged to retire in order to save his life; he fled, in disguise, to Medina. This event, called the *Hegira*, or the Flight, forms the

What is said of the Christian sects?—2. When and where was Mahomet born? What did he pretend? Being subject to fits, to what did he attribute them?—3. How was the Koran compiled? Of what does the Koran chiefly consist? What were the two leading principles of his religion? What did he teach?—4. How did he propagate his religion? What was inculcated as a fundamental principle? What were his ravages called? What did they term themselves? Who were his first converts.
5. What obliged him to retire? What is this event called?

Mahometan era, corresponding to A. D. 622. He was received at Medina in triumph, and there assumed the sacerdotal and regal office. Shortly after this, he placed himself at the head of an army of his followers, and commenced to propagate his religion, according to his maxim, by the sword. He first attacked the caravans which passed through Arabia, for the purpose of trade, and thus enriched his soldiers with the booty. Encouraged by this success, he took the city of Mecca, which he entered in triumph, about the year 629. From this period until his death, he was constantly in the field; he fought in person nine battles, subdued all Arabia, extended his conquest to Syria, and after a career of victory, died at Medina, at the age of sixty-three, ten years after his flight from Mecca to that city.

6. One of the principal causes of the success which attended the arms of Mahomet, was the inflexible severity he exercised towards the vanquished. It was his usual practice to propose to those whom he threatened with war, three conditions, namely, the adoption of his religious system, the payment of a tribute, or an appeal to the sword. If they chose the latter, no quarter was granted to them; only the women, the children, and aged persons were spared, and reduced to slavery. Another cause of his success was the absolute assurance of future bliss which he held out to his followers, whereby they were rendered equally happy, either to conquer or to die in the field of battle, for the propagation of their religion.

7. Mahomet was succeeded by his father-in-law, Abubeker, a man of great repute among the Arabs; he is styled the first caliph, a title which signifies in Arabic, successor or vicar. He, pursuing a career of conquest similar to that of his predecessor, invaded Syria, and took the cities of Bostra, Palmyra, and Damascus; the latter city was taken after a siege of six months, and most of its inhabitants inhumanly put to the sword by order of Kaled, who was at that time the commander of the Saracen forces. Abubeker died in the third year of his reign, and the sixty-third of his age, having previously named Omar his successor.

8. Omar, aided by the celebrated general, Obediah, in the course of one campaign subdued Syria, Phœnicia, Mesopotamia, and Chaldea; and in a second, reduced the whole of Persia. His army, under Amrou, took the city of Alexandria and subdued Egypt. Amrou being requested to spare the Alexandrian library, which at that time contained upwards of five hundred thousand volumes, wrote to the caliph for directions respecting the books. Omar answered, that if they agreed with the Koran they were useless, and if they differed from it they were dangerous; in either case, they were to be destroyed. The books were

How was he received at Medina? Shortly after this, what did he do? How many battles did he fight? Where and when did he die?—6. What is one of the causes of his success? What were the three conditions he proposed to those whom he threatened with war? What was another cause of his success?—7. By whom was Mahomet succeeded? What did he invade?—8. What did Omar subdue? What city was taken? What number of volumes was in the Alexandrian library? What was Omar's answers respecting it? What was done with the books?

accordingly distributed throughout the city, and served, it is said, to warm the public baths for six months.

9. Omar, during a reign of ten years, reduced upwards of thirty thousand cities and villages to his dominion, and is said to have demolished four thousand Christian churches, and to have erected fourteen hundred mosques for the Mahometan worship He was finally assassinated at Medina, in 644, by a Persian slave, whose complaints against his master he had refused to hear. Othman was immediately chosen his successor, who added Bactriana and a part of Tartary to the dominion of the caliphs. On his death, Ali, the son-in-law of Mahomet, was chosen to succeed him. Ali is regarded as one of the bravest and most virtuous of the caliphs; his reign was illustrious, although it lasted only five years. In the space of less than half a century, the Saracen dominions were more extensive than what remained of the Roman empire; and in one hundred years from the flight of Mahomet from Mecca to Medina, the empire of his successors extended from India to the Atlantic, comprehending Persia, Syria, Asia Minor, Arabia, Egypt, north of Africa, and Spain.

10. During the reign of Ali, a schism took place among the followers of Mahomet, which continues to divide them to the present time. Abubeker, Omar, and Othman are regarded as usurpers by the partisans of Ali, and are branded by the name of Shyites, or schismatics. On the other hand, these three caliphs are held in the greatest veneration by the opponents of Ali, who style themselves Sonnites, because they follow the traditions of their Mahometan ancestors, while the Shyites acknowledge the Koran only. The Persians are of the sect of Ali; the Turks are the Sonnites and Ottomans, or the disciples of Othman. Between the two parties, a mutual hatred and animosity still exists.

11. Ali removed the seat of the Mussulman empire from Mecca to *Cufa*, on the Euphrates, and during the year 768 it was removed by Almanzor to Bagdad, which became the most illustrious caliphate in the history of the Saracens. Next to Bagdad, the other most distinguished caliphate was that of Cordova, in Spain. Almanzor, who built the city of Bagdad, and transferred to it the seat of the Saracen empire, was a liberal patron of learning and science, and the first caliph who introduced the cultivation of them among the Saracens. The reign of Haroun al Raschid, the twenty-fifth caliph, who was contemporary with Charlemagne, was the most illustrious in the whole dynasty, and is regarded as the Augustan age of *Arabic* literature. This prince distinguished himself by his valor and generosity, also by his equitable government and his patronage of learned men. Schools at this period were established in the principal towns. The sciences chiefly cultivated were medicine, geometry, and astronomy; also poetry and works of fiction commanded some attention.

9. During his reign, how many cities did he reduce? How many churches did he demolish? What was his end? Who succeeded him? In less than half a century, what is said of the Saracen dominions?—10. During the reign of Ali, what took place? Who are regarded as usurpers? Of what sect are the Persians? The Turks?—11. What did Ali do? What is said of Almanzor? Of the reign of Raschid? Of schools at this period?

12. From the time of the removal of the seat of government to Bagdad, the importance of Arabia began to decline. Many chiefs of the interior provinces asserted their independence, and only regarded the caliph as the head of their religion. As the conquests of the Saracens extended, their states became disunited. Spain, Egypt, Morocco, and India had at an early period their separate sovereigns, who continued to regard the caliphs of Bagdad as the successors of the prophet, although they acknowledged in them no temporal jurisdiction. Thirty-seven caliphs of the house of Abbas reigned in succession. For four hundred and ninety years, Bagdad continued to be the seat of the Saracer empire, during which time it sustained several obstinate sieges, and was the seat of various revolutions.

13. In the six hundred and fifty-sixth year of the Hegira, A. D. 1258, Bagdad was taken by Hulaku, the grandson of the celebrated Genghis Khan. Al Mostasem, the last of the caliphs, was put to death, the caliphate abolished, and the Saracen empire terminated. The manner in which Al Mostasem was put to death was somewhat singular. He had been noted for his pride and ostentation; when he appeared in public he usually wore a veil to conceal his face from the people, whom he considered as unworthy to look upon him. After the taking of the city, Hulaku, with the design of punishing his pride, ordered the wretched caliph to be confined in a leather bag and to be dragged through the streets till he expired. At the present time, the Saracens, once so powerful, possess little other territory than the deserts of Arabia, and are usually known by the name of Arabs

---

FEUDAL SYSTEM.

1. The Feudal System had its origin among the Goths, Vandals, Lombards, and other barbarous nations that overrun the continent of Europe on the decline of the Roman empire. It was adopted in France during the reign of Charlemagne, and is generally supposed to have been introduced into England by *William the Conqueror.*

2. When the northern barbarians had overrun the Roman empire, the conquered provinces were divided by lot among the different chieftains, without any other obligation existing between them than that of uniting their forces in case of war for their mutual defence. But the fundamental principles of the Feudal System were established in the following order: The king or chief who led his respective tribes to conquest, retained for him-

12. What is said of many of the interior provinces? What had Spain, &c., at an early period? How long was Bagdad the capital?—13. When and by whom was Bagdad taken? Relate the manner in which Al Mostasem was put to death. At present, what is said of the Saracens?

1 Where had the Feudal System its origin? When was it adopted in France? When in England?—2 In what order were the principles established?

self by far the largest share of the conquered territory, dividing the remaining portion of the land among his followers according to their rank, who bound themselves to render him merely military services. The example of the king was imitated by his nobles, who, under similar conditions, granted portions of their estates to their dependents. The granter was called *lord*, and those to whom the grant was made were styled *feudatories* or *vassals*.

3. The feudal government, though well calculated for defence, was nevertheless very defective in its provisions for the internal order of society. The great barons or lords possessed extensive tracts of country, erected on them fortified castles in places difficult of access, oppressed the people, slighted the civil authorities, and frequently set their sovereigns at defiance.

4. A kingdom resembled a number of confederate states under one common head; the barons or lords acknowledging a species of allegiance to their sovereign, yet when obedience was refused, it could only be enforced by an appeal to arms. But the great mass of the people who cultivated the land were called *serfs* or *villains*, and lived in the most servile condition. They were not permitted to bear arms, nor suffered to leave the estates of their lords. As each of the feudal lords was independent within the limits of his own immediate possessions, and as the thread of unity existing between them was at all times feeble, it was natural to suppose that frequent disputes and sanguinary contests were the consequence. Such in reality was the case; hence we find that Europe, during the existence of the Feudal System, exhibited an almost uninterrupted scene of anarchy, turbulence, and destructive warfare.

5. Some of the causes assigned for the gradual decline of the Feudal System were the Crusades, the extension of commerce the increase and distribution of wealth and knowledge, and lastly, the change of warfare which followed the invention of gunpowder. It still exists in a partial degree in some parts of Europe, particularly in Russia, Poland, and in some portions of Germany.

THE CRUSADES.

1. The Crusades were military expeditions undertaken by the Christians of Europe for the purpose of delivering the Holy Land, and particularly the sepulchre of our Saviour, from the oppressive dominion of the Turks. As early as the year 637, Jerusalem fell into the hands of the Saracens, who, for political reasons, permit-

What was the grantor called? And those to whom the grant was made?—3. What is said of the feudal government? Of the great barons?—4. What did a kingdom resemble? What is said of the people? As each lord was independent, &c., what was natural to suppose?—5. What are some of the causes assigned for its decline? Where does it exist in a partial degree?

1 What were the Crusades? In the year 637, what took place?

ted the Christians to visit the city. In 1065 the Seljukian Turks, a wild and ferocious tribe of Tartars, obtained posession of the holy city; from this period the Christian inhabitants were exposed to every species of outrage and insult. The Christians of Europe, actuated by motives of religion, were often induced to visit those places hallowed by the footsteps and sanctified by the sufferings of the Saviour of man. But if, after travelling thousands of miles, amidst dangers and hardships, they reached Palestine, they were only allowed to enter the city of Jerusalem on the payment of a certain sum of money, and if they succeeded in gaining admittance. they were exposed, like other Christian inhabitants, to all the rigors of Mahometan cruelty; it is even stated by creditable historians, that some were loaded with chains and compelled to draw a cart or plough, while others were condemned to an ignominous death.

2. Such was the condition of Palestine, when Peter, a native of Amiens, in France, surnamed the *Hermit*, on account of his retired life, undertook a pilgrimage to Jerusalem. Moved at the sight of the cruel oppression which weighed upon the Christians of Asia, he prevailed upon Simon, the venerable patriarch of Jerusalem, to write to the pope and to the princes of Europe, for the purpose of soliciting their aid in arresting the cruelty of the Turks exercised against their brethren in the East, offering himself to be the bearer of these letters. The patriarch having assented to this measure, Peter immediately returned to Europe and presented himself before Pope Urban II. He was kindly received by the pontiff, who readily entered into his views, and commissioned him to go forth and preach in favor of the suffering Christians in Palestine, and the deliverance of Jerusalem from the hands of the Infidels.

3. Peter, who was eminently qualified for this important office, travelled through Italy, France, and other countries, and by his pathetic and glowing eloquence, enkindled in the breasts of his hearers the same zeal that animated his own. Finally, the subject was brought before a council held at *Placentia*, and afterwards before the council at *Clermont*, in France, towards the close of the year 1095. After Peter had spoken on the subject of the holy war with his usual ardor, the pope himself addressed the assembled bishops and princes in an eloquent and animated discourse, which he concluded in the following words: "Go now and take the sword of the Maccabees, protect the people of God and defend your persecuted brethren against the implacable enemies of the Christian name. Mussulman impiety has overspread the fairest regions of Asia; Ephesus, Nice, and Antioch have become Mahometan cities; the barbarous hordes of the Turks have planted their colors on the very shores of the Hellespont, hence they threaten war to all the states of Christendom. Unless you

And in 1065? From this period, what is said? What did the Christians of Europe do? After travelling thousands of miles, what is said of them? What is stated?—2. Who now undertook a pilgrimage to Jerusalem? Moved at the sight of the oppression, what did *Peter* do? How was he received by the pontiff? What commission did he receive?—3. What is said of Peter? Where was the subject finally brought? After Peter had spoken, who addressed the assembly? How did he conclude?

oppose a mighty barrier to their triumphant course, how can Europe be saved from invasion?"

4. At the conclusion of this discourse the whole assembly exclaimed, "It is the will of God," and hastened to enroll their names for the sacred expedition. As a mark of their engagement, it was proposed that a cross of red material should be worn on the right shoulder, and from this circumstance the name of the *Crusade* is derived. Such were the views entertained by the first crusaders, and such were the circumstances that called the Crusades into being.

5. The First Crusade. The enthusiasm which had manifested itself at the council at Clermont, was soon diffused throughout every part of Christendom; thousands from every part of Europe hastened to enlist under the banner of the cross. Domestic quarrels and private animosities were buried in oblivion; the sovereign and the noble, the prince and the peasant, animated alike with a kindred feeling, began to prepare for their departure to the East.

6. Among the princes who engaged in the first Crusade, the following were the most conspicuous: Raymond, count of Toulouse; Robert, Duke of Normandy, brother to the king of England; Hugh the Great, count of Vermandois, and brother to the king of France; Robert, earl of Flanders; Godfrey of Buillon, duke of Lorraine, and various persons of distinguished rank. Among these, Godfrey of Buillon, equally eminent for his amiable virtues and extraordinary valor, held the most prominent place, although it does not appear that he was invested with the chief command.

7. Early in the spring of 1096, the army of the Crusaders, amounting, according to some authors, to more than seven hundred thousand persons, commenced their march towards the East, in two different directions. The first division of this vast multitude, under the command of *Peter* the *Hermit*, being destitute of that subordination and discipline so requisite in large armies, met, in general, with a disastrous fate. Many of them were slain on their march through Hungary and Bulgaria, by the inhabitants, whose attacks they had provoked by the outrages they committed; those who succeeded in reaching Asia, were met by sultan Solyman, on the plains of Nice, and almost entirely annihilated before they came in sight of Jerusalem.

8. The second division under the command of able and experienced officers, such as Godfrey and Baldwin, his brother, acted with more prudence and arrived safe at Constantinople. The Greek emperor *Alexis*, alarmed at the multitude of warriors that surrounded his capital, lost no time in facilitating their departure. He treated the leaders of the Crusaders with every courtesy, and concluded a treaty with them, by which they agreed to conquer in

4. At the conclusion of the discourse what was said? From what is the word Crusade derived?—5. What is said of the enthusiasm of the Council of Clermont? Of domestic quarrels? Of the sovereigns? 6. In the first Crusade, who were the most conspicuous princes? Who held the most prominent place?—7. What was done early in the spring of 1096? What is said of the first division? Where were many of them slain? 8. What is said of the second division? Of the Greek emperor? How did he treat the leaders?

his name, and restore those cities which had formerly belonged to his empire, on condition that he should aid them in the conquest of the Holy Land; he then gave orders that his vessels should be prepared without delay, to convey them across the Bosphorus to the Asiatic coast.

9 The Christian army, which amounted to about six hundred thousand infantry, and one hundred thousand cavalry, commenced its march towards Nice, a city in Bithynia, to which they laid siege. Nice, reduced to the last extremity, was on the point of yielding to the Crusaders, when the Greek emperor, by private embassies, prevailed on the inhabitants to surrender to him, rather than to the Latins. This duplicity on the part of Alexis highly displeased the Crusaders, and from the little inclination manifested by the Greek monarch towards fulfilling his engagements, the Latin lords thought themselves no longer bound by the treaty. After the reduction of Nice, they proceeded eastward, conquered Edessa, defeated an army of six hundred thousand Saracens, near Durylæum, in Phrygia, took Antioch, where they were reduced to the utmost distress by famine, and finally advanced to Jerusalem, which they took after a siege of forty days.

10. Dreadful was the scene that followed the first transports of victory. The Crusaders, exasperated by their long suffering, and by the obstinate resistance of the Saracens, and being probably afraid of new dangers, put to the sword nearly all the garrison and inhabitants of Jerusalem. The streets, the mosques and citadel, were all filled with blood. After this, the attention of the princes was directed towards the defence of the recently conquered city. The heroic and generous Godfrey, duke of Lorraine, who had abstained from all the carnage that followed the taking of the city, was chosen king by unanimous consent. He accepted the appointment with diffidence, but constantly refused the diadem and other insignia of royalty, saying that he could never consent to wear a crown of gold, where the Saviour of the world had worn a crown of thorns.

11. Scarcely was he proclaimed king, when the approach of an army of four hundred thousand Saracens threatened the destruction of the kingdom. With about twenty thousand followers, Godfrey sallied forth to meet this powerful host. The two armies met on the plains of Ascalon, and notwithstanding the disparity of numbers, the Saracens suffered a most disastrous defeat, and Godfrey returned in triumph to Jerusalem.

12. The Crusaders having divided Palestine and Syria into four states, and seeing the object of their expedition accomplished, began to think of returning to Europe; but as they withdrew their forces, the Turks gradually recovered their power. Godfrey,

What orders did he give?—9. What was now the amount of the Christian army? When Nice was on the point of yielding, what did the Greek emperor do? What was the effect of this duplicity? After the reduction of Nice, where did they proceed, and what did they do?—10. After taking Jerusalem what did the Crusaders do? After this, to what was their attention directed? Who was chosen king? What did he refuse, and what did he say?—11. What threatened the destruction of the kingdom? What did Godfrey do? Where did the two armies meet, and what was the issue of the battle?—12. Of what did the Crusaders now begin to think?

after enjoying the regal dignity for the short space of one year, also returned to Europe, and was succeeded in the kingdom of Jerusalem by his brother, Baldwin I.

13. After the death of Baldwin II., in 1130, jealousy and violent dissensions began to prevail among the princes in the kingdom of Jerusalem, and from this period its prosperity began rapidly to decline. The Saracens taking advantage of these disorders, renewed their attacks, took Edessa, and threatened the entire destruction of the Christian kingdom in the East. Surrounded by these calamities, the Christians of Palestine found themselves constrained to the necessity of soliciting aid from the princes of Europe; this circumstance gave rise to the second Crusade.

14. The Second Crusade. Immediately after the arrival of the deputies, who had been sent from Syria, for the purpose of obtaining assistance from Europe, a second Crusade was preached, under the direction of Pope Eugenius III., by *St. Bernard,* the learned and eloquent abbot of Clairvaux, A. D. 1147.

15. Louis VII., of France, and Conrad III. of Germany, with three hundred thousand of their subjects, assumed the cross and began to prepare for an expedition to the East. Conrad, who proceeded in advance of the French monarch, was defeated by the Turks near Iconium, and Louis himself suffered a signal overthrow near the city of Laodicea, in Phrygia, with the loss of the flower of his army. After these disasters, the two monarchs succeeded in reaching Palestine, and having arrived at Jerusalem, they summoned all the Latin princes of Asia to a council, where it was determined to abandon the design of re-conquering Edessa, which had been the first object of the Crusade, and to make one united effort against Damascus. After their operations had been carried on for some time with every appearance of success, their designs were suddenly frustrated by a violent disease, that broke out in the Christian camp; the siege was consequently abandoned. Louis and Conrad, disgusted at the conduct of the Latin princes in Asia, left them to their own wretched condition, and took their departure for Europe. Thus terminated the second Crusade, with immense loss to the West, without having produced the slightest advantage to the Christians of the East.

16. The illustrious Saladin, who, about the year 1171, had raised himself to the sovereignty of Egypt, Arabia, Syria and Persia, formed the design of re-conquering Palestine from the Christians. He defeated their army in the battle of Tiberias, and laid siege to Jerusalem, which was forced to surrender by capitulation, the Christian inhabitants being permitted to depart on the payment of a certain sum of money. Thus again, the Holy City fell into the hands of the Saracens, eighty-eight years after it had been conquered by the first Crusaders, A. D. 1187.

What is said of Godfrey?—13. After the death of Baldwin, what took place? What did the Saracens do? What did the Christians find themselves constrained to do?—14. After the arrival of the deputies in Europe, what was done?—15. What princes assumed the cross? What happened to Conrad? Louis? What broke out in the Christian camp? What did Louis and Conrad finally do?—16. What is said of Saladin? What did he defeat? How long had the Holy City remained in possession of the Christians?

17. The Third Crusade. When the intelligence of the fate of Jerusalem reached Europe, the deepest affliction pervaded all ranks of the people. The venerable pontiff, Urban III., was so affected at the news, that he died of a broken heart. This, however, was soon succeeded by a desire to retrieve the loss sustained by the Christians in the East. The most illustrious monarchs reigning at that time in Europe, were Philip Augustus of France, Henry II. of England, and Frederic I. of Germany. These three sovereigns, with the principal lords of their respective dominions, assumed the cross, and began to make preparation to enter on a third Crusade.

18. Frederic, at the head of an army of one hundred and fifty thousand men, was the first of the three monarchs that commenced his march towards the East. Victory and success attended his arms whenever he advanced, until an unfortunate circumstance frustrated his brightest hopes. Having crossed into Asia Minor, and passed the defiles of *Mount Taurus*, the German monarch proceeded at the head of his army, along the banks of the Cydnus, in which he was accidentally drowned while bathing in the river. In the interval, Henry II. of England died, and was succeeded by his son Richard, surnamed *Cœur de Lion*, or the Lion-hearted, on account of his extraordinary valor. To the adventurous and military spirit of Richard, the Crusades presented an irresistible attraction; after making the necessary preparations, he joined Philip Augustus of France, and embarked on an expedition to the Holy Land.

19. The two monarchs, in conjunction, took Ptolemais; but unhappily a misunderstanding between them prevented their further action in concert; in consequence of this, Philip, leaving a part of his forces in Palestine, returned to France. Richard having been left to the sole command, ably sustained the contest against the sultan Saladin, whom he signally defeated in the memorable battle near Ascalon. The feats of arms displayed by Richard on this, and other occasions, more resemble the achievements of a hero of romance, than the deeds of a prince of authentic history. On one occasion, it is related, that with one stroke of his sword he severed the head, right shoulder, and arm, from the body of a Saracen chief: on another, he threw himself with so much ardor into the thickest of the contest, that for some moments he disappeared amidst the host of his enemies; when he returned, his horse was covered with blood, and so numerous were the darts and arrows, fastened in his shield and dress, that according to an ocular witness, he resembled a cushion covered with needles.

20. His army being at length reduced by famine and fatigue, the English monarch began to think of returning to Europe. Accordingly, having concluded a truce for three years and eight

17. When this intelligence reached Europe, what is said? Who were the most illustrious sovereigns at this time in Europe? What did they do?—18. What is said of Frederick? What was his end? What is said of Richard?—19. What is said of the two monarchs? Being left to the sole command, what did Richard do? What is said of his feats of arms? On one occasion what is said of him?—20. What did he conclude?

months with Saladin, on terms advantageous to the Christians, he took his departure for his own dominions. The vessel in which he sailed being wrecked on the coast of the Adriatic sea, Richard resolved to pursue his course by land; but as he passed through Germany with only a few attendants, he fell into the hands of the emperor Henry IV., by whom he was retained a prisoner until he was ransomed by his subjects, who paid for his release the sum of £300,000; he finally reached his own dominions after an absence of four years.

Such was the result of the third Crusade; although it did not terminate in the recovery of the holy city, still it led to the conquest of the island of Cyprus, and the surrender of Acre, a town of considerable importance to the Christians.

21. The Fourth Crusade. A fourth Crusade was undertaken about the year 1195, in which Henry IV., emperor of Germany, bore the most distinguished part; but his death, which happened before he reached Palestine, and the unfortunate quarrels among the other leaders, frustrated the design of recovering the Holy Land.

22. The Fifth Crusade. About the close of the twelfth century, during the pontificate of Pope Innocent III., a fifth Crusade was undertaken by Boniface, marquis of Montferrat, and Baldwin, earl of Flanders. Having made the necessary preparations, they collected their forces at *Zora*, a city in Dalmatia, for the purpose of transporting them to Palestine by sea. But before their departure from this place, Alexius, the son of Isaac, the Greek emperor, arrived at the camp, bearing the intelligence that his father had lately been dethroned and inhumanly deprived of his sight, and was then in the hands of the usurper of his crown; at the same time pledging himself, in the most solemn manner, to aid the Crusaders in the recovery of the Holy Land, to maintain during his life five hundred knights for its defence, and offering, moreover, the payment of a considerable sum of money, if they, on their part, would lend assistance in expelling the usurper and in restoring his father to the throne.

23. After some deliberation, the Crusaders accepted his proposals; and sailing immediately from Zora, they directed their course to Constantinople, which they took after a siege of ten days. The usurper made his escape; the old emperor being released from prison and restored to his throne, immediately ratified the engagements made by his son to the Latins. But scarcely had the Crusaders departed on their march towards Palestine, when a sudden revolution in the city obliged them to return. The emperor and his son Alexius fell victims to the intrigues and perfidy of one of their courtiers, surnamed Murzuphlis, who placed himself upon the throne.

24. As soon as the news of this murder and usurpation reached

What is said of the vessel? What happened to him as he passed through Germany? What was paid for his ransom?—21. When was the fourth Crusade undertaken? Who bore a distinguished part?—22. When was the fifth Crusade undertaken? Where did they collect their forces? Before their departure, what took place? What did Alexius pledge himself to do?—23. After some deliberation, what did the Crusaders do? What is said of the old emperor? What happened to the emperor and Alexius?

the camp of the Crusaders, they resolved to avenge the death of the unfortunate princes, their allies and benefactors. Marching back to Constantinople, they took the city after a furious assault, though it was defended by upwards of two hundred thousand men, and contained a population of about one million of inhabitants.

Having thus a second time, in the short space of a few months, conquered the great capital of the East, the Latins proceeded to elect an emperor from their own body. The choice fell upon Baldwin, count of Flanders, who was accordingly invested with the ensigns of royalty, and quietly ascended the imperial throne, A. D. 1204.

25. Here terminated the efforts of the Crusaders; satisfied with this splendid acquisition, they attempted nothing further against the Saracens. Such were the circumstances that led to the establishment of the Latin empire at Constantinople, few events on the page of history are more curious and interesting than this singular revolution. It was destined, however, to be of short duration; after a precarious existence of fifty-seven years, it again fell under the dominion of the Greeks.

26. THE SIXTH CRUSADE. The last expedition having failed to accomplish the object for which it was designed, namely the recovery of the Holy Land, a sixth Crusade was shortly afterwards undertaken. Among those who bore a distinguished part in this expedition was John of Brienne, a French nobleman, who at the head of one hundred thousand men, made a descent upon Egypt, with a design of destroying the power of the sultan at the seat of his government. He took Damietta, but owing to subsequent disasters, particularly the inundation of the Nile, he was finally compelled to abandon his conquests and to evacuate Egypt, A. D 1221.

27. About the same period, the famous Frederic II., emperor of Germany, led an army into Palestine and obtained by treaty from the sultan the restoration of Jerusalem; but so little precaution did he take to defend it, that it shortly fell again into the hands of the infidels. Palestine was afterwards visited by several other princes of Europe, without being able to effect little more than to conclude a treaty of peace. After the departure of Richard, earl of Cornwall, brother to the king of England, a sudden irruption of fierce barbarians from Korazan laid waste the Holy Land, and left Palestine in the most deplorable condition.

28. THE SEVENTH AND EIGHTH CRUSADES. At this period, A. D 1244, France was under the mild administration of *St. Louis* IX., a prince equally distinguished for his heroic fortitude and for all the more amiable virtues that adorn the Christian heart. The deplorable state of Palestine deeply afflicted his generous soul,

24. As soon as this news reached their camp, what did the Crusaders do? Having thus conquered the capital of the East, to what did they proceed? On whom did the choice fall?—25. Satisfied, &c., what did they attempt? How long did the empire last?—26. What was shortly afterwards undertaken? Who was the most distinguished? What city did he take?—27. What did Frederick II. do and obtain? After the departure of Richard, what took place?—28. At this period, who reigned in France?

and on the recovery from a dangerous illness he resolved to assume the cross, and by his exhortations induced many of his nobles to imitate his example. After four years' preparation he set out on the expedition, accompanied by his queen, his three brothers, and all the knights of France. He began the enterprise by invading Egypt, and after losing one-half of his numerous army by contagious diseases, he was defeated and taken prisoner by the Saracens. Having ransomed himself and his army he proceeded to Palestine, where he remained for several years in endeavouring to secure the welfare of the Christian colonies, by repairing the fortifications of the towns which yet remained in their possession. The death of his mother, to whom he had intrusted the government during his absence, obliged him to return to France.

29. About thirteen years after his return from his first Crusade, Louis was induced to undertake a second. Having provided for the government of his kingdom in his absence, he embarked with sixty thousand chosen troops, landed in Africa, and laid siege to Tunis. Before any thing of importance could be effected, a raging pestilence carried off one-half of his flourishing army, the king himself being numbered among its victims. This terminated the last of the Crusades, A. D. 1272.

30. The effects of the Crusades. The period during which the Crusades continued, has been styled by some historians, "the heroic age of Christianity." No other military enterprise ever claimed the attention of the Christian world so long and so universally as the Crusades. For nearly two centuries Europe continued to send forth her legions, to conquer or die upon the plains of Asia. The two most powerful agents that can operate upon the human mind, combined to call them into being; namely, zeal for religion and sympathy for suffering humanity.

31. To see the land of Palestine, so hallowed by all the associations dearest to the Christian heart, that land sanctified by the footsteps and watered by the tears and blood of the Son of God, that land where the first light of Christianity dawned, trodden down by the footsteps of infidelity; to see that Calvary where died the Redeemer of man, that sepulchre in which he was laid polluted and defiled by Mussulman impiety, is even at this distant day capable of producing the deepest emotions. To deliver this land from the power of the infidels, who only held it by the right of conquest, was at that period deemed not only a lawful, but even a holy and sacred duty.

32. On the other hand, the cruelties exercised against the defenceless Christians of Palestine and the pilgrims, whom a religious zeal had drawn to the holy city, cried aloud to the princes of Europe for their interposition. The evils which marked the

What did he resolve? How did he begin the enterprise? Having ransomed himself, where did he proceed?—29. When did he undertake a second Crusade? What was the fate of his army? Of the king himself? When did the Crusades terminate?—30. What has this period been styled? What is said of the enterprise? What agents combined to call them into being?—31. What is capable of producing the deepest emotion? What was deemed a sacred duty?—32. What cried aloud for the interposition of the princes of Europe?

progress of the Crusades were similar to those that follow in the train of other great military enterprises. It is computed that two millions of Europeans, during their continuance were buried in the East.

33. Various opinions have been formed and maintained respecting the tendency and effects of the Crusades. But whatever individual opinions may be entertained with regard to their object or their final issue, it is generally admitted that they have been, in their ultimate results, beneficial to mankind. These results are observable in a greater or less degree, in the political condition, manners and customs, navigation, commerce and literature of Europe.

34. In the first place, they checked the alarming progress of the Saracens and Seljukian Turks, who were thus prevented from penetrating into the very heart of Christendom. Secondly, they greatly contributed towards the gradual decline of the Feudal System, which at that period prevailed throughout Europe. The great barons who engaged in the Crusades were obliged to sell a portion of their lands in order to procure the means of conveying their troops into a foreign country. By this means the aristocracy was weakened, wealth more widely diffused, and the lower orders of society began to acquire property, influence, and a spirit of independence. The sovereigns, in like manner, impelled by the same pecuniary necessity, sold to towns important privileges and immunities, such as the right of electing their own magistrates, and being governed by their own municipal laws.

35. Thirdly, these expeditions had a most beneficial influence on commerce and navigation. Previous to this period commerce had been carried on only in a very limited scale. The attention of the people of Europe had never been sufficiently drawn to the great advantages of water transports, until the disasters of the first Crusaders, in attempting to march their forces by land, impressed upon the minds of those who succeeded the expediency of conveying their troops by water. Hence, by the frequent voyages to Palestine, the arts of navigation and ship-building were rapidly improved, and from this period may be dated the great commercial prosperity and power of Venice, Persia, and Genoa. Moreover, several new and valuable articles were imported from the East, which have since formed important branches of trade, such as the sugar cane, with its various products, and silk, which began to be manufactured in Italy about the year 1209.

36. Finally, the Crusades, although in some respects injurious to literature, were, nevertheless, ultimately beneficial to it. The frequent communication of the people of the West with Greece and Syria, which the Crusades necessarily occasioned, was one of the most powerful helps towards the complete revival of learn-

How many were buried in the East?—33 Of what have various opinions been formed? What is generally admitted? In what are these results observable?—34. In the first place, what did they do? In the second place? What were the great barons obliged to do? By this means, what was done? What is said of the sovereigns?—35. Previous to this period what is said of commerce? Of the attention of the people of Europe? From this period what may be dated? What is further observed?—36 What was one of the most powerful helps towards the revival of learning?

ing. At the time when the Crusades were undertaken, owing to the almost uninterrupted series of hostilities, civil feuds and sanguinary wars, that had desolated the face of Europe, literature was, comparatively speaking, much neglected. In the East however, particularly at Constantinople, learning and the arts were still cherished to some extent; the Crusaders, therefore, by their intercourse with a people more polished and enlightened than themselves, acquired a taste for the arts and sciences which they did not fail to improve on their return to Europe.

37. Hence we find that the principal universities of Europe, even at the present day, were founded during the period of the Crusades, or immediately after. The University of Padua, and that of Paris, was founded in 1180; that of Naples in 1230; that of Vienna in 1238; that of Salamanca in 1240; Cambridge in 1280; and that of Lisbon in 1290. For the many local and temporary calamities, to which the Crusades gave rise, these are a few of permanent and generally admitted advantages that have followed as their ultimate results.

CHIVALRY.

1. CHIVALRY, or *knighthood*, was a military institution prevalent in Europe during the Middle Ages, and forms a remarkable feature in the history of that period. Nothing can be conceived more truly noble than the leading objects of Chivalry: it united in its institution a love of arms and military renown, an eagerness to support the weak, to protect the oppressed, to avenge the wrongs of the widow and the orphan, to restrain the lawless, and to refine the rude; it blended with religion the highest sentiments of honor, and inculcated a devoted attachment and inviolable fidelity to the female sex; in fine, it combined in its component elements, valor and honor, courtesy and religion.

2. The early history of Chivalry is involved in obscurity; the particular nations and the peculiar circumstances in which it had its origin are not precisely known; still the leading principles by which it is distinguished may be found among the manners and customs of the Gothic nations, by whom the profession of arms was the only employment esteemed honorable, and who were remarkable for the delicate and respectful gallantry which they manifested towards the female sex. It was imbodied into a form and regulated by certain laws under the Feudal System, and afterwards brought to maturity and gained the meridian of its splendor during the Crusades, when it assumed the aspect of a religious institution. Chivalry prevailed in almost every part of

At the time when the Crusades were undertaken what is said of literature? What did they acquire?—37. Hence, what do we find? When were these universities founded?
1. What is Chivalry? What is said of it? What did it combine as its component elements?—2. What is said of its early history? Where may its leading principles be found? When was it imbodied into a form? Where did it prevail?

Europe, but in France, Spain, and Germany, it attained its greatest purity; in England its introduction was later and its progress slower.

3. There were three degrees of Chivalry, namely, *knights bannarets*, *knights*, and *esquires*. The first rank, to which peculiar privileges were allowed, could only be attained by those who had passed through the other two degrees. The second, and by far the most numerous class, consisted of knights, who were generally persons of noble birth, although it frequently became the reward of merit, and soldiers distinguished for their valor were sometimes admitted into this class. The third class was the *squirehood*, consisting of a body of efficient soldiers, inferior in rank to the knights, but superior to the common soldiery.

4. Those who were destined for Chivalry were placed for education, at the age of seven years, in the castle of their father, or that of some neighboring noble, where they received the appellation of *page* or *valet*, until they arrived at the age of fourteen, when they obtained the title of *esquire*, and were authorized to bear arms. They were kept in active employment in the castle, being obliged to wait upon the lord and his lady at home, and attend them abroad, and thus become accustomed to obedience and courteous demeanor. Surrounded by noble and virtuous ladies, and valiant knights, the first impressions made on their minds were those of virtue and love, honor and valor. From the ladies they learned the first rudiments of religion and love; and in order that they might practice in some degree the lessons they received, it was customary for each youth to select some young, accomplished, and virtuous lady as his patroness, before whom he might display all his gallantry, and whose duty it was to improve and polish his manners.

5. The esquires were employed in various offices in the castle until the age of twenty-one, which was the proper age for admitting them to all the honors of knighthood. The candidate was required to prepare himself by rigid fasting, passing the night in prayer, and by a solemn confession; and as a type of the purity of the life and manners that would be required of him, he was clothed in white. Having performed these preliminary rites, he then entered the church, where an examination took place; and if judged worthy to be admitted into the order of knighthood, he received the sacraments and took the oath, consisting of twenty-six articles, in which, among other things, he solemnly pledged himself to defend the church, to respect the priesthood, to protect the ladies, and to redress the wrongs of the widow and the orphan.

6. While yet on his knees, he received from the hands of the knights and the ladies the insignia of Chivalry; his spurs, cuirass, coat of mail, and other parts of his armor; and lastly, his sword,

3. What were the three degrees? What is said of the first rank? Of the second. Of the third?—4. Where were those destined for Chivalry placed? How were they kept? By whom were they surrounded? What was customary for each youth?—5. How were esquires employed? How was the candidate required to prepare? What did he solemnly pledge himself to do?—6. While on his knees, what did he receive?

which was previously blessed by the priest. The concluding ceremony was performed by one of the most distinguished Chevaliers present, who bestowed on the young knight the *accolade*, which consisted in giving him a slight blow on the shoulder or cheek with his sword.

7. The most important equipments of a knight were his horse and his lance; his other offensive arms were his sword, dagger, battle-axe, and mace. The endowments of an accomplished knight at the most flourishing period of Chivalry, were beauty, dexterity in dancing, riding, hunting, and tilting; while piety, chastity, modesty, courtesy, liberality, and sobriety, and above all, an inviolable attachment to truth and invincible courage, were regarded as his necessary virtues.

8. The professed knight possessed various privileges and dignities which were not confined to the territories of his own sovereign, but extended through a greater part of Europe. He could roam where he pleased in quest of adventures, and was at liberty to challenge all those of his order he met to single combat. The laws of the institution made it the duty of every knight to protect the chastity and honor of the ladies, and forbade him to speak disrespectful of them, or to suffer others to do so in his presence; it was moreover incumbent upon him to warn them against the commission of any thing that might lower them in his opinion. Strictly decorous and respectful towards himself, he expected that they would never forfeit their claim to his esteem. If, however, a lady transgressed the laws of decorum or prudence, he did not fail to stigmatize her fault in the most pointed manner. If he passed the castle of one of this character, he marked it in some striking manner as the dwelling of a lady unworthy to receive a true chevalier.

9. Chivalry enjoined, in a special manner, the three virtues of hospitality, humanity, and courtesy. Every loyal knight was expected to have the door of his castle constantly open. As soon as one chevalier entered the castle of another, he considered himself at home, and was treated as if he were one of the family. Every thing that could contribute to his comfort and his luxury was at his command. If he arrived wounded, every possible care was taken of him by the ladies, who were proud of having in their possession the remedies proper for such occasions. To a vanquished foe the most scrupulous and delicate attention was paid; he was treated rather as a conqueror than one who had been conquered.

10. Tournaments and Jousts. Tournaments were military exercises performed by two parties of cavaliers, with hurtless weapons. No amusement was more patronized by the knights, or even sovereigns themselves, than these images of war, which

What was the concluding ceremony?—7. What were the equipments of a knight? What were his endowments? His virtues?—8. What did the professed knight possess? What did the rules of the institution oblige every knight to do? If a lady transgressed the laws of decorum, what did he do?—9. What did Chivalry enjoin? As soon as one Chevalier entered the castle of another, what did he consider? If he arrived wounded, what was done?—10. What were tournaments? What is said of them?

were often celebrated with a splendor beyond description, particularly at coronations, royal marriages, and after important victories. If the occasion was solemn, it was announced at the courts of different sovereigns, who were invited to attend. Not only knights, but even kings and princes, who valued themselves upon their valor and gallantry, frequently entered the list.

11. At a tournament, the place enclosed for the combatants was surrounded by sovereigns and other nobles, by knights of distinguished fame, and by ladies of the highest rank, who were always appointed judges on these occasions—a privilege, however, which they seldom exercised, generally deputing their power to a knight, who on that account was called the *Knight of Honor.* When the knights reached the lists, their arms were examined by the constables, in order that only hurtless weapons might be used. But notwithstanding this precaution, there existed, in many instances, a disposition to convert the tournament into a real battle, and thus much blood was often uselessly spilt.

12. Nothing but the reality could exceed the performance of these hazardous and animating scenes. Frequently lances were broken, horses and knights were overthrown, and sometimes, though seldom, death ensued. While the tide of victory flowed to either side of the lists, the air was rent with the acclamations of the ladies, the minstrels, and the whole assembled multitude, while the successful knight was hailed with triumphal honors little inferior to those bestowed on a hero returning wreathed with the laurels of victory over a vanquished foe. The court of Rome was justly hostile to tournaments, refusing the rite of Christian burial to those who fell on the tilting ground.

13. Jousts were generally a combat between two knights, and usually took place at the conclusion of the tournaments. A knight who had acquired a distinguished fame would ride through the lists, and call on the surrounding cavaliers to encounter him in three strokes of the lance. If the challenge was accepted, the combat was conducted according to specified rules, but such was the dexterity of the combatants, that the encounter with the lance seldom proved fatal.

14. The origin of the duel, which is now used as a mode of private revenge, may be traced to the Gothic nations. Under the Feudal System, and during the age of Chivalry, it was greatly patronised; and it so far prevailed, at an early period, among the Franks and nations of Germany, that none were exempt from it, but women, invalids, and such as were under the age of twenty-one, and above the age of sixty. It was resorted to as a method of discovering truth, establishing innocence, and vindicating the character from a real or imaginary imputation. It is not surprising that a practice so absurd should have found adherents, during those ages when the profession of arms was regarded as

If the occasion was solemn, what was done?—11. At a tournament, by whom was the place surrounded? Who was the *Knight of Honor?* When they reached the lists, what was done?—12. What frequently took place? What is said of the court of Rome?—13. What were jousts? How was the challenge given? If accepted, what was done?—14. Where may the origin of the duel be traced? For what was it resorted to? Was it not surprising?

the only honorable employment, at a time when the human mind was, comparatively speaking, unenlightened; but that the same pernicious practice should still prevail, and meet with patrons at this age of enlightenment, is something diametrically opposite to the purer dictates of human reason.

15. Whatever opinions we may entertain of Chivalry at the present day, it certainly had a powerful influence in producing a favourable change in the manners of society, during the ages in which it existed. It infused humanity into war, at a period when men made it almost a business of life; it introduced courtesy of manners among those who possessed but little refinement; it fostered in its maxims a delicate sense of honor, and a scrupulous adherence to truth; it cherished the finest feelings and respectful attachment towards the female sex; and no institution, perhaps, ever had a more powerful influence to elevate woman to her proper sphere, than Chivalry.

16. Chivalry embraced various orders or associations of cavaliers, formed for specific purposes, generally of a benevolent character, many of which remain to the present time. These orders were generally of two descriptions, namely, military and religious, and were established in different countries, particularly in Palestine, England, Spain, France, and Italy. The foundation of the order of the Knights Hospitallers, who afterwards became so famous as the Knights of *Malta*, was laid about the middle of the eleventh century, by a few Neapolitan merchants, who obtained permission of the Saracen caliph to erect at Jerusalem a house for pilgrims. They afterwards founded, in honor of St. John, a church and hospital, from which they took their name; and besides attending to the sick and pilgrims, they bound themselves, by vow, to defend the Christians of the Holy Land against the insults of the infidels. Thus the Hospitallers, without ceasing to be a religious, became a military order.

17. The order of the Knights Templars was instituted in the year 1118, also at Jerusalem, by several French and Flemish noblemen. They occupied a house in the city which stood near the site of Solomon's Temple, from which they derived their name. The Teutonic order was established by a few noblemen from the cities of Bremen and Lubbeck, about 1190, and was intended for the relief of the German pilgrims.

15. What had Chivalry? What did it infuse? What did it introduce and foster? What did it cherish?—16. What did Chivalry embrace? Where was the foundation of the Knights Hospitallers laid? What church did they build?—17. When and where was the order of the Knights Templars instituted? When was the Teutonic order established?

FRANCE.

SECTION I.

The Foundation of the French Monarchy; Merovingian and Carlovingian Kings, from A. D. 420 to 987.

1. The history of France is intimately connected with that of England, as the kings of the latter, for a long period, assumed the title of king of France, and held possession in it of varied extent, from the time of William the Conqueror to the reign of Queen Mary. The kingdom of France was originally possessed by the Celts or Gauls, a brave and warlike people, who were reduced to the Roman power in the time of Julius Cæsar. The Franks, from whom the monarchy receives its name, emerging from the forests of Germany, made an irruption into Gaul about the year 420, and gradually increased in power under their successive kings, Pharamond, Clodio, Merovæus, and Childeric.

2. In 481, *Clovis*, the son of Childeric, became king of the Franks, and is generally regarded as the founder of the French monarchy. He embraced Christianity through the influence of his virtuous queen, Clotilda, the daughter of the Duke of Burgundy, and received baptism on Christmas day; his example was immediately followed by three thousand of his subjects. He made Paris the seat of his government, and after a long and prosperous reign, he left his kingdom, according to the custom of the country, divided between his four sons, A. D. 511.

3. In the year 690, *Pepin d'Heristel* became mayor of the palace, the first office under the crown; at his death, he was succeeded in the office by his son, Charles Martel, one of the most renowned warriors of his age. He defeated the Saracens in a sanguinary battle, between Tours and Poictiers, in which, according to many historians, three hundred thousand of the enemy were slain, while the French lost only about fifteen hundred. Charles was succeeded in the office of mayor by his son, *Pepin the Short*, who continued to govern France for several years, while the weak and indolent Childeric III. was nominally king.

4. Such was the state of things, when Pepin first thought of assuming the title and ensigns of royalty, while exercising the duties of the sovereign. Finding the people favorable to his views, and having obtained a favorable answer* from Pope Zachry, who had been consulted on the subject, he finally concluded to prosecute his design. Accordingly, in a great assembly of the people, he was proclaimed king, while Childeric was re-

*The answer of the Pope was in the following words: "It were better that he should be king, in whom the sovereign authority resides"—*Eginard Annal.*

1. What is said of the history of France? By whom was the kingdom originally possessed? What is said of the Franks?—2. What is said of Clovis? What did he embrace? How did he leave his kingdom?—3. When did Pepin become mayor of the palace? What is said of Charles Martel? By whom was Charles succeeded?—4 Finding the people favourable to his views, what did Pepin do?

moved to a monastery, where he died three years after this event and with him ended the dynasty of the Merovingian sovereigns, A. D. 752.

5. About this period, the Lombards, who were already masters of a great part of Italy, aimed at subduing the whole, had extended their conquests over the province of Ravenna; even Rome itself was on the point of falling into their hands, when Pope Stephen applied for assistance to the emperor of Constantinople, in whose name the government of Rome was still exercised. But Constantine, who was at that time too much engaged in his disputes with the church, to give his attention to the affairs of state, neglected to send assistance to the Romans, who in their extremity were obliged to turn for aid to the monarch of France.

6. Pepin immediately responded to the call, but previous to any act of hostility, he sent, at the pope's request, deputies to Astolphus, the king of the Lombards, requesting him to desist from his hostile designs: his proposals, however, were only answered by threats and insults. Pepin, therefore, hastily collected his army, crossed the Alps, defeated the Lombards, and obliged Astolphus to accept humiliating conditions of peace. But scarcely had he departed from Italy, when the perfidious Astolphus recommenced hostilities, and laid siege to Rome. Pepin a second time crossed the Alps, and having again defeated the Lombards, solemnly bestowed on Pope Stephen and his successors in the pontifical chair, his conquests in Italy; in this manner was commenced the temporal power of the pope, A. D. 755.

7. Pepin was succeeded by his two sons, Charles and Carlomon, but the latter dying shortly after the death of his father, Charles was left in possession of the undivided sovereignty. This distinguished monarch, known in history by the name of *Charlemagne*, or Charles the Great, is said to have been seven feet in height, of a robust constitution and majestic appearance. He was eminent as a statesman, and as a warrior he far surpassed all the sovereigns of his age. He carried on a long and sanguinary war with the Saxons, which finally resulted in the reduction of their whole country. At the earnest solicitation of the Romans, he turned his arms against the Lombards, who, under their king, Desidrius or Dideir, had broken the treaty concluded by Astolphus, and spread their ravages, so as to endanger the city of Rome. He defeated them, and completely destroyed their power in Italy. He afterwards conquered a part of Spain, and about the year 800, the rank and title of Emperor of the West was conferred on him by Pope Leo III.

8. His empire comprised France, Netherlands, Germany, Switzerland, a part of Italy and Spain. He labored incessantly to diffuse a spirit of literature, and encourage the useful arts,

What became of Childeric?—5. What is said of the Lombards? What did Pope Stephen do? What is said of Constantine? To whom did the Romans next turn for aid?—6. What did Pepin do? How were the proposals answered? What was the result? What did Pepin do after crossing the Alps a second time?—7. By whom was Pepin succeeded? What is said of this monarch? At the solicitation of the Romans, what did he do? What title was conferred on him?—8. What did his empire comprise? What did he labor to diffuse?

throughout his vast dominions. He invited to his court, from foreign countries, men distinguished for their talents, among whom was Alcuin, a learned and virtuous Englishman, who opened an academy in the palace of the French monarch. Charlemagne himself, with his sons, frequently assisted at the lectures of this distinguished man. With regard to his table, he was extremely frugal, and in his dress he was generally plain; the ladies of his court were usually employed at the needle or distaff, and he even took delight in appearing ornamented with the productions of his wife and daughters.

9. Charlemagne died in 814, in the seventy-first year of his age, and forty-seventh of his reign. He was succeeded by his son, Louis I., surnamed *Debonair*, or *the Mild*. The reign of this monarch was inglorious, and rendered unhappy by the unnatural rebellion of his sons, who twice deposed and imprisoned their father, and again restored him to the throne. Louis died in 840, leaving his dominions divided between his three sons.

Charles II. presided over France; Louis obtained Germany, and Lothaire reigned in Italy, under the title of emperor. Bitter contentions between the three brothers soon involved their subjects in sanguinary wars. Charles and Louis united their forces against Lothaire, who endeavoured to deprive them of their inheritance. The rival brothers at length met in the famous battle of Fontenoy, where Lothaire was defeated, and compelled to retire to his Italian dominions. The loss on both sides, in this battle, is estimated at one hundred thousand men.

10. Charles, after a weak reign, was succeeded by his son Louis, *the Stammerer*, who, after a short reign, left his kingdom to his two sons, Louis III. and Carlomon. After the death of these princes, Charles *the Fat* was elected to the throne, but he governed with so much weakness that he was deposed, and the crown transferred to Eudes, during the minority of Charles *the Simple*, who afterwards succeeded to the throne. During the reign of this prince, the Normans, under their celebrated chief, Rollo, invaded Neustria, and established themselves in the north of France, which from them took the name of Normandy, A. D. 912. The remaining kings of the Carlovingian line were generally weak princes, and their reigns were not distinguished for any remarkable events. After the death of Louis V., who died without issue, the French lords refused as his successor his uncle Charles, duke of Lorraine, and transferred the crown to Hugh Capet, duke of France, who, after defeating his rival, obtained possession of the throne, and thus formed the third or Capetian race of French kings, A. D. 987.

Whom did he call to his court? What is said of him with regard to his table, &c.? —9. When did Charlemagne die? By whom was he succeeded? What was the reign of this monarch? How did he leave his dominions? What is said of Charles and Louis? Where did the rival brothers meet? What was the loss on both sides?—10. By whom was Charles succeeded? Who was next elected? During the reign of this prince what took place? After the death of Louis V., to whom was the crown transferred?

SECTION II.

Capetian Kings; from Hugh Capet to Philip VI. of Valois A. D. 987 to 1328.

1. Hugh Capet was an able sovereign, and his administration was directed with wisdom; he enacted several salutary laws, added considerably to his territory, and again made Paris the seat of government. Either through modesty, or a fear of exciting the jealousy of his nobles, he never assumed the ensigns of royalty; even on great and solemn occasions, he appeared in a plain and simple dress.

2. Robert, the son of Hugh, succeeded his father in 996. This prince is described as handsome in person and gentle in his disposition, but his reign presents few events of importance. His son Henry I. succeeded to the throne in 1031; his reign was generally tranquil and free from any extraordinary incidents. The reign of Philip, who succeeded his father in 1080, was distinguished for the preaching of the *First Crusade*, by *Peter the Hermit*, and the invasion of France, by William the Conqueror, an event which laid the foundation of that long continued rivalship and series of hostilities, which for several succeeding centuries existed between France and England.

3. Philip died in 1108, and left his dominions to his son Louis VI., surnamed *the Fair*, an able and accomplished sovereign, who enjoyed a prosperous and useful reign. On his death-bed, he addressed his son, who succeeded him, in the following words: "Remember that royalty is nothing more than a public charge, of which you must render a very strict account to Him who makes kings and will judge them." Louis VII. was the next sovereign who swayed the sceptre of France. In conjunction with Conrad III., of Germany, he headed the third *Crusade* to Palestine, but was most unfortunate in that expedition. Louis had married Eleanor, heiress to the great duchy of Guienne, but divorced her for her levity and vices; and in a few weeks afterwards, she married *Henry Plantagenet*, earl of Anjou, who, in the following year, became Henry II. of England, and who, by his marriage, acquired a great addition to his possessions in France.

4. Philip II., surnamed Augustus, succeeded to the throne in 1180. No prince, since the reign of Charlemagne, surpassed Philip in military skill and enterprise. He signalized the commencement of his reign by the expulsion of the Jews from his dominions, and shortly afterwards joined his great rival, Richard I. of England, in the third *Crusade*. After the death of Richard, John, his brother, who succeeded him, was strongly suspected for having murdered Arthur, his nephew; for this he was summoned

1. What is said of Hugh Capet? What did he never assume?—2 Who succeeded? What is said of him? Who was the next sovereign? By what was the reign of Philip distinguished?—3. To whom did Philip leave his dominions? On his death-bed, how did he address his son? Who was the next sovereign? What did he do? Whom did he marry?—4. Who next succeeded to the throne? What were the principal events of his reign?

by Philip, as his vassal, to be tried by a court of his peers; on his refusal, Philip invaded Normandy, and wrested that important province from the English monarch.

5. Philip died in 1223, and was succeeded by his son Louis VIII., surnamed *the Lion*, on account of his valor. He died after a short reign of three years, on his return from an expedition against the Albigenses, who had disturbed the south of France. Louis IX., commonly styled *St. Louis*, succeeded to the throne at the early age of twelve years, and during his minority, his mother, *Blanche*, of Castile, filled the office of regent, in which she displayed great abilities. In the person of St. Louis were united all those eminent qualities that distinguish an illustrious sovereign, with all the virtues that adorn the Christian. His benevolence, piety and purity of intention are conspicuous in every action. In the early part of his reign, he vigorously repelled the invasion of Henry III. of England, whom he signally defeated near Taillebourg, and finally compelled him to sign a treaty of peace. His zeal for religion prompted him to engage in two disastrous *crusades*, in the second of which he died of a fever, near Tunis, in the fifty-sixth year of his age, and the forty-fourth of his reign, A. D. 1270.

6. St. Louis was succeeded by his son Philip III., surnamed the Hardy, who continued the war against the infidels with vigor; defeated the Saracens, and compelled the king of Tunis to conclude a peace on terms favorable to the Christians. Philip IV., surnamed the *Fair*, from the beauty and elegance of his person, succeeded to the throne in 1285. One of the most remarkable events of the reign of this monarch, was the suppression of the order of the *Knights Templars*. Charges of the greatest magnitude being brought against them, Philip ordered all the templars of his kingdom to be arrested on the same day.

A committee was appointed at Paris, before which one hundred and forty knights were examined, all of whom, with the exception of three, freely acknowledged themselves guilty of the denial of Christ, of sacrilege, and other enormous crimes.

7. But as the persons accused belonged to an order which was religious as well as military, it became necessary to refer the affair to the ecclesiastical authorities. Accordingly, a general council was convened by Pope Clement V., at Vienne, before which the investigation into the conduct of the Templars and their trials, which had now occupied nearly five years, was laid. After a deliberation of several months, the order was suppressed; and the property belonging to it was transferred to the order of the Knights Hospitallers of St. John, who were still fighting the battles of Christendom against the infidels, from whom they had lately recovered the island of Rhodes. It appears that the order of the Templars, though generally corrupt, was not equally so in

5. By whom was Philip succeeded? When did he die? By whom was he succeeded? In the person of St. Louis, what were united? In the early part of his reign what was done? In what did he engage? Where did he die?—6. By whom was St. Louis succeeded? Who was the next sovereign? What was one of the most remarkable events of his reign? Of what did they acknowledge themselves guilty?—7. But as the persons accused, &c., what became necessary? Where was a council convened? After a deliberation of several months, what was done? What appears?

all places; which fact accounts for the different treatment its members received in different countries. Many were acquitted, particularly in Germany and Spain; some were condemned to perpetual or temporary imprisonment; while others, who, instead of repenting, obstinately retracted the free avowal of their guilt, were delivered to the secular power, to be punished according to the rigor of the law. Fifty-nine were burnt at Paris, and several others in the south of France.

8. Philip died in 1314, leaving his dominions to his son Louis X., surnamed *Hutin*, or Wrangler, who was succeeded after a reign of a few months, by his brother, Philip V., whose short reign was distinguished for his severity against the Jews. With the succession of Charles IV. ended the Capetian line of kings. A. D. 1328.

SECTION III.

Branch of Valois; from Philip VI. to Charles VIII., A. D. 1328 to 1498.

1. On the death of the late monarch, the crown devolved upon Philip of Valois, the grandson of Philip III., the nearest male heir, as, according to the laws of the kingdom, females were excluded from the throne. His succession, however, was disputed by Edward III. of England, who claimed the crown of France in right of his mother, *Isabella*, the daughter of Philip the *Fair*. Philip maintained that a mother could not transmit to her issue a right which she never possessed; and the case being laid before the peers and barons of France, they unanimously declared in his favor.

2. In the mean time, Edward prepared to enforce his claim by an appeal to arms. He invaded France with an army of thirty thousand men, and gained the famous battle of *Cressy*, in which his eldest son, the *Black Prince*, (so called from the color of his armour,) first displayed those distinguished military abilities which afterwards rendered him so illustrious. Edward, pursuing his good fortune, besieged and took Calais, which remained in the hands of the English until the reign of *Queen Mary*. It was during the reign of Philip that the title of Dauphin was given to the eldest son of the king of France.

3. Philip died in 1350, and was succeeded by his son, John II., surnamed the *Good*. It was during the reign of this prince that the famous battle of Poictiers was fought, in which Edward the *Black Prince* added to the glory which he had already gained at *Cressy*. The French monarch, at the head of sixty thousand men, advanced against the prince whose army did not exceed sixteen thousand men; still, notwithstanding the disparity of

How many were burnt at Paris?—8. When did Philip die? Who were the next two sovereigns? On the accession of Charles IV. what took place?

1. By whom was the succession of Philip disputed? What did Philip maintain?—2. In the mean time, what did Edward do? What battle did he gain? What did he take?—3. By whom was Philip succeeded? During his reign what took place?

numbers, the scale of victory turned in favor of the latter; the French were signally defeated, their king fell into the hands of the conquerors, and was led captive to London The conduct of the prince towards the fallen monarch deserves the highest commendation. He endeavored to console him in his misfortune, waited on him at table, and, in every manner in his power, manifested towards him the utmost courtesy and respect. John was afterwards released on condition that he should pay one million five hundred thousand pounds sterling as the price of his ransom. But on his return to France, finding himself unable to comply with his engagement, he returned again to England, saying that, "If honor were banished from every other place, it should find an asylum in the breasts of kings." He was received with every mark of respect by Edward, who assigned him, as his residence, Savoy Palace, where he shortly afterwards died, A. D. 1364.

4. On the death of John, Charles V., surnamed the *Wise*, succeeded to the throne. This distinguished prince labored incessantly to retrieve the losses of the preceding reign, and so successful was he in his efforts, that in the course of a few years the English were expelled from all their possessions in France, with the exception of Calais, Bayonne, and Bordeaux. Charles himself did not appear in the field, but from his cabinet directed the operations of his armies by his wise and prudent counsels. He raised to the office of constable of France the celebrated *Du Guesclin*, one of the greatest generals of the age. Besides being an eminent statesman, Charles was a distinguished patron of literature; he possessed a library of nine hundred volumes, which was a considerable number for the period, when the art of printing was yet undiscovered; and he may be regarded as the founder of the present magnificent royal library of Paris. On his death, in 1380, his son Charles VI., surnamed the *Well Beloved*, ascended the throne.

5. The reign of this sovereign was signally unfortunate. He fell into a state of insanity, which rendered him incapable of attending to the administration of the government. In consequence of the king's incapacity, regents were appointed, whose misconduct threw the kingdom into a civil war. During these calamities which afflicted France, Henry V. of England invaded the country, and gained the memorable battle of Agincourt. The consequence of this victory, and other advantages gained by Henry, enabled him to conclude a treaty by which his succession to the throne of France was acknowledged on the death of Charles. Henry and Charles both died shortly after this event, A. D. 1422.

6. Charles VII., surnamed the *Victorious*, asserted his right to the throne of his father, while at the same time the infant Henry VI. of England was proclaimed king of France under the regency

What is said of the conduct of the prince? Of John? On returning to England what did he say?—4. Who succeeded to the throne? What is said of him? Of what was Charles a patron? By whom was he succeeded?—5. What is related of this monarch? During these calamities, who invaded France? What was Henry enabled to do?—6. What is said of Charles VII.?

of his uncle, the Duke of Bedford. The English laid siege to Orleans, a place of tne greatest importance, and so successful were they in their operations against this and other places, that the affairs of France began to wear the most gloomy aspect; they were, however, suddenly restored by one of the most extraordinary events recorded in history.

7. When the hope of saving Orleans was almost abandoned, a young girl named Joan, about seventeen years of age, who had lived an humble life in a village on the borders of Lorraine, presented herself to the governor of Vaucouleur, and maintained with much earnestness that she had been sent by divine commission to raise the siege of that city, and procure the coronation of Charles in the city of Rheims. After undergoing a most rigid examination before a committee of persons appointed for that purpose, and also before the court and king himself, it was generally admitted that the commission was supernatural. She was accordingly intrusted with the liberation of Orleans. As she approached the city her presence inspired the inhabitants with confidence, while it spread dismay and consternation among the English, who hastily raised the siege and retired with precipitation, but being pursued by the heroine at the head of the French army, they were entirely defeated at Patay, with a loss of nearly five thousand men, while the French lost only one of their number. From this event Joan was called the Maid of Orleans.

8. The second part of her mission, which yet remained to be accomplished, was equally arduous and dangerous. The city of Rheims and the intermediate country being in possession of the English or their allies, presented apparently insurmountable difficulties. Charles, however, placing full confidence in her guidance, commenced his march, and as he advanced every obstacle disappeared; the citizens of Rheims, having expelled the garrison, received him with every demonstration of joy. After the coronation was performed, Joan threw herself at the feet of Charles, declaring that her commission was accomplished, and solicited leave to return to her former humble station; but the king, unwilling to part with her services so soon, requested her to remain for some time with the army, with which at length she complied. She afterwards attempted to raise the siege of the city of Campiegne; but her good fortune seemed to have deserted her; she fell into the hands of the English, who, to gratify their revenge for the many losses they sustained through her valor, condemned her, under a charge of various pretended crimes, and caused her to be burnt in the public square at Rouen.

9. By this cruel measure the English hoped to check the success that had attended the operations of Charles. In this, however, they were disappointed; such was the impulse which the heroine had given to the affairs of France, that the English in a

To what place did the English lay siege?—7. When the hope of saving Orleans was almost abandoned, what is related? As she approached the city what is said of her?—8. What is said of the city of Rheims? What did Charles do? After the coronation what did Joan do? What was her fate?—9. What is said of the impulse which the heroine had given to the affairs of France?

few years were expelled from all their possessions in the country, with the exception of Calais. Charles passed the remainder of his reign in improving the internal condition of his kingdom. The close of his life was embittered by the unnatural conduct of his son, who attempted to poison his father. He died in 1464, a prince of acknowledged virtue, justice and discretion.

10. Louis XI., who succeeded to the throne, was distinguished for the cruelty and tyranny exercised against his subjects. He left, however, some good regulations for the encouragement of commerce and the promotion of justice. His severity occasioned a revolt, which was called, "the war of the public good." His sanguinary disposition was displayed on a certain occasion, when he pronounced the sentence of death on one of his nobles; he ordered that the children of the unfortunate victim should be placed under the scaffold, that they might be sprinkled with the blood of their dying parent. His own life was rendered miserable, particularly towards the close, from the knowledge that he was despised by his subjects, and from the terrors of a guilty conscience.

11. Charles VIII., the son of Louis, succeeded to the throne in 1483, at the age of thirteen years, under the regency of his sister, the princess Ann. His father had acquired a claim to the kingdom of Naples; the young king, on coming of age, undertook an expedition against that country, which he easily subdued. Charles, who was remarkable for the sweetness and affability of his disposition, died in the twenty-eighth year of his age, and with him ended the direct line of the house of Valois.

SECTION IV.

From the accession of Louis XII., to the reign of Henry III., A. D., 1498 *to* 1589.

1. The duke of Orleans, who was the nearest heir after the death of Charles, succeeded to the throne of France under the title of Louis XII. He was a wise and popular sovereign; by his frugal policy he greatly diminished the burden of taxes, and gained the title of the Father of his people. Being urged to punish those who had been his enemies during the preceding reign, he replied, "It is unworthy of the king of France to avenge the injuries done to the duke of Orleans."

2. He reduced Milan and Genoa, and prosecuted his claim to Naples, with some advantage, but was ultimately unsuccessful. He joined the *League* of *Cambray* against Venice, which, on account of its wealth acquired by its commerce, excited the jealousy of its neighbors; but the confederates afterwards quarrelled among themselves, and a new league was formed against France.

How did Charles pass the remainder of his reign?—10. What is said of Louis XI.? What did he leave? On pronouncing the sentence, what did he order?—11. Who succeeded Louis? For what was he remarkable?

1. What is said of the Duke of Orleans? What reply did he make when urged to punish, &c.?—2. What did he do?

The French, under the command of Gaston de Foix, duke of Nemours, gained an important victory over the confederates at the battle of Ravenna, in which the duke lost his life: After the death of this distinguished general, Louis soon lost all his possessions in Italy, and was compelled to evacuate the country. Before he was able to recover these losses, he suddenly died; an event which filled the hearts of his subjects with the deepest sorrow; the exclamation that the good king was dead was heard on every side.

3. As the late king had died without leaving any male issue, his cousin, the Earl of Angouleme, ascended the throne, under the title of Francis I. Francis, then in the flower of his age, was of a romantic disposition, and fond of military glory, and soon distinguished himself by the conquest of the Milanese. On the death of Maximilian, emperor of Germany, in 1519, Francis and Charles V. of Spain became rival candidates for the imperial throne. Charles was the successful candidate; and Francis, deeming himself injured, availed himself of this pretence for commencing hostilities against his rival.

4. His first operations against Navarre were successful; but an unfortunate misunderstanding taking place between Francis and the high constable, De Bourbon, one of the ablest of his generals; the latter basely abandoned his country and his sovereign, and offered his services to the emperor Charles. Bourbon fought against the French in the battle of Biagrassa, in which they were defeated with the loss of their celebrated general, the illustrious *Bayard*, surnamed the *Knight without fear and without reproach*. Bourbon is said to have wept like a child over the dying hero; "Weep not for me," said the magnanimous Bayard, "but for yourself. I die in the service of my country, you triumph in the ruin of yours."

5. Francis, now taking upon himself the command of the army, hastened into Italy, and laid siege to Pavia, but was there defeated and taken prisoner by the imperialists under the command of Bourbon. After thirteen months of captivity, Francis obtained his liberty, and having crossed the boundary of his own dominions, he mounted his horse, and waving his hat, he exclaimed, "I am yet a king." The conditions on which he obtained his release were so unreasonable, that Francis, on regaining his liberty, refused to comply with them. The violation of this treaty again involved the two rival sovereigns in another sanguinary war. The sovereign pontiff having declared in favor of Francis, Bourbon, who commanded for Charles, laid siege to Rome but was killed in an attempt to storm the walls. The city however, was taken, and for two months abandoned to the pillage of the infuriated soldiery, during which time it presented a scene

What did the French gain under the command of Gaston de Foix? What is said of his death?—3. Who succeeded to the throne? What is said of Francis and Charles V.?—4. What was the consequence of the misunderstanding between Francis and De Bourbon? What did Bayard say while Bourbon wept over him?—5. What did Francis now do? After he obtained his liberty, what is said of him? What was the fate of Bourbon? What is said of the city?

of ruinous desolation, more frightful than that which it endured when it fell beneath the hand of the Goth or Vandal.

6. After the war had raged for some time with but little advantage on either side, a truce was concluded, and the two rival monarchs were brought to a personal interview at *Aigues Mortes*, in France, where the warmest expressions of friendship passed between them. The following year, Charles obtained permission to pass through France on his way to the Netherlands; he remained for six days at Paris, where he was entertained with great magnificence. The war was again renewed between the two sovereigns, respecting Milan, which terminated unfavorable to Francis, who died shortly after peace was restored, in the fifty-second year of his age, A. D. 1547. Francis possessed, in many respects, the reputation of a great sovereign. His impetuous courage, his frank and generous disposition, gained him the affection of his subjects. He was a liberal patron of literature and the arts, which made great progress in France during his reign, and the French court acquired that polish and refinement which have since rendered it so conspicuous.

7. Henry II., who succeeded Francis, was brave, affable, and polite; he inherited in some degree the abilities and courage of his father. His reign, which continued for thirteen years, was almost one uninterrupted series of hostilities with Charles V., and his son Philip II., of Spain. Henry gained an important advantage over the imperialists at the siege of Metz; but Philip, in his turn, gained the famous victory of St. Quentin. The reign of Henry was also signalized by the recovery of Calais, which was taken after a siege of eight days, by the celebrated Duke of *Guise*, after it had remained in the possession of the English for two hundred and ten years. Henry's severity against the Huguenots gave rise to those sanguinary civil wars, which for several succeeding reigns distracted and desolated France. His death was occasioned by an accident which happened to him at a tournament.

8. He was succeeded by his son, Francis II., who, after a short reign of one year, left the throne to his brother, Charles IX., then a boy, in the tenth year of his age, who commenced his reign under the regency of his mother, *Catherine de Medicis*. At this time the Protestant religion began to make considerable progress in France, and had gained the patronage of several distinguished men, among whom were the Prince of Conde and Admiral Coligni. The leading men in the administration were the celebrated Duke of Guise, and his brother, the Cardinal of Lorraine. In order to bring about an accommodation, and to settle the difficulties without further bloodshed, a conference was held at Poissy, for the purpose of discussing the points in dispute between the Catholics and Protestants. The conference was attended by the king

6. After the war had raged for some time, what was concluded? What took place the following year? When did Francis die? What did he possess? Of what was he the patron?—7. Who succeeded Francis? With whom was he engaged in hostilities? By what was his reign signalized? What occasioned his death?—8. Who were the next two sovereigns? What is said of the Protestant religion at this time? Who were the leading men in the administration? What was the object of the conference at Poissy?

and the most prominent personages of the court. The Protestant cause was supported by the celebrated Theodore Beza, while the Catholic doctrine was defended by the distinguished Cardinal of Lorraine.

9. After this conference, an edict was published granting important privileges to the Protestants. But the spirit of discontent still prevailed between the two parties, and the flame of civil war again burst forth and deluged the fairest portion of France in devastation and blood. The Catholics under the command of the Duke of Guise and Montmorency, defeated the Huguenots under the Prince of Conde, and the Admiral Coligni, in several engagements. During the contest, the Protestants lost their most able leader, the Prince of Conde, who fell in battle; while on the other hand, Charles had to lament the loss of the firmest support of his throne, the Duke of Guise, who was cut off by assassination. Peace was at length restored, and the Protestants obtained free toleration in religion.

10. The most memorable transaction in the reign of Charles, was the massacre of the Protestants which took place on St. Bartholomew's day. So various and contradictory are the accounts given of this event by different writers, as to the number of the victims, and the motives that prompted it, that it is a difficult task, at the present time, to arrive at the true state of the facts. On the occasion of the marriage of the sister of Charles, to the king of Navarre, Coligni and other distinguished Protestant leaders were invited to court. During the celebration of the nuptial ceremonies, various circumstances happened which contributed to bring about the odious measure that followed. As Coligni passed through the streets, he was severely wounded by an assassin. The public voice attributed the attempt to the young Duke of Guise, in revenge for the murder of his father at the siege of Orleans; it proceeded, however, from the queen-mother, Catherine de Medicis, who was alarmed at the gradual influence which the Admiral seemed to acquire over the mind of Charles.

11. The wounds which Coligni had received were not dangerous: but his followers crowded to his residence; their threats of vengeance terrified the queen; and in a secret council the king was prevailed upon to give his sanction to the destruction of the leaders of the Protestant party. From the close connection of events immediately preceding the massacre, it would seem that it originated in the animosity of the French court against the Protestant leaders, and was dictated rather by a momentary impulse, than by any studied or preconcerted plan. The young king, whose mind was harassed by the frequent revolts of the Huguenots against his authority, was only induced to consent to this cruel measure after the positive assurance of his mother and chief counsellors, that his safety required that the leaders of the

9. After this, what was published? What is said of the spirit of discontent? During this, whom did the Protestants lose? What had Charles to lament?—10. What was the most memorable transaction of the reign of Charles? During the celebration of the nuptials what took place? From whom did it proceed?—11. In a secret council, what was the king prevailed on to do? From what would it seemed to have originated? When was the king induced to consent to the cruel measure?

party should be cut off, and that if he waited until morning, his most faithful officers, his family, and perhaps himself, would be sacrificed to their vengeance.

12. In this state of mind, he gave his consent to the projected massacre, which took place during the night of the twenty-third of August, and a part of the following day. The residence of Coligni was forced, and he was put to death, with his principal counsellors; the populace joined in the work of blood, and every Huguenot who fell in their way was sacrificed to their fury. Although the massacre was only intended for the capital, still it extended to several provinces; the governors, though instructed to prevent similar excesses, had not always the power or the will to check the fury of the people, and the bloody tragedy of Paris was imitated in several other towns. With regard to the number of the victims, it is impossible to speak with certainty. Some writers exaggerate the number to seventy thousand; others estimate thirty, twenty, or fifteen thousand. The reformed martyrologist adopted a means of ascertaining the real number, by procuring from the ministers in the different towns where the massacre took place, a list of the names of the persons who suffered. He published the result in 1582, and in all France he could discover the names of no more than seven hundred and eighty-six persons.

13. Charles, in order to palliate the shame of this murderous edict against the Huguenots, wrote to every court in Europe, stating, that having just detected their horrid plots against his authority and person, he was fortunate enough to escape from the imminent danger to which he was exposed, by cutting off the leaders of the party. Many, deceived by this statement, and yet unacquainted with the true nature of the facts, congratulated him on his good fortune. Among others, Pope Gregory XIII., on receiving the account of the transaction, as given by Charles, offered up public thanks, not that he rejoiced at the death of the supposed traitors, but for the preservation of the French monarch and his kingdom from ruin.

14. Charles did not long survive this event; he died shortly afterwards, of a pulmonary complaint, and was succeeded in the throne by his brother, Henry III., a weak and fickle prince. In the beginning of his reign, he granted important privileges to the Protestants, but he afterwards joined the *League* projected for the defence of the state and religion, and took the field against them. By this conduct he lost the confidence of both parties; he was finally assassinated by James Clement, a Dominican friar, in the fourth year of his reign, A. D. 1588.

12. When did it take place? What was the fate of Coligni? With regard to the number of victims, what is said? What do some writers exaggerate it to? What was the number according to the reformed martyrologist?—13. What did Charles do in order to palliate the shame? What is said of Pope Gregory XIII.?—14. By whom was Charles succeeded? What is said of Henry? How did he die?

SECTION V.

House of Bourbon, from Henry IV., to the Death of Louis XV. A. D. 1589 *to* 1774.

1. On the death of Henry III., the king of Navarre ascended the throne of France under the title of Henry IV., who was afterwards called the Great. His accession was however greatly opposed by a powerful party in the state. The Cardinal of Bourbon was proclaimed king by the army of the *League*, then under the command of the Duke of Mayenne, and took the title of Charles X. But the army was signally defeated by Henry, in the famous battle of *Ivry*. The difficulties and dangers which surrounded Henry daily increased. He had been educated in the reformed religion, which he still continued to profess, while the greater part of his subjects were Catholics. The king began now seriously to turn his mind to the subject of religion, and having asked several Protestant divines if he could be saved by professing the Catholic doctrine, and being answered in the affirmative, he concluded that it would be a safer policy, in his peculiar situation, to embrace that religion. Accordingly, in 1593, he abjured Protestantism, and declared himself a Catholic.

2. The event was productive of beneficial results to France; his claim was immediately acknowledged by all orders of the state, and the sanguinary civil wars which had so long afflicted the kingdom, were happily terminated. Henry having gained quiet possession of the throne, was governed by principles of the wisest policy. By the celebrated *Edict of Nantes*, he granted to the Protestants the free exercise of their religion, confirmed all their rights and privileges, and gave them free admission into all the offices of honor and emolument.

3. Henry now turned his attention towards the improvement of his kingdom. A civil war of nearly thirty years' duration had produced the most calamitous effects. The land was untilled, the people poor and wretched, the crown loaded with debt. But by the wise and prudent measures of Henry, these evils were soon removed, and prosperity began again to diffuse itself throughout the kingdom. The wisest of his counsellors was the Baron de Rosny, afterwards Duke of Sully, in whom he found an able minister and a faithful friend.

4. Henry, by his great abilities, having elevated France from the wretched condition in which he found her, at his accession to the throne, to a high state of prosperity and happiness, fell a victim to the fanaticism of a monk named Ravaillac, who had long planned his death. As the king rode through the streets of the capital, he was accidentally stopped by some obstruction in the way; Ravaillac, who was always on the watch, seized this favourable moment, mounted on the wheel of the carriage, and

1. On the death of Henry III., who ascended the throne? Who was declared king by the army of the *League*? In what had he been educated? What did he do in 1593?—2. Of what was this event productive? What did he do by the *Edict of Nantes*?—3. To what did he now turn his attention? Who was the wisest of his counsellors?—4. How did Henry die? Relate the circumstances of his death?

stabbed the king twice before any one could oppose him. Thus fell Henry IV., who may justly be ranked among the greatest of the French monarchs, in the fifty-seventh year of his age, and in the twenty-first of his reign, A. D. 1610.

5. When the fatal event was made known in Paris, the whole city presented a scene of mourning. Ravaillac was seized, and put to the most cruel tortures, to induce him to confess the names of those who were his accomplices; but to the last, he persisted in maintaining that no one except himself was concerned in the action. As a sovereign, Henry was deservedly great; to promote the happiness of his people, seems to have been his predominate passion; he was kind and familiar to the lowest of his subjects, and was beloved by them to a degree bordering on enthusiasm. His private life was far from being so commendable, and the manners of his courtiers were rendered profligate from the example of their sovereign.

6. Louis XIII., the son of the late monarch, succeeded to the throne at the age of nine years, under the regency of his mother, Mary of Medicis, who displeased the nobility by her partiality for Italians; and during her administration the kingdom relapsed into many disorders. Louis, on assuming the reins of government, chose for his prime minister the famous Cardinal Richelieu, one of the greatest men of his age. During the reign of this monarch, the kingdom was again distracted by civil war; the Protestants attempted to throw off their allegiance, and to establish an independent state, selecting *Rochelle* for the capital. Richelieu laid siege to this city, which finally surrendered after an obstinate resistance of twelve months. The fall of this city terminated the civil war, and greatly weakened the Protestant power in France. A second rebellion was excited by the Duke of Orleans, the king's brother, and supported by the Duke of Montmorency; but it was finally crushed, and Montmorency executed for treason.

7. The great abilities of Richelieu were conspicuous in all his undertakings. While he extended the glory of France and commanded the respect of all the powers of Europe, he became also the zealous patron of literature and science, and instituted the French Academy. He died in 1642, and was followed to the tomb in the succeeding year by Louis himself, in the forty-third year of his age and the thirty-fourth of his reign.

8. Louis XIV. succeeded his father at the early age of five years, under the regency of his mother, Ann of Austria. She made choice of Cardinal Mazarin for her prime minister, whose administration was particularly distinguished by the defeat of the Spaniards at Rocroy, Friburg, and Lens, who taking advantage of the king's minority had commenced hostilities. On the death of Mazarin, Louis, at the age of twenty-two, took upon himself

5. What is said of Paris when the news was made known? What is said of Henry as a sovereign? Of his private life?—6. Who succeeded to the throne? Who was chosen prime minister? During the reign of Louis, what is said of the kingdom? By whom was a second rebellion headed?—7. What is said of the abilities of Richelieu? When did he die?—8. Who succeeded? Who was made prime minister? How was his administration distinguished?

the entire direction of the affairs of government. To the happy choice he made of his ministers, may be attributed the brilliant achievements that distinguished the early part of his reign. The financial affairs were regulated by the famous Colbert, an able and sagacious statesman; his armies were commanded by the Princes of Conde and Turenne, two of the greatest generals of the age; while the genius of Vauban was employed in fortifying his towns.

9. He subdued *Franche Comte*, which he annexed to France, conquered a part of Netherlands; overran *Alsace*, and twice desolated the *Palatinate*. Alarmed at the success that attended the arms of the French monarch, the *league of Augsburg* was formed, in which Holland, Spain, Sweden, and several other of the German States united against him. In 1701 a second *league* was entered into by England, Germany, and Holland, against the power of France. The splendid career of victory which marked the early part of his reign, was now exchanged for a series of reverses which attended the close of his long and eventful life. His armies were no longer directed by the master spirits of Turenne and Conde; they had, moreover, to contend with the genius of the Duke of Marlborough and Prince Eugene, who gained over them the celebrated victories of Blenheim, Ramillies, Oudenarde and Malplaquet; and at the peace of Utrecht, Louis lost nearly all the advantages he had formerly gained.

10. Louis died in the seventy-eighth year of his age, and the seventy-third of his reign, A. D. 1715. His reign, which is one of the longest recorded in history, is illustrated by many brilliant achievements. The most impolitic measure of his long administration, and one that has incurred the censure of subsequent historians, was the revocation of the *Edict of Nantes*, granted by Henry IV. for the toleration of the Protestant worship. By this act, all the Protestant ministers who refused to abjure their tenets, were commanded to quit the kingdom within the space of two months. As to the other Protestants, they were allowed to remain in France, where they might freely carry on their business, "without being molested or harassed on account of their religion," to use the words of the repealing act. Many, however, preferred to follow their ministers into exile; but as to the number of persons who thus went into banishment, it is impossible to ascertain. Some authors swell the number to five hundred thousand; while Larrey and Benoit, both Protestant writers, admit it to have been about two hundred thousand. The Duke of Burgundy, whose candor and ample means of research entitle him to credit, assures us that the French refugees did not exceed sixty thousand in all.

11. Although the king, with the advice of his ministers, adopted these severe measures against the Huguenots, it cannot be sup-

Who regulated the financial affairs? Who commanded his armies?—9. What did he do? What league was formed against him? In 1701, what was formed? What is said of his career of victory? What victories were gained by Prince Eugene?—10. When did Louis die? What is said of his reign? What was the most impolitic measure? By this edict, what was commanded? What is said of the number who went into banishment? How many do some authors state?

posed that this portion of his subjects were entirely without blame; their frequent manifestations of hostility to the government, their many open revolts, which had plunged the kingdom into all the evils of civil war, might be offered as some palliation for the revocation of the *Edict of Nantes*. To this may be added the fact, that all the Protestant governments of Europe at the time exercised the utmost severity against the Catholic portion of their subjects. This, however, is but a feeble excuse; example is no palliation for a fault; in a more enlightened and liberal age, we disavow the spirit of persecution, even the shadow of intolerance.

12. Louis is said to have been handsome in his person, and to have excelled in all the polite accomplishments of the age. The love of glory was his ruling passion; this he pursued, not only by his military achievements and the splendor of his conquests, but also by the patronage which he gave to literature and science; by promoting all the useful arts, and by giving encouragement to commerce, manufactures, and public works. The capital was embellished, the palaces of Versailles and Louvre were built; the canal of Languedoc and other useful works were constructed. The reign of Louis XIV. has been styled the *Augustan Age* of French literature, and is distinguished for the number of eminent men who flourished during that period. Conde and Turenne at the head of his armies have acquired imperishable fame; Colbert in the cabinet; Bossuet, Fenelon, and Bourdaloue in sacred eloquence; Pascal and Descartes in Mathematics and Philosophy; Racine, Boileau, J. B. Rousseau in poetry.

13. Louis XV., the great-grandson of the late monarch, succeeded to the throne at the age of five years under the regency of the Duke of Orleans. The Dutchess of Ventadour was appointed governess to the young king, a lady well qualified for the important charge reposed in her. Louis, on coming of age, chose for his chief minister the virtuous and amiable Cardinal Fleury, who was then in the seventy-third year of his age, but still retained his vigour and activity till near ninety. By the wise and pacific counsels of this distinguished man, the prosperity of France was revived and its tranquillity preserved for near twenty years.

14. After the death of Fleury, France was engaged in the war of the *Austrian Succession*, which took place on the death of the emperor Charles VI. The two competitors for the imperial throne were *Maria Theresa*, the eldest daughter of the late emperor, and Charles the elector of Bavaria. The claim of the former was supported by Great Britain, while the cause of the latter was espoused by France and Prussia. The English and their allies under George II. gained the battle of Dettingen, and the French in their turn obtained the victory at the battle of Fonte-

11. What cannot be supposed? What might be offered as some palliation for the revocation of the *Edict of Nantes*? To this, what may be added? What do we disavow?—12. What is said of Louis? What has his reign been styled? Mention some of the most distinguished men.—13 Who succeeded to the throne? Whom did Louis choose for his chief minister? By his wise counsels, what was revived?—14. In what was France engaged? Who were the two competitors? By whom were they supported?

noy. Peace was restored by the treaty of *Aix-la-Chapelle*, and the claim of Maria Theresa was acknowledged.

15. In 1755 war was again renewed between England and France, respecting their *American* possessions. This was terminated by the peace concluded at Paris in 1763, when the most important of the French possessions in North America were ceded to Great Britain. Louis died in 1774, in the sixty-fifth year of his age, and in the fifty-ninth of his reign.

The reign of this monarch and that of his predecessor occupied the unexampled period of one hundred and thirty-two years.

SECTION VI.

Louis XVI.; The Revolution; Buonaparte; Louis XVIII.; Charles X. From A. D. 1774 *to* 1830.

1. Louis XVI., the grandson of the late king, succeeded to the throne in the twentieth year of his age. The situation of this virtuous and benevolent prince was beset with difficulties of no ordinary character. The prodigality of his predecessor had impoverished the nation and loaded the people with taxation; a general corruption of morals and contempt for religion were manifested by those who were at the head of the government while the principles of atheism were widely disseminated through the writings of Voltaire, Rousseau and others.

2. The deranged state of the finance first claimed the attention of Louis. He placed at the head of this department, *Turgot*, an eminent statesman, and chose *Malesherbes* as his prime minister. These distinguished men, after several unsuccessful attempts to remove the evils and to reform the abuses of the state, resigned their situations and retired from office. The celebrated *Necker*, a native of Geneva, having succeeded Turgot at the head of the finance, pursued the same system of economy and reform; but becoming unpopular with the courtiers, he was finally removed.

3. About this period, two commissioners from the *United States* arrived at Paris, to solicit the aid of France in behalf of the Americans, who were then struggling for their independence against the power of Great Britain. Although the American envoys were at first denied an audience in a public capacity, still the cause in which their country was engaged excited the deepest sympathy among the French nobility, and obtained many private volunteers, among whom the *Marquis de Lafayette* was the most conspicuous. When the news of the failure of Burgoyne's expe-

By what was peace restored?—15. In 1775, what war was renewed? How did it terminate? When did Louis die? What was the length of his reign? What period did these two monarchs occupy?

1. Who succeeded to the throne? What is said of his situation? What were manifested? What were disseminated?—2. What claimed the attention of Louis? Who was placed at the head of this department? Who succeeded Turgot?—3 At that period, who arrived at Paris? What is said of their cause? When the news of the failure of Burgoyne's expedition reached Paris, what took place?

dition reached Paris, a favorable change took place in the French cabinet with regard to America. The queen, who had always favored the interest of the Americans, now espoused the cause for which they contended with renewed ardor. The king and his ministers, who had hitherto acted with caution and reserve, at length determined openly to acknowledge the independence of the United States. Their commissioners, Franklin and Deane, were received as public ambassadors, and in February, 1778, a treaty of amity and commerce was signed between France and America.

As soon as this event was made public, the English ambassador was immediately recalled from Paris, and war declared by Great Britain against France.

4. Various causes have been assigned as the origin of the *French Revolution*. The public debt, which had been greatly increased by the benevolent efforts of Louis, in assisting the people of the United States in gaining their independence, left the state of the finance in the most embarrassed condition; the return of the French officers and soldiers, after the successful termination of the *American Revolution*, disseminated through France a spirit in favor of liberty and republican principles; a general corruption of morals and open contempt for religion, became more prevalent, particularly among the higher orders of the state, while atheism and infidelity were daily increasing. These and other circumstances contributed towards exciting that fearful storm which spread devastation and blood over the plains of France, and convulsed the whole continent of Europe.

5. After every plan for restoring the deranged condition of the finance had proved ineffectual, Louis convoked an assembly called the *Notables*, composed of persons selected from the highest orders of the state, to whom it was proposed to levy a tax on all classes without exception, in proportion to their prosperity; but they refused to sanction this measure, as they perceived it would subject them to some personal sacrifices. After this a demand was made for the convocation of the *States-General*, a body consisting of the three orders, nobility, clergy, and commons, which had not been assembled since the year 1614, and never had a regular existence.

6. The assembly of the *States-General* convened on the 5th of May, 1789, at Versailles, where it was addressed by the king in a mild and conciliatory speech. It was not long, however, before the members of the assembly disagreed among themselves; the commons, with such of the nobility and clergy as were disposed to join them, seized the legislative authority, declared themselves the representatives of the people, and styled themselves the *National Assembly*. Of this body Bailly was chosen president, while Mirabeau and the Duke of Orleans, (a man of the

In 1778, what was done? What was done by Great Britain?—4. What are some of the causes assigned as the origin of the French Revolution?—5. What did Louis convoke? What was proposed? After this, what demand was made?—6. When was the States-General convened? What did the commons do? Of this body who was chosen president?

most abandoned character,) were the two most prominent members. By the very first act of the *National Assembly*, Louis found himself, in a great measure, deprived of his authority, and all who refused to unite with the commons saw themselves shut out from power, and all their rights and privileges invaded.

7. While these things were transacting at Versailles, an insurrection broke out in Paris, which was characterized by the most ungovernable violence. The *Hotel des Invalides* was taken by surprise, and thirty thousand muskets were seized; the prison of *Bastile* was demolished, the governor was massacred and his head fixed upon a pike, and carried through the streets amidst the shouts of the infuriated rabble. When the news of these violent proceedings reached Versailles, the king hastened to the capital, with the hope of being able to allay the tumult; he addressed the multitude with the warmest expressions of friendship, and succeeded in restoring a temporary calm; after this he again returned to Versailles. But scarcely had he departed, when the insurrection was renewed with increased violence. The infuriated populace directed their vengeance against all those whom they considered their oppressors, and the whole city of Paris was deluged in blood.

8. They finally proceeded to Versailles, and demanded that the king should return to the capital. In compliance with their request, the unfortunate monarch, accompanied by the royal family, left Versailles and proceeded on his way to Paris; he was, however, protected from violence through the influence and efforts of the *Marquis de Lafayette*, who commanded the national guard.

9. The progress of the revolution now made rapid advances; the *States-General* underwent a change and was styled the *National Assembly;* the royal authority was nearly annihilated; the privileges of the nobles and clergy were abolished; the church lands confiscated; the monasteries suppressed, and France divided into eighty-three departments.

The next measure of the *National Assembly* was the formation of a new constitution, and from this circumstance it was styled the *Constituent Assembly.*

10. In the mean time, Louis and the royal family escaped from the palace of Tuileries, and reached the frontiers of the kingdom, when they were detected and again brought back to Paris. The new constitution was at length completed, and received the sanction of the king; it established a limited monarchy, and placed all orders of the state upon an equality. After this the assembly dissolved itself on the 30th of September, 1791. The next assembly that met on the first of October was styled the *Legislative Assembly.*

By its first act, what did Louis find?—7. What broke out in Paris? What was done? When this news reached Versailles, what did Louis do? Against whom did the populace direct their vengeance?—8. Where did they proceed, and what did they demand? By whom was Louis protected?—9. What is said of the progress of the revolution? How was France divided? What was the next measure of the National Assembly?—10. In the mean time what did Louis do? What did the new constitution establish? What was the next assembly styled?

11. At an early stage of the revolution, various political clubs were formed, among which the *Jacobin Club* (so called from the place of its meeting) was the most predominant. This factious association long continued to possess a powerful influence in the capital and to govern the proceedings of the Assembly. Another association, styled the *Club* of *Cordeliers*, surpassed the Jacobins in avowed contempt for religion, government, and law. On the 21st of September, 1792, a new body was convened, styled the *National Convention*; at its first meeting the regal government was abolished, and France declared a republic; the next step was to consummate the drama; the king himself was arraigned at the bar to answer to various charges brought against him.

12. In vain did Louis refute the absurd charges of which he was accused; in vain did the eloquence of Deseze vindicate his innocence; his enemies thirsted for his blood, and the sentence of death was pronounced against him. The ill-fated monarch, who had passed through all these trying scenes with a fortitude not usually met with under similar circumstances, bowed in perfect resignation to that fate which he saw he was unable to avoid. On the 21st of January, 1793, after taking an affectionate leave of his queen, his children, and his sister, the princess Elizabeth, who had attended him in the most trying scenes, he was led to the place of execution. With a firm step he ascended the scaffold; for a moment he surveyed the multitude with calm serenity, and then addressed them in a few words: "I die innocent; I pardon all my enemies, and I pray that France may not suffer for the blood she is about to shed." At this moment the noise of the drums drowned his voice; he then calmly placed his head under the guillotine, and as the axe descended, his confessor exclaimed, "Son of St. Louis, ascend to heaven." Thus perished *Louis XVI.*, in the thirty-ninth year of his age; a prince whose only fault seems to have been the love of his people.

13. After the death of the king, his amiable and virtuous consort, *Marie Antoinette*, was marked out for destruction. On the 16th of October, 1793, having received the sentence of death, she was brought from the prison, meanly clad, with her hands bound behind her, and conducted to the place of execution in a common cart, attended by her confessor, the curate of *St. Landry*. As she passed through the streets, she occasionally raised her languid eyes and gazed for a moment on the words *Liberty* and *Equality*, inscribed on the houses. On the scaffold she conducted herself with her usual fortitude, until she was desired to lay her head upon the block; at this awful moment she grew pale and became apparently insensible. She was beheaded amidst the furious cries of *Vive la Republique*.

14. During the May of 1794, the amiable and beautiful prin-

11. At an early stage of the Revolution, what was formed? What were the two principal clubs? At the first meeting of the *National Convention*, what was done?—12 What is said of Louis? What sentence was pronounced against him? On the 21st of January what was done? What words did he address? What did his confessor exclaim?—13. Who was next marked out for destruction? On the 16th of October what it said of her? As she passed the streets? On the scaffold?—14. During the May of 1794, what was done?

cess Elizabeth, the sister of Louis, was brought forth to execution; and about a year later, the young dauphin, an interesting child, died in prison, of a disease contracted from confinement, and from the barbarous treatment he received from the guards. Of all the members of the royal family, the daughter of Louis, afterwards duchess of Angouleme, was the only one who did not fall a victim to the furious storm that desolated France.

15. The *National Convention* was soon divided into furious factions, of which the principal were the *Mountain party*, headed by Robespierre, Danton, and Marat, men of the most unparalleled depravity and cruelty; and the *Girondists*, of which Brissot, Vergniaud, and Condorcet, were the leaders, and were less extravagant in their views. The *Mountain party*, under Robespierre and his associates, whose bloody dominion is styled "the reign of terror," having gained the ascendency, committed the most fearful massacres. Brissot and Vergniaud, the leaders of the Girondists, with twenty of their partisans, fell a sacrifice to the vengeance of the predominant faction. That monster of vice and cruelty, the Duke of Orleans, suffered the same fate, from the hands of those very men whom he had been instrumental in bringing into power.

16. The *Convention* now indulged in the most extravagant excesses. On motion of Gobet, archbishop of Paris, the Christian religion was suppressed, and a decree passed, declaring that the only deities hereafter to be worshipped in France, should be *Liberty, Equality,* and *Reason:* a republican calendar was established; the Sunday was abolished, and in its place every tenth day was appointed as a day of rest. The churches were despoiled of their ornaments and treasures; even the bells were melted and cast into cannon.

17. After these extraordinary proceedings, the *Convention* was again divided into two violent parties; *Robespierre* at the head of one, and *Danton* the leader of the other. *Robespierre* prevailed, and all his most conspicuous opponents were brought to the guillotine; but his own bloody career was soon destined to terminate; being condemned on a charge of tyranny, he was executed in July, 1794. After the fall of Robespierre, the *Jacobin club* was suppressed and during the following year a third *Convention* was formed, and the executive power vested in five *Directors*.

18. The sovereigns of Europe, alarmed at the extravagant proceedings of the revolution in France, began to consider the propriety of uniting their forces, in order to oppose its fearful progress. At an early stage of the convulsion a coalition was formed between Prussia and Austria, for the purpose of re-establishing the royal authority and restoring tranquillity to the country. After the execution of Louis, the *first* of the five great *coalitions* was

About a year later?—15. How was the National Convention divided? What is said of the Mountain party? Of Brissot, &c.? Of the Duke of Orleans?—16. What was done on the motion of Gobet? What is said of the churches?—17. After this, what is said of the convention? Who prevailed? What is said of his own career? After the fall of Robespierre, what was done?—18. What is said of the sovereigns of Europe? At an early stage of the Revolution what was formed? And after the death of Louis?

formed between Great Britain, Holland, Russia, and Spain, against France. The combined forces having invaded France, under the command of the Duke of Brunswick, were completely overthrown.

19. The French, elated by this triumph, began to think of carrying their arms into the dominions of their assailants. Accordingly, under the command of Dumouriez, they subdued the Netherlands, Holland, Switzerland, and a part of Germany. In 1796, the command of the French army was given to Napoleon Buonaparte, then a young man in the twenty-seventh year of his age, who had previously distinguished himself at the siege of Toulon. This extraordinary man soon astonished the whole continent of Europe by the brilliancy of his victories. He completed the conquest of Italy, and compelled the Austrians to sign the treaty of Campo Formio, by which the French conquests in the Netherlands were confirmed. The Venetian territories were given up to Austria, and the Milanese was ceded to the Cisalpine Republic, which was newly formed out of the Austrian and Papal territories in *Italy*.

20. Buonaparte next directed his victorious arms against Egypt, defeated the *Mamelukes* in the famous battle of the Pyramids, and took possession of Cairo and all the Delta. In 1798, the *French fleet* was defeated by the English under the celebrated *Nelson*, in the *Bay of Aboukir*. In 1799, a second *coalition* was formed between England and Russia, in which Austria and several other powers afterwards engaged. During the campaign which followed, the French were most unfortunate. The Austrians, under the *Archduke Charles*, and the Russians under their general Suwarrow, gained several important victories in the north of Italy and in Germany, and by uniting their forces, they threatened the frontiers of France.

21. At this crisis, Buonaparte found it necessary to return to Paris to remedy the disorders caused by the misconduct of the *Directory* at home. By the aid of his partisans, Fouche, Talleyrand, and others, he succeeded in abolishing entirely the *Directory*, framed a new constitution, and caused himself to be elected *first consul*. From this moment the affairs of France took a new turn; Buonaparte finding himself placed beyond all control, by his energy and activity surmounted every obstacle caused by the intrigues of his enemies; and by suppressing various factions which had long existed in the country, succeeded in restoring order and tranquillity in every department of the government.

22. Placing himself again at the head of his army, he effected the celebrated passage of the Alps, and defeated the Austrians in the memorable battle of *Marengo*; this victory was followed by a second defeat of the Austrians at *Hohenlinden*, by the French under Moreau. These, and other advantages on the part of France, were followed by the peace of Luneville, with Austria, and the German empire; and in the succeeding year, 1802, after

19. In 1776, what was done? What is said of this extraordinary man?—20. Where did Buonaparte next direct his arms? In 1798 what took place? Who gained several victories in the north of Italy, &c.?—21. At this crisis what did Buonaparte find it necessary to do? By the aid of his partisans, what did he do? What did he now do?—22. What did he now effect?

the peace of Amiens with England, Europe for the first time since the commencement of the *Revolution*, enjoyed the blessing of universal tranquillity.

23. Buonaparte spent the short interval that elapsed between the cessation of war and the renewal of hostilities, in performing various acts of public utility. In compliance with a previous contract with Pope Pius VII., he re-established the Christian religion, which had been suppressed by order of the *Convention*. He published a civil code; offered great facilities to commerce; and greatly embellished the city of Paris by new buildings and monuments. But at the same time his course was marked with cruelty and blood; he exercised the utmost rigor against Moreau and Pichegru, two illustrious generals, who were accused of participating in a conspiracy; the former was exiled, and the latter strangled in prison, while a number of others were brought to the guillotine. The *Duke d'Enghien*, a prince of the Bourbon family, after a mock trial, was shot during the night at the castle of Vincennes.

24. During these transactions, the mind of Buonaparte was actively engaged in maturing schemes of a higher ambition. After causing himself to be elected *consul* for life, with power to appoint a successor, he began to think of assuming the sceptre. Addresses were made by the civil and military bodies, offering him the imperial dignity, which he condescended to accept; he was accordingly crowned in 1804, by the Pope, Emperor of France, and in the following year he assumed the title of *King* of Italy.

25. The peace of Amiens was of short duration. In 1803, the war had been renewed between England and France; and in 1805, a *third coalition* was formed by England, Austria, Russia, Sweden, and afterwards by Prussia. The emperor immediately placing himself at the head of his army, took the field against the powers combined against him. At Ulm he captured the Austrian army of thirty-three thousand men under Mack; and in the memorable battle of Austerlitz, he defeated the united forces of Russia and Austria; at this battle the three emperors were present. This brilliant victory terminated the campaign, and brought about the peace of Presburg, by which Austria ceded to France her Venetian territories. A few weeks previous to the battle of Austerlitz, the English fleet, under Lord Nelson, gained a great victory off Cape Trafalgar, over the combined fleets of France and Spain. The English captured nineteen ships of the line, but had to lament the loss of their celebrated admiral, who fell in the action.

26. As the king of Naples had permitted the English and Russian army to pass through his dominions, he drew upon himself

After the peace of the Amiens, what is said of Europe?—23. How did Buonaparte spend the short interval? In compliance with the contract with Pope Pius VII, what did he do? What did he publish? What acts of cruelty did he exercise?—24. After causing himself to be elected consul for life, what did he begin to think of? When and by whom was he crowned emperor?—25. What was done in 1803 and in 1805? What did he do at Ulm? At Austerlitz? What did the English fleet gain? Who fell in the action?—26. What is said of the King of Naples?

the indignation of the emperor of France, who deposed him, and placed his brother, Joseph Buonaparte, upon the Neapolitan throne. He next compelled the Dutch to receive his brother Louis as king of Holland. After this, he subverted the constitution of the German empire, and formed a union of the several states, under the title of the "Confederation of the Rhine;" obliged Francis II. to resign his title of Emperor of Germany and king of the Romans, and take that of Emperor of Austria; and raised the electors of Bavaria, Wurtemburg, and Saxony, to the rank of kings.

27. In 1806, a *fourth coalition* was formed, in which Russia, Austria, Prussia, Sweden, and England united against France. The emperor, with his usual good fortune, defeated the Prussians in the great battles of *Jena* and *Auerstadt;* immediately entered Berlin, and here commenced the *Continental system* against English commerce, declaring the British islands in a state of blockade, and ordering all ports to be closed against them. Peace was restored in the following year by the treaty of Tilsit, when Buonaparte bestowed on his brother Jerome the provinces wrested from Prussia, which he erected into the new kingdom of Westphalia. When the news of the *Berlin decree* reached England, the British government issued *their orders in council*, by which all neutral vessels trading with France were compelled to stop at a British port and pay a duty. In consequence of these orders, the emperor, who proceeded to Italy after the peace of Tilsit, issued his *Milan decree*, by which all vessels submitting to the British search, or consenting to any pecuniary exaction, were confiscated.

28. Elated by the astonishing success that attended his arms, the emperor of France gave full scope to his ambition, and set at defiance all principles of justice and moderation. He next fixed his attention upon Portugal, and so decisive was he in the execution of his plans, that the royal family was forced to quit the kingdom, and embark for Brazil. He compelled Charles IV. of Spain to abdicate his crown in favor of his brother Joseph Buonaparte, who was in consequence transferred to the Spanish throne, and Murat, who had married the sister of Napoleon, was raised to the throne of Naples.

29. The Spaniards, in this emergency, applied for aid to England, who readily granted them assistance; this circumstance gave rise to the *Peninsular war*, which continued to rage from 1808 to 1813.

In the mean time war again broke out between France and Austria, which Buonaparte prosecuted with his usual success. Having gained several important victories over the Austrians at *Abensberg*, *Ratisbon*, and *Wagram*, he compelled the emperor Francis to submit to a humiliating treaty at Vienna, by which he

What did he compel the Dutch to do? After this what did he subvert, &c.?—27 What was formed in 1806? What did the emperor? What took place the following year? At the news of the *Berlin Decree*, what was done by the British government? What did the emperor issue?—28. On what did he next fix his attention? What did he compel Charles IV. to do?—29. What gave rise to the Peninsular war? What did he compel the emperor Francis to submit to?

agreed to accede to the *continental system*, and to give his daughter, Maria Louisa, in marriage to the emperor of France. In consequence of this treaty, Buonaparte was solemnly divorced from the *Empress Josephine*, a woman of the most amiable character, and became allied to the imperial house of Austria.

30. By the treaty of Tilsit, Alexander, the emperor of Russia had acceded to the *continental system* against England, and agreed to exclude British goods from his dominions; but finding this measure extremely injurious to his subjects, he thought proper to retract his assent. In consequence of this, Buonaparte determined on the invasion of the Russian empire. Early in the spring of 1812, he collected an army consisting of four hundred thousand infantry, sixty thousand cavalry, and one thousand two hundred pieces of artillery, and on the twenty-fourth of June, he crossed the *Niemen* on this memorable expedition.

31. His progress towards Moscow, to which he directed his march, was interrupted by the Russians, whom he defeated at Smolensk, and the tremendous battle of Borodino, at which nearly thirty thousand men fell on each side. He afterwards proceeded to Moscow, which he found enveloped in flames, and abandoned by its inhabitants. The city had been set on fire by the Russians, to prevent its affording an asylum to the French army. Buonaparte, in this emergency, thought it prudent to retreat towards the frontiers. There is scarcely to be found in the annals of history a parallel for the suffering which the French army now endured from cold and famine. It is stated that near thirty thousand horses perished in a single day, from the severity of the weather; and of the immense army with which he invaded Russia, only about thirty thousand men remained to recross the Niemen.

32. In the mean time, the emperor, leaving the remnant of his army, after it had crossed the Beresina, near the frontiers, fled in disguise to Paris, raised another army of three hundred and fifty thousand men, and found himself opposed by a *fifth coalition*, consisting of Russia, Prussia, Austria, Sweden, and several of the confederate states of the Rhine. Without losing a moment of time, he put himself at the head of his army, defeated the allied powers in the battle of Bautzen; repulsed them to Dresden, where Moreau, one of the ablest of their generals, was slain; but was utterly overthrown in the tremendous battle of Leipsic, with a loss of forty thousand men in killed, wounded, and prisoners. The combatants in this great engagement, called the Battle of Nations, exceeded four hundred thousand, a greater number than has ever been known to have been engaged in any one battle in modern times.

33. After this battle, the emperor fled to Paris, and made a

In consequence of the treaty, what followed?—30. What is said of Alexander, emperor of Russia? What was the number of his army?—31. What is said of his progress towards Moscow? What number fell on each side at the battle of Borodino? How did he find Moscow? How many horses perished in a day? How many men recrossed the Niemen?—32. In the mean time, what did the emperor do? Where did he defeat the allied powers? Where was he overthrown? What is said of the combatants in that engagement?—33. After this battle, what did the emperor do?

vain attempt to rouse the French people. Without loss of time, the Allies crossed the Rhine, penetrated into the heart of France, and entered the capital. Buonaparte, finding his situation hopeless, abdicated the throne of France, and after various deliberations, the island of *Elba* was fixed upon for his future residence; but he was allowed to retain the title of emperor. Matters being thus arranged, Louis XVIII. was restored to the throne of his ancestors.

34. While the allied sovereigns were yet holding a congress at Vienna, for the purpose of arranging the affairs of Europe, Buonaparte returned from exile, and made another effort to regain the throne of France. Landing at *Frejus*, he marched with only eleven hundred and forty men, without opposition, through the country; presented himself in an open carriage to the royal army at Melun; was received with shouts of applause; entered Paris the same evening; and was again proclaimed emperor, amidst the loudest acclamations. Thus in twenty days after his landing at Frejus, he found himself quietly seated on the throne, without having spilled a drop of blood. This exploit, which is regarded as one of the most extraordinary of his life, is without a parallel in history, and evinces, in a striking manner, his ascendency over the French nation.

35. As soon as his return to France was made known at Vienna, he was declared, by the *congress*, a traitor and an outlaw. A new and formidable coalition was formed against him, by nearly all the powers of Europe. He placed himself once more at the head of his army, but was entirely defeated by the Allies, under *Wellington* and *Blucher*, in the memorable battle of Waterloo, with a loss of upwards of forty thousand men in killed and wounded.

36 This battle sealed the fate of Buonaparte. He fled to Paris, abdicated the throne in favor of his son, and shortly afterwards surrendered himself to Captain Maitland, of the Bellerophon, asking an asylum in England, which, he said, in a letter to the Prince Regent, was the most powerful, the most constant, and most generous of all his enemies. But it was unanimously agreed among the allied sovereigns, that he should be sent a prisoner to the Isle of St. Helena, where he arrived on the 17th of October, 1815, and there died on the 5th of May, 1821, in the sixth year of his captivity, and in the fifty-second of his age. [*See his Character in Biography.*]

37. After the second dethronement of Buonaparte, Louis XVIII. was again placed on the throne of France, which was now reduced to nearly the same limits as before the Revolution. The government was compelled to restore a considerable amount of the plunder collected at Paris, to pay £28,000,000 sterling towards the

What is said of the Allies? What place was fixed for his residence?—34. While the allied sovereigns were holding a congress at Vienna, what took place? Landing at Frejus what did he do? What is said of this exploit?—35. As soon as his return was made known, what was he declared? Where was he defeated by the Allies? With what loss?—36. After this battle, what did he do? Where was he sent? When did he die?—37. Who was again placed upon the throne? What was the government compelled to do?

expense of the war, and maintain for five years an army consisting of one hundred and fifty thousand of the allied troops, to be placed in different fortresses on the frontiers. Murat, who had been raised to the throne of Naples, and Marshal Ney, having both taken part with Buonaparte after his return from Elba, were sentenced to be shot.

38. Louis XVIII. was succeeded in 1824 by his brother, Count d'Artois, under the title of Charles X. The reign of this monarch was signalized by two foreign enterprises; one in favor of the Greeks, in which France united with England and Russia, the other against the city of Algiers, which surrendered to the French after a siege of six days, on the 5th of July, 1830. This reign was also disturbed by the contests between the ultra-royalists and the liberal party.

39. In March, 1830, the chamber of deputies made a strong stand against the ministry; in consequence of this, the chamber was dissolved by the king and new elections ordered. On the 26th of July, it having been ascertained that a great majority of the newly elected members were liberal, an ordinance was issued by the government, dissolving the chamber before it met, suspending the liberty of the press, and altering the mode of elections.

40. The publication of this ordinance caused the greatest commotion in Paris; the citizens took up arms against the government, and on the 29th of July gained a decided advantage over the king's guards. The chamber of deputies met on the third of August; the throne was declared vacant, and the Duke of Orleans was called to accept the crown. On the 9th of August the Duke took the oath prescribed, and ascended the throne of France under the title of *Louis Philip*, the present king. At the commencement of the outbreak in Paris, Charles X. fled to Scotland, where he resided some time with his family, in tranquil obscurity, in the ancient palace of *Holyrood*.

ENGLAND.

SECTION I.

England from the Conquest by the Romans, A. C. 55 to A. D 827.

1. In pursuing the history of England the mind is forcibly struck with her gradual rise from the lowest state of barbarism to the highest point of civilization and refinement. Early records represent her as a weak and defenceless province prostrate at the

What was the fate of Murat and Marshal Ney?—38. By whom was Louis succeeded? By what was his reign signalized?—39. In March, 1830, what took place? In July, what ordinance was issued?—40. What is said of the citizens? Who was called to accept the crown? What became of Charles?

1. In pursuing the history of England, how is the mind struck? How do early records represent her?

feet of a foreign power, while her present history exhibits her as a nation holding the highest rank in power, in the arts of peace and war, and with her commerce holding communion with the most distant regions of the earth.

2. The authentic history of this country can only be traced from its conquest by the Roman arms. A part of the island was invaded and conquered by Julius Cæsar, fifty-five years before the Christian era. According to ancient writers, the first inhabitants were a tribe of Gauls or Celtæ, who had landed on the island from the neighboring continent. This is probable, as their language, manners, and mode of government bear a striking resemblance to each other. Although, comparatively speaking, in a state of barbarism, the inhabitants had made some slight progress in civilization and had gained some knowledge of agriculture previous to the invasion of the Romans. They lived in huts built in the forest, clothed themselves in the skins of beasts, and lived on the milk and flesh of their herds. They were not wholly ignorant of the arts of war; their armies, which consisted principally of foot soldiers, were equipped chiefly with the bow, the shield, and the lance. They had, moreover, a kind of war-chariot set with scythes, which caused dreadful slaughter when driven among their enemies.

3. The religion of the ancient Britons was that of Druidism, a degrading form of superstition; their priests, called Druids, possessed an unbounded control over the minds of the people. They taught the doctrine of the transmigration of the soul, and offered human victims to appease the wrath of their gods. The oak was considered the peculiar residence of the deity, and at their religious solemnities, both the priest and the people wore chaplets of oak, and covered the altar with the leaves. No vestiges of their sacred groves are now to be found, but the ruins of their temples which still remain show that they attained, at an early period, a considerable advancement in the mechanical arts.

4. Such was the condition of Britain when it attracted the ambition of the Roman power. Julius Cæsar, who had spread his conquests over Germany and Gaul, now cast his eye upon the isle of Britain. He was not allured by the prospect of wealth nor the richness of the soil, but led on by the ambition of carrying his arms into a region which before was considered inaccessible to the flight of the Roman eagle. With this view, having collected a numerous fleet, he embarked with about ten thousand of his troops. On his arrival in sight of the coast he beheld it covered with the Britons, prepared to dispute his landing. Not a little surprised on meeting with such determinate resistance, the Roman soldiers remained some time in doubtful suspense, until the standard-bearer of the tenth legion, leaping into the sea, advanced towards the shore, declaring that he would do his duty to Cæsar and to Rome.

Her present history?—2. What is said of the authentic history? According to ancient writers, what were the inhabitants? How did they live? Of what did their armies consist?—3. What is said of religion? What did they teach and offer? What is said of the oak? What do the ruins of their temples show?—4. What is said of Cæsar? By what was he allured? What is said of the Roman soldiers?

5. His example was followed by his companions; they gained the shore and put themselves in order for battle. The undisciplined Britons, unable to cope with Roman legions, were routed and fled in confusion. Although discomfited for the present, they were not conquered, but rallying under their respective leaders, they soon obliged the invader to retire to the continent with all his forces. Cæsar, however, was indefatigable in whatever he undertook; returning the following year, he again invaded the island, forced the inhabitants to a subjection rather nominal than real, obliged them to give hostages for their future obedience, and again returned to Gaul.

6. In the reign of Claudius, A. D. 44, the Roman arms were again directed towards the final subjugation of Britain. For nine years the illustrious *Caractacus* bravely defended his dominions against the power of Rome; but being at length defeated by the Roman general Ostorius, he was taken prisoner and led captive to Rome; as he passed through the streets and beheld the splendor of the city, he was heard to exclaim, "Alas! how is it possible that a people possessed of such magnificence at home, should envy *Caractacus* in his humble cottage in Britain." In A. D. 59, during the reign of the emperor Nero, Suetonius was sent to conduct the war against the Britons; he defeated them under their celebrated queen Boadicea, who put an end to her own life to avoid falling into the hands of the conquerors. But the final subjugation was effected during the reign of Titus by *Agricola*, who conquered *Galcagus*, a distinguished Caledonian chief, and established the Roman dominion over all the southern part of the island. A. D. 78.

7. In order to prevent the incursions of the barbarians from Caledonia, the Romans built three walls across the northern part of the island. The first was of turf, built by the order of the emperor Adrian, extending from Solway Frith to the mouth of the river Tyne; a second of wood by Antoninus, between the frith of Clyde and Forth; and a third of stone, by the emperor Severus. In order to repel the irruptions of the Goths and other barbarous tribes from the North, who now found their way into the plains of Italy, the Romans were obliged to recall their legions from the protection of their more distant provinces. Impelled by this necessity, near the middle of the fifth century, they withdrew their forces entirely from Britain, leaving the inhabitants to their own resources, four hundred and sixty-five years after the landing of Julius Cæsar.

8. The northern inhabitants, the Scots and Picts, no longer intimidated by the Roman legions, demolished the walls and carried their devastations over the southern part of the island. The Britons, unable to protect themselves, sent deputies soliciting the aid of the *Saxons*, a warlike people inhabiting the north of Ger-

5. What is said of the Britons? What did they soon oblige the invader to do? What did Cæsar again do?—6. What took place in 44? What is said of *Caractacus*? What did he exclaim? In A. D. 59, what took place? When was the subjugation finally effected?—7. To prevent the incursions of the barbarians, what did the Romans do? By whom were they built? When were their forces entirely withdrawn from Britain?—8. What did the northern inhabitants do? To whom did the Britons apply for aid?

many. The invitation was kindly accepted: a Saxon army of sixteen hundred men under the command of two brothers *Hengist* and *Horsa*, was sent to their relief; and the Scots and Picts were soon compelled to retire to their own dominions. The Saxons having expelled the Scots and Picts, instead of returning to their own country, turned their arms against the Britons themselves, and sending for a reinforcement of Saxons, Angles, and Jutes, they took possession of the country and reduced the inhabitants to subjection. From the Angles, the name of England is derived.

9. A series of contests ensued between the inhabitants and the invaders. Among the chieftains who opposed the Saxons, the name of Arthur stands conspicuous. This renowned prince, whose history is regarded by many as a romance, is said to have defeated them in many signal engagements, without, however, being able to effect a deliverance of his country. After a contest of nearly one hundred and fifty years, the Saxons succeeded in establishing their power, and erected a *Heptarchy*, or seven Saxon kingdoms, which continued for about two hundred years, and exhibited during that period an almost unbroken series of dissensions and sanguinary contests. At length Egbert, king of Wessex, a man of superior talents, prudence and valor, first united them in one kingdom, under the name of England.

10. Previous to this period, the light of Christianity had shone upon the island. Towards the close of the sixth century, *St. Austin*, was commissioned by Pope Gregory the Great to carry the glad tidings of salvation to the inhabitants of Britain. Austin, accompanied by forty monks, set forward to England; and having arrived in the island, announced to Egbert the object of his mission. Egbert and his queen,* attended by a vast retinue of their warlike subjects, kindly received the missionaries, and gave them an audience in the open air. *St. Austin* explained the doctrines of Christianity; the king shortly after this received baptism publicly, and such was the salutary influence of his example that ten thousand of his subjects are said to have been baptized in a single day.

SECTION II.

From the foundation of the Monarchy, to the Norman conquest A. D. 827 *to* 1066.

1. During the reign of Egbert the coast of Britain was visited by a formidable enemy in the Danes, who repeatedly plundered and devastated the land, destroying every thing by fire and

* Bertha, the name of his queen, was the daughter of the king of Paris and had previously embraced Christianity.

Having expelled the Scots and Picts, what did the Saxons do?—9. Among the chieftains, who is conspicuous? What is said of him? After a contest of one hundred and fifty years, what did the Saxons establish? What is said of Egbert?—10. Toward the close of the sixth century what took place? What is said of Austin? What did he explain, and what followed?

1. During the reign of Egbert, by whom was the coast of Britain visited?

sword, and continued to be a scourge to the country for upwards of two hundred years.

2. Nothing of great importance occurred from the reign of Egbert to that of *Alfred the Great*, the sixth king of England. On coming to the throne he found himself surrounded on all sides by those inveterate enemies, the Danes. In one year he is said to have defeated them in eight different battles, and succeeded in forcing them to retire from his dominions. However it was but for a short duration; returning with reinforcements, they extended their ravages, and obliged Alfred to solicit a peace. In his distress, the king was compelled to seek shelter for his safety by retiring into obscurity, and thus disguised in the habit of a peasant, he passed several months in the cottage of a herdsman, in the capacity of a servant. While in this humble abode, he was ordered by the herdsman's wife to take care of some cakes that she had left baking at the fire. But Alfred, whose mind was otherwise employed, forgot the injunction he had received, and let the cakes burn; for which neglect he was severely reprimanded by his mistress, who told him that he was always pleased to eat her cakes, though negligent in toasting them.

3. From his retreat he carefully observed the movements of the Danes, who from success had become remiss, and watched the earliest opportunity of again placing himself at the head of his followers, who had lately gained some slight advantage over their enemies. In order to ascertain the state of the Danish army, he disguised himself as a harper, entered their camp and played for the amusement of the soldiers; he was even introduced to Guthrum, the Danish prince, in whose tent he remained for several days. Having thus learned in person the unguarded condition of the Danes, he returned to his followers, and placing himself at their head, he attacked the enemy by surprise, and routed them with great slaughter.

4. Having subdued the enemies of his country, and restored peace to his kingdom, Alfred turned his attention towards repairing the evils they had caused, and improving the moral condition of his subjects. He invited to his dominions the most eminent scholars from all parts of Europe; established schools for the instruction of his people; founded the *University* of Oxford, composed a code of laws, and, according to many historians, he established the trial by jury, and translated various works into the Saxon language. It is recorded of Alfred, that he executed forty corrupt judges in one year; and so exact and impartial were the police, that he even suspended gold bracelets by the highway, and no one was found to lay a rapacious hand upon them.

5. He usually divided his time into three equal parts; one of which was employed in study and devotion: a second in the discharge of business; and the third in sleep and recreating his

2. On coming to the throne, how did *Alfred* find himself? In this distress, what is related of him?—3. From his retreat what did he carefully do?—In order to ascertain the state of the Danish camp, what did he do?—4. Having subdued his armies, what did Alfred do? What is recorded of him?—5. How did he divide his time?

body by exercise and diet; these divisions he exactly measured by burning tapers of equal length. Alfred has been justly regarded by all subsequent historians, as one of the wisest and most illustrious princes that ever adorned the English throne. He was distinguished equally for his private virtues and his public character, justly reputed the greatest warrior, legislator, and statesman of his age. He was succeeded by his son *Edward*, surnamed the *Elder*, A. D. 901. Edward inherited much of the military spirit of his father, and his reign was almost one continued contest with the Northumbrians and Danes.

6. Edward was succeeded by his brother Athelstan, a prince of great ability; he carried on a successful war against the Danes, Scots, and Northumbrians; strengthened and enlarged his kingdom, caused the Scriptures to be translated into the Saxon language, and enacted a law conferring the title of *thane*, or gentleman, on every merchant who should make three voyages to the Mediterranean Sea.

7. Edmund, his brother, succeeded to the throne. The reign of this king was short, and his death tragical. As he was celebrating a festival in *Gloucester*, the notorious robber Leolf, whom Edmund had banished, entered the hall where the king was dining, and took his seat among his attendants; being ordered to leave the apartment, he refused to obey: upon this Edmund rose and seized him by the hair; Leolf drawing his dagger, killed the king upon the spot. Edmund was succeeded by his brother Edred, whose reign was distinguished by the final subjection of Northumbria. He had for one of his principal advisers *Dunstan*, the learned and venerable abbot of Glastonbury. The king deposited with him all his treasures, and the title of his lands: and earnestly besought him to accept the vacant bishopric of Winchester, which preferment he declined. Edred, whose constitution was naturally weak, expired in the tenth year of his reign, and left the throne to Edwin.

8. Edwin, or Edwy, is generally represented by cotemporary writers, as a prince of a profligate character, whose reign would scarcely be worthy of notice, were it not for several disputed points which occupy a considerable space in some of our modern historians. Elgiva, a lady of high birth, conceiving the design of securing for herself, or daughter, the dignity of queen, and with the view of captivating Edwin's affections, was constantly in his company. On the day of his coronation, after the banquet was over, Edwin hastily left the hall where his nobles were seated, and repaired to the company of Elgiva and her daughter. His nobles considering his departure as an insult, appointed the Bishop of Litchfield, and the abbot of Glastonbury, in the name of the whole assembly, to go and recall the king. They found him

For what was he distinguished? By whom was he succeeded? What is said of Edward?—6. By whom was Edward succeeded? What were his principal acts?—7. Who succeeded to the throne? Relate the circumstances of his death. By whom was he succeeded? To whom did Edred leave the throne?—8. How is Edwin represented? What is said of Elgiva? On the day of his coronation, what is said of Edwin? What did his nobles do?

with Elgiva and her daughter, and having placed the crown upon his head, they conducted him back into the hall.

9. Shortly after this, *Dunstan* was banished from the kingdom, at the instigation of Elgiva, and Edwin was married. This event, it was natural to expect, would put an end to the influence of Elgiva. Whether on that occasion she was sent home to her husband, or committed to the care of her relations, does not appear; but the king, instigated by his passions, or by her solicitations, carried her off by force, and placed her on one of the royal farms. Archbishop *Odo* undertook to remove the scandal by enforcing the laws prescribed against women of abandoned character. (*Leg. Sax.* 58.) Through his influence she was removed from the farm and banished to Ireland.

10. In the second year of his reign, the Mercians having rejected his authority, Edwin marched against them in person, but was defeated, and fled with precipitation into Wessex. Elgiva, who had returned from banishment, accompanied him on his flight; at Glo'ster she fell into the hands of the insurgents, who put her to death in a most cruel manner. That she was never married to Edwin, at least at the time of his coronation, will appear evident by consulting the original extracts from the historians of that period, to be found transcribed in *Lingard's* notes to his history of England. (*Vol. 3, page* 311.)

11. Edwin died shortly after the *Marcian* war, and was succeeded by his brother Edgar, A. D. 959. One of the first acts of the new monarch was to recall from exile the Abbot of Glastonbury, who received episcopal consecration, and was appointed to the bishopric of Worcester, but was afterwards translated to the metropolitan see of Canterbury. The reign of Edgar was rendered memorable for being the period in which England was freed from wolves; by offering a reward for each head, he produced such diligence in the search of them, that the race shortly disappeared.

12. Hearing of the extraordinary beauty of Elfrida, daughter of the Earl of Devonshire, he sent his favorite Athelwold to ascertain if her beauty corresponded with the report. Athelwold was so completely overcome by the charms of *Elfrida*, that he resolved, if possible, to espouse her himself. Accordingly on his return, he represented to the king that her beauty had been greatly exaggerated, and that she was not handsome; but on account of her wealth, he thought she would be a suitable match for an earl, and thus obtained the king's permission to marry her. Having afterwards discovered the treachery, Edgar, resolving to be avenged, killed Athelwold with his own hand while hunting, and shortly afterwards espoused *Elfrida*.

13. Edgar was succeeded by his son Edward, surnamed the *Martyr*, in consequence of his having been assassinated at the

9. Shortly after this, what took place? What did Odo undertake?—10. In the second year of his reign what took place? What is said of Elgiva? What will appear evident?—11. What was one of the first acts of Edgar? For what is his reign memorable?—12. Hearing of the beauty of Elfrida, what did he do? What is related of Athelwold? What was his end?—13. By whom was Edgar succeeded? What was his end?

instigation of his step-mother, *Elfrida*, who was induced to this crime for the purpose of procuring the crown for her own son.

Ethelred II. next ascended the throne, to whom historians give the surname of *Unready*, from his want of promptness when called to duty. He was a weak and inactive prince. During his reign the Danes again invaded the kingdom under Sweyn their king. Ethelred fled to Normandy, leaving the kingdom in the hands of the invaders. The people, thus left without a leader, quietly acknowledged the Danish sovereign; but on the death of Sweyn, Ethelred was again restored. After an unfortunate reign of thirty-five years, Ethelred died, leaving the throne to his son Edmund, surnamed *Ironside*, on account of his great strength and valor; but courage and abilities were unable to save his declining country.

14. Canute, the son of Sweyn, having succeeded to the throne of Denmark, asserted his claim to the crown of England, invaded the country with a powerful army, and compelled the English monarch to divide his dominions with him. In a month after this event, Edmund was murdered at Oxford by the treachery of Edric, his brother-in-law, and Canute was acknowledged sole monarch of England. He was one of the most powerful monarchs of his time, and received the appellation of *Great*, from his talents and the success of his name. The early part of his life was stained with acts of cruelty, but the latter part was distinguished for mildness and benevolence. After a reign of eighteen years, he died much lamented by his subjects.

15. Canute was succeeded by his son Hzrold, whose principal amusement was the chase; he received the surname of *Harefoot*, from his swiftness in running. He was a prince of a weak and profligate character; he reigned only three years, and was succeeded by his brother Hardicanute, the last of the Danish kings, whose reign was only distinguished for his cruelty and vices.

16. As the late king left no issue, the English availed themselves of this opportunity to shake off the Danish yoke, and again restored the Saxon line in the person of Edward, the brother of Ironside. Edward was distinguished for the mildness of his disposition and for his personal virtue. After his death he was canonized by the Pope, and received the surname of *Confessor*.

By the death of Edward, England was re-plunged into all the miseries of war. As he died without issue, the British sceptre was claimed by several competitors, among whom, Harold, son of the famous Earl of Godwin, and William Duke of Normandy, were the most powerful. Harold, however, being present at Edward's death, quietly stepped into the vacant throne, and was joyfully acknowledged by the whole nation. William of Normandy resolved to assert his claim to the crown of England by force of arms. Having collected a numerous fleet, he sailed from

Who next ascended the throne? Who invaded the kingdom? What became of Ethelred? What did the people do? By whom was Ethelred succeeded?—14. What is said of Canute? What was he? By what was his early life stained?—15. By whom was Canute succeeded? What is said of him?—16 As the king left no issue, what took place? How was Edward distinguished? By whom was the sceptre claimed? What did Harold do? What did William resolve?

St. Valena, in France, and landed at *Pevensey*, in Sussex, with an army of sixty thousand men.

17. He was met by Harold with an army equally numerous. The night previous to the engagement, the two armies had pitched their camps in sight of each other, and waited with impatience for the return of the morning. As soon as the day dawned, they were drawn out in array, and awaited the signal for the combat. The two monarchs appeared at the head of their armies, William on horseback, and Harold on foot, in the centre of the host. The memorable battle of Hastings ensued; long and bloody was the contest; at length the valor of the English yielded, and victory declared in favor of the Normans; the nation submitted to the sceptre of William, who in consequence was called *The Conqueror*.

SECTION III.

The Norman Family; William I. the Conqueror; William II.; Henry I.; Stephen of Blois. From A. D. 1066 *to* 1154.

1. After the battle of Hastings, the spirit of the English was broken; city after city submitted to the conqueror, until he found himself firmly seated on the English throne.

Though William was a sovereign possessed of great abilities as a statesman and a warrior, yet many of his acts have stamped upon his reign the blot of cruelty and oppression. He was remarkable in his person, being tall and well proportioned, and possessed of such strength that few persons of that age were found who could bend his bow or wield his arms.

2. As was natural to expect, he entertained a partiality for his Norman followers, and advanced them to all the posts of honor and distinction—a measure which did not fail to excite the disaffection of the English subjects, who made several attempts to throw off the yoke; but their endeavors were fruitless and only tended to tighten the chains of their bondage. He endeavored, in a manner, to abolish the English language, by causing the youth throughout the kingdom to learn the French tongue. No other language was used at court, and among the more fashionable society; hence proceeded that mixture of French words which we find at present in the English tongue. Being much addicted to the pleasure of the chase, he reserved to himself the exclusive privilege of killing game throughout the kingdom, and formed the *New Forest*, by depopulating a tract of land nearly thirty miles in circuit. One of the most useful acts of his reign was the completing the Dooms-day Book, which contained a register of all the estates of his kingdom.

17. The night previous to the engagement, what is said of the armies? Of the two monarchs? Describe the battle.

1. After the battle of Hastings, what is said of the English? Of William? In his person?—2. What did he entertain? What did he endeavour to abolish? What was one of the most useful acts of his reign?

3. His domestic repose was somewhat embittered by the disunion of his three sons, who resided in a castle in Normandy. He did all that lay in his power to compromise their differences without effect. His unnatural son, Robert, openly revolted and declared war against his father. William besieged him in the castle of *Gerberoy*, where many encounters took place, resembling more the combats of chivalry than the contests of hostile armies. In one of these, it happened that Robert encountered the king himself, who was concealed by a helmet; a fierce combat ensued; at length the young prince wounded and dismounted his father. The king called out for assistance; Robert, hearing his voice, recognised his parent; struck with remorse, he alighted from his horse, threw himself at the feet of William, and implored his pardon; then assisting him to mount, he saw him return to his camp. A reconciliation soon after took place, through the interposition of his queen, *Matilda*, whom William tenderly loved. Having reached the sixty-third year of his age, William died on the continent, at the monastery of *St. Gervais*, in the thirty-first year of his conquest of England.

4. William II., surnamed *Rufus*, from his red hair, succeeded his father in the English throne, A. D. 1087. His reign was marked by many acts of cruelty and perfidy. As he was hunting in the *New Forest*, he was accidentally shot by *Sir Walter Tyrrel*, who had aimed an arrow at a stag, after a reign of thirteen years.

5. Henry I., the younger brother of William, taking advantage of the absence of his brother Robert, the rightful heir, who was then on a crusade to the Holy Land, ascended the throne. Robert, on his return, made preparations to gain, by force of arms, the crown of England, of which he had been deprived during his absence. An accommodation, however, was effected between the two brothers; but Henry, shortly after this, infringed upon the treaty, and made war upon Normandy; the conquest he effected after the severe fought battle of *Tenchelray*, where Robert was taken prisoner. This unfortunate prince was detained in custody during the remainder of his life, which lasted twenty-eight years, in a castle in Wales; leaving a melancholy proof how feeble are the barriers which the nearest ties of kindred can afford to the raging impulse of ambition. Henry was rendered inconsolable in the latter part of his life by the loss of his only son, who was drowned on his passage from Normandy; after the news of this accident he was never seen to smile.

Henry was, in many respects, an able and accomplished sovereign, but ambitious and ungrateful.

6. On his death he left the throne to his daughter, Matilda, but Stephen, Earl of Blois, and nephew to the late king, a noble of great ability and unbounded ambition, seized the crown.

3. What is said of his domestic repose? Of his son Robert? What did William do? On one occasion what happened? When did he die?—4. By whom was William succeeded? What was his end?—5. Who next ascended the throne? What is said of Robert? Shortly after this what was done by Henry? How long was Robert detained a prisoner?—6. To whom was the throne left? What did Matilda determine? Who invaded the country? What ensued?

Matilda immediately determined to assert her right by force of arms, and raising an army, she defeated Stephen and took possession of the throne; but by a strange occurrence of events, which are tedious and by no means interesting, Stephen, in his turn, having defeated her and compelled her to leave her dominions, again ascended the throne. Henry, the son of Matilda, resolving to maintain his rightful inheritance to the English crown, invaded the country at the head of a powerful army; but an accommodation ensued by which it was agreed that Stephen should reign until his death, after which the crown should fall to Henry.

SECTION IV.

Family of Plantagenet; Henry II.; Richard I.; John; Henry III.; Edward I.; Edward II.; Edward III.; Richard II., from A. D. 1154 *to* 1399.

Henry II., in whom were united the families of the Saxon and Norman monarchs, now ascended the British throne, at the age of twenty-one. By his marriage with *Eleanor*, heiress of *Guienne*, he possessed by inheritance nearly half of France. The most important achievement of this monarch's life was his invasion and subjugation of Ireland, which country has remained, more or less, in a state of subjection to the English crown to the present time. During the early part of his reign the famous *Thomas-a-Becket*, a man of extraordinary abilities, held the first place in the favor of the king, who promoted him to the office of *high chancellor*, and made him preceptor of the young princes. Becket displayed a magnificence equal to his dignity; his table was free of access to every person who had business at court; he took precedence of all the lay barons, and among his vassals he numbered upwards of a hundred knights.

2. Henry lived on terms of the greatest familiarity with his chancellor, and seemed to have resigned into his hands the government of his dominions. About this time it happened that the see of Canterbury became vacant, and Becket, on account of his situation, was pointed to as the person most likely to fill it. Accordingly the king sent a message to the chancellor, who was then on the continent, to repair to England, intimating to him at the same time that in a few days he would be archbishop of Canterbury. Becket replied that if the king were serious, he begged permission to decline the preferment, because it would be impossible for him to perform the duties of that station, and at the same time to retain the favor of his sovereign. But Henry was inflexible, and insisted on his accepting the preferment. Becket at length, much against his own judgment, was induced to acqui

1. Who now ascended the British throne? Whom did he marry? What was the most important achievement? What is said of Thomas-a-Becket? What did he display?—2. About this time what happened? What message did the king send? How did Becket reply? What is said of Henry?

ecce. Shortly after his return to England he was ordained priest, and in a few days received episcopal consecration.

3. Becket, whose private life had always been marked by the strictest integrity and morality, now began to think more seriously of acquiring those virtues that more particularly adorn the clerical character. The ostentatious parade and worldly pursuits of the chancellor were instantly renounced by the archbishop. The train of knights and noblemen who were accustomed to attend him were exchanged for a few companions, selected from among the most virtuous and learned of the clergy. His diet was abstemious and his charities were abundant; his time was occupied in prayer, study, and in the discharge of his episcopal functions; and as he found it difficult to unite the duties of his present station with those of chancellor, he resigned this latter office into the hands of the king.

4. The good understanding which for some time subsisted between the primate and the king, was not destined to be of long continuance. Henry began to make encroachments on the rights of the church and the clergy; sought to deprive them of the privilege of being tried for offences in the ecclesiastical courts, which privilege they had enjoyed from a very early period, and required that a clergyman, after he had been degraded by the sentence of his spiritual judges, should be delivered into the custody of a lay officer to be punished by the lay tribunal. To this it was replied, that it would be placing the English clergy on a footing inferior to their brothers in any other Christian country; it was repugnant to those liberties which the king had sworn to preserve at his coronation, and that it violated the first principle of law, by requiring that the same individual should be twice punished for the same offence.

5. The king grew indignant at the opposition of the bishops, and in order to bring the matter to an issue, summoned a great council to meet at Clarendon. Here, by entreaties, threats, and intimidation, he prevailed on the bishops to sign what is called the "*Constitution of Clarendon.*" As several articles in this constitution were derogatory to the rights of the church, and infringed on the papal jurisdiction, by prohibiting appeals to the court of Rome without the king's consent, the bishops, in signing it, had sacrificed their conscience.

6. As the primate returned, he meditated in silence on his conduct in the council, and saw the error into which intimidation had betrayed him; he bewailed his fault and immediately retracted his assent. His conduct inflamed anew the indignation of Henry. At length the archbishop thought it prudent to withdraw for a season from England, and retired into France.

7. A reconciliation was soon after effected between Henry and the primate, who again returned to England, carrying letters of

3. What is now said of Becket? How was his time occupied?—4. What did Henry begin to do? What did he require? To this what was replied?—5. What did the king summons? Here what did he prevail on the bishops to sign? What were several articles in this constitution?—6 As the primate returned, what is said of him? What did he think proper to do?—7. What was soon after effected?

suspension or excommunication from the pope, against the bishops of York, London, and Salisbury. The bishops, on receiving these letters, burst into violent complaints against the primate, and hastened into Normandy to seek redress from the king. Henry, in a moment of irritation, exclaimed, "Of all the cowards who eat at my table, is there not one who will free me from this turbulent priest." Four of his knights, who were present, taking this for the royal approbation, bound themselves by oath to carry off or murder the primate.

8. They immediately set out for England, hastened to Canterbury, and entering the palace of the archbishop, they upbraided him with insolence to the king. As they left his apartment, the hour for *vespers* arrived, and the undaunted prelate went unattended to the cathedral. He was ascending the steps of the choir when the assassins entered the church. One of the number cried out, "Where is the traitor?" To this no answer was returned. But when another asked, "Where is the archbishop?" the prelate replied, "Here is the archbishop, but no traitor." Upon this one of the assassins aimed a blow which wounded him on the head. As he felt the blood trickling down his face, he clasped his hands, and bowing down, he said, "In the name of Christ and for the defence of his church, I am ready to die." In this posture, he turned towards his murderers, and under their repeated blows he sunk to the floor, at the foot of *St. Bennet's* altar.

9. Thus perished this extraordinary man, a martyr to what he deemed his duty, the preservation of the privileges and immunities of the church. His death was the triumph of his cause; the church seemed to derive new vigor from the blood of her champion. The first news of this event filled Henry with consternation and alarm; he now lamented when too late the hasty expression that had led to the commission of so terrible a crime. But subsequent events caused the monarch to think more seriously on this transaction than at present. Some few years afterwards he beheld his own sons uniting in rebellion against him, in conjunction with his perfidious barons. Such things, he concluded, were not in the ordinary course of nature; they could be no other than the effects of the divine wrath which he had enkindled by his persecution of the archbishop.

10. The name of the prelate had been lately enrolled by the pope in the catalogue of the saints, and the fame of the miracles wrought at his shrine resounding through every part of Europe, Henry, to expiate his offence, resolved to make a pilgrimage to the tomb of the martyr. For this purpose he hastily set sail for England, and when he came within sight of Canterbury, he dismounted from his horse and walked, without any covering on his

What did the bishops do on receiving these letters? What did Henry exclaim? What did the four knights do?—8. As they left his apartment what took place? What did one of their number cry out? What did the prelate reply? Upon this what was done? In bowing down what did he say?—9. What is said of Henry at the first news of this event? Some years afterwards, what did he behold? What did he conclude?—10. What is said of the name of the prelate? What did Henry resolve to do? Relate what followed

feet, towards the city; as he entered the gate, it was observed that his footsteps were stained with blood. Without making any delay, he hastened to the cathedral, and there threw himself at the foot of the tomb, while the bishop of London addressed the spectators. As soon as the prelate had concluded his discourse, Henry arose and repaired to the chapter-house of the convent, where the monks, a few bishops and abbots were assembled. Before them, the royal penitent, on his knees, confessed his crime, and received on his shoulders, with a knotted cord, a few stripes from each. After this extraordinary act of humiliation he returned again to the shrine, and spent the night in prayer.

11. The latter part of his life was embittered by the unnatural conduct of his sons, who joined in a second rebellion against their father, aided by the king of France. On receiving a list of those who had conspired to deprive him of his crown, the first name that caught his eye was that of his favorite son, John. He read no more, but returned the paper with a broken heart. At first he sunk into a deep melancholy; this was followed by a raging fever, during which he called down the heaviest denunciations of heaven on his ungrateful children. He died in the fifty-eigth year of his age and thirty-fifth of his reign. Henry, in many respects, may be ranked among the ablest of the English monarchs. He possessed distinguished abilities as a statesman and warrior; yet his private life was far from being commendable; pride, passion, duplicity, and ambition, seem to have been the most prominent features of his character.

12. Richard I., surnamed Cœur de Lion, or the Lion-hearted, now ascended the throne, and endeavored to atone, in some measure, for his ungrateful conduct towards his father, by renouncing those who had assisted in the unnatural rebellion, and receiving into his favor the ministers of the former reign. Richard was a prince of a chivalrous and romantic turn of mind. Shortly after his accession to the throne, he engaged in the *crusades*, and in conjunction with Philip Augustus of France, embarked on an expedition to the *Holy Land*. Here his personal valor was conspicuous in every engagement; he defeated the celebrated Saladin, in the memorable battle of Ascalon, in which forty thousand Saracens were slain, and finally compelled him to conclude a treaty of peace.

13. On his return homeward, being shipwrecked, he endeavored to pass in disguise through Germany, but was discovered and detained a prisoner by the emperor, Henry VI., who released him after a long confinement, on the payment of £300,000. Having regained his liberty, he returned to his own dominions, from which he had been absent for nearly four years. The year following, having laid siege to the castle of Chalus, he received a wound of which he died, in the tenth year of his reign, and the forty-second of his age. Richard has been styled the *Achilles* of

11. What is said of the latter part of his life? What are the circumstances of his death? When did he die? What is said of him?—12. Who succeeded to the throne? In what did he engage? Whom did he defeat?—13 On his return, what happened to him? What occasioned his death? What is said of Richard?

modern times; his achievements more resemble the deeds of a nero of romance, than those of a wise and political sovereign.

14. John, the brother of Richard, succeeded to the throne; his reign is regarded as one of the most infamous in English history. His nephew, Arthur, the son of Geoffrey, an elder brother, was the rightful heir to the crown. The young prince happened to fall into the power of John, by whom he was basely murdered, lest at any future period he might assert his claim. This act of treachery excited universal disgust. Philip Augustus, of France, supported the claim of Arthur, and to avenge his death, deprived the English monarch of his French possessions.

15. John, although his queen was yet alive, indulged a passion for *Isabella*, daughter of *Aymar, Count of Angouleme*, a lady who had been already betrothed to the *Count de le Marche*, yet by reason of her age, the marriage had not been consummated. Having procured a divorce from his own wife, he espoused Isabella. The sovereign pontiffs, during the *Middle Ages*, were frequently called on to interpose their spiritual power, to shield the people from oppression, and to arrest the vices and check the passions of their rulers, and even the jurisprudence of that period acceded to the pope, on some extraordinary occasions, the power of absolving the people from their allegiance to their sovereign.

16. On this occasion, Innocent III. remonstrated with John without effect. Another circumstance which happened at this time, caused the pope to put in execution the full extent of his spiritual power. It had been a custom from an early period, in England, to consult the king in the appointment of a bishop to fill any of the vacant sees. It happened at this time, that the bishopric of Canterbury became vacant, and Lancton was chosen to fill it; the pope thought proper to depart from the usual custom, and confirmed the appointment without consulting the king, whose late conduct had given so much scandal to his subjects. John, highly incensed at this, sent two of his knights to expel the monks from the convent, and vented his rage on all who had any hand in the instrument. For this infringement of his spiritual jurisdiction, the pope proceeded to place the kingdom under an *Interdict*, an instrument which was only resorted to on extraordinary occasions, and one calculated to strike the mind with sensations of awe.

17. While it remained in force, the nation was deprived of all the exterior exercises of religion; the altars were despoiled of their ornaments; the crosses, relics, pictures, and statues of the saints, were laid on the ground and covered up; the bells were removed from the churches; mass was celebrated with closed doors; the laity partook of no religious rites except baptism, and communion to the dying; the dead were not interred in consecrated ground, and marriage was celebrated in the churchyard.

18. John, at length overcome by the evils which he had brought

14 Who succeeded to the throne? What act of treachery did he commit? Of what was he deprived?—15. What is now related of John? What is said of the sovereign pontiffs?—16. Relate the circumstance which caused the pope to put in execution the extent of his spiritual power? To what did the pope now proceed?—17 While it remained in force, of what was the kingdom deprived?

on his kingdom, yielded his obstinacy, became reconciled to the church, and fearful of the invasion of the French monarch, he surrendered his crown to the pope, from whom he consented to hold it as a vassal. In the mean time, his natural disposition for tyranny seemed to increase; he despised his nobles, and sought every opportunity of infringing upon their privileges. The barons at length, unable to support his tyrannical exactions, under the direction of Lancton, the primate, formed a confederacy against him. They met at *Runnymede*, and compelled him, after much opposition, to sign and seal the famous document of *Magna Charta*, which is even now regarded as the great bulwark of English liberty, and by which important liberties and privileges are secured to every order of men in the kingdom, A. D. 1215 John died the following year, after an odious reign of eighteen years, with scarcely a single virtue to redeem a thousand vices.

His son, Henry III. succeeded to the throne at the age of nine years, under the guardianship of the Earl of Pembroke. The history of this reign consists of little more than a recital of a series of internal contests between the king and his turbulent barons. Henry was a weak and timid prince; gentle and mild in his disposition; but he greatly displeased the nation by his partiality to foreigners.

The barons, with the Earl of Leicester at their head, took up arms and compelled the king to resign his authority to twenty four of their number, and having thus divided all the offices of the government among themselves, they disregarded the privileges of the crown and trampled on the rights of the people. But the knights of the *Shire*, who now began to assemble separately from the lords, indignant at the usurpation of Leicester and his confederate barons, took up arms in favor of the king. A battle was fought at *Lewes*, in which the royal army was defeated, and the king, with his son Edward, was made prisoner.

19. Leicester now compelled the king to ratify his authority by a solemn treaty; assumed the character of regent, and called a parliament, consisting of two knights from each shire, and deputies from the principal boroughs. From this period is generally dated the first outline of the present English House of *Commons*. Young Edward having at length regained his liberty, in conjunction with the Duke of Gloucester, took the field against Leicester, who was defeated and slain in the famous battle of *Evesham*. Henry was again restored to the throne, but died shortly after this event, in the sixty-fourth year of his age, and the fifty-sixth of his reign, A. D. 1272.

20. Edward, who had early given indications of distinguished military abilities, was absent on a *crusade* at the time of his father's death. On one occasion, as he sat in his tent, an assassin entered and aimed a poisoned arrow at his breast; he found

18. Fearful of the invasion of the French monarch, what did John do? What is said of the barons? Where did they meet? What was he compelled to sign? When did John die? Who succeeded? What is said of his history? What was he compelled to do by the barons? What battle was fought?—19. What did Leicester now do? What is said of young Edward? What is said of Henry?—20. What is said of Edward? On one occasion what happened?

means to ward off the blow, but received a wound in his arm His devoted queen, Eleanor of Castile, saved his life at the evident risk of her own, by extracting the poison by applying her mouth to the wound He had advanced as far as Sicily on his return, when he received information of his father's death.

21. On his arrival in England, he was received with joy by all classes of the people, and immediately turned his attention towards removing the disorders which filled the state during the preceding reign. Having restored order and tranquillity at home, he turned his arms towards the subjugation of Wales, and having defeated and slain Llewellyn, the king, he annexed that country to the British crown, and created his eldest son *Prince of Wales*, a title which at the present time distinguishes the oldest son of the English monarch. Edward was shortly afterwards made umpire, in a dispute between Robert Bruce and John Baliol, respecting the succession of the Scottish throne. He adjudged the crown to Baliol, who engaged to hold it as a vassal of the English monarch. He, however, threw off his allegiance, and Edward invaded Scotland with a powerful army, defeated the Scots in the battle of Dunbar, subdued the kingdom, and carried Baliol captive to England.

22. The Scots, who had unwillingly submitted to the yoke of subjugation, were roused to assert their independence through the influence of the renowned hero, *Sir William Wallace;* but after a series of brilliant achievements, their efforts failed for the present; the illustrious *Wallace* was basely betrayed into the hands of Edward, and put to death with barbarous cruelty. They found, however, a more successful champion in the person of Robert Bruce, grandson of Baliol, who, after he had expelled the British from the country, was restored to the throne of his ancestors. Edward having made preparation for a second invasion of Scotland, died at Carlisle, in the thirty-fifth year of his reign. Edward was eminently distinguished as a legislator and warrior, but his cruelty towards the Jews, and his cold-blooded massacre of the bards of Wales, have stamped upon his memory an indelible blot of tyranny and rapacity.

23. Edward II. succeeded his father to the throne, and immediately invaded Scotland with an army of one hundred thousand men, which was met at Bannockburn by Robert Bruce, with thirty thousand. A dreadful conflict ensued, in which the English were signally defeated. Edward possessed but few qualities to distinguish him as a sovereign; he was mild in disposition, but weak and indolent, fond of pleasure, and allowed himself to be governed by unworthy favorites, which excited against him the turbulent spirit of his barons, and filled his reign with civil dissensions.

24. Isabella, his queen, a woman of the most infamous charac-

21. Edward having restored tranquillity at home, what did he do? Being made umpire between Bruce and Baliol, to whom did he adjudge the crown? What is said of Baliol? What did Edward do?—22. By whom were the Scots roused to assert their independence? What was the fate of Wallace? In whom did they find a more successful champion? How was Edward distinguished?—23. What did Edward II. do? What is said of him?—24. What is said of Isabella, his queen? What was the fate of Edward?

er, fixed her affections upon *Mortimer*, a young and powerful baron, with whom she entered into a conspiracy against the king, and compelled him to resign in favor of his son. Edward was cast into prison, and barbarously murdered at the instigation of Isabella, in Berkeley Castle, A. D. 1328.

25. Edward III. succeeded to the throne in the fourteenth year of his age. During his minority, a regency of twelve persons was appointed; yet Mortimer and the infamous queen maintained their power and exercised the chief control. Almost the first act of Edward, on coming of age, was to punish the murderers of his unhappy father. Mortimer was publicly executed; Isabella was confined in the castle of *Risings*, where she remained for twenty-eight years, a memorable example of blasted ambition. Edward's first expedition was against the Scots, whom he defeated with great slaughter in the battle of *Hallidon Hill*.

26. He claimed the crown of France, which he pretended he inherited from his mother, and resolved to maintain it by force of arms, in opposition to Philip of Valois, who had ascended the French throne. Having collected a powerful armament of two hundred and fifty sail, he departed from England, and having encountered a French fleet of four hundred ships on the coast of Flanders, he gained one of the most memorable naval victories recorded in history. The loss of the English is said to have been only four thousand men and two ships, while thirty thousand of the French fell in the engagement, with the loss of two hundred and thirty of their vessels.

27. He then invaded the country at the head of thirty thousand troops, and spread devastation wherever he advanced. He met the French monarch, who advanced to oppose, at the head of an army of one hundred thousand men, and defeated him in the famous battle of Cressy. This battle is rendered memorable for being the first in which the English made use of cannon; also for being the scene in which Edward, the *Black Prince*, (so called from the color of his armor,) the king's eldest son, then in the sixteenth year of his age, commenced his brilliant military career. While the battle raged in its greatest fury, a messenger was despatched desiring that succour might be sent to the aid of the Prince; Edward replied to the messenger, "Go tell my son, that I reserve for him the glory of this day; he will be able, without my aid, to repel the enemy." Edward having taken the city of Calais, after a memorable siege, returned again to England.

28. While the English monarch was conducting his conquests on the continent, the Scots made an irruption into his dominions at home, but were defeated in a battle at *Neville's Cross* by Philippa, his heroic queen, and their king was led captive to London.

John, who succeeded his father in the throne of France, resolved to expel the English from his dominions, and took the field with

25. Who succeeded to the throne? What was his first act on coming of age? What was the fate of Mortimer and Isabella?—26. What did he claim? What did he do? What was the loss on both sides?—27. What did he then do? By whom was he met? For what is the battle memorable? While the battle raged, what was done?—28. While the English monarch, &c., what did the Scots do? What battle was fought? What did the king of France resolve?

an army of sixty thousand men. He was met by the Black Prince and defeated in the memorable battle of Poictiers, in which he was made prisoner and conducted to London by the Prince, where he was detained a fellow captive with David, the Scottish king.

29. Edward, who in the early part of his life had acquired such brilliant military renown, towards the end of his reign sunk into indolence and indulgence, and before his death he had lost all his conquests with the exception of Calais. The death of the *Black Prince*, whose heroic deeds were only surpassed by the amiable virtues that adorned his mind, filled the nation with sorrow and left his father disconsolate for the loss. The king only survived this event a few months; he died in the sixty-fifth year of his age and fifty-first of his reign, A. D. 1377.

30. Edward was one of the most illustrious princes of his age. His military achievements in France and Scotland, though unjust in their object, cast a lustre on his reign and render it one of the most brilliant recorded in English history. During his reign, *Chivalry* was carried to its height in England. Edward himself and his son, the *Black Prince*, possessed in a high degree all the accomplishments of the knightly character. He instituted the order of the *Garter*, and also built the magnificent castle of Windsor. The French language was discontinued in courts of justice during his reign.

31. Edward was succeeded by Richard II., son of the *Black Prince*, at the age of eleven years. During his minority the administration of the government was intrusted to his uncles, the dukes of *Lancaster, York*, and *Gloucester;* of these, however, the duke of *Lancaster* acted the most prominent part. In the early part of his reign the parliament levied a poll-tax of three groats upon all over the age of fifteen years. This gave great dissatisfaction on account of its injustice in exacting as much from the poor as from the rich.

32. The flame of insurrection spread through the kingdom. The insurgents found a leader in the person of *Wat Tyler*, a blacksmith by profession, who in a short time finding himself at the head of one hundred thousand followers, led them to *Smithfield*, where the king invited him to a conference. His haughty demeanor here excited the indignation of one of the king's attendants, who struck him dead upon the spot. This rash act, committed in the presence of the mutineers, would have proved fatal to the king and his attendants, had it not been for the presence of mind displayed by the youthful prince, who riding up towards them while their bows were bent for execution, exclaimed, "What, my people! will you kill your king? I myself will be your leader; follow me into the field and you shall have what you desire."

By whom was he met? Where was he conducted?—29. What is now said of Edward? When did he die?—30. What was Edward? What is said of his military achievements? What did he institute? What was discontinued?—31. By whom was Edward succeeded? In the early part of his reign, what was done?—32. What followed? Who was the leader of the insurgents? What was the fate of Tyler? Riding up to the insurgents, what did the king exclaim?

33. The flattering hopes which the nation had formed of Richard's future greatness from his conduct on this occasion, greatly declined as he advanced in years. The northern borders were thrown into disorder by the rivalship between the family of Percy, of the north of England, and the house of Douglas, of Scotland. A sanguinary battle was fought at *Otterburn*, in which Percy, surnamed *Hotspur*, was taken prisoner and Douglas slain. On this battle is founded the celebrated ballad of *Chevy Chace.*

34. While Richard was absent in Ireland to quell an insurrection in that country, the young Duke of *Lancaster* excited a revolt against his authority in England. The king, on his return, after undergoing a mock trial, was compelled to resign his crown; while the duke in the mean time ascended the throne under the title of Henry IV., A. D. 1399.

The deposed monarch was imprisoned in the castle of *Pomfret*, where he was shortly afterwards put to death in the most cruel manner.

SECTION V.

Branch of Lancaster; Henry IV.; Henry V.; Henry VI., from A. D. 1399 *to* 1461.

1. Henry thus succeeded to the throne by the deposition and murder of his lawful sovereign, and to the exclusion of the rightful heir, *Edward Mortimer*, whose descendants, as we will see in the sequel, after a series of contests between the York and Lancaster families, succeeded in establishing their disputed claim to the crown. Henry soon found that the diadem that glitters upon the brow of monarchs bears with it an empty name, and conceals beneath its splendor a thousand imbittering cares unknown to the man of the humbler walks of life.

2. Scarcely was he seated on the throne when an insurrection was raised against him, headed by Northumberland, and joined by the *Scots* under *Douglas*, and the *Welsh* under *Owen Glendower;* but they were defeated by the royal forces in a desperate battle fought at Shrewsbury. The latter part of his life was imbittered by the profligate conduct of his son, the Prince of Wales. On a certain occasion, one of his companions was indicted for some misdemeanor before the chief justice, *Sir William Gascoigne;* the young prince, who was present, became so exasperated at the issue of the trial, that he struck the judge in open court. The venerable magistrate, impressed with the dignity of his office, ordered the prince to be committed to prison; the prince willingly submitted to the order of the judge. When the

33. What is said of the hopes the nation had formed of Richard? Where was a battle fought? What ballad was founded on it?—34. What is said of Richard while absent in Ireland? On his return, what took place? What became of the deposed monarch?

1. What is said of Henry? What did he soon find?—2. By whom was an insurrection headed? What is said of the latter part of his life? What is related of Chief Justice Gascoigne?

circumstance was related to the king, he exclaimed, "Happy is the king who has a magistrate endowed with courage to execute laws upon such an offender; still more happy in having a son who is willing to submit to such a chastisement." Henry died in the forty-sixth year of his age, and fourteenth of his reign, A. D 1413.

3. Henry V., on succeeding to the throne, agreeably surprised the nation by a sudden reformation of his conduct. Calling together all his abandoned companions, he acquainted them of his design, and forbade them to appear in his presence until they had followed his example. He received with respect the faithful ministers of his father; commended *Gascoigne* for his impartial conduct, and exhorted him to continue in a strict execution of the laws.

4. Henry having revived his claim to the crown of France, and taking advantage of the internal disorders of that kingdom, invaded the country at the head of only fifteen thousand men, and defeated the French army amounting to sixty thousand men, in the famous battle of *Agincourt*. The French lost, on this memorable occasion, eleven thousand killed and fourteen thousand prisoners, while the English lost only forty slain. After having reduced Normandy, he was declared regent of France and acknowledged heir to the crown. But having reached the summit of earthly glory, his brilliant career was cut short by the hand of death; he died in the thirty-fourth year of his age and the tenth of his reign, A. D. 1422.

5. Henry VI., on the death of his father, succeeded to the throne at the age of ten months, and was proclaimed king of England and of France. During his minority, his uncles, the dukes of *Bedford* and *Gloucester* were appointed protectors of his dominions, the former of France, and the latter of England. The French considering this a favorable moment, resolved to shake off the English yoke, and again assert the independence of their country. In this they succeeded, and Charles VII., the Dauphin, recovered by degrees the greater part of his kingdom.

6. The city of Orleans was so situated between the provinces possessed by Charles, and those commanded by the regent, that it afforded an easy access to either. To this point, therefore, the forces of each were directed; the French to defend, the English to reduce it. At length, after the French were reduced to the last extremity, the English were compelled to raise the siege through the courage of the renowned heroine, *Joan of Arc*, and shortly after they were deprived of all their possessions in France except Calais.

7. Henry, as he advanced in years, exhibited a mild and inoffensive disposition; he might, perhaps, have reigned with credit at some less turbulent period, but he was ill calculated to manage

What did the king exclaim? When did Henry die?—3. What is said of Henry V.? Whom did he receive?—4. What did he revive? What battle did he gain? What was the loss on both sides? When did he die?—5. Who succeeded? Who were appointed protectors? What did the French resolve?—6. What is said of Orleans? How was the siege of the city raised?—7. What is said of Henry?

the reins of government at the time in which he lived. He married Margaret of Anjou, daughter of the king of Sicily, a woman of a heroic mind, and eminently distinguished for the part she bore in the wars that distracted his reign. The insurrection of *Jack Cade* was an event of considerable importance. *Cade*, under the assumed name of *John Mortimer*, collected an army of twenty thousand followers, but was defeated and slain.

8. The *Duke of Gloucester* was heir to the crown in case the king died without issue; he had opposed the marriage of Henry with Margaret, a circumstance which did not fail to render him odious in the eyes of the queen, and his death took place a short time after, in a very suspicious manner. This event, in connection with the weak character of the king, encouraged the *Duke of York* to assert his claim to the crown. At this period, the sanguinary contest between the houses of *York* and *Lancaster* was commenced, and by it England was for thirty years a subject of dispute; the nation was drenched in all the horrors of civil war, involving alike the inmates of the cottage and the castle; all the social ties of affection seemed rent asunder; often was the father armed against his son; the son against his father; brother against brother, and the nearest friends against each other. The adherents of the house of *Lancaster* chose a red rose as a symbol of their party, while the house of *York* wore the white rose; hence these wars are known by the contest between the two roses. More than one hundred thousand men during this contest were sacrificed to the unhallowed shrine of ambition.

9. In the battle of *St. Albans* the *Lancasterians* were defeated, and the king taken prisoner; but queen Margaret still kept the field, and gained the battle of *Wakefield*, in which the *Duke of York* was defeated and slain. But Edward, his son, inherited all the ambition and abilities of his father; he was then in the bloom of youth, remarkable for the beauty of his person, his bravery and affability, which gained him the affection of the people. Confiding in his popularity more than in his right to the crown, he entered London with a numerous army, amidst the shouts of the citizens, and was proclaimed king, under the title of Edward IV., A D. 1461.

SECTION VI.

Branch of York; Edward IV.; Edward V.; Richard III., from A. D. 1461 *to* 1485.

1. Edward, who had now attained the summit of his ambition, soon found that the throne was not a place for the enjoyment of tranquillity and repose. The undaunted Margaret, having col-

Whom did he marry? What insurrection took place?—8. What is said of the Duke of Gloucester? At this period what commenced? What is said of this contest? What were the symbols of each party? How many were sacrificed?—9. What happened in the battle of St. Albans? What is said of Edward? Confiding in his popularity, what did he do?

1. What is said of Edward?

lected an army of sixty thousand men, again took the field against him. Edward, and the Earl of Warwick, at the head of an army much inferior in number, marched to oppose her. A tremendous battle was fought at *Towton*, in which Edward gained a decisive victory, leaving thirty-six thousand Englishmen dead upon the field.

2. The unfortunate queen, with no other attendant than her son, a boy about eight years of age, while flying from her enemies, was benighted in *Hexham* forest, and fell into the hands of ruffians, who despoiled her of her jewels, and treated her with the greatest indignity; they however disputed about the spoils, and while engaged in dispute, she effected her escape with her son into the thickest of the forest. But when overcome with fright and fatigue, and about to sink in despair, she was suddenly aroused by the approach of a robber with a drawn sword. Finding no possible means of escaping, she determined to throw herself upon his generosity. She arose as he approached, and said, "Friend, here is the son of your king; I commit him to your protection." The man, pleased with the confidence placed in him, offered every assistance in his power, and safely conducted her, with her son, to a sea-port, from which she escaped, and sailed for Flanders.

3. Edward now finding himself securely seated on the throne began to exhibit the bias of his character. The Earl of Warwick, the most powerful nobleman in England, and one of the greatest generals of his time, had been commissioned to France to procure *Bona of Savoy*, as queen for the young monarch While he was absent, Edward happened, during a hunting party, to pay a visit to the Dutchess of Bedford, at Grafton, and saw, for the first time, the young and beautiful lady Elizabeth Gray, daughter of the dutchess. Struck with her beauty and accomplishments, he raised her to the throne, forgetful of his engagements with *Bona of Savoy*.

4. The Duke, on his return to England, was inflamed with indignation, and leaving the court in disgust, he retired to France, where he entered into a league with Queen Margaret, and espoused the interest of the fallen monarch. Through his exertions Edward was deposed, and Henry, after having remained a prisoner in the *Tower* for six years, was again reinstated on the throne. Thus Warwick having restored Henry, whom he deposed, and now having removed Edward, whom he had raised to the throne, obtained the title of *King-maker*. Edward, who had retired to the court of the Duke of Burgundy, shortly after returned with reinforcements, and defeated the forces of Henry in the bloody battle of Barnet, in which the brave Warwick was slain.

5. The intrepid Margaret, on learning this overthrow, yielded to her destiny almost in despair, and bursting into a flood of

Of Margaret? What battle was fought?—2. What is related of the unfortunate queen? Finding no means of escaping, what did she do? What did the man offer and do?—3. What is now said of Edward? Where was the Earl of Warwick sent? During his absence what took place?—4. What is said of the Duke on his return to England? Through his exertions what was done? What was he styled? What did Edward again do?—5. What is said of Margaret?

grief, she retired to an abbey in Hampshire. But at the urgent solicitation of her adherents, she again left her retreat, and made a last and desperate effort to regain the crown in the battle of *Tewkesbury*, which blighted for ever the last remnant of her hopes She was taken prisoner with her son; the king asked the prince how he dared to invade his dominions, to which the youthful Edward replied, "I have entered the dominions of my father, to avenge his injuries, and to redress my own." The ungenerous king, enraged at this magnanimous reply, struck the prince with his gauntlet; some of his attendants taking this as a signal for further violence, hurried the prince into the next apartment and dispatched him with their daggers.

6. The unhappy queen, after sustaining the cause of her husband in twelve different battles, after surviving her friends, her children, and her fortune, passed the remainder of her days an exile in France, where she died in obscurity and neglect. Henry terminated his eventful life by assassination in prison. Edward IV. passed the remainder of his reign in acts of tyranny and cruelty. He caused his brother, the Duke of Clarence, to be condemned for a trifling offence, and being allowed to choose the manner of his death, he was drowned in a cask of *Malmsey* wine.

These acts of cruelty were soon terminated by the death of the king himself; he died in the forty-second year of his age, A. D. 1482. During this monarch's reign, the art of printing was introduced into England, by *Edward Claxton* of London.

7. Edward IV. left two sons; the oldest being only thirteen years of age, succeeded to the throne under the title of Edward V. The Duke of Gloucester, brother of the late king, being appointed protector during the young king's minority, after causing lord Hastings, and other distinguished persons, to be put to death without trial, seized upon the crown, under the pretence that his nephews were illegitimate, and caused himself to be proclaimed king, by the title of Richard III. The two young princes shortly afterwards disappeared, and are said to have been smothered in the Tower by the order of the king himself.

8. Richard, who had gained the throne by imbruing his hands in the blood of lawful heirs, was not destined long to enjoy the ill-gotten crown. *Henry Tudor*, the Earl of *Richmond*, the only surviving heir of the house of *Lancaster*, advanced his title to the crown, and assisted by the king of France, once more revived the almost extinguished spirit of his party. A decisive battle was fought at *Bosworth*, in which Richard was slain; his rival was crowned upon the field of battle, and assumed the title of Henry VII.

This event terminated the long and bloody contest between the houses of York and Lancaster, which had entailed so many evils

At the solicitation of her adherents, what did she again do? What was her fate? What was the reply of the youthful Edward? What was his end?—6. What is said of the unhappy queen? Of Henry? Of Edward IV.? What did he cause? When did he die? By whom was printing introduced?—7. What did Edward leave? What did the Duke of Gloucester do? What is said of the two young princes?—8. What is said of Richard? Of *Henry Tudor*? Where was the battle fought? What was the result?

upon the nation, and reduced it almost to a state of barbarism; the arts of peace being entirely neglected for those of war.

SECTION VII.

Tudor Family; Henry VII.; Henry VIII.; Edward VI.; Mary; Elizabeth; from A. D. 1485 *to* 1603.

1. The succession of Henry to the throne was an event highly favourable to the nation, as it put an end to the ruinous civil wars that had so long devastated the kingdom. By marrying Elizabeth, daughter of Edward IV., he strengthened his claim, and thus united the two houses of Lancaster and York. The early part of his reign was disturbed by the appearance of two successive pretenders to the throne; the one in the person of *Lambert Simnel*, the son of a baker, who attempted to counterfeit the *Earl of Warwick;* the other was one *Perkin Warbeck*, who made an attempt to counterfeit the Duke of York, who had been murdered in the Tower, by order of Richard III.

2. Lambert, after being proclaimed king of England and Ireland at Dublin, was made prisoner, but Henry, instead of consigning him to the scaffold, made him a servant in his kitchen; Warbeck, however, supported his cause for some time with better success; many of the nobility flocked to his standard, and he was acknowledged as sovereign of England by the kings of France and Scotland. After a variety of adventures, he surrendered himself to the king under a promise of pardon; but having been detected in a conspiracy with the Earl of Warwick, to effect their escape from the Tower by murdering the lieutenant, he was hanged at *Tyburn*, and the earl was beheaded.

3. After a prosperous reign of about twenty-four years, Henry began to think of preparing for the last and trying scene of life; having ordered in his will that restoration should be made to all whom he might have injured, he died in the fifty-second year of his age. Henry, in many respects, may be considered, if not the most conspicuous, at least one of the most useful monarchs that occupied the throne of England from the days of Alfred. He was a prince equally distinguished for his wisdom in the cabinet and conduct in the field; he enacted many wise and salutary laws; encouraged industry and extended commerce; allowed the nobles to dispose of their estates, by which means their power was weakened, while property and equality were more widely diffused among all orders of the state.

4. It was during his reign that Christopher Columbus, a native of Genoa, sailed on his celebrated voyage, by which he discovered the Western World. Accident alone prevented Henry from having a share in that honorable enterprise. However, a few

1. What is said of Henry's accession? Whom did he marry? By what was his reign disturbed? Who were they?—2. What is said of Lambert? Of Warbeck? What was their fate?—3. After a reign of twenty-four years, of what did he begin to think? In many respects, what may Henry be considered? What did he enact, &c.—4 During his reign, what took place?

years after the first voyage made by Columbus, the English monarch employed Sebastian Cabot, a native of Bristol, who discovered the mainland of America, also the *Isle of Newfoundland* and the coast of *Virginia*. The greatest stain upon the character of this monarch was his avarice; by his frugality and exactions, he accumulated immense wealth, and at his death he is said to have left in money the sum of £1,800,000, equal to £10,000,000 at the present day.

5. Henry VIII. succeeded to the throne under the most favorable auspices; his title to the crown was undisputed; the treasury well filled; the nation at peace; the country prosperous and happy. He possessed every quality that might endear him to the affections of his people; he was in the eighteenth year of his age, of a handsome person, polite in his deportment, frank and open in his disposition, and possessed an accomplished education. But we will see in the sequel of his history, that all these promising qualities, as he advanced in years, degenerated into the most detestable vices, and that Henry became one of the most cruel, unprincipled and rapacious tyrants that ever disgraced a throne.

6. By his prodigality and profusion he soon exhausted the treasury, which he found replenished when he ascended the throne. In the early part of his reign, he declared war against Louis XII. of France, invaded his dominions, and gained over him the battle of the *Spurs*, (so called from the flight of the French cavalry,) but failed to improve his good fortune, and after taking Taurney, returned to England. About the same time, the Scots, who had made an incursion into the northern part of his dominions, were defeated by the Earl of Surrey, at *Flodden Field*, where James IV. of Scotland, with the greater part of his nobility, was slain. He was also somewhat involved in that long and bloody contest between Charles V. of Germany, and Francis I. of France.

7. About this period, Henry wrote a book against Luther, the celebrated *Reformer*, on which account he was styled by the pope, "Defender of the Faith;" a title which is still retained by his successors to the present day. The most memorable transactions of Henry's reign were his matrimonial alliances, and the consequence that followed from them. His first wife was *Catherine of Arragon*, daughter of Ferdinand of Spain, who had formerly been contracted to his brother *Arthur*, who died before the marriage was consummated.

8. Among her maids of honor, the queen had *Ann*, the fair and beautiful daughter of *Sir Thomas Bolcyn*. This accomplished lady, then in the bloom of youth, so captivated the king's affections, that he resolved to make her his wife. But his prior marriage with Catherine presented an obstacle that no other but valid sentence of divorce could remove. He had been contracted

What is the greatest stain upon his character?—5. Who succeeded? What did he possess? What will we see in the sequel?—6. By his prodigality, what did he do. Against whom did he declare war? Where was James of Scotland defeated and slain?—7. About this period, what did Henry do? What were the most memorable transactions of his reign? Who was his first wife?—8. Who was among her maids of honor? What is said of this accomplished lady? Of his prior marriage?

to Catherine at an early period, through the influence of his father, and married shortly after he ascended the throne. But now, after living with her for eighteen years, he began to feel conscientious scruples respecting his marriage, on account of her having been the wife of his brother, and applied to Clement VII. for a divorce. The pope, unwilling to grant his request, from time to time held him in suspense, hoping that delay might change the mind of the English monarch. In his prime minister, the celebrated *Cardinal Wolsey*, Henry expected to find an obsequious agent. But perceiving that the cardinal did not meet his expectations, he resolved on his ruin, and ordered him to be arrested for high treason.

9. This extraordinary man was born at *Ipswich*, in Suffolk, of humble parentage, but gifted with superior talents and great abilities, he rose to the highest preferments in church and state; having been elevated to the arch-episcopal see of York, then created Cardinal, and soon afterwards Lord High Chancellor of England and Prime Minister. The fame of his talents, riches, and power was known throughout all Europe. On his way from York to London, to stand his trial, he stopped at *Leicester Abbey*, where he died after a short illness. A few moments before his death, he uttered these remarkable words, in reference to the ingratitude of his sovereign: "If I had served my God as faithfully as I have served my king, he would not have abandoned me in my gray hairs." From the fate of this great man, we may demonstrate the instability of human greatness, and the weakness of human power.

10 In the meantime, the king pushed forward the divorce with all his energy. The see of Canterbury having become vacant, he pitched upon the famous Cranmer to fill it; in this man, Henry found a ready instrument, not only to accomplish his present wish, but also to serve him in similar circumstances on a future occasion. Growing impatient of delay, and failing to obtain the pope's consent for the divorce, he resolved to carry the measure without his consent. For this purpose he assembled a court, over which *Cranmer* presided; this tribunal, after a short deliberation, pronounced his marriage with *Catherine* invalid, and immediately proceeded to grant the divorce. The king whose amorous affections would not permit him to delay, had been previously married to Ann Boleyn, even before he had received the decision of the court appointed to annul his marriage with *Catherine*.

11. Henry now threw off all restraint; abolished the papal jurisdiction in England, and caused himself, by act of parliament, to be proclaimed head of the English church. He arrogated to himself infallibility, and condemned all, both Catholics and Protestants, to the stake, who held opinions contrary to his own. The venerable *Bishop Fisher*, and the celebrated Thomas More, then

After eighteen years, what did he begin to feel? What is said of the pope? Who was his prime minister?—9. What is said of this extraordinary man? Of the fame of his talents? Before his death, what remarkable words did he utter?—10. Who was chosen to fill the see of Canterbury? Growing impatient, what did he resolve? For this purpose, what was done? What had the king done before receiving the decision of the court?—11. What did the king now do? What is said of Bishops Fisher and More?

high chancellor, were brought to the scaffold for refusing to acknowledge his supremacy. By an act of parliament, the monasteries in England, to the number of six hundred, were suppressed, besides a much greater number of other religious institutions; their estates were converted to the use of the crown, and their revenues swept into the royal exchequer. Speaking of these institutions, Mr. Collier says: "To the abbeys we are indebted for most of our best historians, both church and state; the youth there had their education with little charge to their parents; the nobility and gentry a credible way of providing for their younger children."

12. But Henry did not stop here; he even extended his rapacious hand from the dwellings of the living to the silent repose of the tomb. He plundered the rich shrine of St. Thomas of Canterbury, despoiled it of its ornaments of gold and silver, converting the whole to the royal treasury; then ordering the saint to be indicted for high treason committed against his sovereign, Henry II., he condemned him as a traitor; his bones were dug up and scattered to the wind.

13. In less than three years after his late marriage, he caused the new queen, *Ann*, to be condemned and beheaded for real or imputed crimes, and on the day after her execution, he married *Jane Seymour*, who died shortly after the birth of *Prince Edward*. His fourth wife was *Ann*, of Cleves, from whom he obtained a divorce because he had been deceived in the estimate he had formed of her personal appearance. Thomas Cromwell, who was the son of a blacksmith in *Putney*, now created Earl of Essex, and prime minister, having been instrumental in bringing about the unhappy marriage, lost the favor of the king, and suffered on the scaffold. His fifth wife was Catherine Howard, who, also, ended her days on the scaffold, in a few months after her exaltation to the throne. His last wife was *Catherine Par*, the widow of Lord Latimer, whose life was saved by the death of the king, which took place in the thirty-seventh year of his reign, and fifty sixth of his age, A. D. 1547.

14. Henry was succeeded by his son Edward VI., in the tenth year of his age, the Duke of Somerset, his uncle, being appointed protector of the kingdom during his minority. The reign of this prince is distinguished by the important change in the religion of the realm. During the reign of his father the principles of the reformation which had been introduced into the kingdom were now patronised and tolerated. By degrees the forms of the ancient church were removed in order to make place for those of the new. A new liturgy in the English language, and a book of *Common Prayer*, were composed by archbishop Cranmer. By the adoption of this liturgy, which was done by act of parliament, all the rights and ceremonies of the Catholic church were abo-

What was done by act of parliament? What does Mr. Collier say of these institutions?—12. What did he plunder? What did he order?—13. In less than three years, what happened? Who was his fourth wife? What is said of Thomas Cromwell? Who was his fifth wife? Who was his sixth wife? When did Henry die?—14. Who succeeded? For what is the reign of this prince distinguished? What was composed by Cranmer? By the adoption of this liturgy what was effected?

lished, and a form of worship nearly resembling that of the present church of England, established throughout the kingdom.

15. The young king, who possessed many amiable qualities was suddenly carried off by death, in the sixteenth year of his age. Previously, however, he had been prevailed on, by the influence and intrigues of the Duke of Northumberland, the protector, to set aside his two sisters Mary and Elizabeth, and to leave the crown to *Lady Jane Grey*, who had married Lord Guilford Dudley, the son of the protector. Through the influence of her friends, Lady Jane Grey was immediately proclaimed on the death of Edward; but after wearing the crown for ten days, she resigned the ensigns of royalty and retired again into a private station. After this unsuccessful attempt of Northumberland to snatch the crown from the brow of the rightful heir, Mary, the sister of Edward, quietly succeeded to the throne.

16. The duke of Northumberland and two others were the only persons who suffered on the scaffold to atone for this conspiracy against their lawful sovereign. *Lady Jane*, who was considered as a mere instrument in the hands of the duke to work out his ambitious designs, was pardoned by the queen. But shortly after this a second conspiracy was entered into against *Mary*, at the head of which appeared the Duke of Suffolk and his brother; they were defeated, and atoned for their rebellion by the sacrifice of their lives.

17. Mary, who had been much blamed for her lenient conduct at the termination of the former conspiracy, was now induced, by the urgent persuasion of her ministers, to sign a warrant for the execution of *Lady Jane Grey* and her husband. On the fatal morning permission was given them to take leave of each other; the indulgence Jane refused, saying that they would shortly meet in heaven. From the window of her cell she saw her husband led to execution, and his bleeding corpse brought back to the chapel. When led forth herself, she mounted the scaffold with a firm step. She acknowledged in a few words to the spectators her fault in consenting to the treason of Northumberland, although she was not one of the original conspirators; and after repeating a psalm, she laid her head upon the block; at the first stroke of the axe it was severed from the body.

18. Mary has been much censured in consenting to the execution of this unfortunate lady. It is true that her life had been spared as a pledge for the loyalty of the house of Suffolk; that pledge had been forfeited by the late rebellion of the duke; but still "it would have been to the honor of Mary," as Dr. Lingard observes, "to have overlooked the provocation, and refused to visit on the daughter the guilt of the father." Mary, in the second year of her reign, married Philip II, of Spain, a measure unpopular at the time, and productive of much unhappiness to

13. What is said of the young king? To whom did he leave the crown? What is said of *Lady Jane Grey*?—16. Who suffered on the scaffold? What was again entered into against Mary?—17. What was Mary now induced to do? On the fatal morning what is said of her? When brought forth, what did she do?—18. For what has Mary been censured? What does Dr. Lingard observe? Whom did she marry?

herself; and towards the close of her reign the French took *Calais*, which had remained in possession of the English for upwards of two hundred and ten years. This event hastened her death; she never seemed to recover from the stroke, and was often heard to say that the word *Calais* was written on her heart. She died in the forty-sixth year of her age, after a reign of six years, A. D. 1558.

19. The foulest blot upon the memory of this queen is her persecution of the Reformers* during her reign. It might be remarked, not, however, in vindication of her acts, but as an apology for her conduct, that she lived at a time when the principles of religious toleration were not understood or practised by either Catholics or Protestants; when the extirpation of erroneous doctrines was inculcated as a duty by the leaders of every religious party. It was the misfortune rather than the fault of Mary, that she was not more enlightened than the wisest of her cotemporaries. With the exception of this, she is regarded even by many of those writers who differed from her in religion as a queen possessed of many amiable qualities; they have borne honorable testimony to her virtues; have allotted to her the praise of piety and clemency, of compassion for the poor and liberality to the distressed. "The queen's private life," says Mr. Collier, "was all along strict and unblemished. The other world was always uppermost with her: she valued her conscience above her crown. She was not of a vindictive or implacable spirit."

20. As Mary had always remained firm in the belief and practice of the Catholic faith, one of her first measures was to restore the ancient religion of the kingdom, the public exercise of which had been nearly extinguished during the reign of her brother.

21. Upon the death of Mary, her sister *Elizabeth* ascended the throne. Elizabeth, during the reign of her sister, had been a Catholic, but shortly after her accession, she openly avowed herself the protectress of the reformers, established the Protestant faith as the religion of the realm, assumed the title of supreme head of the English church, and revived all the penal statutes against nonconformists, to which were added many others of the most oppressive nature.† It was made high treason to deny the queen's supremacy, or acknowledge the jurisdiction of the see of Rome within her dominions, or to absolve, persuade, or withdraw, any one from the established to the Catholic church.

22. *Mary Stuart*, queen of Scots, the grand-daughter of Henry VII., was the next heir to the English throne. This fact did not fail to excite the fears and jealousy of Elizabeth, who never

* The principal sufferers were Cranmer, Latimer, Ridley and Hooper.
† El'z. Act 23—27.

What place did the French take? When did she die?—19. What is the foulest blot upon her memory? What might be remarked? With this exception, how is she regarded? What does Mr Collier say of her?—20. What was one of her first measures? 21. On the death of Mary who ascended the throne? What is said of Elizabeth? What did she assume and revive? What was made high treason?—22. Who was the next heir to the throne?

ceased in her resentment until she brought her unhappy cousin to a premature and tragical end. The young queen of Scotland had been renowned throughout Europe for her beauty and accomplishments; she had been educated at the French court, and married Francis II., who died shortly after that event, and Mary returned to her own dominions. After a strange succession of events, which come more properly under the head of *Scotland*, the Scottish queen was compelled to quit her dominions and take refuge in England, where she was detained in captivity for nearly twenty years, and finally put to death by order of her cousin Elizabeth.

23. In 1588, Philip of Spain projected the invasion of England, to avenge the queen's interference with his subjects of the Netherlands, who had revolted against his authority. For this purpose he fitted out the *Invincible Armada*, which consisted of one hundred and fifty ships, carrying three thousand pieces of cannon and twenty-seven thousand men. It entered the English channel in the form of a crescent, and extended to the distance of seven miles. It was met by the English fleet, under the command of *Lord Admiral Howard*, aided by Drake, Hawkins, Frobisher, and Raleigh. Being gradually weakened and dispersed by a violent storm, the *Invincible Armada* was completely overthrown; only one-third of the vessels, with six thousand men, returned to Spain.

24. In the administration of the government, Elizabeth was assisted by many eminent statesmen; among whom were Bacon, Burleigh, and Walsingham; but her chief favorites were men of abandoned characters. Of these, in the early part of her reign, was the Earl of Leicester; and after his death, the Earl of Essex seemed to hold the first place in the queen's affections. Elizabeth and Essex had various quarrels and reconciliations; at last, unable to restrain the impetuosity of his temper, he broke out in open rebellion against her, for which he atoned by his death on the scaffold.

25. After the death of Essex the health of the queen visibly declined. At length she fell into a profound melancholy, which nothing could alleviate, and terminated her life in sorrow and gloom. All the splendor of royalty faded from her view; the time was come, to use her own expression, when "men would turn from the setting, to worship the rising sun." She expired in the seventieth year of her age, and in the forty-fifth of her reign.

26. Elizabeth possessed eminent abilities as a sovereign, and was distinguished for her talents in directing public affairs. In principles she was despotic, jealous, cruel, and revengeful; overbearing to her ministers in council; imperious to her servants in the palace; and her conversation was often grossly profane.

What is said of the young queen? After a succession of events, what took place?—23. In 1588 what was done? For this purpose what did he fit out? Who commanded the English fleet? What was the fate of the Armada?—24. Who were some of her eminent statesmen? Who were her favourites?—25. At length into what did she fall? What expression did she make use of? When did she expire?—26. What did Elizabeth possess? What was she in principle? Of what did she possess few? By what was her reign distinguished? What was introduced by Raleigh?

In her private character she was even less to be admired. She possessed few of those milder and softer qualities that adorn the female character. Her reign was distinguished for men of genius and learning; among whom Bacon, Shakspeare, and Spencer, were the most distinguished. The custom of smoking tobacco was introduced by Sir Walter Raleigh; and potatoes were also brought to England from America at this period

SECTION VIII.

Stuart Family; James I.; Charles I.; the Commonwealth; Cromwell; Charles II.; James II.; William and Mary; Anne; from A. D. 1603 *to* 1714.

1. Elizabeth shortly before her death nominated as her successor, James VI. of Scotland, who was the rightful heir by descent. On ascending the English throne he took the title of James I., and thus were the crowns of the two kingdoms united. Shortly after his arrival in England, a conspiracy was formed against him in favor of his cousin Arabella Stuart. It was prematurely discovered, and *Sir Walter Raleigh*, under a charge of being concerned in the plot, was sentenced to death, which was carried into execution after he had languished in prison with little intermission for fifteen years.

2 Another conspiracy followed, of a more serious nature. This was the famous *Gunpowder Plot*, which was a design of a few daring adventurers to blow up the parliament house, and involve in one common ruin the king, lords, and commons. It was fortunately discovered on the eve of its accomplishment, and one of the leaders, named *Guy Fawkes*, was taken with matches in his pocket for firing the magazine.

3. It was the misfortune of James to be attached to unworthy favorites, such as the Earl of Somerset and the Duke of Buckingham, men whose only merits were their personal appearance and superficial accomplishments. During the reign of Mary the Puritans made their first appearance in England, and during the last reign their number had greatly increased. At the accession of James, who had been educated a Presbyterian, they flattered themselves that their views would meet the royal approbation. Being disappointed in their expectations, some of their number sought an asylum from restraint in matters of religion in the wilds of America, and formed a settlement on the shores of *New England*.

4. In 1625 the king was seized with an illness which terminated his life, in the fifty-ninth year of his age and the twenty-second of his reign over England. James possessed many virtues,

1. Who succeeded Elizabeth? After his arrival in England, what was formed against him? What is said of Raleigh?—2. What other conspiracy followed? Who was one of the leaders?—3. What was the misfortune of James? During the reign of Mary, who made their appearance in England? At the accession of James what did they expect? Where did many of them go?—4. When did the king die?

but few free from the contagion of the neighboring vices. His generosity bordered on profusion; his learning on pedantry; his friendship on a puerile fondness. His leading passion seems to have been a love of arbitrary power. The divine right of kings to govern without control, was with him a favorite theme. Being naturally averse to war, his reign was peaceful. He was fond of flattery, which was dealt out to him with an unsparing hand by his bishops and courtiers, who regarded him as the British Solomon, yet by others he seems to have merited the appellation given him by the Duke of Sully, that of the "wisest of the fools of Europe."

5. Charles I. succeeded his father to the throne under many apparent advantages. He had not assumed the reins of government long, before he proved that he had imbibed the arbitrary principles of his father; he patronised the same unworthy favorite, *Buckingham*, who still retained all his former influence and authority. He married Henrietta Maria, daughter of Henry IV of France, a princess distinguished for her devotedness to her husband throughout all the eventful scenes of his life.

6. The refusal of the parliament to grant adequate supplies to enable him to carry on a war against France and Spain, led Charles to adopt a resolution of ruling without their aid, and of levying money without their authority. This manifestation of a tyrannical disposition on the part of the king, first roused the parliament against him. Charles could not brook the denial of supplies, dissolved the parliament, and issued a warrant for borrowing money of his subjects.

7. After an unsuccessful expedition against France, Buckingham, who had long since rendered himself detestable to the parliament, was assassinated by one Felton. The death of his favorite, however, did not deter Charles from his arbitrary proceedings. A new parliament was formed, as uncompromising as the former, and exhibited a spirit of determined opposition. A petition of rights was passed by both houses, which declared the illegality of raising money without their sanction, or of enforcing loans from the subjects, and annulled all taxes imposed without the consent of parliament.

8. At this period, the current of public feeling seemed to run strongly in favor of Puritanism, which had been on the ascendency since the accession of James to the English throne. Charles now proceeded to a very injudicious and unpopular measure, which was to enforce, throughout his kingdom, a strict conformity to the liturgy of the church of England; and through the indiscreet zeal of Archbishop *Laud*, the measure was rigidly enforced. Not satisfied with attempting to enforce the liturgy in England, the king endeavored to impose the new liturgy upon the national Church of Scotland. Here the measure met with the

What is his character? What was he styled by the Duke of Sully?—5. Who succeeded? Whom did he patronise? Whom did he marry?—6. What led him to adopt the resolution of ruling without the aid of parliament? What did he dissolve?—7 What was the end of Buckingham? What was a new parliament formed for? What was passed?—8. At this period, how did the current of public opinion seem to run? To what measure did Charles now proceed? How was he met in Scotland?

most determined opposition, and excited the strongest sensations among all ranks of people; an association was entered into, called the *National Covenant*, by which the parties bound themselves by oath to resist all religious innovations.

9. After eleven years of intermission, the king found himself obliged again to convoke the parliament; but that body, instead of listening to his demand for supplies, began with presenting the public grievances, complaining of the infringement of the privileges of parliament, illegal taxation, and the violence done to the cause of religion. Charles, finding that nothing could be obtained from this assembly, so determined to oppose all his measures, dissolved the parliament, but shortly afterwards convoked another.

10. One of the first acts of the new parliament was the impeachment of the *Earl of Strafford*, the minister of state, and Archbishop *Laud*, on a charge of endeavoring to subvert the constitution, and to introduce arbitrary power. Strafford was arraigned for trial before the house of peers; his defence on this occasion is said to have been one of the most pathetic pieces of eloquence ever delivered; but nothing could save him from the vengeance of his enemies; he was found guilty, sentenced, and beheaded. This was a severe stroke to the king, who lost in the *Earl* a faithful minister and the firmest supporter of the prerogative of the crown.

11. The contention between the king and the parliament from this period began to wear a more alarming aspect, until at last both parties resolved to decide the issue of the contest by an appeal to the sword. Thus the standard of civil war was unfurled during the year 1642.

The first serious overthrow of the royal forces happened at *Marston Moor*, where Charles and *Prince Rupert* were defeated by *Oliver Cromwell*, who commanded the parliament forces. After this victory *Archbishop Laud*, who had remained a prisoner in the *Tower* since the impeachment of Strafford, was brought to trial, condemned, and executed: and on the same day the liturgy of the Church of England was abolished, and the rigid principles of Puritanism established in its place.

12. After the war had raged about five years, the royalists were entirely defeated in the battle of *Naseby*, and Charles very imprudently surrendered himself to the Scottish army, by which he was basely delivered into the hands of the parliament for the sum of £400,000. In the house of commons a vote was passed declaring it treason in a king to levy war against his parliament, and instituted a high court of justice, composed of one hundred and thirty-three members, to try Charles for that offence. This measure was rejected by the house of *lords*, but the commons,

9. After eleven years, what did the king do? What is said of this body? What course did the king pursue?—10. What was one of the first acts of the new parliament? What is said of Strafford's defence?—11. What did the contention between the king and the parliament begin to wear? When did the war break out? Where was the first overthrow? After this victory, what is said of Archbishop Laud?—12. Where were the royalists defeated, and what did Charles do? What was done in the house of commons?

supported by the parliamentary army, disregarded their dissent and issued an order for the trial. The king having been arraigned before this self-created tribunal, refused to answer any questions put to him, disavowed its legality, and denied its jurisdiction; but all was of no avail; his enemies thirsted for his blood, and nothing less than his death would satisfy their vengeance. The trial proceeded; Charles was found guilty, and the sentence of death was pronounced against him as a tyrant, murderer, and traitor.

13. He bore the sentence of death, and all the unworthy treatment he received on his trial, with a degree of fortitude that excited the admiration even of his enemies. Permission was given him to see his children. He took his last leave of them with tenderness and affection, and spent the short respite that was allowed him in private devotions with *Juxton, Bishop of London*, in order to prepare himself for the trying scene through which he was about to pass. On reaching the scaffold, which was erected before *Whitehall*, he surveyed the preparations with a countenance undismayed, addressed a few words to those who were near him, declared his innocence, and freely forgave his enemies; he then laid his head upon the block, and at the first stroke of the axe it was severed from the body. Such was the unhappy end of Charles I., in the forty-ninth year of his age and twenty-fourth of his reign.

14. If we take a survey o this period, we will find much to admire, and much to condemn. That Charles had committed errors in the administration of the government, is obvious to all; but that these errors were such as to sanction the proceedings against him, few are willing to admit. That many of those who first opposed his arbitrary measures were actuated by a sense of justice, and a desire of maintaining the liberties of the people, may be admitted; while the result will show that there were others who only aimed at hurling the monarch from his throne, that they themselves might exercise his arbitrary principles. The house of commons ordered a new *Great Seal* to be made, bearing the words, "On the first year of freedom, by God's blessing, restored, 1648." The king's statue in the exchange was thrown down, and on the pedestal was inscribed, *Exit tyrannus, Regum ultimus*--the tyrant is gone, the last of the kings.

15. After the death of the king, the commons proceeded to abolish the monarchy and the house of lords, and to establish a republican form of government. A proclamation was issued, stating that the supreme authority of the nation was vested in the representatives of the people, and it should be high treason to give to any person the title of king without the consent of parliament. Nothing, perhaps, in the history of this period is more remarkable than the sudden revolutions in religion. After the execution of

What did they refuse? What was the issue of the trial?—13. How did he bear the sentence? How did he take leave of his children? On reaching the scaffold, what did he do? When was he executed?—14. What is said of Charles and of those engaged in his death? What did the house of commons order?—15. After the king's death, what did the commons do? What was issued? What are we now to behold?

Laud, we have seen that the *Episcopacy* was abolished, and *Presbyterianism* established in its stead; we are now to behold the Presbyterian interest decline in favor of the Independents, who began to gain the ascendency. Through the management of Cromwell, the power which had been vested in the king was transferred to the army, and measures were taken to exclude the Presbyterians from parliament. In this manner the Presbyterians, who had been instrumental in subverting the church and throne, fell victims to the power of the army, through whose agency they had accomplished their designs.

16. The people of Ireland and Scotland were still faithful in their allegiance to the fallen monarch, and unfurled the royal standard in favor of his son, Charles II. Cromwell having procured for himself the appointment of commander-in-chief of the forces directed against Ireland, landed at *Dublin;* thence he proceeded to *Drogheda*, which was well fortified, and garrisoned with three thousand men, under the command of *Sir Arthur Aston*. The place was finally taken by a furious assault, and only one of all the garrison escaped the barbarous massacre that followed; after this, the country submitted to his authority.

17. He next marched into Scotland at the head of sixteen thousand men, defeated the royalists at *Dunbar*, overthrew them again in the desperate battle of *Worcester*, and completely established the sovereignty of the parliament. After this battle, young Charles, having with difficulty escaped from the scene of defeat, assumed the disguise of a peasant, and travelled in the least frequented roads, pursuing his journey by night, and frequently passing the day in obscure cottages. On one occasion he passed the day concealed in the branches of a large oak; while in this situation, he heard and saw his pursuers passing beneath him. After many adventures, he effected his escape to France.

18. At this period the republic astonished all Europe by the brilliancy of its naval achievements. The famous navigation act which prohibited any state from carrying into England any commodity which was not the growth or manufacture of the country to which the vessel belonged, produced a war with Holland, in which *Admiral Blake* obtained a great naval victory over the celebrated Dutch commanders, *Van Tromp* and *De Ruyter*.

19. The parliament, which had been in session for eleven years, and known by the name of the *Long Parliament*, attempted to reduce the army, with a view of diminishing the power of Cromwell, who, perceiving their design, and being secure of the attachment of the soldiery, resolved to wrest the sovereign power from their hands. An unfavorable reply being returned to a petition which he sent to parliament, he rose in a violent rage, and taking with him a strong guard, he entered the house with marks

What was effected through the influence of Cromwell?—16. What is said of the people of Ireland and Scotland? Of Cromwell? After taking Drogheda, what followed?—17. Where did he next march? After this battle, what is said of young Charles? On one occasion, how did he pass the day?—18. At this period what is said of the republic? What did the navigation act produce?—19. What is said of the parliament?

of indignation in his countenance, and after loading the members with reproaches, he cried out, "For shame; get you gone; give place to honest men. I tell you, you are no longer a parliament; the Lord has done with you." Having turned them out, he ordered the doors to be locked, and taking the keys, he departed to his residence at Whitehall.

20. He then called a new parliament in quite a novel form. He took the census of the *congregational churches* in the several counties, and reported the names of such persons as were deemed qualified to fill the high office; of these, one hundred and sixty-three representatives were selected, and on the day appointed, presented themselves to the number of one hundred and twenty, in the council chamber at Whitehall. This body, composed of men imbued with all the fanaticism of the time, received the name of the *Barebone Parliament*, from one of its leading members, who bore the singular name of *Praise-God Barebone*. The Barebone Parliament, after a session of a few months, resigned all their authority into the hands of Cromwell, a measure which he had probably intended or expected. The parliament immediately dissolved, and the officers of the army, by their sole authority, declared Cromwell *Protector* of the commonwealth of England.

21. The Protector entered on the duties of his new office with energy and ability. He was assisted by a council of twenty-one members, declined the title of *majesty*, but received that of *highness*; refused the title of king, although he possessed the power and assumed all the ensigns of royalty. His administration, however, advanced the military glory of England. Abroad, his fleets and armies were victorious; he obliged the Dutch to sue for peace, and humbled the power of Spain.

22. Having attained the height of his ambition, Cromwell found that his situation was by no means enviable. The nation despised the man, who, under the pretence of espousing the liberties of the people, had aspired to unlimited power. He was aware of this hatred, and the dread of assassination caused him to wear armor under his clothes. His health began to decline, and he was at length seized with a fever, which terminated his life, in the fifty-ninth year of his age, A. D. 1658.

23. His son, *Richard Cromwell*, who inherited neither the abilities nor the ambition of his father, was proclaimed *Protector* in his place; but after holding the office for a few months, he resigned the title, and retired to private life. A military despotism for some time succeeded, the army directing the affairs of government at will. At length General Monk, who then commanded an army in Scotland, marched into England, crushed the contending factions, and caused a new parliament to be assembled. After the meeting of the members, some time intervened before the king was mentioned. At length they were informed that a

An unfavorable reply being returned to a petition, what did Cromwell do? What did he cry out?—20. How did he call a new parliament? What name did this body receive? What did the officers of the army do?—21. What is said of the Protector? What title did he receive? What is said of his administration?—22. What did Cromwell find? What did the nation do? When did he die?—23. Who was proclaimed Protector in his place? What did he do? What succeeded? What is said of General Monk?

messenger was at the door with a letter from the king to the commons. The letter was received and read, the parliament assented to the proposals, and in this manner Charles II was restored to his kingdom, and to the throne of his father, A. D. 1660.

24. The whole demeanor of Charles at the commencement of his reign, was such as to inspire the affection of his subjects, and to render him generally popular. He was in the thirtieth year of his age, possessing a handsome exterior, familiar and affable in his manners; but at the same time much inclined to indolence and pleasure. An act of general indemnity was passed, except to those immediately concerned in the late king's death. Accordingly, Harrison, Scott, Jones, and several others engaged in the trial of his father, were executed. But the vengeance of the king passed from the living to the dead; the bodies of Cromwell, Bradshaw, and Ireton, were dug up from their graves, and after hanging for some time, were cut down and buried under the gallows.

25. Charles was soon distinguished for the same arbitrary principles which seemed hereditary in the family of the Stuarts. The doctrine of passive obedience and non-resistance now came into use; from which originated the distinguishing epithets of *Whigs* and *Tories;* the former opposed to the pretensions of the crown, the latter its advocates. A new parliament was assembled, consisting chiefly of high churchmen and loyalists; the episcopacy was restored, and an act of conformity in religion was passed.

26. The next year Charles married Catherine of *Portugal*, and with her obtained a dowry of five hundred thousand pounds, a sum which greatly relieved his present necessities. But his prodigality kept him always in want; he next bartered away *Dunkirk*, which had been acquired by Cromwell, to the French for four hundred thousand pounds sterling, which was soon squandered on his pleasures. He soon after this declared war against the *Dutch*, which continued to rage for several years, until at length a treaty of peace was concluded, by which the *Dutch* ceded the colony of New York to the English.

27. During this war London was visited with a plague, which carried off ninety thousand of its inhabitants; and in the following year a fire took place by which thirteen thousand houses were laid in ruins. To perpetuate the calamity, a monument was erected, bearing an inscription, falsely charging the Roman Catholics as the authors of the conflagration; the inscription has lately been erased by the order of parliament.

28. Towards the close of the reign of Charles, the *Whigs* having the ascendency in parliament, distinguished themselves by their hostility to the Catholics, and insisted on the king's assent to a bill for the exclusion of his brother, the *Duke of York*,

Of what was parliament informed? How was the letter received?—24. What was the demeanor of Charles? What act was passed? Who were executed? What was done with the bodies of Cromwell, &c?—25. For what was Charles soon distinguished? What doctrine now came into use, and what epithets originated from it? What is said of the new parliament?—26. Whom did Charles marry? What did he barter away? What war was declared? How did it terminate?—27. By what was London visited? In the following year what took place? To perpetuate the calamity, what was erected?—28. How did the Whigs distinguish themselves?

who had lately embraced the Catholic faith. To this highly unjust and unnatural measure, the king could not consent, and in consequence dissolved two successive parliaments. A pretended plot was discovered by the infamous *Titus Oates*, (a man guilty of almost every crime in the catalogue of human vices,) which occasioned the unjust execution of Lord Stafford, and several other eminent Catholics. Another conspiracy was shortly after detected, in favor of reform, called the *Rye-house plot*; in which Lord Russel and Algernon Sydney, were accused of being concerned. They were brought to trial, found guilty, and beheaded.

29. The king himself did not long survive these acts of severity, being seized with an apoplexy, he died in the fifty-fifth year of his age, and twenty-fifth of his reign. Shortly before his death, he sent for a Catholic clergyman, and received the sacraments from his hands. During the reign of Charles, the famous act of *Habeas Corpus* was passed, by which persons were freed from arbitrary imprisonment. The most distinguished poets of this period, were Butler, Cotton, Dryden, Otway, and Roscommon. A remarkable instance of longevity is mentioned of Thomas Parr, a laboring man in Yorkshire, who had lived in ten reigns, and completed one hundred and sixty years.

30. As Charles had left no legitimate issue, his brother, the Duke of York, succeeded to the throne, under the title of James II., with every mark of public approbation, notwithstanding his open profession of the Catholic faith. It is probable that James might have reigned in tranquillity, and have ended his days on the throne of his ancestors, had it not been for his own imprudence, and the unfortunate choice he made of his ministers. The early part of his reign was disturbed by the rebellion of the *Duke of Monmouth*, who aimed at seizing the crown. Encouraged by the Prince of Orange and Sunderland, the perfidious minister of James, the duke landed in England, caused himself to be proclaimed king, and unfurled his standard at Taunton. After wearing the empty title of royalty for a few weeks, he was defeated, taken prisoner, and atoned for his rebellion on the scaffold.

31. A special commission was issued to *Jeffrys*, the *Lord Chief Justice*, for the trial of the rebel prisoners. Jeffrys, in the execution of his commission, is represented as guilty of wanton cruelty; for although there was no doubt of the guilt of the accused, yet the number of those who suffered made the acts of public justice assume the appearance of cruelty and revenge, while all the odium of these severities fell upon the king.

32. James now finding himself firmly seated upon the throne, proceeded to a measure that did not fail to excite the disaffection of a great number of his subjects. As he had openly professed the Catholic faith, it was his ardent wish to restore the ancient

What pretended plot was discovered? What other conspiracy was detected? Who were accused of being concerned in it?—29. How did the king die? What act was passed in his reign? Who were distinguished poets? What is said of Parr?—30. Who succeeded to the throne? By what was the early part of his reign disturbed? What was the fate of Monmouth?—31. To whom was a commission issued? How is Jeffrys represented?—32. What is said of James? What was his wish?

religion of the kingdom. By way of preparation for this important step, the king, on the 4th of April, 1687, from his royal prerogative, issued a proclamation, granting to all his subjects entire liberty to worship God according to the dictates of their own conscience. This indulgent grant, so honorable to the sovereign, so desirable on the part of a free people, and so suitable to the mild spirit of Christianity, was joyfully received by the Catholics and dissenters of all denominations; by others, it was loudly censured, as tending to overthrow the national church established by law, which they still conceived necessary for its support.

33. Another proclamation granting full liberty of conscience, followed during the April of 1688, which was ordered to be read in every church and chapel in the kingdom, after the service had ended. This order occasioned considerable opposition, and six of the bishops, who resisted the mandate, were immediately committed to the Tower, and indicted for disobedience.

34. The contest with the bishops completed the king's unpopularity; his enemies, without being suspected, had prepared the kingdom for a general revolt; they secretly applied for aid to the *Prince of Orange*, the son-in-law of James, and offered him the crown as the reward of his services. On receiving this invitation, William, with the utmost expedition, fitted out a fleet of five hundred sail, carrying four thousand men, and landed in England. In a few days, he was joined by the greater part of the English army; and James found himself deserted, even by those who owed all to his bounty. Among others who left him in the hour of distress, was his favorite daughter *Anne*, who secretly withdrew to join the standard of the man who had invaded the dominions of her father, and was about to snatch the crown from his brow.

35. At the news of the ungrateful conduct of the daughter whom he tenderly loved, his constancy gave way, and in bitter anguish he exclaimed, "God help me! My own children have forsaken me in my utmost need." Having previously sent his queen and infant son, the Prince of Wales, to the French court, he shortly after followed, leaving his kingdom in the power of his rival.

36. After the king's departure, a convention met, consisting of members of the house of commons during the reign of Charles II., as those of James were deemed illegal. They declared that James, by quitting the kingdom, had deserted the people, (although it was evident that the people had deserted him,) and that the throne was vacant They passed a bill excluding the Roman Catholics from office, and settled the crown on the Prince of Orange and the princess, and their heirs; but the administration of the government was placed in the hands of the prince alone.

37. After some time spent in France, James resolved to make

In 1687, what did he issue? How was this grant received?—33. In 1688 what followed? What did the order occasion?—34. What did his enemies do? To whom did they apply? On receiving the invitation, what did William do? In a few days, how did James find himself? Who left him, among others?—35. At the news of this defection, what did he exclaim? Where did he send his queen and son?—36. After the king's departure, what was done? What did they declare? What did they pass?

an effort to regain his crown, through the loyalty of the people of Ireland, who still adhered to his interest. Having arrived at Kinsale, he made a public entry into Dublin, amidst the acclamations of the inhabitants. In the year 1690 was fought the famous battle of the Boyne, between the forces of the king and those of his rival, William. The battle was maintained for some time with equal bravery on both sides; at length, owing to the pusillanimity of James, who, seeing his forces gaining some advantage over their opponents, cried out, "to spare his English subjects," the scale of victory turned in favor of William.

38. James fled from the scene of defeat, and escaped to France, where he remained a pensioner on the bounty of the French king; the exiled monarch passed the remainder of his days at *St. Germains*, where he gained the esteem of all who knew him, for his exemplary piety, and for his mildness and affability. He died in the sixty-eighth year of his age, A. D. 1701.

William was naturally of a feeble constitution, which he endeavored to repair by exercise. As he rode from Hampton Court to Kensington, his collar-bone was fractured by falling from his horse; this was followed by a fever, which terminated his life, in the fifty-second year of his age, and the fourteenth of his reign. The reign of William was memorable for the establishment of the Bank of England.

39. On the death of William, the crown devolved upon Anne, the second daughter of James II., who had married George, Prince of Denmark. On coming to the throne, she communicated to the houses of parliament her determination of declaring war against France. In conjunction with Germany and Holland, war was accordingly declared. The *Duke of Marlborough*, one of the greatest generals of his age, was appointed commander-in-chief of the allied army; *Prince Eugene* commanded the imperial forces. After the power of Louis XIV. had been considerably weakened by the several victories of Blenheim, Ramilles, Oudenarde and Malplaquet, gained by the allied armies, the war was terminated by the peace of *Utrecht*, in the year 1713.

40. The most memorable event of this reign was the union between England and Scotland, which destroyed the Scottish parliament, and included the two countries under the common title of Great Britain. It was during the reign of Anne that *Gibraltar* was taken by the English, which has remained in their possession to the present time. At this period, party spirit was carried to extremes, and distracted the kingdom during the greater part of her reign. The queen's health had been for some time on the decline; at length she passed from the turmoil and splendor of the palace to the humble quietude of the tomb, in the fiftieth year of her age, and the thirteenth of her reign, A. D. 1714.

37. After some time, what did James resolve? What took place in 1690? What did James exclaim? What was the issue of the battle?—38 What did James do? Where did he pass the remainder of his days? When did he die? What occasioned the death of William?—39. On whom did the crown now devolve? What war was immediately declared? Who was appointed commander-in-chief? What victories were gained? How was the war terminated?—40 What was the most memorable event of her reign? What was taken by the English? When did she die?

This period has been so prolific in men of genius, that it has been styled the *Augustan Age* of England. Some of the most distinguished names are those of Pope, Swift, Addison, Parnell, Rowe, and Gay.

SECTION IX.

House of Brunswick; George I.; George II.; George III.; George IV.; William IV.; from A. D. 1714 *to* 1830.

1. On the death of Anne, George I., Elector of Hanover, succeeded to the throne, with the general approbation. The features of his reign were generally pacific, and afford few events of importance in history. Upon assuming the reins of government, he attached himself to the whig party, which had strenuously advocated his accession, and entered into violent measures against the late tory ministry.

2. A committee of investigation was appointed to inspect the papers relative to the treaty with France; Lord Bolingbroke, the Earl of Oxford, and the Earl of Mortimer, with several others of the tory party, were impeached for high treason. These vindictive proceedings excited the indignation of the people, and the flame of rebellion broke out in Scotland. The Earl of *Mar*, at the head of ten thousand men, proclaimed the son of James II. as the lawful monarch of Great Britain. The rebellion, however, was soon crushed, and the most exemplary severity exercised against the leaders. Various lords and earls were impeached, and suffered death on the scaffold; many others of inferior rank were executed, and about a thousand transported to *North America*.

3. At this period a plan was devised for lessening the national debt, by lowering the interest, called the *South Sea Scheme;* the measure, however, gave a severe stroke to public credit, and ruined the fortunes of thousands.

George died suddenly of a paralytic disorder, while on a visit to his electoral dominions of Hanover, in the sixty-eighth year of his age, and the thirteenth of his reign, A. D. 1727.

4. George II., who succeeded his father in the forty-fourth year of his age, was a prince possessed of considerable abilities, of a violent temper, and distinguished in military exercise. Like his father, he inclined to the whig party, and was particularly biassed in favor of his continental dominions, on account of which he involved England in an expensive war. The most prominent person in the administration during the reign of George, was Sir Robert Walpole, a man of eminent abilities, but accused by many as guilty of a system of corruption and venality, which he practiced while in office.

What has this period been styled? What were some of the most distinguished names?

1. Who succeeded to the throne? What is said of his reign?—2. What committee was appointed? Who were impeached? What did these proceedings excite? What is said of the rebellion?—3. At this time what was devised? When did George die?—4. Who succeeded? To what was he inclined? Who was the most prominent person in the administration?

5. The military operations during this reign were extensive, and the British arms were generally triumphant. England espoused the cause of *Maria Theresa* of Austria, against the emperor Charles and Louis XV. of France. In this contest, called the war of the *Austrian Succession*, the principal states of Europe were involved. Various was the success that attended the contending powers. The English, with their allies, under the command of George II. in person, defeated the French in the celebrated battle of *Dettingen*, and the French, in their turn, under *Marshal Saxe*, gained the victory at Fontenoy. After war had raged for some time, peace was again restored by the treaty of *Aix-la-Chapelle*, and the claim of *Maria Theresa* to the throne was confirmed.

6. During the absence of the king on the continent, Charles Edward, the eldest son of James II., assisted by Louis XV. of France, made a last and dying effort to regain the throne of his ancestors. He landed in Scotland, and placing himself at the head of an army, he defeated the royalists in the battles of *Preston-Pans* and *Falkirk*; but on the 16th of April, 1746, was fought the famous battle of *Culloden*, in which Charles was signally defeated by the royal forces under the Duke of Cumberland. By this battle the hereditary pretensions to the crown of England were for ever extinguished; the prince, after a series of adventures, escaped to France.

7. Towards the close of this reign, the war was again renewed between England and France, on account of the encroachments of the latter on the British colonies in America. The war was finally terminated by the surrender of all *Canada* on the part of France. It was during this war that the brave and illustrious *Wolf* perished in the moment of achieving the capture of the city of *Quebec*. During their operations in *America* the British also carried on a war in *India*.

8. George II. died in 1760, at his palace of Kensington, in the seventy-seventh year of his age and the thirty-third of his reign. His reign was distinguished for many eminent writers and men of genius; among the poets, Young, Akenside, and Gray, stand pre-eminent.

9. George III., the grandson of the late monarch, succeeded to the throne in the eighteenth year of his age. He commenced his reign at a favorable period, when the national arms were everywhere triumphant, and the administration of the government was directed by the genius of *William Pitt*, (Lord Chatham,) one of the most eminent and popular ministers in the annals of the nation. It was at this period that an oppressive and unjust course of policy was adopted by the British government towards her *American colonies*. Against these measures *Pitt* exerted all the power of his eloquence, but in vain; the colonies were finally

5. What is said of the military operations of this reign? What did England espouse? What was this war called? How was peace restored?—6. During the absence of the king what took place? Where did he land? What took place in 1746?—7. Towards the close of his reign what took place? How was it terminated? During this war what happened?—8. When did George II. die? Among the poets who stand pre-eminent?—9. Who succeeded to the throne? How did he commence his reign? What took place at this period?

driven into hostilities with the mother country, and Great Britain, after a long and expensive warfare, was compelled to acknowledge their *independence*. [See *United States*.]

10. The other most important events of this reign were the extension of the British possessions in *India*, where Hyder Ali and his son distinguished themselves by their opposition to the encroachments of the English; the *Irish rebellion*, which took place in 1798, and her subsequent union with Great Britain, (see *Ireland*;) and her long and sanguinary conflicts, which grew out of the *French Revolution*.

11. Some of the principal achievements of the British during this period were the famous naval victories of the *Nile* and *Trafalgar*, by Lord Nelson, and those of Talavera, Salamanca, Vittoria, and Waterloo, by Wellington. George died on the 29th of January, 1820, in the seventy-eighth year of his age, after a reign of sixty years, the longest we find in English history During the last ten years of his life he was afflicted with insanity, which disqualified him for all public business, and his son, the Prince of Wales, acted as regent. The subversion of his intellect is supposed to have been brought on by the death of his favorite *Amilia*, aided by advanced age and toils of state. His natural endowments were not great, although a good monarch and much beloved by his subjects.

12. George IV., who succeeded to the throne, was a prince possessed of eminent abilities and talents. The early part of his life was distinguished for unrestrained dissipation and prodigality. His reign was generally peaceful and prosperous. Some of the most important events were the war in *India*, by which the English gained a great part of the *Burman Empire*; the celebrated trial of his queen in the House of Lords for misconduct; the interposition in favor of the Greeks in their struggle for independence; during which was gained the celebrated naval victory at *Navarino*, over the Turks, by the united fleets of England, France, and Russia; and also the passage of the Catholic Relief Bill, by which the disabilities of the Roman Catholics in Great Britain and Ireland were removed, and by which they were placed on an equal footing with members of the established church, with three exceptions; exclusion from the throne, and from the office of Lord-lieutenant of Ireland, Lord Chancellor of that kingdom and of England.

13. George IV. died at Windsor on the 26th of June, 1830, in the sixty-eighth year of his age and the eleventh of his reign, and was succeeded in the throne by his brother, William, Duke of Clarence, under the title of William IV. His short reign was not distinguished for any important event; at his death he was succeeded by her present majesty, Queen Victoria.

What is said of Pitt?—10. What were the other most important events of this reign?—11. What were some of the achievements of the British period? When did George die? What is said of the last ten years of his life? Of his abilities?—12. Who succeeded to the throne? What were some of the most important events of his reign? What are the three exceptions to the *Catholic Relief Bill*?—13. When did George die, and by whom succeeded? At his death who succeeded?

SCOTLAND.

SECTION I.

1. The early history of *Scotland* is greatly involved in fable and obscurity. Their pretension to a regular succession of kings from the time of Alexander the Great seems entitled to little credit. *Fergus I.* they consider as the founder of their monarchy, and he is said to have been the first who displayed on his banner the royal emblem of *Scotland;* namely, a red lion with his tail folded on his back, the attitude which that noble animal assumes when roused to anger. When the Romans took their final leave of England, in 410, the people of Scotland were divided into a number of hostile tribes, the principal of which were the *Scots* and *Picts;* the latter was subdued by Kenneth II., who became king of all Scotland, A. D. 843.

2. Little of importance or interest occurs in the history of the country from the time of Kenneth until the reign of *Alexander III.* Upon the death of Alexander a number of competitors for the crown appeared, among whom *Robert Bruce* and *John Baliol* seemed to have the nearest claim. They were both descended from *David*, Earl of Huntingdon, third son of *David I.* To avoid, however, the miseries of civil war, they resolved to refer the case to Edward I. of England, as umpire, and submit to his decision. Edward finding *Baliol* the more obsequious, decided in his favor. *Baliol* consented to receive the crown as a vassal of England.

3. But the fierce and warlike barons could not brook the passive spirit of John, and the encroachment of their liberty by the English monarch. A war ensued between the two kingdoms; Edward marched into Scotland at the head of a powerful army, and after defeating the Scots in a battle near *Dunbar*, reduced the whole country to subjection. The weak and timid spirit of Baliol induced him to surrender the crown into the hands of the English king.

4. At this critical juncture, when the liberties of Scotland lay prostrate at the feet of the conqueror, the dying energies of the nation were roused by the valor and patriotism of *Sir William Wallace.* The deeds of this hero are, in many instances, colored with fiction; yet, divested of all their embellishments, they remain sufficiently great to render him worthy of the name of patriot. He almost single-handed ventured to take up arms in defence of the kingdom, and by his boldness revived the spirit of his countrymen. He persuaded Robert Bruce to assert the right and vindicate the honor of his country. The Scots flocked to the standard of *Bruce,* who, after a variety of victories, succeeded

1. What is said of the history of Scotland? Whom do they consider as the founder of their monarchy? What is said of him? How were the people divided? By whom were the latter subdued?—2. On the death of Alexander what took place? To avoid civil war, what did they resolve? What did Edward do?—3. What is said of the barons? What ensued? What did Edward do?—4. At this critical juncture what took place? What is said of his deeds? What did he persuade Bruce? What is said of the Scots?

in restoring the independence of his country, and was elevated to the throne, A. D. 1306.

5. Edward again made preparations for invading Scotland, and had advanced as far as *Carlisle*, when he suddenly died. In the reign of his successor was fought, near *Stirling*, the famous battle of *Bannockburn*. Edward commanded the English forces in person, and Robert Bruce those of Scotland; the engagement terminated in the signal defeat of the English army, and firmly established the victorious Bruce on the throne of his ancestors. Bruce died in 1329, and was succeeded by his son, *David II.*, at the age of four years. During his minority, the Earl of Murray was appointed regent of the kingdom, and fulfilled the duties of his station with justice and moderation.

6. About the year 1331, *Edward Baliol*, the son of *John Baliol*, taking advantage of the king's minority, began to bring forward pretensions to the crown. Aided by many of the English barons, he landed in Scotland and defeated the *Earl of Mar*, who had succeeded Murray in the office of regent. Baliol was immediately crowned king, and acknowledged the English monarch as his superior. Thus was Scotland a second time reduced to a state of dependence and subjection to England.

7. The spirit of freedom which had so long characterized the Scots slumbered for a season, but was not subdued. The faithful adherents of the deposed king watched the earliest opportunity to strike for the liberty of their country, and to shake off the English yoke. At length the Scottish valor prevailed: Baliol was expelled from the country, and David II. was again restored to the throne, A. D. 1341.

8. David was a weak but virtuous prince, and passed through many reverses of fortune. He was taken prisoner by the English in the battle of *Durham*, and remained in captivity for eleven years; he was at length ransomed by his subjects, and died in 1370. He was succeeded by his nephew, *Robert Stuart*, the first of that family who swayed the sceptre of Scotland. The race of the Stuarts is the most unfortunate in the annals of history; with few exceptions, they all became the victims of some ill-fated or tragical end.

SECTION II.

The House of Stuarts; from Robert II. to James VI., A. D. 1370 *to* 1603.

1. Robert II., a prince characterized for the mildness of his disposition, was quite unequal to the task of managing his fierce and ungovernable subjects. His reign was marked by a series

5. In the reign of his successor, what battle was fought? How did it terminate? When did Bruce die? By whom succeeded?—6 In the year 1331, what took place? What followed?—7. What is said of the spirit of freedom? Of Baliol?—8. What is said of David? By whom was he succeeded?

1. What is said of Robert?

of contests which took place between the English and Scottish borderers. The great families, Douglas and Percy, whose estates lay contiguous to each other, were at continual variance. On one occasion they met at *Otterburn;* an obstinate battle ensued, in which the English were routed, but the Earl of Douglas was slain. The celebrated ballad of *Chevy Chace* was written to commemorate the single combat between Douglas and Percy. Robert died in 1390, and was succeeded by his son under the title of Robert III.

2. The reign of this prince was rendered unhappy through the conduct of his turbulent nobles. The Duke of Albany, the brother of the king, a man of the greatest ambition and cruelty, having represented to Robert some misconduct of his son, prevailed on the king to deliver him into his custody. Having obtained possession of the person of the young prince, he conducted him to the castle of *Falkland* and cast him into a dungeon, where he died in a short time for want of food.

3. Robert, now old and infirm, was unable to revenge this outrage; but having another son called James, then eleven years of age, resolved to send him to France to avoid the power and cruelty of the duke. On his way, the young prince unfortunately fell into the hands of the English, by whom he was taken to London, and by order of Henry committed to the *Tower.* At the news of this disaster, Robert was so overpowered with grief that he died shortly after with a broken heart, A. D. 1405.

4. James was detained eighteen years in captivity in England, during which time he adorned his mind with every valuable accomplishment, and had leisure to learn the superior wisdom of the English laws and government. In 1424 he married *Lady Joan Beaufort,* the daughter of the Earl of Somerset. The young king of England presented him with a suit of gold cloth for the ceremony, after which he departed for Scotland, and was crowned the same year at *Scone* under the title of James I.

5. James, on his arrival, found the affairs of Scotland very different from those of England. During the regency of *Albany* the kingdom was filled with great disorders, and the royal authority had fallen into utter contempt; in every section of the country some barbarous chieftain ruled at pleasure, without regard to the authority of the king or the interests of the people. The first object of James was to curb the exorbitant power of the nobility. A statute was passed in parliament, by which the leagues and combinations which rendered them so powerful were declared illegal. He caused a number of the most refractory to be arrested and brought to trial; the king himself presided in person, dressed in his royal robes, with the sceptre and globe in his hand. They were found guilty and publicly executed.

Of the Earls of Douglas and Percy? On one occasion, what took place? When did Robert die?—2. What is said of the reign of this prince? Of the Duke of Albany? Where did he conduct the young prince?—3. What is said of Robert? On the way, what happened to the prince? At the news, what is said of Robert?—4. How long was James detained in captivity? In 1424, what did he do? With what was he presented by the king of England?—5. On his arrival, how did he find the affairs of Scotland? What was the first act of James What did he cause?

6. James having thus reduced order in his kingdom, and being a prince of refined accomplishments and the most elegant scholar of his age, turned all his attention towards the improvement and civilization of his subjects. But the check that he had given to the power of the nobility had irritated the whole body, and they only waited a favorable opportunity for conspiring against him. While holding a feast at *Perth* he had taken up his abode at the *Convent* of *Blackfriars*, there being no palace or castle convenient, and had quartered his guards among the citizens. A conspiracy was entered into, at the head of which appeared the earl of *Athol* and *Sir Robert Graham*, and this was deemed a favorable moment for carrying it into execution.

7. The king had passed the 20th of February, 1437, in various amusements with his nobles and the ladies of his court, and was cheerfully conversing with his queen and her attendants, when suddenly a noise was heard and the flaming of torches was seen in the convent gardens. At the first alarm, the king, judging that his life was in danger, ordered the doors to be closed, while he endeavored to effect his escape. Lady Catharine Douglas hastened to bolt the outer door of the hall, but not finding the bar, she resolutely pushed her arm through the staples, which was broken by the conspirators in forcing the door. Dunbar, a young nobleman who attempted to guard the ante-chamber, was struck dead; and the queen herself received several wounds from the assassins. James, who was remarkably active and strong, defended himself for some time with great resolution; but at length, overpowered by numbers, he fell under the repeated blows of the conspirators.

8. The traitors immediately retreated to the *Highlands*, but by the unremitting exertions of his queen they were all taken in the short space of a month, brought to trial, and executed. The earl of *Athol*, to whom it had been predicted that he should die a king, was crowned with a red-hot diadem as king of traitors; and after that horrible ceremony, he was beheaded.

James I. was murdered in the forty-fourth year of his age, and in the thirteenth of his reign. He was one of the wisest and most accomplished sovereigns that ever swayed the *Scottish* sceptre.

9. James II. succeeded his father to the throne at the early age of six years, while the affairs of state were chiefly under the direction of Alexander Livingston and Sir William Crichton.

At this period the house of *Douglas* had arrived at the height of its power. The Douglases were remarkable for their courage and military talents, also for the pomp of their retinue and the number of their armed followers. In 1438 the earl of *Douglas* died, leaving two sons, the eldest a youth of sixteen. Livingston and Crichton thought this a favourable opportunity for crushing for ever the powerful house of *Douglas*. With this intention

6. To what did James turn his attention? At Perth, where did he take up his abode? What was entered into against him?—7. How did the king pass the 20th of February? At the first alarm, what was done? What is said of Lady Catharine? Of Dunbar? Of James?—8. What is said of the traitors? Of the Earl of Athol? When did James die? What was he?—9. Who succeeded? In 1438, what took place? What is related of Livingston and Crichton, and what was the fate of the two sons of Douglas?

they invited the young earl and his brother to court, as companions for the young king. Without suspecting the base design in contemplation, they accepted the invitation and set out with their attendants to Edinburgh castle. They were received with every mark of respect, especially by James, who had no suspicion of the treacherous intentions of his guardians. A splendid entertainment was given them, in the midst of which a party of armed men rushed into the apartment, and seizing upon the unsuspecting companions of James, dragged them into the court of the castle, where, undergoing a mock trial for the insolence of their ancestors, they were condemned and beheaded.

10. James II. is said to have been a handsome man, and of a quick, impetuous temper. His reign was distinguished by his strenuous efforts to humble the power of his haughty nobles. In 1460, he laid siege to the castle of Roxburgh for the purpose of recovering it from the hands of the English. During the siege, James ordered the artillery to fire upon the castle, when one of the guns burst, and killed him upon the spot, in the twenty-ninth year of his age.

11. James III., who succeeded his father, possessed neither his abilities nor his talents. He secluded himself in the castle of *Stirling*, where he devoted himself to pursuits ill becoming a sovereign, and raised the indignation of his barons by his attachment to unworthy favorites. At length a powerful league was formed against him, which was joined by most of the southern lords. The king marched towards the north, and having arrived at *Stirling*, was refused admittance by the governor. He then demanded his son, but was told that the young prince had been carried off by the rebel lords.

12. Upon receiving this intelligence, the king immediately advanced at the head of thirty thousand men to meet the insurgents. The army was arrayed in three divisions, the king himself commanding the rear. The battle commenced with fury on both sides, and for some time was sustained with equal success. At length the *western* borderers charging with their long spears, bore down all before them; James, unable to stand the charge, turned and fled. As he retreated, he passed by a small hamlet near a mill; his horse taking fright at a woman who came out for water, suddenly turned, and precipitated the king to the ground, who being heavily armed, and stunned by the fall, was unable to rise. The people soon collected, and removed him into the mill. When he recovered, he called out for a priest. Being asked by the miller's wife, who he was, he replied: "I was your king this morning." The woman, struck with surprise, hastened out and called loudly for a priest to attend the king. Upon this a stranger rode up and said: "I am a priest, lead me to the king." He was immediately introduced, and kneeling down, asked James if he thought he was dangerously injured. The king replied that he thought not, but

10. What is said of James? How was he killed?—11. Who succeeded? What is said of him? What was formed against him?—12. On receiving this intelligence what did the king do? Describe the battle? As he retreated, what happened? Being asked who he was, what did he reply?

in the mean time, desired that his confession might be heard, and that he might receive absolution. "This shall absolve you," replied the assassin, and drawing a poniard, plunged it into the breast of the unhappy monarch. Such was the unfortunate end of James III., in the thirty-sixth year of his age.

13. The throne was immediately occupied by his son and successor, James IV., a great and accomplished prince, respected by his nobles, and beloved by his subjects; he loved magnificence, and his court was renowned throughout Europe. He bitterly regretted his misfortune, in being compelled to appear in the field with the rebel lords; and considering himself in a manner accessory to his father's death, he imposed upon himself a voluntary penance, which he continued to observe during the remainder of his life. He caused an iron girdle to be made, which he wore under his clothes; and, as if desirous that his penance might increase with his age, he every year added a new link to its weight.

14. In 1502, he married the princess *Margaret*, daughter of Henry VII. of England, an accomplished and virtuous woman. James, who excelled in all the martial exercises, and particularly delighted in tilts and tournaments, was eager for an occasion to display his prowess. During the reign of Henry VIII., the harmony which had subsisted between England and Scotland began gradually to weaken, until at length it broke out into open rupture. James, contrary to the advice of the ablest of his ministers, and against every entreaty of his queen, resolved upon the invasion of England.

15. Having with much difficulty obtained the consent of parliament, he gave orders for the forces of the kingdom to meet him at Edinburgh. After having completed his preparations, the king, on the twenty-second of August, entered England at the head of his army, attended by all the flower of the *Scottish* nobility, and pitched his camp on Flodden Field. Here he was met by the English army, commanded by the Earl of *Surrey*, who, confident of his superior strength, endeavored to bring the *Scottish* king to an engagement.

16. After some mutual suspense, the signal for the battle was given; and the combatants on both sides rushed to the contest with equal vigor. At the first onset, the forces of James threw the right wing of the English into disorder; but at that moment, Thomas Howard, at the head of his English division, bore down upon the *Scots*, while at the same time they were charged in the rear by Sir Edward Stanley. Dreadful was the carnage that now ensued. The king fought on foot in the thickest of the contest. His nobles, to whom he was dear, pressed, and entreated him to escape. Night at length put an end to the conflict, during which the Scottish army silently withdrew, leaving the king and the flower of the nobility numbered among the slain.

Wa
reign
his camp?—10. After the signal was given.
the battle? What was the fate of James?

17. James the V., who succeeded his father, was then an infant of only a year old; during his minority, the office of regency was conferred on the Duke of Albany. The Duke, however, being a native of France, and quite unacquainted with the manners and customs of Scotland, met with considerable opposition from the turbulent nobles; and after an unsuccessful struggle, he voluntarily resigned his office, and retired to France. The king, now in his thirteenth year, assumed the reins of government, with eight persons appointed as his chief counsellors, of whom the Earl of Angus was the most prominent. James bore the empty title of king, while the ambitious earl exercised the regal authority. His person was guarded by a body of one hundred men; all the higher offices of his household were filled by members of the Douglas family, and relatives of Angus.

This was a restraint which the young king reluctantly bore, and waited every opportunity to free himself from the power of the earl. Having at length effected his escape, he rode to Stirling, assembled around him his faithful adherents, and issued a proclamation, declaring any of the Douglas family a traitor, who should dare to approach within twelve miles of his person. Angus and his adherents were accused of treason in parliament, their goods were forfeited, and they themselves driven into exile.

18. The education of James had been much neglected; his character was that of a great but uncultivated mind; his passions were violent, yet he was distinguished for the affability of his deportment. Henry VIII. having declared war against *Scotland*, James prepared to defend his dominions. At the approach of the *Scottish* army, the English retired; James proposed to pursue them; but his barons resolutely refused to advance beyond their own borders. The king, mortified and disappointed, disbanded his army, and returned to his capital. Shortly after this, it was proposed to make an attack upon the English borders, and the troops for this purpose were placed under the command of *Oliver Sinclair*. But the barons, indignant to see a person of inferior rank placed over them, basely surrendered their whole army, consisting of ten thousand men, to the enemy, without the slightest resistance. When the news of this event was brought to the king, he burst into a transport of rage; after which a distressing melancholy seized upon his mind. While in this state, he was informed of the birth of his daughter, afterwards the unfortunate *Queen Mary*. At this news he exclaimed: "It will end as it began; the crown came with a woman, it will go with one. How many miseries await this poor kingdom." These were his last words; he expired of a broken heart, in the thirty-first year of his age, A. D. 1542.

19. Mary, Queen of *Scots*, so celebrated for her misfortunes, was but a few days old at the time of her father's death. Hamil-

17. By whom was he succeeded? In his thirteenth year, what did the king do? What is said of his person? Having effected his escape, what did he do?—18. What was his character? What was done by Henry VIII? What did James propose? What did the barons do? What after this was proposed? What did the barons again do? At this news what is said of the king? Of what was he informed? What did he exclaim?—19. What is said of Mary, Queen of Scots?

ton, Earl of Arran, was appointed regent of the kingdom. Proposals were made by Henry the VIII. of England, of marriage between the infant queen of *Scots*, and his son Edward, who was then also a child. The proposals were rejected by the Scots, in consequence of which hostilities were declared by the two countries, which were carried on for some time with various success.

20. All prospects of a union between Mary and Edward being now at an end, it was resolved that she should form an alliance with the Dauphin of France, and should be sent to that country that she might be educated at the French Court. Accordingly, in 1648, the young queen then in her sixth year, embarked for France, while her mother *Mary of Guise*, was made regent of *Scotland*, in place of Hamilton. On the death of Queen Mary of England, Elizabeth, her sister succeeded to the throne of that country. But as the divorce between Henry and his first queen had never been ratified by the pope, the Catholics naturally regarding Elizabeth, the daughter of Henry and Anne Boleyn, as illegitimate, looked upon the queen of Scotland, the grand-daughter of Margaret, Henry's sister, as the rightful heir to the English crown. Mary was induced to assert her claim; money was coined, on which Mary and Francis assumed the title and arms of England and Scotland.

21. The reformers in Scotland, assisted by Elizabeth, had taken up arms against the queen regent, and the English army under the command of Lord Grey, having entered Scotland, was joined by the members of the *congregation* from all parts of the kingdom. The queen regent, unable to withstand their united forces, retired to the castle of Edinburgh where she shortly after died. She was a princess possessed of great abilities and many amiable qualities. After her death, peace was restored and a treaty concluded, by which great concessions were made to the Reformers. During the contest, many of the most splendid churches and beautiful buildings were demolished by the Reformers: the abbeys and monasteries, with the cells of the monks, were levelled to the ground.

22. In 1560, Francis II. of France died; after which Mary resolved to return to her native kingdom. During her residence in France, her education had been particularly attended to; she was mistress of several languages; wrote both prose and verse with elegance and ease; excelled in music and all the accomplishments of her sex. She was condescending and gay in her manners, graceful in all her movements, and was reputed to have been the handsomest woman, at that period, in Europe. With the deepest regret, she bid adieu to France, where she had passed the happy scenes of childhood, and after a short passage, landed at Leith in her own dominions, where she was received with every

What proposals were made, and how were they received?—20. All prospects of a union being ended, what was resolved? As the divorce, &c., had never been ratified, what did the Catholics regard and look upon? What was Mary induced to do?—21, What is said of the Reformers? Of the queen regent? During the contest what took place?—22. In 1560, what happened? What did Mary resolve to do? What is said of her education and accomplishments? Where did she land?

demonstration of joy by her subjects and nobles, who conducted her to Holyrood, the palace of her ancestors.

23. As she rode through the streets of the capital, the inhabitants were dazzled by her splendor, and struck with admiration of her beauty. Her warlike nobles, as they crowded around her, were softened into the deepest reverence. Happy for Mary, if she could have gained equally the affection of all her subjects. But there was one class over which all her gentleness could not exert the slightest influence. She was a Catholic; the Reformers, therefore, regarded her as an enemy to their religion, although she had early declared her determination to molest no one for the exercise of religion. The reformed preachers spoke openly against her with the most intemperate violence; *Knox* even boasted that he had spoken so roughly to her, when she condescended to expostulate with him, as to bring tears from her eyes. On the Sunday after her arrival, she had mass celebrated in the chapel at Holyrood, but such was the intolerant spirit of the populace, that the priest narrowly escaped being murdered at the altar.

24. The most powerful princes of Europe solicited the hand of the Scottish queen. But Mary rejected them all, and turned her affections towards a young nobleman of high birth, connected with the royal family both of England and Scotland. This was Henry Stuart, Lord Darnley, the eldest son of the Earl of Lennox, a man whose only recommendation seems to have been his personal appearance and external accomplishments. They were married on the 29th of July, 1565.

25. Shortly after her marriage, an insurrection was excited against her, at the head of which appeared the Earl of Murray, Mary's natural brother. The queen appealed to the loyalty of her subjects, and the alacrity with which they responded to the call, proved her popularity. She rode at their head to inspire them with courage, and lead them against the insurgents; the insurrection was soon quelled, and order again restored.

26. Mary soon found that her union with Darnley was likely to produce but little comfort or pleasure. He was a man of no stability of character; naturally haughty and jealous; rendered giddy by the height to which he was raised by his marriage with the Queen of Scotland, he demanded the crown matrimonial, that is, an equal right in the crown with his consort. This concession Mary refused, without the consent of her parliament. He grew impatient, and set no bounds to his resentment. In conjunction with several of the nobles of his court, he determined on the death of Rizzio, the queen's Italian secretary. This atrocious deed was perpetrated at Holyrood palace, in the very presence of the queen and several ladies of her court.

27. Darnley, a few months after this event, being seized with a violent illness, was advised, as soon as the state of his health would permit, to remove to a house near Edinburgh, called the

23. What is said of her as she rode through the capital? What was she? What happened on the Sunday after her arrival?—24. What is said of the princes of Europe? On whom did Mary turn her affections?—25. After her marriage what took place? What is said of the queen?—26. What did she soon find? What did he demand? What did he do?—27. A few months after this event, what is said of Darnley?

Kirk of Field, for the benefit of the air. Mary frequently visited him here, with every mark of affection. On the 9th of February, 1567, the house in which the king resided was blown up with gunpowder; his body, with several of his attendants, was thrown into an adjacent garden.

28. The suspicion of the murder fell upon the Earl of Bothwell, and the queen herself was accused of being an accomplice in the death of her husband. In a few days, the Earl of Lennox came forward and openly accused Bothwell. Bothwell and several others were impeached as the murderers, and a day was appointed for their trial. At the appointed time, Bothwell appeared in Edinburgh to stand his trial, accompanied by a large body of soldiery, and attended by four thousand gentlemen. A motion made by Lennox to suspend the trial for forty days, was rejected; and no prosecutor appearing, the jury, with the consent of the nobles and gentlemen, returned a verdict in favor of the accused. Bothwell, disregarding the murmurs of the people against this mockery of justice, invited the nobles to a splendid entertainment, and prevailed on them to sign a bond, in which they not only declared him innocent of the king's death, but recommended him to Mary as the most suitable person for her future husband.

29. Shortly after this, as Mary was returning from Stirling, where she had been on a visit to her son, she was met by Bothwell, at the head of a thousand horse, and led captive to the castle of Dunbar, from which she was only released after she consented to become his wife. Mary requested time, that she might consult the King of France, and her relations of the house of Guise. But the ambition of Bothwell was too impatient to run the hazard of delay. The only remaining obstacle, his marriage with Janet Gordon, the sister to the Earl of Huntly, was in a few days removed by a divorce, which he obtained on the grounds of consanguinity. In the short space of one month after his trial, Bothwell led the queen to the court sessions, where she forgave him the outrages committed against her person, and created him Duke of Orkney. On the following day, they were married in the hall of Holyrood House.

30. To explain this extraordinary transaction, would too far exceed the limits of these short outlines; suffice it to say, that many of the ablest historians have deduced the clearest evidence to prove, that Mary was innocent of all participation in the death of her husband, and that her marriage with Bothwell was effected by force.*

31. The nobles, roused by the insult cast upon themselves and their sovereign, flew to arms. A battle was fought at *Carberry*

* See her Life, by H. Bell, Esq., vol. ii., page 221. Dr. Lingard's History of England, vol vii., page 235. Anderson, page 89—102.

On the 9th of February, 1567, what took place?—28. On whom did the suspicion fall? What is said of Bothwell and several others? What did the jury do? What did Bothwell now do?—29. After this, what is related of Mary? What did she request? What obstacle was in the way? Where did Bothwell lead the queen?—30. What have many of the ablest historians deduced?—31. What is said of the nobles

Hill, in which the forces of the queen were routed; Bothwell fled from the field, and Mary surrendered herself into the hands of the lords, and was conducted by them to Edinburgh. As the queen rode through the streets of the capital, she was accosted in the most insulting language by the populace, and upbraided as the murderess of her husband. On the following morning, she was escorted by a strong force, and conveyed to the castle Lochleven, situated on a small island in the middle of a lake.

32. Here she was compelled to resign her crown in favor of her infant son, and the Earl of Murray was immediately appointed regent. Mary, after languishing in captivity for some months, effected her escape, and assembling her faithful adherents around her, made an unsuccessful effort to regain her crown. She was met by the regent at *Langside*, and after an obstinate engagement, the queen's forces were completely routed. Mary having witnessed the defeat of her arms, contrary to all the entreaties of her friends, took the fatal resolution of throwing herself upon the mercy of Elizabeth, the English queen, from whom she received the warmest expressions of friendship and offers of protection. Accordingly, on the 16th of May, she crossed the Solway in an open fishing boat, with a few attendants, and landed on the English shore.

33. But Elizabeth, instead of affording the promised protection, sent the unhappy queen to Tutbury castle, where she was placed in the custody of the Earl of Shrewsbury. Various circumstances contributed to render Elizabeth jealous of her rival, the chief of which was her pretension to the English crown. For eighteen years the Queen of *Scots* languished in captivity in the dominions of her cousin. At length the English government resolved to crown the measure of her sorrows by an ignominious death. After much affected delay and apparent regret, Elizabeth signed the warrant for Mary's execution.

34. When the messengers sent to inform her of her fate arrived at Fotheringay castle, they found Mary, with her female attendants, engaged in evening prayer. She received them with her usual serenity, and heard her sentence read with the greatest composure. After which, placing her hand upon her Bible, she solemnly protested her innocence of the crimes laid to her charge, particularly that of conspiring against the English queen. The Earl of Kent observed, that as the book was a *Romish* Bible, her oath, therefore, was of no avail. Mary replied, that her oath on that account was the more solemn, as she herself was a Catholic. Being informed that her execution would take place on the following morning, she began immediately to prepare for that trying scene, and asked that she might be allowed to see her confessor, who had not been permitted to visit her for some time previous;

What battle followed? What is said of Mary? On the following morning, where was she conveyed?—32. What was she compelled to do here? What did Mary do after some months? Where was she met? What resolution did she take?—33. What is said of Elizabeth? How many years did the queen languish in captivity? At length, what was resolved?—34. How did the messengers find Mary? How did she receive them? What did she protest? What did the Earl of Kent observe? What did Mary reply? What did she ask?

this only request, however, was denied her; she was refused the consolation of the last rites of her religion.

35. On the evening previous to her execution, she wrote several letters; one to the king of France, and another to Elizabeth, in a mild and dignified style, in which she expressed her gratitude that the period of her sorrowful pilgrimage was drawing to a close, and requested that her remains might be conveyed to France, and placed beside the relics of her mother. Before retiring, she called together her servants, and taking a glass of wine, she drank to them all. They pledged her in turn upon their knees, and asked her pardon for any neglect in their duty. On her part, she condescended to ask their forgiveness for any offence towards them, and after distributing among them what remained of her money and jewels, she took her leave of them in the most affectionate manner.

36. She retired to rest at her usual hour, although she slept but little, being engaged the greater part of the night in prayer. As it inclined towards morning, she arose and dressed herself in a rich robe of silk and velvet. When the sheriff entered her room and informed her that the fatal hour had arrived, she replied that she was ready, and followed him with a cheerful countenance. On passing through the hall she met Sir Andrew Melville, the master of her household, who, in tears, lamented the ill-merited fate of his mistress. She told him not to weep, but rather to rejoice, that she was so soon to be released from all her afflictions. She then delivered to him her last farewell to all her friends, and to her son in particular. Up to this moment Mary seemed to bear all the circumstances of the trying scene with a fortitude that elicited the admiration even of her enemies. At the mention of her son, however, she was no longer able to restrain the emotion of her heart; all the love, the affection, and tenderness of a mother was recalled—she burst into tears.

37. She advanced to the hall of execution, holding in her hand a crucifix of ivory, ascended the scaffold with a majestic air, and took her seat upon a chair, while the Dean of Peterborough, in a discourse, exhorted her to renounce the faith of her ancestors, and die in the Reformed religion. Mary replied that she had been born in the Catholic religion, in that she had lived, and in that she had resolved to die. She then offered up her prayers aloud for the Catholic church, for her son, and for her cousin, Queen Elizabeth. Having taken her last farewell of her faithful attendants, without the least emotions of fear, she calmly resigned her head to the block, which was severed from her body by the second stroke of the axe. Thus ended the eventful life of the illustrious Queen of Scots, an event which has stamped an indelible stain upon the memory of Elizabeth.

35. On the evening previous to her execution, what did she do? Before retiring, what did she do? What did she ask?—36. What did she do? As it inclined towards morning? When the sheriff entered, what did she reply? Whom did she meet? What did she tel and deliver to him? At the mention of her son, what is said of Mary?—37. How did she advance to the hell of execution? What did Mary reply? For what did she effer her prayers? Having taken leave of her attendants, what did she do?

38. James VI., who was only an infant when placed upon the throne, assumed the reins of government at the age of fourteen. His partiality to unworthy favorites excited against him the indignation and jealousy of his nobles. In consequence of which several conspiracies were formed against him, and on several occasions the king narrowly escaped with his life. There was no event of importance occurred during his reign in Scotland. In 1603, Elizabeth of England died, having previously appointed James her successor to the English throne. On the Sunday before his departure for England, he repaired to the church of *St. Giles*, and took a solemn farewell of his *Scottish* subjects. On the 7th of May he entered London, and was received with shouts of approbation by the people. From this period the history of Scotland becomes united with that of England. During the reign of Queen Anne, the legislative union between England and Scotland was effected, by which the latter was deprived of her national parliament, and both included under the common title of Great Britain, A. D. 1707.

IRELAND.

SECTION I.

1. The early history of this country is greatly involved in obscurity, and has afforded a subject of research for antiquaries for nearly two centuries. The first inhabitants of Ireland, according to the best authorities, were originally descended from the *Celts*, who first peopled the western part of Europe. This appears probable from the striking similarity between their modes of worship, their objects of adoration, and the language of that ancient people, the purest dialect of which still exists in Ireland.

2. By consulting the ancient authors, it will appear reasonable that, while England was peopled from the coasts of Gaul, Ireland received her population directly from the shores of *Celtic Spain*. It is at least certain, that between these two countries relations of affinity had been at an early period established, and the western coasts of Spain were the immediate regions from which the communication was maintained. That the country was inhabited at a very remote period of antiquity is admitted by all impartial historians; but to pursue the early history of Ireland to that extent necessary to give a clear view of this early period, would too far exceed the limits of these outlines; therefore a few particulars must suffice.

38. What is said of James VI.? In 1603, what took place? On the Sunday before his departure, what did he do? During the reign of Queen Anne, what was effected?
1. What is said of the early history? The first inhabitants? How does this appear probable?—2. By consulting the ancient authors, what will appear reasonable? What is certain? What is admitted?

3. The religion of the early inhabitants was similar to that of nearly all the eastern nations. The chief object of adoration was the sun, under the name of *Baal* or *Bel*. They also adored the moon, under the title of *Re*. The adoration of fire, once common to all pagan nations, constituted also a part of the Irish worship. Annually, at the time of the vernal equinox, the great festival of *La Baal-tinne*, or the day of Baal fire, was celebrated, and in every district of Ireland it was strictly ordered that all the fires should be extinguished, and no one was permitted to light them under pain of death, until after the pile of the sacrifice in the palace of *Tara* was kindled. With the worship of fire that of water was usually associated; hence we find that certain fountains and wells were held sacred among the Irish. The priests who were held in the highest veneration on account of their learning, were called *Magi* or *Druids*.

4. Ireland, at an early period, was divided into a number of small principalities, each governed by its own king, and the whole subordinate to a superior monarch, who had, rather nominally, the control over their proceedings. In addition to the chief king of each province, every subordinate prince, or head of a large district, also assumed the title of king, and exercised within his own dominions all the powers of sovereignty. To the right of primogeniture, so generally acknowledged in those ages, no regard was paid by the Irish. Within the circle of the relations of reigning princes, all alike were eligible to succeed him. The monarch himself was not only created by election, but even previous to his death a successor was chosen by the same process. From this state of things, so badly designed for the preservation of order, we may easily infer that discord frequently prevailed. The crown itself was often regarded as a prize to the strongest; hence faction pervaded all ranks of the people, from the cottage of the peasant to the palace of the supreme monarch.

5. Two centuries previous to the Christian era, the Irish *annalists* inform us, that king *Kimboah*, the monarch who then occupied the chief throne, was the seventy-fifth king of Ireland. Among the long list of kings who have passed like a shadow through this dim period of Irish history, the name of *Ollam Fodhla* is distinguished as an eminent legislator. Many of his most useful institutions are said to have enjoyed but a short existence; but the act which renders his reign an important era in legislation was the establishment of the *Triennial Convention* at *Tara*, the ancient residence of the monarchs of Ireland.

In these periodical assemblies we observe a near approach to a representative form of government. The leading persons of the three orders, of which the political community consisted, namely, the king, the druids, and the plebians, were convened for the

3. What was the religion and chief object of adoration? What else? What was annually celebrated? With the worship of fire what was associated? What is said of the priests?—4. How was Ireland divided? What is said of every subordinate prince? Of the right of primogeniture? Of the monarch himself? From this state of things what may we infer?—5. What are we informed by the Irish annalists? What name is distinguished? What renders his name an important era? In these assemblies what do we observe? Who were the leading persons?

purpose of passing such laws and regulations as the public good seemed to require.

6. Among the important offices transmitted hereditary in Ireland, were those of heralds, bards, and musicians. To the profession of these arts, *Ollam Fodhla* assigned lands for their use. He also instituted at Tara a school of general instruction, which afterwards became celebrated under the name of the *Mur-ollam ham*, or the college of the learned. At the commencement of the Christian era, the Irish throne was occupied by *Conary* the Great, of whose reign we have but few particulars.

7. One of the most illustrious of the Irish monarchs was *Cormac Ulfadah*, who flourished about the middle of the third century. To his munificence and love of learning the country was indebted, it is said, for the foundation of three academies at Tara: in the first of which, the science of war was taught; in the second, historical literature; while the third was devoted to the cultivation of jurisprudence. Under his auspices, a general revision of the annals of the kingdom took place; the national records, preserved in the *Psalter* of Tara since the days of the illustrious *Ollam*, were corrected and improved. According to an ancient custom of the country, no one could retain possession of the throne who was affected with any personal blemish; and as Cormac, in defending his palace against a rebellious attack, had incurred the loss of an eye, he was thereby disqualified for retaining the sovereignty. After his abdication of the regal power, Cormac retired to an humble cottage, where he devoted the remainder of his days to literary pursuits, while he was succeeded in the throne by his son.

8. No event of importance occurs in the history of Ireland from this period, until Christianity was introduced into the island by the illustrious apostle *St. Patrick*. The holy missionary, according to the most authentic accounts, was born in Gaul, of respectable parentage, about the year 387. In his youth he was taken captive to Ireland and sold to a man by the name of Milcho, by whom he was employed in attending flocks. After six years of servitude he again escaped to his native country; and having spent some time with his parents, he repaired to the celebrated monastery or college of *St. Martin*, near *Tours*, where he remained for several years, and is believed to have been initiated into the ecclesiastical state previous to his leaving that institution.

9. The attention of the *Roman Pontiff* had been for some time directed towards establishing Christianity in Ireland; at length *Pope Celestine* resolved to send a bishop to that country, and Palladius was the person appointed for that mission. But on the death of Palladius, which happened shortly after his appointment, *St. Patrick* was selected to succeed him in the mission. Having been consecrated bishop at Ebona, a town in the north of Gaul,

6. What offices were transmitted hereditary? At the Christian era who occupied the throne?—7. Who was one of the most illustrious monarchs? To him, for what is the country indebted? What ancient custom of the country is mentioned? What happened to Cormac?—8. By whom was Christianity introduced? In his youth, what is said of him? Having escaped, where did he repair?—9. What is said of the attention of the Roman pontiff? On the death of Palladius who was selected?

the saint proceeded on his passage to the scene of his labors, and after some short delay in Britain he arrived in Ireland, as the Irish annals inform us, in the first year of the pontificate of *Sextus III.*, A. D. 432.

10. The most abundant fruit followed his labors; proceeding from province to province he preached the truths of the gospel, and by his eloquence converted all who heard him to Christianity. He was permitted to explain the object of his mission before *Lorgerius*, the chief king of the country, at a meeting of the great council of the nation then assembled at Tara, and numbered among his converts several members of the Royal family. It does not appear evident that the monarch himself embraced Christianity, although he allowed the holy man to pursue his mission unmolested. In a few years *St. Patrick* built a number of churches, and founded monasteries designed for the education of persons for the priesthood. He is said to have banished all the vipers and noxious animals from the island; whether this be the fact or not, it is certain that they will not live in that country at the present time. The saint died at *Sabhul* on the 17th of March, A. D. 465, in the seventy-eighth year of his age; the day of his death is still held in grateful remembrance by the natives of Ireland, no matter in what part of the earth fortune may have cast them.

11. During the seventh and the greater part of the eighth century, literature flourished in Ireland; the fame of her institutions spread to other climes, and numbers from all parts of Europe flocked to her shores to study in her schools, while at the same time Irish scholars were invited to impart instruction in foreign countries. Hence we find that *Charlemagne* patronised several distinguished Irish scholars; and during the reign of *Charles* the *Bald*, the learned, though subtle *John Scotus Erigena* received not only the royal patronage, but was made the intimate companion of that monarch.

12. Towards the close of the eighth century, Ireland was invaded by the *Danes*, who continued to hold possession of the chief maritime towns of the country for more than two hundred years. During this period an almost uninterrupted series of warfare was carried on between the natives and the invaders; but to follow the history of the country through that period would too far exceed our present limits; it will be sufficient to notice the great victory gained by the Irish heroes on the plains of *Clontarf*, where the death-blow was given to the Danish power. As this is one of the most memorable battles recorded in the Irish annals, it deserves a particular notice.

13. About the year 1014, the Danes, whose chief power was concentrated at Dublin, began to make preparations for reducing the entire country. For this purpose they not only collected all their forces from the different parts of Ireland, Scotland, He-

When did he arrive in Ireland?—10. What is said of the fruit of his labors? What was he permitted? In a few years what did St. Patrick do? What is he said to have done? Where and when did he die?—11. During this period, what is said of literature? Of the fame of other institutions? Hence, what do we find?—12. What took place towards the close of the eighth century? What will it be sufficient to notice?—13 In 1014, what did the Danes do?

brides, and Orkneys, but, moreover, brought fresh reinforcements from Denmark and Normandy. It happened at this time that the chief throne of Ireland was occupied by the famous Brian Boru. This illustrious monarch, aware of the intention of the Danes, lost no time in opposing their designs; and placing himself at the head of his own forces of Munster, and joined by those of Meath under Malachy, and by the troops of Connaught commanded by Teige, the king of that province, he marched directly to the vicinity of Dublin, and took up his position on the plain of *Clontarf.* The Danes, confiding in the superiority of their numbers, were anxious for the engagement. At the dawn of day, on the morning of the 23d of April, the battle was commenced, and raged with abating fury until the close of the evening, when victory declared in favor of the Irish, and the Danes were driven with immense slaughter from the field.

14. Brian, who is said to have triumphed in fifty battles over the enemies of his country, was now destined to fall in the moment of another victory, by the hand of an assassin. In the midst of the rout and carnage that followed the retreat, Bruadair, one of the Danish chiefs, took refuge in a small wood in the vicinity of Brian's tent, and perceiving that the monarch was almost entirely unattended, and at that moment engaged in prayer with his hands upraised to heaven, rushed into the tent and plunged a dagger into the royal veteran's heart. The power of the Danes never recovered from the overthrow it received in the battle of *Clontarf;* the blow struck on that memorable occasion by Brian, was followed up by his able successor Malachy; hence we find that their numbers gradually diminished, until at length their feeble remains are mingled with the general mass of the population, and disappear as a distinct people.

15. Irish literature, which had been so renowned throughout the west, naturally decreased from its former state of advancement during the Danish invasion. The schools and monasteries, though frequently ravaged and burnt by the Danes, again arose from their ashes, and again resounded with the voice of instruction and prayer as the invader retired. Hence during the eleventh century her literary institutions became famous abroad, and her shores were visited by foreign students.*

* See Moore's History of Ireland, page 214—235.

Who at this time was the chief king? What did he do? When was the battle fought, and what was the issue?—14. What is said of Brian? Relate the circumstances of his death? What is said of the power of the Danes? Of the blow struck on this occasion?—15. What is said of Irish literature? Of the schools? Of her literary institutions?

SECTION II

From the Invasion of Ireland by Henry II. of England, A. D. 1171, *to the Insurrection of* 1798.

1. AFTER the overthrow of the Danes in the great battle of *Clontarf*, nothing of importance occurs in the history of Ireland, until we come to the memorable struggle which terminated in the utter extinction of her national independence, and the subjection of the country to the dominion of the British crown. As early as the year 1155, Henry II. of England had conceived the design of invading Ireland; but having neither a legal right to the possession of the country, nor any ground of a quarrel to justify an invasion of it, he saw that by no other means could he plausibly attain his object, than by concealing the real motive of his enterprise under a pretended zeal for the interest of religion and morality.

2. With this view he applied to Pope Adrian, an Englishman by birth, who had been lately raised to the pontifical throne, for permission to invade and subdue the Irish for the purpose of effecting a reformation among them. The pontiff, assuming an extent of temporal power, such as no pope before had thought of arrogating to himself, acceded to the will of the English monarch, on condition that a penny for every house in Ireland should be annually paid to the court of Rome. Either from the internal commotion of his kingdom, or from some other cause, Henry was restrained from carrying into effect his projected invasion of Ireland for many years after he obtained the pretended grant of the country from the pope.

3. An opportunity at length presented itself favorable to his ambitious views. *Dermot Mac Murchad*, king of Leinster, having been expelled from his country on account of his crimes and cruelty, fled to England for aid. On his arrival, however, finding that the king was absent in Normandy, he immediately sailed for that country, and threw himself at the feet of Henry, offering if restored to his kingdom, to hold it as a vassal of the English crown. The English monarch received, without hesitation, the proffered fealty of his new liegeman, and as the only way in which he could at present forward his objects, he gave him letters patent, for the purpose of raising forces in his dominions.

4. Having been thus successful in the object of his mission, Dermot hastened back to England, and succeeded in interesting in his cause several persons of distinguished rank; among whom *Richard de Clare*, earl of *Pembroke*, surnamed *Strongbow*, was the most prominent; also two brothers of high rank, Maurice Fitz-Gerald, and Robert Fitz-Stephen, who, like the Earl of Pembroke himself, were persons of broken fortunes and ready to

1. As early as 1155 what had Henry II. conceived? What did he see?—2. With this view, to whom did he apply? What did the pontiff do?—3. What is said of Dermot Mac Murchad? What did he offer to Henry? How was he received by the English monarch? What did he give him?—4. What did Dermot now do? What did he promise to the Earl of Pembroke?

embark in any enterprise, however desperate, which held out the prospect of a speedy relief. To the Earl of Pembroke, Dermot promised his daughter *Eva* in marriage, and to secure to him the succession to the throne of *Leinster*, on condition that he would raise an efficient body of men, and transport them into Ireland during the following spring. To the two brothers, Maurice and Robert, he engaged to grant the town of Wexford and the adjoining land; while they, on their part, engaged to transport into Leinster a body of English and Welsh forces, to aid him in recovering the throne of his kingdom.

5. Being thus assured of foreign assistance, Dermot returned, probably to *Leinster*, where, after some short time, we find him making the most unqualified submission to Roderic, then the chief, and the last of the Irish monarchs, renouncing the claim to the government of *Leinster*, requesting to be allowed only ten cantreds of that province. This specious submission was only intended to disguise his treacherous designs, as his subsequent conduct proved, until the arrival of his expected succors. In the mean time, the English adventurers hastened to fulfil their engagements, and in the month of May, during the spring of 1169, the first landing of the Anglo-Normans on the coasts of Ireland, under the command of Robert Fitz-Stephen, took place.

6. Dermot, full of joy at the welcome intelligence, instantly collected all the forces in his power, and hastened to join the invaders. The first attack was made on the city of Wexford, which finally yielded to their arms. An instance of cruelty committed by the invaders about this time, deserves particular notice. Seventy of the principal inhabitants of Waterford were made prisoners during their attack upon the city; every offer was made by their fellow citizens for their ransom, even the surrender of the city itself was proffered as the purchase of their liberty. It was determined, however, by the English chieftains, to decide the fate of the prisoners in a council of war, in which the counsel of *Henry* of *Mount Maurice*, who thus early urged a policy, which has been only too faithfully pursued by the British government to the present time, "of striking terror into the Irish," unfortunately prevailed. The prisoners were borne away to the adjacent rocks, where they were cruelly put to death, by first breaking their limbs and casting them into the sea.

7. Subsequent to this event, the Earl of Pembroke arrived in Ireland with reinforcements, and in a short time *Dublin*, *Waterford*, and other important places, fell into the hands of the English. In the mean time, the English monarch having made all the necessary preparations, embarked for Ireland, and after a short voyage, landed at *Croch*, near Waterford, on the eighteenth of October, A. D. 1171.

The design of the king, if we may judge from some of his acts, immediately after his arrival, was clearly to impress upon the

What to the two brothers?—5 After some short time, what do we find him doing? What was this submission intended for? In 1169, what took place?—6. When was the first attack made? What is said of seventy of the inhabitants of Waterford? What was determined? What was their fate?—7. What took place subsequent to this event? When and where did the English monarch land?

minds of the people, that he came rather to protect them from the oppression of others, than to acquire any advantage or possession for himself. This refined policy, combined with a total want of a united or national spirit among the people, will account in some measure for the little resistance the royal invader met, during the progress which he made through the country.

8. After receiving the homage of the king of *Desmond*, who made a voluntary offer of submission and tribute, Henry advanced at the head of his army to *Lismore*, and from thence to *Cashel*, where he received the submission of *Donald O'Brian*, king *Thomond*. The example of these princes was followed by many of the inferior potentates, who, after meeting with a courteous reception, were dismissed to their territories, laden with presents from the English monarch. From *Cashel*, Henry returned through *Tipperary* to Waterford, and after making but a short stay, he marched to Dublin, a city, which, from the extent of its commerce, had risen at that time to such importance as to become the rival of London.

9. Here, we are told, he was joyfully received by the inhabitants; while all the neighboring chieftains hastened to proffer their allegiance; and among the rest who now joined in the train of the English sovereign, was *O'Ruarc*, of *Breffny*, and finally *Roderic O'Connor*, who was the last chief monarch of Ireland. In 1175, a treaty was concluded between Henry and Roderic, in which it was solemnly determined that the kings of England should be, in all future time, the lords paramount of Ireland; that the fee of the soil should be invested in them, and that all succeeding monarchs of Ireland should hold their dominions but as tenants or vassals of the English crown.

10. In 1185, Henry transferred the government of Ireland to his son *John*, then a youth in the twelfth year of his age. The insolent behavior of the young prince and his courtiers roused the indignation of the Irish chieftains, who now began to perceive, when too late, that they had intrusted their liberties to treacherous keepers, whose object was to render them not only tributaries, but slaves. Forgetting all local and personal differences, they agreed to unite against the enemies of their country; and so successful were they in their efforts, that according to the English chronicles themselves, John lost, in his different contests with the Irish, almost the whole of his army. Henry being informed of the danger that threatened the very existence of his power in Ireland, instantly despatched orders recalling the prince, and placed the whole power of the government, civil and military, in the hands of *De Courcy*.

Such is the brief outline of the establishment of the English power over the Irish nation; a power that has placed the two nations in the attitude in which we see them at present, the one

What was the first design of the king?—8. After receiving the homage of the king of Desmond, what did Henry do? What is said of the example of these princes? How did Henry return?—9. Here, what are we told? Who was among the rest? In 1175, what took place?—10. In 1185, what did Henry do? What is said of the behavior of the young prince? What did they agree? What did Henry do when informed of this danger?

subjected, without being conquered; the other a ruler without being a master.

11. In 1315, Ireland was invaded by *Edward Bruce*, brother to the king of Scotland He landed on the island with three thousand adventurers, and was joined by several of the Irish lords of Ulster. After committing various devastations, a decisive battle was fought, in which Bruce was killed, and the Scots compelled to retire.

In the reign of Henry VII. of England, the power of the governor of Ireland was much diminished by decreeing that no act passed, or parliament held, without the consent of the king of England, should be deemed valid. By this measure the Irish legislature became dependent on that of England.

James I., who valued himself greatly upon promoting the arts of peace, did something towards meliorating the condition of his Irish subjects. He abolished the customs of the country, and substituted English laws in their place, while no authority but that of the king and the laws, were permitted throughout the kingdom. During the civil war in England, in the reign of Charles I., Ireland was also disturbed by insurrection.

12. The loyalty of the Irish to the house of the *Stuarts* manifested itself after the execution of the unfortunate Charles I., in declaring in favor of his son, afterwards Charles II. To quell the insurrection that followed, Cromwell was appointed to the command of the parliament forces, and despatched to that country. After some delay at Dublin, where he landed, he determined to lay siege to *Drogheda*. The town was garrisoned by Sir A. Aston, with two thousand soldiers and a regiment of horse, besides several volunteers. On coming before the town, Cromwell sent a formal summons to the governor, which was peremptorily rejected, and a blockade was accordingly commenced.

13. The besiegers were delayed some time by the want of artillery; but when the cannon arrived from Dublin, they opened a tremendous fire from their batteries, which the walls of Drogheda were unable to resist. A practicable breach was soon made, but the attempt at storming was twice repulsed with great slaughter. Cromwell rallied his men to a third attack, and placed himself at their head. The resistance was vigorous; but the Irish Colonel Wall, being killed at the head of his regiment, his soldiers surrendered the town under a solemn promise of quarter. This engagement made by his officers, Cromwell, on entering the city, refused to ratify, and ordered the garrison to be put to the sword The inhuman massacre was continued during the two following days. Thirty of the brave defenders of Drogheda alone survived, and these were sold as slaves.

14. Cromwell next took the city of Wexford, where all the horrors of Drogheda were renewed; the conqueror strictly for-

11. In 1315, what took place? In the reign of Henry VII., what was diminished? How? What did James I. abolish and substitute?—12. What is said of the loyalty of the Irish in favor of the Stuarts? Who was sent to the country? To what place did he lay siege?—13 On taking the town what did Cromwell order? How many survived?—14. What city was next taken, and what was renewed?

bade his soldiers to give quarter. Strafford, the governor, with some few others, escaped by swimming their horses across the river. The excuse for these atrocious barbarities, was the necessity, it was said, of striking immediate terror into the Irish, in order to prevent them from future opposition. After these, and similar acts of unexampled severity, the whole country submitted to the power of the parliament.

15. At the conclusion of the war, the greater part of the nobility and gentry, with the flower of the army, had sought an asylum in foreign lands; their estates were forfeited, and the English commonwealth prepared to put into execution a system of confiscation more extensive and complete than that which had been attempted by Elizabeth or James I. An ordinance was made out for the *settling* of Ireland, which declares, in its first clause, that it was the intention of the English parliament "to extirpate the Irish nation."

16. In the year 1653 preparations were made to put this act into execution, and another ordinance was passed for the satisfaction of the adventurers and soldiers. By this decree the forfeited lands in the counties of Limerick, Tipperary, and Waterford, in the province of Munster; the King and Queen's counties; east and west Meath, in the province of Leinster; Down, Antrim, and Armagh, in the province of Ulster, were all to be charged with the money advanced by adventurers, and to be divided among them by lot. Thus a material portion of Ireland was distributed among the followers of Cromwell and the supporters of the parliament. In this division, the Puritans declared that they were directed by the example set by the Israelites in the division of Canaan, and believed that they were justified. The ancient possessors being thus displaced, a new and strange class of proprietors succeeded in their place, and have preserved their acquisitions under every succeeding change.

17. That the act which gave them the lands of the kingdom was an unparalleled public robbery and the most atrocious instance of unprincipled spoliation recorded in history, no one can deny. Few, however, felt any scruples at that period; the country they deemed theirs by the right of conquest; a right which they supposed to give them absolute authority over the lives and property of the vanquished. The sufferers were Catholics, and they had been taught to look upon them as idolaters, whose punishment was most acceptable service in the sight of Heaven. Many of the native inhabitants were kept as bondsmen and slaves to the new proprietors; they were looked upon as an inferior species, a degraded cast, for whom they could feel no sympathy. The very name of *Irish* was with them and their descendants an expression of contempt, and associated with ideas of intellectual and moral degradation. The peasants were forbidden to leave their parishes

What was the excuse for these barbarities?—15. At the conclusion of the war what is said of the nobility and gentry? What ordinance was made out?—16. In 1653, what was done? By this decree what lands were forfeited? In this division what did the Puritans declare?—17. What is said of the act? How did they deem the country? Who were the sufferers? What is said of many of the native inhabitants Of the very name of Irish? What were the peasants forbidden?

without permission, and strictly prohibited from assembling for religious worship or any other purpose. The Catholic clergy were ordered to quit the country under penalty of death; and it was moreover declared a capital offence to celebrate mass, or to perform any ceremonies of the Catholic worship.

18. Nothing occurred in the history of Ireland of any particular importance until after the dethronement of James II. The Irish still remained firm in their allegiance to the unfortunate monarch, and unfurled the royal standard in his favor. On the 12th of March, 1688, James landed in Ireland, at Kinsale, with a small body of French forces. Proceeding immediately to Dublin, he entered the capital amidst the joyous acclamations of all classes of the inhabitants. As soon as time would permit, he convoked a parliament to meet at Dublin; one of the first acts of this assembly was a decree granting full liberty of conscience to the professors of every religious creed.

19. On the part of King William, nothing was more anxiously desired than to bring his rival to a decisive engagement, for every day that protracted the war in Ireland added to the dangers of his situation. He therefore resolved to conduct the campaign in person, and arrived in Ireland on the 14th of June. James, on hearing of William's landing, hastened to join his army, which had retired from Dundalk to Drogheda, and took up his position on the southern bank of the river *Boyne*. The French and Irish officers labored to dissuade James from coming to an engagement on that occasion. They represented to him that his numbers were inferior to those of the enemy; that the greater part of his forces were new levies; that the promised succors from France might speedily be expected; they showed how easily he could maintain a defensive warfare beyond the Shannon, until France should strengthen his force, and delay weaken that of his rival.

20. Courage never had formed any very striking feature in the character of James, but on this occasion he insisted on fighting with so much animation, that his officers and soldiers were persuaded that he intended to take a desperate part in the engagement, but at the same time, with ominous precaution, he despatched Sir Patrick Trant to Waterford, in order to secure a vessel for his escape in case of misfortune. On the last day of June, William's army advanced towards the river, and the English king proceeded to take a survey of the enemy's lines from a hill which commanded an extensive prospect. Anxious, however, to gain a nearer view of the enemy, he advanced with some of his officers towards the ford opposite the village of Old Bridge, and having spent some time in reconnoitering, sat down to refresh himself on some rising ground. While in this position several field-pieces were discharged at the spot, and as the king arose to mount his horse, a shot from one of the guns killed one

What were clergy ordered?—18. What is said of the history of Ireland? On the 12th of March, 1688, what took place? What did he convoke? What was one of the first acts?—19. On the part of William, what is said? What did he resolve? Where did James take up his position? What did the French officers do?—20. What were the officers and soldiers persuaded? On the last day of June what did William do? After this, what is related of him?

of his attendants and two horses, and a second ball grazed his right shoulder, tearing the coat and inflicting a slight wound.

21. On the memorable morning of the 1st of July, 1690, William's army advanced in three columns to the banks of the Boyne. After some delay in crossing the river, the engagement became general. The conflict was sustained for some time on both sides with determined bravery. William animated his soldiers by his presence, and frequently mingled in the thickest of the contest, while James remained a passive spectator on the hill of Donore, and he is said to have exclaimed when he witnessed the destructive charge of Hamilton's dragoons, "Spare, spare my English subjects!"

22. Before the fate of the battle was decided, James, deserting his brave and faithful soldiers, fled with precipitation to Dublin, and there falsely ascribed his defeat to the cowardice of the Irish, who, throughout the whole action, had displayed the greatest courage, and only wanted a worthy leader to have gained a triumphant victory. On their part, they justly ascribed the ill-success of the day to the cowardice and incapacity of James "Change kings," was their common cry, "and we will fight the battle over again." Making but a short stay at Dublin, James continued his flight to Waterford, and embarked for France. In the battle of the Boyne, William lost several of his most distinguished and able officers. The Irish lost no person of distinction except the brave and courageous Hamilton, who was taken prisoner. When brought into the presence of William, he was asked by the king if he thought the Irish would fight again: to which the intrepid general replied: "Upon my honor, I believe they will."

23. After the departure of James, the Irish leaders, thus left to themselves, for some time ably sustained the cause of their country. The operations of the Irish army were chiefly directed by the brave and patriotic Sarsfield. During the following year, 1691, James obtained some few forces and military stores from Louis of France, who was still anxious to protract the war in Ireland. But the exiled monarch could not resist the opportunity of insulting his Irish subjects, even in this crisis of their fate. Although under a thousand obligations to the gallant Sarsfield, the favorite of the people, still he would not intrust him with the command of the army, but conferred it on *St. Ruth*, a French general of some reputation, whose subsequent conduct by no means tended to soothe the irritated feelings of the Irish general and army.

24. The first operation of the French general was the defence of the town of *Athlone*, which was taken by the English after a siege of several months. After the loss of Athlone, *St. Ruth* re-

21. On the first day of July what took place? How was the conflict sustained? What is said of William? Of James, and what did he exclaim?—22. Before the fate of the battle was decided, what did James do? What was the common cry? What became of James? Whom did the Irish lose? What did he reply when asked if the Irish would fight again?—23. By whom was the Irish army chiefly directed? In 1691 what did James obtain? On whom was the chief command conferred?—24. After the loss of Athlone, where did St. Ruth retire?

tired with his army into the county of *Roscommon*, and having taken up a favorable position near the ruins of the castle of *Aughrim*, prepared to decide the fate of Ireland by a single battle. The engagement was commenced on both sides with equal resolution; the fortune of the day seemed to incline in favor of the Irish; the English were repulsed with slaughter in every onset; a few moments more must have sealed their destruction. At this critical juncture *St. Ruth* fell by a cannon ball shot from the enemy's battery. This unfortunate circumstance changed the scale of victory. As the fallen general had not communicated his plan of action to any of the Irish leaders, no one was found at the moment capable of assuming the command. The Irish soldiers, unacquainted with the fall of their general, waited for new orders until it was too late to oppose the success of the enemy. As each troop and battalion now acted independently, their evolutions soon interfered with each other; the cavalry became mingled with the infantry, and before the close of the evening their retreat became general.

25. Before the fall of *St. Ruth*, the Irish had scarcely lost a man; after that event they suffered severely. The number of the British killed and wounded was over two thousand men; that of the Irish is said to have exceeded seven thousand. *General Ginckle*, who commanded the British forces, was but little elated by his victory at *Aughrim*. He felt that it was nothing better than a fortunate escape; and from the spirit displayed by the enemy, he feared that the termination of the war was still far distant.

26. After the battle of Aughrim, the Irish forces retired to the city of Limerick, under the command of Sarsfield, who was again placed at the head of the army, although much controlled by the other leaders. As soon as time would permit, Ginckle laid siege to Limerick; but as the task of reducing the place seemed hopeless, and as both parties were weary of hostilities, it was determined to conclude the protracted war by a treaty. Accordingly, on the 23d of September, a reluctant assent to this measure was wrung from Sarsfield by the other leaders, and on the evening of the same day a cessation of arms was granted, to afford an opportunity for settling the terms of capitulation; and by the 3d of October, the articles of the *Treaty* of Limerick were concluded, and solemnly signed by the different authorities on both sides.

27. This celebrated treaty provided, that all the Roman Catholics should enjoy the free exercise of their religion, as in the reign of Charles II., and promised that their majesties would endeavor to procure them further security in this particular, when the parliament should be convened. It was agreed that all the inhabitants of Limerick, all those in arms for James, should enjoy their estates and pursue their professions freely, as in the reign of Charles II., and that the Catholic gentry should be allowed to

What did he prepare? What is said of the engagement? What was the fate of St Ruth? What was the consequence of this misfortune?—25. What was the loss on both sides? What is said of *General Ginckle*?—26 Where did the Irish forces retire. What was finally determined? By the 3d of October, what were concluded?—27 By this treaty, what was provided? What was agreed?

nave arms, and should be required to take no oath but that of allegiance.

28. Two days after the treaty was signed, a French fleet arrived off the coast, bearing reinforcements and a large supply of military stores. Never was there a more trying moment for the Irish leaders: supplies sufficient to insure them a triumphant victory were at hand; but the honor of their nation was pledged; the treaty of Limerick was signed; that treaty they deemed inviolable; the French fleet was dismissed, taking with it several regiments of the Irish soldiery, who preferred to pass the remainder of their days in a foreign land, rather than live in bondage at home.

29. Unfortunately for the period of which we are speaking, religious fanaticism, or intemperate zeal, seemed to characterize almost every proceeding. The treaty of Limerick was loudly denounced by many of the reformed clergy, and Dr. Dopping, Bishop of Meath, after condemning the articles of that treaty, declared that Protestants were not bound to keep faith with *Papists*.

In 1695, the Irish parliament was assembled, and the first measure of that body was to inquire into the articles of the treaty of Limerick. A committee was appointed to consider what penal laws were already in force against the Catholics, not for the purpose of repealing them, as had been promised in the treaty, but to add others to their number. An act was passed to deprive Catholics of the means of educating their children, at home or abroad, and to render them incapable of being guardians of their own, or the children of others; a second act was passed to disarm all Catholics; and lastly, an act to banish all Catholic priests and bishops. (See History of Ireland, by W. C. Taylor, vol. ii p. 207.)

SECTION III.

The Insurrection of 1798

1. Even a brief outline of this interesting portion of Irish history cannot be given in this short compendium; a few of the most important particulars must suffice. In 1782, Ireland demanded and obtained from England, the independence of her national legislature. But it was with the utmost reluctance, and under circumstances of imperious necessity, that these concessions were made by the British cabinet. In 1784, the British parliament thought proper to annihilate the independence of the national legislature of Ireland, and impose new restrictions on her trade and manufactures. This treacherous and ungenerous

28. Two days after the treaty, what arrived? What was done with the French fleet? --29. What is said of the treaty of Limerick? In 1695, what took place? What committee was appointed? What penal laws were added? What is said of a Catholic teacher? Of the child of a Catholic? What rewards were offered?

1. In 1782, what did Ireland demand and obtain? In 1784, what took place?

proceeding excited a sudden and general indignation throughout the country.

2. Among the various modes of agency adopted during this period, was the institution of political clubs, which were formed under different titles. Of these, the society of the *United Irishmen*, and the Orange Association, were the most conspicuous. In the month of November, during the year of 1791, the society of *United Irishmen* was instituted in the city of Dublin. The leading objects of this association seem to have been a pure and disinterested love of liberty, and was formed with the immediate view of combining into one phalanx as many as possible of their countrymen, without any distinction of creed, for the purpose of effecting a change in the government of Ireland, or as they themselves declared: "for the purpose of forwarding a brotherhood of affection, a communion of rights, and a union of power among Irishmen of every religious persuasion, and thereby to obtain a complete reform in the legislature, founded on principles of civil, political, and religious liberty." Catholic emancipation and parliamentary reform were the avowed objects of their pursuit. By the former was understood a total abolition of all political distinctions between Catholics and Protestants; by the latter, they meant to exclude the borough representation from the House of Commons.

3. To oppose the objects of the *United Irishmen*, the aristocracy of Ireland proceeded to array an association of their own, under the name of the *Orange* party, which was formed for the purpose of perpetuating the abuses and supporting the measures of the government, by disavowing every innovation. The leading features of Orangeism may be traced to a period much anterior to this. Sir Jonah Barrington considers, that the idea of the Orange society arose from the association of the aldermen of Skinner's alley, which owed its origin to the restoration of the old corporation body to their former power and privileges, after the departure of James II. Their grand festival was held on the 1st of July, the anniversary of the battle of the Boyne. The charter-toast, the antiquity of which was of so ancient a date as the year 1689, was drunk by all the members present on their bare knees; the grand master pronounced it aloud, in the following words: "The glorious, pious, and immortal memory of the great and good King William, not forgetting Oliver Cromwell, who assisted in redeeming us from popery, slavery, arbitrary power, &c." The concluding part of the toast was a tissue of vulgar and impious imprecations on priests, bishops, deacons, &c. This toast was afterwards adopted by the Orange association.*

* See Dr Madden's History of United Irishmen, vol. i., page 85.

2. What were the most conspicuous associations? When was the society of United Irishmen instituted? What were the leading objects of this association? What were their avowed objects of pursuit? What was understood by these?—3. To oppose the objects of the United Irishmen, to what did the aristocracy proceed? What does Sir Jonah Barrington consider? When is their grand festival held? How is the charter toast drunk? What are the words? What was the concluding part?

4. In the year 1784, a new association grew into existence, under the name of the Peep-of-day Boys, who committed the most fearful depredations in the county of Armagh. In a few years, however, they dropped this title, and assumed that of *Orangemen.*

The first Orange lodge was formed on the 21st of September, 1795, at the house of a man named Sloan, in the village of *Loughall.* The members pledged themselves, by the most solemn oath, to support and defend, to the utmost of their power, the king and his heirs, so long as he or they shall support the Protestant ascendency.

5. In 1796, hopeless of parliamentary relief, the United Irishmen overcame their repugnance to foreign aid, and resolved to solicit assistance of France. *Tone* was commissioned for that purpose, and in the course of the summer, *Lord Edward Fitzgerald* and *Arthur O'Connor* were sent over to negotiate a treaty between the French republic and Ireland. An armament carrying fifteen thousand men, with a considerable amount of arms and military stores, sailed for Ireland, but the fleet being dispersed by a violent storm, only a few vessels arrived in *Bantry Bay,* and these returned home without being able to effect a landing.

6. In the mean time, it became the determined policy of the government to goad the people by torture into a premature insurrection, before the organization of their plans could be completed. Martial law was proclaimed in several counties; a savage soldiery were encouraged to emulate each other in acts of cruelty, the tortures of whipping, half-hanging, and the pitch-cap, were put into active operation. The humble dwellings of the peasantry were burned, their sons tortured or slain, their daughters subjected to all the outrages of brutal passion. At the same time, the most liberal rewards were held out to informers. In consequence of this, the government soon became acquainted with all the proceedings of the United Irishmen, and most of the active leaders were arrested at Oliver Bond's house, on the 12th of March. *Lord Edward Fitzgerald,* who happened to be absent, eluded pursuit until the 19th of May, when, after a desperate resistance, in which he was mortally wounded, he was made prisoner. The Shearses and others, who had been chosen to fill the places of those arrested at Bond's, were betrayed to the government by a militia captain named Armstrong.

7. On the 23d of May, the insurrection broke out in the counties of Kildare and Carlow. The peasants had no arms but clumsy pikes and a few guns in bad repair; they were of course easily defeated. The insurgents were next routed in Carlow with a loss of four hundred slain; and two hundred more, who fell into the hands of the victors, were executed by martial law. At *Oulart Hill* they were more successful; they defeated the North

4. In 1784, what took place? When and where was the first Orange lodge formed? How do the members pledge themselves?—5. In 1796, what did the United Irishmen resolve? Who were sent to negotiate a treaty? What armament sailed? What happened the fleet?—6. What became the policy of the government? What was proclaimed? At the same time, what was held out? What followed in consequence of this?—7. On the 23d of May, what took place? What is said of the insurgents? Where were they more successful?

Cork militia, took the town of Enniscorthy and the city of Wexford. Here, elated by success and exasperated by the cruelties they had received, they committed a fearful retaliation on a number of the royalists who fell into their hands. They were again defeated at Ross and repulsed at Arklow; and the loss of the desperate battle of Ballynahinch terminated the insurrection in Ulster.

8. After these defeats, the insurgents of Wexford were reduced to the necessity of maintaining a defensive warfare, their last hope being to protract the contest until assistance should arrive from France. Their principal encampment was on *Vinegar Hill*, a lofty eminence near the town of Enniscorthy. To this point therefore, the government directed all its disposable force. The royal army of thirteen thousand men, with a formidable train of artillery, approached this place in four different divisions; and on the 22d of June was fought the memorable battle of *Vinegar Hill*, which terminated in the complete discomfiture of the united forces, who were defeated with immense slaughter, not however for want of courage and resolution, but for the want of arms and ammunition. This defeat properly terminated the eventful struggle of Ireland for her national rights and redress of her grievances. The total loss of property during the contest is estimated at about three millions of pounds sterling. Of the royal army about thirty thousand were slain; but not less than fifty thousand of the insurgents were destroyed.

9. Late in August, when all the disturbances had been suppressed, a small force of eleven hundred men, commanded by General Humbert, arrived from France and landed at Killala. Want of means prevented Humbert from obtaining any particular advantage, and on the 8th of September he was forced to surrender. A second attempt was made by the French Directory in the following month. A small squadron sent from Brest was discovered by Admiral Warren, and forced to engage at great disadvantage. The Hoche, of eighty guns, and six frigates, were captured; this ended the efforts of France towards the liberation of Ireland.

10. Scarcely had the insurrection ended, when the question of the *Union* began to be agitated. It was so decidedly unpopular, that exhausted as the country was by the late commotion, its independence might have been maintained by an appeal to arms, had not the minister, by a wonderful mixture of corruption and cunning, effectually broken the strength of opposition. The measure of the *Union* was rejected in the session of 1799, by the house of commons; but after the most unparalleled scenes of bribery and deception, the measure was carried in the next session of the Irish parliament, which then consisted of two hundred and

What followed the taking of Enniscorthy and Wexford? Where were they again defeated?—8. Where was their principal encampment? What took place on the 22d of June? What was the total loss of property? What the loss of the royal army? Of the insurgents?—9. Late in August, what arrived? What was done in the following month?—10. What now began to be agitated? What was it? When was it rejected? After what manner and by what majority was it carried in the Irish parliament?

seventy-eight, only by a majority of forty-three votes. It was on the first day of January, 1801, at the hour of noon, that the imperial united standard was for the first time mounted on the Bedford tower in Dublin, while the guns of the royal battery in Phœnix Park announced to prostrate Ireland that her national independence was no more, that her guilt-stained parliament had effected its own annihilation.

11. The Catholics were induced to give a species of tacit assent to the measure, by the promise of obtaining their emancipation, a promise which was not fulfilled until nearly thirty years after this event, and then only wrung from the reluctant grasp of the British ministry. Hopes inconsistent with such a promise, were at the same time held out to the most violent Protestants; money to the amount of £3,000,000 was distributed in bribes to all those who would favor the views of government; besides this, the enormous sum of £1,275,000 was given as a compensation to boroughs for sending members favorable to the union.

12. The great evils entailed on Ireland by the union, are the vast increase of her national debt and the great inequality of her representation in parliament. By the act of the union, Ireland was to have a separate exchequer, and was only to be taxed in proportion to her own national debt, which at that time was only £26,841,219, while that of England reached the enormous sum of £420,305,944. In 1816 the British government thought proper to unite the English and Irish exchequers, in direct violation of the act of the union, and thus the debt of Ireland was increased to £110,730,519. As the Irish representatives in the British parliament are far inferior in number to those of the English, it follows, as a matter of course, that no measure conflicting with the English interest will pass that body in favor of Ireland. Moreover, while Ireland is deprived of her national legislature, her representatives in the British parliament are obliged to reside, a great portion of their time, in the capital of England, thus drawing from their native country a great amount of wealth which would otherwise be spent at home. These and other evils which tend materially to retard the prosperity of the country, render the *Repeal* of the union a most desirable measure to the Irish people, for which they are making at the present time the most strenuous efforts.

In 1801, what was done for the first time?—11. What is said of the Catholics? What hopes were held out to Protestants? What sum was distributed in bribes? What sum was given to boroughs?—12. What are the great evils entailed on Ireland by the Union? At that time, what was the national debt of Ireland and of England? In 1816, what did the British government do? By this act, what was the debt of Ireland increased to? As the Irish representatives in the British parliament are inferior in number to those of England, what follows? While Ireland is deprived of her national legislature, what is said of her representatives?

SPAIN.

1. Spain was at an early period called *Hispania*, or *Western*, because it was the most western situation known to the ancients It was also distinguished by the name of *Iberia*, from the river Iber, now Ebro. Its present name, Hispania or Spain, is said to be derived from a Phœnician word which signifies abounding in rabbits, as these animals, according to *Strabo*, were formerly very numerous in this country. The original inhabitants were the *Celts*, the same race that peopled most of the other countries of Europe, although the Spanish historians refer the origin of their nation back to the days of *Tubal*, the son of *Japhet*.

2. Attracted by the fertility of the soil, the Phœnicians, who were the earliest navigators, passed over to Spain and built the city of *Cades*, now *Cadiz*, as early as the year 900 before the Christian era. The Phœnicians were displaced by the Carthaginians shortly before the first Punic war, and the Carthaginians, in their turn, were expelled from the country by the Romans, in whose power it remained until it was wrested from them by the irruption of northern barbarians. The Gothic princes held possession of Spain until near the middle of the eighth century, when their empire was overthrown by the followers of Mahomet or the Saracens.

3. The victorious infidels, known also in Spain by the name of *Moors*, in a few years possessed themselves of nearly the whole country, which was for some time governed by the viceroy of the Saracen caliphs. At the approach of the invaders, the Goths retired to the mountainous district of Asturias, where, under their leader *Don Pelagio*, they established a kingdom, which increased in power and gradually extended over other parts of the country. Spain was divided by the *Moors* into a number of separate sovereignties, of which the most considerable were those of *Cordova* and *Granada*. For several centuries the history of Spain presents a continual series of contests between the Moors and the Christians. During this long protracted struggle with the infidels, several distinct Christian kingdoms grew into existence, of which Castile, Leon, Arragon, and Navarre were the most important.

4. In the year 1479, *Ferdinand II.*, king of Arragon, formed a matrimonial alliance with *Isabella*, queen of Castile and Leon, and thus their kingdoms became united. Navarre was subsequently conquered, and of all the Moorish possessions in Spain, the kingdom of Granada alone remained. Attracted by the beauty and fertility of the country, and impelled by a desire of expelling the determined enemies of Christianity, Ferdinand and

1. What was Spain early called? What is said of its present name? What were the original inhabitants?—2. Who passed over to Spain? When? By whom were the Phœnicians displaced? What is said of the Gothic princes?—3. What were the infidels named? At their approach, what did the Goths do? How was Spain divided? During the struggle, what grew into existence?—4. In 1479, what took place? What is said of Navarre?

Isabella formed the project of reducing Granada. Having made the necessary preparations, they entered the country at the head of their united forces, and after meeting with a gallant resistance from *Abdali*, the Moorish king, Granada was taken and the Moors expelled. The fall of Granada terminated the empire of the Arabs in Spain, after they had held possession of it for nearly two centuries, and the whole country became, for the first time, united into one monarchy.

5. Ferdinand and Isabella, having at length subdued and expelled the enemies of their country, turned their whole attention towards the internal improvement of their kingdom. Rapine and outrage, the natural consequence of the long and sanguinary war that had desolated the country, prevailed in every quarter. These evils they labored to remove, and by a wise and well regulated policy they succeeded in restoring order and tranquillity throughout their dominions. It was during this period, and under the patronage of Isabella, that Christopher Columbus discovered the *Western Continent*, an event which added an immense possession and wealth to the Spanish monarchy, and raised it for a time above any other in Europe. [See *America*.]

6. In 1517 Charles I. succeeded to the Spanish throne. On the death of Maximilian, Emperor of Germany, he put forward his claim to the imperial crown; at the same time, Francis I., king of France, declared himself a candidate for the empire. The crown had previously been offered by the electors of Germany, to Frederick, Duke of Saxony, who declined the offer and recommended the Spanish monarch as the most suitable person on whom it could be conferred. Charles was accordingly elected emperor in 1520, and assumed the title of Charles V. He now became the most powerful sovereign of Europe; his dominions extended over Spain, Germany, the Netherlands, and a part of Italy, besides his colonial possessions in America.

7. The reign of Charles was almost one unbroken series of hostilities, chiefly with his great rival, Francis I. of France. A few years previous to his death he formed the extraordinary resolution of resigning his dominions to his son Philip, who had married Queen Mary of England. Accordingly, in the presence of a numerous assembly at Brussels, having previously enumerated the principal events of his reign, he solemnly resigned his throne and transferred the sovereign authority to his son Philip with so much parental affection that the whole assembly melted into tears. After this he retired to the monastery of *St. Justin*, near Placentia, attended only by a few domestics, without pomp or splendor. In this humble and peaceful abode the greatest monarch of the world ended his days. The manner in which he closed his eventful life was extraordinary. A short time previous to his death, stretching himself in a coffin, he caused the funeral rites

What did Ferdinand and Isabella do? What did the fall of Granada terminate?—5. To what did they turn their attention? During this period what took place?—6. In 1517 who succeeded to the throne? On the death of Maximilian, what did Charles do? When was he elected emperor? What is said of his dominions?—7. What is said of the reign of Charles? What resolution did he form? Where did he retire? How did he close his eventful life?

to be performed, and after the ceremony was over he retired to his apartment in the deepest melancholy. He was soon after seized with a violent fever, which terminated his life in the fifty-eighth year of his age.

8. Philip II. succeeded his father to the throne of Spain. The most important events of his reign were the revolt of the *Low Countries*, which, after a long and bloody contest, succeeded in establishing their independence; and his unsuccessful invasion of England, which ill conducted measure cost him the ruin of his numerous fleet, called the *Invincible Armada*. He was succeeded in the throne by his son, Philip III. The subsequent history of Spain affords but few events of interest or importance, until the reign of *Ferdinand VII.*, who wrested the sceptre from his father, and placed himself upon the throne; he had not, however, long enjoyed the usurpation, when both father and son were compelled to resign their claim by *Napoleon*, Emperor of France, who placed his brother *Joseph* upon the Spanish throne. The Spaniards rose in opposition to this tyrannical measure, and had recourse to England for assistance; by their united efforts the French were finally expelled from the peninsula, A D. 1813. Since the reign of *Philip III.*, Spain has continued to diminish in power and importance, and at present only holds a secondary rank among the European powers.

9. The Inquisition. Connected with the history of Spain there is one institution that claims a passing notice, namely, the Inquisition. This institution was established in different countries of Europe, chiefly for the purpose of preventing innovations in the established religion of the realm. Its origin is dated as far back as the Council of Verona, held in the year 1184; although it was not legally established before the year 1233, in virtue of the bull of Gregory IX., addressed to the Provincial of Toulouse, and the superintendence of it at that period was confided to the order of the Dominicans, about twelve years after the death of their founder, *St. Dominic*. But it was only in the year 1484 that the constitutional rules and order of the tribunal were drawn up and published by Cardinal Torquemada, in conjunction with the king of Spain.

10. Towards the close of the twelfth century, the Albigenses seemed to threaten the peace of the church and the stability of the state, and for the security of both it was deemed expedient to send among them certain ecclesiastical commissioners, to inquire into the nature of their errors, and to endeavor to reclaim them. These commissioners were called *Inquisitors*, and from them the institution derived its name. It does not appear that the early inquisitors ever made use of any other arms to oppose the progress of heresy than those of prayer, patience, and instruction,

8. Who succeeded? What were the most important events of his reign? By whom was he succeeded? What is said of the subsequent history of Spain? What did the Spaniards do? Since the reign of Philip III. what is said of Spain?—9 For what was this institution established? What is said of its origin? What took place in 1484?—10. What is said of the Albigenses? What was deemed expedient? What were they called? What does not appear?

and while it remained purely an ecclesiastical tribunal, no coercive measures were ever adopted.

11. In the process of time the various sovereigns of Europe passed severe laws against all those who promulgated or obstinately maintained doctrines contrary to those established in their respective dominions; but as the offences in those cases were always of a religious nature, it became necessary to refer the accused to ecclesiastical judges. Hence it was that the tribunal of the *Inquisition* was adopted in different countries, when it ceased to be ecclesiastical, and became a civil tribunal.

12. The following circumstances led to its establishment in Spain. Towards the end of the fifteenth century, the Mahometan power in that country was destroyed by the united efforts of Ferdinand and Isabella; the Moors were expelled; still many remained, and those so mingled with the Spanish population, that it became difficult to discriminate between them. Their well known hostility to the government and aversion to Christianity caused them to be a subject of continual alarm. To augment the danger, the power and influence of the Jews, at this period, in Spain, became exceedingly great; they finally broke out into open rebellion. The *Cortes* now demanded that severe and coercive measures should be adopted against them; and as the danger increased, Ferdinand conceived, that, in order to save Spain, nothing would contribute more effectually than the Inquisition. To this measure Isabella at first strongly objected: but at length she was induced to assent, and the institution was accordingly introduced about the year 1484.

13. The tribunal was composed of one supreme head, called the *Inquisitor General*, who was either an archbishop or a bishop; and of eight ecclesiastical counsellors, of whom six were always seculars, and two regulars, one invariably of the Dominican order. The inferior inquisitors possessed no power to do any thing without the approbation of the Inquisitor General; neither could the latter execute any measure without the concurrence of supreme council. The duty of the tribunal of the Inquisition was simply to determine, upon the clearest evidence, whether the individual arraigned before it was guilty or not of those charges declared capital by the civil law. If guilty, the sentence was given accordingly. Beyond this, the Inquisitors had nothing whatever to do with the accused, who was then left to the secular power, to be dealt with according as the laws of the state had ordained. The ecclesiastics, who composed the tribunal of the Inquisition, had no power to condemn any one to death, and on no occasion do we find the name of any priest inscribed on a warrant for an execution.

14. The Inquisition could not be introduced into any country without the consent of the government; and when once esta-

11. In the process of time what was done by the sovereigns of Europe? What became necessary? Hence what followed?—12. What circumstances led to its establishment in Spain? What did the Cortes demand? What is said of Isabella?—13. Of what is the tribunal composed? What is said of the inferior inquisitors? What was the duty of the tribunal? What is said of the ecclesiastics who composed the tribunal?—14. What is said of the Inquisition?

blished, it was generally under the immediate control of the sovereign. The king alone appointed the Inquisitor General, who in his turn nominated the particular inquisitors, subject to the approbation of the king. In different countries various punishments were inflicted on those declared guilty of capital offenses by the tribunal of the Inquisition. At Rome no one was ever known to have suffered death in consequence of any sentence coming from the Inquisitorial tribunal. In Spain the cruel punishment of burning to death was the usual punishment inflicted on those who obstinately maintained and promulgated erroneous doctrines, or adopted them again after having renounced them. The goods of the persons thus condemned were confiscated to the state. The other punishments were less severe, and consisted in some slight penance or temporary confinement. If, however, the accused recanted what was thought to be heterodox opinions, and gave signs of repentance, his trial immediately ceased, and no punishment was inflicted.*

PORTUGAL.

1. THE history of Portugal is closely united with that of Spain; it partook of all the vicissitudes of that nation, being successively overrun by the Romans, Goths, and Moors. About the year 1094, Alphonso, king of Castile, bestowed that part of Portugal which he possessed, with his daughter, in marriage, on Henry, duke of Burgundy, who had rendered him important services during his contest with the Moors. Henry was succeeded by his son Alphonso, who defeated the Moors in a great battle at *Orique*, threw off the Castilian yoke, and assumed the title of king, A. D. 1139.

2. The reign of John I. is distinguished by his victories over the Castilians and Moors, but more particularly for the progress the Portuguese made in navigation. During the reign of John II., *Bartholomew Diaz* discovered the Cape of Good Hope; and in a few years after this event, *Vasco de Gama* doubled that Cape, and was the first navigator that accomplished a voyage to the Indies, A. D. 1497. From the reign of John I., down to the year 1580, forms the most brilliant period of Portuguese history. It is illustrated by several important discoveries, and also by the production of several men of learning and genius.

* For a more detailed account of this institution, the reader is referred to the *letters on the Inquisition*, by Count de Maistre, from which these few remarks have been chiefly taken.

Of the King? What is said of the punishments in different countries? At Rome? In Spain? What was done with the goods? If the accused recanted, what was done?
1. What is said of the history? About the year 1094, what took place? Who succeeded Henry? What did Alphonso do?—2. For what is the reign of John I distinguished? During the reign of John II., what was done?

3. In 1580, Philip II. of Spain, taking advantage of the weakness of Portugal, occasioned by the extinction of the male line of the royal family, seized upon the country, and united it to his dominions; by the revolution of 1640, the Spaniards were expelled, and the Duke of *Braganza*, the presumptive heir, was raised to the throne, under the title of *John IV.* In 1807, Portugal was invaded by the French, on which occasion the royal family removed to Brazil, where they remained until the year 1820, when he again returned to Lisbon, leaving Don Pedro, his eldest son, as regent of that country. In 1823, Brazil renounced its allegiance to Portugal, was declared an independent empire, under Don Pedro, who assumed the title of emperor. On the death of John VI., the throne of Portugal became vacant; Pedro, emperor of Brazil, resigned his claim to the crown in favor of his daughter *Donna Maria da Gloria*, appointing his sister Isabella regent during the infancy of the young queen; but Don Miguel, a younger brother of Pedro, usurped the throne.

POLAND.

1. The history of Poland exhibits but few important events, until near the early part of the fifteenth century, when the throne was occupied by Cassimer III., surnamed the Great. This illustrious prince founded the University of Cracow, patronized learning, encouraged industry and commerce; he also furnished the nation with a new code of written laws. Under the reign of Segismund I., who was a great and accomplished sovereign, Poland attained to the meridian of her greatness. But of all the sovereigns who swayed the Polish scepter, none have been more distinguished than *John Sobieski*, who succeeded to the throne in 1674. He was elected, not from any hereditary right, but on account of his virtues and eminent military talents. He maintained a successful war against the Turks, and immortalized his name by obliging them to raise the siege of Vienna. He died in 1696, leaving the country prosperous and happy.

2. *Frederick Augustus*, elector of Saxony, was chosen to succeed Sobieski, after an interregnum of twelve months. Shortly after his accession, he declared war against Charles XII., king of *Sweden;* but being defeated, he was dethroned, and through the influence of Charles, at the Diet of Warsaw, Stanislaus was elected to the throne in his place; but after the defeat of the Swedish monarch at the battle of *Pultowa*, Augustus was again restored

3. In 1580, what did Philip II. do? In 1640 what was done? In 1807, by whom was Portugal invaded? What did the royal family do? In 1823, what did Brazil do, in whose favor did Pedro resign his claim? What followed?

1. What does the history of Poland exhibit? What did this prince do? What is said of Sobieski? Why was he elected? What did he maintain?—2. Who was chosen to succeed him? What did he declare? What was the result? What happened after the defeat of the Swedish monarch at the battle of Pultowa?

to the throne. The reign of his son Frederick Augustus II. was generally tranquil.

3. In 1763, *Stanislaus Augustus* was elected king of Poland, through the influence of Catharine, empress of Russia. Civil commotions soon distracted the kingdom; the malcontents were encouraged by the surrounding powers, who secretly increased the factions and difficulties in which the state was involved, in order that they might the more effectually accomplish its ruin. In 1772 was perpetrated one of the most unjust and tyrannical acts recorded in history, namely, the dismemberment of Poland, by Russia, Prussia, and Austria. These three powers taking advantage of the disorder and weakness of the kingdom, agreed to divide Poland between them.

4. In the first division, *Frederick* seized upon Polish Prussia, and a part of great Poland; *Catharine* received Polish Livonia and a part of Lithuania; while Galicia and Lodomeria, fell to the share of the emperor of Austria. After an ineffectual struggle, unhappy Poland was obliged to submit to this dismemberment and to sanction, by a legislative act, the injustice that these powers had committed against her. In 1791, a revolution took place in Poland; a new constitution was formed, in which the crown, which had hitherto been elective, was declared hereditary, a measure which met the entire approbation of the people.

5. The empress of Russia, displeased with the new constitution, because it opposed her ambitious views, ordered her troops to invade the Polish dominions. The Poles flew to arms in defence of the rights and liberties of their country. They chose for their general the brave and patriotic Kosciusko, who had borne a distinguished part in the war of the revolution in the United States.

For some time they withstood the united forces of their enemies; but at length, overpowered by numbers, they were defeated, and the gallant Kosciusko was taken prisoner. Warsaw was taken and sacked by *Suwarrow* the Russian general, and nine thousand Poles perished in the defence of their capital. A new division was now agreed on between Russia, Prussia, and Austria, which included a considerable part of the remaining portion of the Polish territory, A. D. 1793.

6. Stanislaus, the last of the Polish monarchs, worn out with age and infirmity, was compelled to resign his crown at Grondo, where he died in captivity, during the year 1795. This event broke the spirit of the Poles; a final division followed, by which the rapacious powers seized upon the remaining parts of the country. Thus ill-fated Poland, by acts of the vilest tyranny, has been blotted out from the list of nations. During the reign of Nicholas, the present emperor, an ineffectual struggle was made by the Poles to regain the liberty of their country. Though overpowered by the numbers of the Russian army, they failed in this

3. In 1763, who was elected? What followed? In 1772, what was perpetrated?—4. How were the divisions made? What was Poland obliged to do? In 1791, what took place?—5. What is said of the empress of Russia? Of the Poles? Whom did they choose for their general? What is said of Warsaw? What was now agreed on?—6. What is said of Stanislaus? What followed? During the reign of Nicholas what was made? What did their courage prove?

struggle; still the courage and valor displayed by the patriots, proved to the world that the spirit of their freedom only slumbers and awaits a favorable opportunity to arise from that slumber and shake off the fetters that bind it.

RUSSIA.

1. The early history of Russia, which is greatly involved in obscurity, is marked by few events of importance. In the fifteenth century, *John Basilowitz* recovered the country from the dominion of the Tartars, and united a great part of it into one monarchy. But civilization made but little progress in Russia, until the reign of *Peter the Great*, who ascended the throne in 1689, and assumed the title of emperor. To this illustrious monarch Russia is indebted for all her present greatness. His youth was spent in dissipation, and his education was much neglected; but on ascending the throne, he displayed talents and abilities that have ranked him among the greatest of the sovereigns of Europe.

2. Peter's first military expedition was against the Turks, whom he signally defeated, and returning to Moscow after the capture of Azof, he caused the first medals to be struck that were ever seen in Russia. In order to improve and polish the manners of his court, he sent a number of his young nobility to travel, and to acquire a knowledge of foreign countries. After this he resolved to go himself and visit the various states of Europe, in order to profit by his own personal experience and observations. In the capacity of a private, in the attendance of one of the nobles of his court, he travelled through Germany to Holland. At Amsterdam he engaged himself as a workman in the dock-yard, under the name of *Peter Michaeloff*. From Holland he passed into England, where he was similarly employed, and where he gained still higher improvement. At the end of sixteen months, he returned to his own dominions, carrying with him the fruit of his experience, which he successfully employed for the benefit of his subjects.

3. In 1711, Peter married Catharine, a young and beautiful girl, the daughter of a peasant of Ringen, a small village in Livonia. At this period, Charles XII., king of Sweden, the most renowned warrior of his age, who had astonished all Europe by the brilliancy of his conquests, invaded Russia at the head of a powerful army. Without the loss of time, Peter hastened to oppose his progress. They met in the famous battle of *Pultowa*, in

1. What is said of the early history? In the fifteenth century? What is said of civilization? How was his youth spent?—2. What was his first military expedition? To improve the manners of his court, what did he do? After this, what did he resolve? How did he travel? Where was he employed? When did he return to his own dominions?—3. Whom did Peter marry? What is said of Charles XII.? Where did they meet?

which the Swedish monarch was signally defeated, with the loss of nine thousand of his army killed, and fourteen thousand taken prisoners. The other principal events of his reign were the destruction of the *Sterlitzes*, a body of troops resembling the Turkish Janizaries; the building of the city of St. Petersburg, and the institution of a numerous and powerful army. He died in 1725, not without some suspicions of being poisoned by the empress.

4. Peter, as a sovereign, was unquestionably great; but as a man, he possessed many qualities that degraded his private character: he is said to have been cruel, passionate, and given to intemperance.

The empress, having ascended the throne under the title of Catharine I., was succeeded, after a prosperous reign of two years, by Peter II., grandson of Peter I. After a short and peaceful reign, he left the throne to his niece, *Anne*, Duchess of Courland. Her reign was prosperous, and added to the strength of the empire. Her generals gained several important victories over the Turks, and conquered several towns in Crim Tartary. On her death, *John*, an infant only a few months old, succeeded to the throne; but, by a sudden revolution, the young prince was deposed, and Elizabeth, daughter of Peter the Great, was proclaimed empress.

5. Her reign was more brilliant than any of her predecessors, with the exception of her father. She was a princess possessed of eminent abilities and political talents. Her army was completely victorious over the Swedes, and her alliance was courted by Great Britain.

Elizabeth was succeeded by her nephew, Peter III., a weak and indolent prince. He had married Catharine of *Anhalt*, a German princess, who having discovered that it was his intention to confine her for life, and to marry a lady of his court, entered into a conspiracy with her favorite, Count of *Orloff*, against her husband, who was accordingly deposed, and afterwards assassinated.

6. Catharine was immediately proclaimed empress, under the title of Catharine II. Her reign was the most magnificent in the history of Russia. She introduced elegance and refinement into her empire; encouraged the arts and manufactures; enlarged her dominions, by extending her conquests over Poland, Crimea, and other territories. As an empress, she possessed extraordinary talents for government; but her total disregard for justice in her conduct towards defenceless Poland, has stamped an indelible stain upon her public character, while her private life was a disgrace to her sex. She was succeeded by her son *Paul*, whose short and tyrannical reign was terminated by assassination, A. D. 1801.

7. Alexander I., his eldest son, who now succeeded to the

What were the other principal events of his reign? When did he die?—4. What is said of Peter? By whom was the empress succeeded? To whom did he leave the throne? What was her reign? To whom did she leave the throne? What revolution took place?—5. What is said of her reign? By whom was she succeeded? Whom did he marry? What is related of her?—6. What was Catharine proclaimed? What did she do? What did she possess? By whom was she succeeded?—7. Who next succeeded to the throne?

throne, was a wise and popular sovereign. During his reign, the power of Russia was extended, and public improvement promoted. Alexander, alarmed at the progress of Napoleon, joined with Austria against him. But after many sanguinary battles, the Russian monarch was compelled to sign the peace of *Tilsit*. In 1812, upon the refusal of Alexander to concur in the scheme of the Emperor of France, for excluding the British commerce from the continent of Europe, Napoleon invaded Russia at the head of a powerful army. At Borodino, near Moscow, one of the most terrible battles was fought recorded in history. When the French emperor made his disastrous retreat from Moscow, Alexander pursued the enemy beyond the limits of his empire, and entered Paris with the other allied sovereigns, where Napoleon was deposed.

8. Alexander died in 1825, and was succeeded by his brother, Nicholas I., whose reign has been distinguished by the successful termination of a war carried on against the Turks and Persians. His character has been deeply stained by his cruelty and tyrannical measures towards the Poles.

PRUSSIA.

1. LITTLE is known of the early history of this country. It was inhabited by a rude and barbarous race, called the *Borussi*, and denominated *Brussia* or *Porussia*, from which the present name is derived. In 1594, Prussia and Brandenburg were united into one government, by a matrimonial alliance between John Sigismond, Elector of Brandenburg, and Anne, the daughter of *Albert*, Duke of Prussia. On the death of Sigismond, in 1619, his son, the elector, George William, succeeded to the government. During his administration, the electorate suffered the severest calamities, occasioned by the *Thirty Years' War*, which grew out of the religious controversies which at that time distracted the continent of Europe.

2. On the death of George, in 1640, his son, Frederick William, succeeded to his dominions. By a wise and well regulated policy, he succeeded in removing the disorders into which the country had fallen, in consequence of the wars that continued to rage during the reign of his father, and at his death he left the electorate in a prosperous condition. He was succeeded by Frederick I., who assumed the title of king in 1701; as previous to this period the country was styled the Electorate of Brandenburg, and the ruler the Elector.

In 1812, what took place? At Borodino, what took place? When the emperor made his retreat, what did Alexander do?—8. When did he die, and by whom succeeded? By what is his character stained?

1. What is said of the history, and by whom was it inhabited? In 1594, what took place? Who succeeded Sigismond in 1619?—2. By whom was George succeeded? What did he succeed in removing? By whom was he succeeded, and what did he assume?

Frederick was remarkable for his economy and frugality, and even denied himself the ordinary comforts of life; in his manners he was rude and harsh, and treated his children with a degree of severity bordering on brutality.

3. Frederick II., styled the Great, who succeeded to the throne in 1740, is regarded as one of the greatest warriors of modern times. Shortly after his accession, he revived his claim to the duchy of Silesia, invaded the country, and defeated the Austrians in the great battle of Molwitz. After the conquest of Silesia, he turned his victorious arms against Saxony. Having alarmed all Europe by the rapidity of his conquests, a defensive alliance was formed against him by France, Russia, and Austria. A great and sanguinary contest ensued, called the *Seven Years' War*, during which Frederick maintained his ground against his powerful enemies, until peace was restored by the treaty of Hubertsberg.

4. Frederick was remarkable for the severe discipline which he maintained in his army. On one occasion, while in sight of the enemy, he gave orders that all the lights in the camp should be put out at a certain hour, under the penalty of death. It happened as he went round the camp to see if his order was obeyed, that he perceived the glimmering of a light proceeding from the tent of one of his officers. As the king entered the tent, the officer, who was in the act of folding a letter, immediately arose and threw himself at the feet of Frederick, and implored his forgiveness for having disobeyed his order, stating at the same time that he had been writing a few lines to his wife, and on that account had retained the light for a few moments over the time appointed for extinguishing it. The king, with a stern countenance, ordered him to add a few words more to the letter he had just concluded, and to inform his wife that he would be shot on the following day; this rigorous sentence was accordingly executed.

5. In the latter part of his reign, Frederick applied himself to the internal improvement of his kingdom; he built several towns, and gave encouragement to agriculture, manufactures, and commerce. He was fond of literature, and possessed some merits as an author. In religion, he was a skeptic, and made *Voltaire* an intimate companion. The part he acted in the dismemberment of Poland has proved him destitute of every principle of justice or humanity.

6. He died in 1786, at the advanced age of seventy-four years, and was succeeded by Frederick William II., a prince more addicted to pleasure than to the affairs of government. After a short and unimportant reign, he was succeeded by his son, Frederick William III., in 1797. Frederick suffered a memorable defeat in his contest with the French, under *Napoleon*, at the battle of *Jena;* and at the peace of Tilsit he was deprived of nearly half

What is said of Frederick?—3. What is said of Frederick II.? On his accession, what did he do? What was formed against him? What ensued?—4. For what was Frederick remarkable? On one occasion, what orders did he give? Relate what followed.—5 In the latter part of his reign, to what did he apply himself? What was he in religion, and who was his companion?—6. When did he die? Who succeeded in 1797? What defeat did he suffer? In 1812, what did the Prussian monarch do?

of his dominions. In 1812, the Prussian monarch joined the coalition against France, and his army, under *Blucher*, at the famous battle of Waterloo, turned the fortune of the day against the Emperor Napoleon. By the treaty of Vienna, he gained a considerable accession of territory. Nothing of importance has, since that period, transpired in Prussia. Of late years, Frederick has bestowed much of his attention towards promoting the arts of peace, and the intellectual improvement of his people.

GERMANY.

1. Our knowledge of the primitive inhabitants of Germany, who were most probably of Celtic origin, is very limited, until the period of the Roman conquests in that country. At the time when Julius Cæsar invaded the country, Germany seems to have been divided into a number of independent principalities; but the inhabitants frequently united for their mutual defence, and the many bloody battles they fought before they sunk under the power of the invaders, established their reputation for bravery. On the decline of the Western Empire of the Romans, Germany fell under the dominion of the Franks, and remained in their possession until Charlemagne extended his power over the whole country.

2. In the year 843, the Empire of the West was divided into three monarchies, France, Germany, and Italy; and about the year 887, the imperial dignity was transferred entirely to Germany, which, in the history of Europe, is called, by way of distinction, the *Empire*, and the subjects, the *Imperialists*. After the death of Louis III., in 912, the empire became strictly elective, although, during the hereditary succession, the consent of the bishops and nobility had always been asked. Conrad was the first elected to the vacant throne, and after a reign of seven years, *Henry I.*, surnamed the Fowler, was raised to the imperial dignity. Henry possessed great abilities, and introduced good order into his dominions; he built and embellished several cities, reduced and conciliated many of the revolted lords, subdued the Hungarians, Danes, Bohemians, &c., and added Lorraine to his empire.

3. His son, Otho I., was elected emperor in 936. He possessed all the abilities of his father, and pursued a similar system of policy. In 961, he invaded Italy, at the solicitation of the Italian states, during their contest with Berenger. Otho defeated Berenger and Adalbert, caused himself to be crowned at Milan as Emperor of the Romans. He died in 972, after an active reign of thirty years. Otho II. succeeded his father in 973, during

1 What is our knowledge of the inhabitants? In the time of Julius Cæsar, how were they divided? On the decline of the Western Empire, what was the fate of the Germans?—2. In 843, what took place? And in 887? And in 912? What is said of Henry I.?—3. Who was next elected? In 961, what did he do? When did he die? Who succeeded?

whose reign nothing of importance occurred. The reigns of his successors, Otho III., Henry II., Conrad II., and Henry III, are marked by few striking events. Towards the close of the reign of Henry II., usually called *St. Henry*, the pious monarch wished to renounce all earthly grandeur, and applied to Richard, abbot of St. Viennes, in Lorraine, for admission into the monastery. The abbot received him, but immediately commanded him, in virtue of a vow of obedience, to reassume the government of the empire, for the honor of God and the good of his people, to which the monarch humbly, though reluctantly, submitted.

4. Henry IV., surnamed the Great, succeeded to the throne in 1056, at the early age of six years. His reign is chiefly distinguished by his contests with the popes, the particulars of which may be seen under the head of *Italy*. The latter part of his life was imbittered by the unnatural rebellion of his own son, who openly revolted, and obliged him to abdicate the crown. The dethroned monarch was removed to Liege, where he shortly after died, a prey to excessive grief, A. D. 1106, after a reign of fifty years, during which he had been present in sixty-two battles, in most of which he was victorious. His exploits, his bravery, and talents, have ranked him among the greatest sovereigns of Germany.

5. Nothing of importance occurred in the history of Germany during the reigns of several succeeding emperors. The reign of Frederick I., surnamed *Barbarossa*, on account of his red beard, was chiefly signalized by an expedition to the Holy Land, during which he was drowned in the river Cydnus, in Cilicia. After the reign of Conrad IV., a period of near twenty years of contention and confusion followed, called the Great Interregnum; the disorder was terminated by the election of *Rodolphus*, count of Hapsburg, in Switzerland, to the imperial throne, A. D. 1264. The new emperor found the country in a state of anarchy and confusion, but by his wise and prudent measures, he succeeded in restoring order; his reign was distinguished by many acts of virtue and justice. He left one son, from whom the present house of Austria is descended, who afterwards succeeded to the throne, under the title of Albert I., in 1298.

6. In the reign of this prince, the Swiss revolted; at first only a few of the cantons combined to assert their freedom, and a small army of thirteen hundred Swiss defeated an immense host of Austrians, in the pass of *Morgate*, in 1315. The rest of the cantons by degrees joined the revolt, and with invincible perseverance, after sixty sanguinary battles with their enemies, they effected their independence. This event was chiefly effected by the patriotism of the famous *William Tell*, who was instrumental in producing this revolution, and in laying the foundation of his country's freedom. [*See his Life in* BIOGRAPHY.]

What is related of Henry II ?—4. Who succeeded in 1056? For what is his reign distinguished? What was the latter part of his life? When did he die?—5. For what was the reign of Frederick I. signalized? What happened after the reign of Conrad IV.? How was the disorder terminated? What is said of the new emperor? What did he leave?—6. What took place in the reign of the prince? Where was the Austrian army defeated? By whom was this event effected?

7. The reigns of the eight succeeding emperors present few events of importance. The reign of Henry VII., however, was memorable for the miseries under which Germany groaned during that period; a raging pestilence and famine depopulated the towns and desolated the provinces. The rich sought an asylum in other countries, while the poor perished for the want of assistance. Wolves and other beasts of prey, impelled by hunger, quitted the forest, and rushing into the towns, devoured the famished inhabitants; cataracts of water, bursting from the mountains, swept trees and houses before them with violent impetuosity, while the earth was convulsed by frequent shocks, which seemed to agitate it to the very centre.

8. Frederick III. succeeded to the throne in 1440. His family became the most powerful in Germany, by the marriage of his son with Mary, the heiress of Burgundy and the Netherlands. He was succeeded by his son, Maximilian I., a prince possessed of considerable abilities. He established peace among the German states, and freed the country from the disorders occasioned by the feudal system. Maximilian was succeeded, in 1519, by his grandson, Charles V., the most powerful sovereign of his age. His long reign, which continued for nearly forty years, was almost one series of warfare, chiefly with his great rival, Francis I., of France. During this contest, in 1527, Charles took Rome, which, for nine months, was given up to plunder. A few years after this event, he took the city of Tunis, and liberated twenty-two thousand Christian slaves. A few years before his death, he resigned the crown of Spain to his son, Philip II., and leaving the throne of Germany to his brother Ferdinand, he retired to the monastery of *St. Justin*, in Spain; here in this peaceful retreat, the greatest monarch of the age ended his days. The *Reformation*, which began to make considerable progress in Germany, is one of the most remarkable events in the history of that empire. It was first commenced by *Martin Luther*, an Augustine friar, who was then professor of divinity at Wittenberg, about the year 1517. [*For particulars, see* CHRISTIAN CHURCH.]

9. The reigns of the successors of Ferdinand, *Maximilian II.* and *Rodolphus II.*, were generally peaceful and prosperous. The reigns of Ferdinand II. and Ferdinand III. were signalized by the *Thirty Years' War*, which commenced in the year 1618, and was terminated by the peace of Westphalia, in 1648. This celebrated war had its origin in the religious dissensions of the sixteenth century; on the one side was the Protestant confederacy, styled the *Evangelical Union*, and on the other, the *Catholic League*. The final result of the contest was the equal establishment of the Protestant and Catholic religions.

10. By the death of *Charles VI.*, in 1740, the male line of the house of Hapsburg became extinct; his eldest daughter, the cele

7. For what is the reign of Henry VII. memorable? What is said of the rich? Of wolves? Of cataracts of water?—8. Who succeeded to the throne? By whom was Maximilian succeeded? What is said of his reign? What cities did he take? Before his death, what did he do? What is one of the most remarkable events in the history of this empire?—9. By what were the reigns of Ferdinand the first and second signalized? In what had this war its origin? What was on the one side? On the other?

brated *Maria Theresa*, succeeded to his dominions. Her title, however, was disputed by Charles the Elector of Bavaria; this circumstance gave rise to the contest styled the war of the *Austrian Succession* which was terminated by the peace of Aix-la-Chapelle, in 1748, when the claim of *Maria Theresa* was acknowledged, and her husband, *Francis of Lorraine*, was invested with the imperial dignity. The empress was distinguished for her heroism, eminent talents, and affability. She built various hospitals, and encouraged commerce and science.

11. Maria Theresa was succeeded by her son, *Joseph II.*, in the year 1765. The reign of this prince was signalized by his war with the Turks, during which he died, and was succeeded by his brother, Leopold II., who, after a short reign of two years, left the throne to Francis II. Germany, during the French Revolution, became the theatre of most of the wars carried on at that period. In 1804, Francis caused himself to be proclaimed hereditary emperor of Austria, and two years subsequent to this event, he was compelled by Napoleon, the Emperor of France, to resign the title of Emperor of Germany, and absolve the German states from their allegiance. Thus ended the German Empire, after it had continued from the commencement of the Western Empire, under Charlemagne, a period of one thousand and six years. On the return of Napoleon from *Elba*, Austria joined the fifth coalition against him, and after the second dethronement of the emperor, a new union was formed by the German states, called the *Germanic Confederation*. It was subsequently signed at Vienna, and the several states were reinstated by Austria, in nearly their former possessions.

SWEDEN.

1. The early history of Sweden is obscure and unimportant. Together with Norway, it formed a part of ancient Scandinavia, and was first inhabited by the Cimbri, a race of German origin. In the latter part of the fourteenth century, Sweden, Denmark, and Norway were united into one kingdom by *Margaret* of Sweden, who has been styled the *Semiramis* of the North. During the reign of *Christian II.*, king of Denmark, a revolution took place, by which the Swedes were delivered from the Danish yoke, and *Gustavus Vasa*, a descendant of the ancient kings was raised

10. On the death of Charles VI., who succeeded? By whom was her title succeeded? To what did this give rise? What is said of the empress?—11. By whom was Maria succeeded? By what was his reign signalized? By whom was he succeeded? To whom did Leopold leave the throne? In 1804, what did Francis do? What was he compelled to do by Napoleon? How long had the German Empire lasted? After the second dethronement of the emperor, what was formed?

1. What is said of the early history? In the fourteenth century, what was done? During the reign of Christian, what took place?

to the throne of Sweden. He was an able sovereign, and administered the government with advantage to his subjects.

2. *Gustavus Adolphus*, one of the greatest of the Swedish monarchs, succeeded to the throne in 1611. He was eminent as a statesman, and is ranked among the greatest generals of his age. He was successful in his war against Denmark, Russia, and Poland. In a war with the Imperialists, he defeated them in the battle of *Leipsic* in 1632; and again in that of *Lutzen*, in which he lost his life. He was succeeded by his daughter *Christiana*, who governed Sweden with much prudence and wisdom until the year 1654, when she resigned her crown to her cousin *Charles Gustavus*, left her country, and devoted the remainder of her days in the pursuit of science and literature, first at Paris, and finally at Rome, where she died, having previously embraced the Catholic religion.

3. *Charles XII.* ascended the throne of Sweden in 1697, at the age of fifteen years. Shortly after his accession, he found his kingdom attacked in three different quarters, by Russia, Denmark, and Poland. With a courage and resolution not to be expected from a youth of seventeen, *Charles* successively took the field against these powers, and signally defeated their forces. One of the most memorable victories recorded in history he obtained at *Varna*, where, with only eight thousand men, he defeated the Russian army of eighty thousand, of whom thirty thousand were taken prisoners.

4. Having reduced Courland and Lithuania, he entered Poland and took Warsaw and Cracow. A negotiation having been proposed on the part of Russia, *Charles* abruptly replied that he would treat at Moscow, then the capital of the Russian empire. Accordingly, in the midst of a severe winter he invaded Russia, and advanced with his army as far as *Pultowa*, where he was met by his great rival *Peter the Great*. A tremendous battle ensued, in which the Swedish monarch suffered a most disastrous defeat. After this *Charles* fled with the remainder of his forces into Turkey, where he displayed the conduct of a maniac rather than that of a wise and prudent prince. Being ordered to leave Turkey he refused to comply, and proceeded to fortify his camp. With only three thousand men he defended himself some time against twenty thousand Turks, and only yielded when he was taken by the arm and led forcibly to the tent of the Bashaw.

5. Having at length returned from Turkey, he resolved upon the conquest of Norway. Accordingly, at the head of his army, he invaded that country in the month of October, and with eighteen thousand men laid siege to Frederickshalle. On the 11th of December, as he visited the trenches for the purpose of encouraging and animating his men, he stood with his arm resting upon

2 What is said of Gustavus? Where did he lose his life? By whom was he succeeded? In 1632, what did she do?—3. Who ascended the throne in 1697? After his accession, what did he find? What memorable victory did he obtain?—4. Having reduced Courland, what did he do? When did he invade Russia? Where, and by whom was he met? After this, where did Charles retire? Being ordered to leave Turkey, what did he do?—5. What did he resolve? At what season did he invade the country? On the 11th of December, what did he do?

the parapet, while the enemy poured a shower of balls upon the spot where he stood. In this exposed situation he remained for some time, apparently unconscious of his danger; at length he was struck by a cannon ball in the temple, and fell with a groan against the parapet. While in the act of falling, he grasped with his right hand the hilt of his sword as if to avenge the blow, a fact which forcibly displayed the characteristic of his mind.

6. On the death of Charles, in 1718, Sweden enjoyed comparative repose under the reign of his sister *Ulrica*, and also under that of her husband the prince of Hesse, to whom she resigned her crown. On his death, *Adolphus Frederick* was elected to the throne. His reign was somewhat disturbed by the factions of the senate. In 1771, *Gustavus III.* having succeeded to the throne, deprived the senate of their power and rendered himself absolute; he made, however, a moderate use of his power, and the rest of his reign was passed in tranquillity; he was assassinated while attending a masquerade ball in 1792.

7. *Adolphus* was succeeded by his son *Gustavus IV.*, under the regency of the Duke of Sudermania. After a weak and extravagant reign he was dethroned, and the crown was transferred to the Duke of Sudermania, who assumed the title of *Charles XIII.*, A. D. 1809. But the king having no children, *Bernadotte*, a favorite general of Napoleon, was, through the emperor's influence, declared Crown Prince, and obtained the right of succession, and on the death of Charles quietly succeeded to the throne, A. D. 1818. He is regarded as a wise prince, anxious to promote the happiness of his subjects. Previous to the accession of *Bernadotte*, Norway was taken from Denmark and annexed to Sweden.

DENMARK.

1. The history of Denmark begins to emerge from obscurity during the reign of *Waldemar I.*, who obtained the throne in 1157, after ten years' contest with his competitor. He laid the foundation of the city of *Dantzic* and subdued the Courlanders. In 1387, Queen *Margaret*, a woman of extraordinary ability, ascended the Danish throne. She united Sweden, Norway, and Denmark in one kingdom, and governed them with much prudence and wisdom. In 1448, *Christian*, count of Aldenberg, from whom the present royal family are descended, succeeded to the throne.

2. The government was originally elective, and continued so until the year 1660, during the reign of Frederick III., when, by

In the act of falling, what did he do?—6. On the death of Charles, what is said of Sweden? In 1771, who succeeded? Of what did he deprive the senate? How did he die?—7. To whom was the crown transferred? Through the emperor's influence who was declared Crown Prince, and when did he ascend the throne?

1. When does the history of Denmark begin to emerge from obscurity? In 1387, who ascended the throne? In 1448, who succeeded?—2. What is said of the government?

ple, it was changed into a hereditary and absolute monarchy. During the reign of Frederick IV., Denmark waged a successful war against *Charles XII.* of Sweden, which shortly after the death of that monarch, by the peace of *Stockholm*. During the reign of Christian VI. and Frederick V. the kingdom remained in a peaceful and prosperous condition. Christian VII., a weak and dissolute prince, having ascended the throne in 1766, married *Caroline Matilda*, sister to George III. of England. This unfortunate princess having been accused of harboring hostile designs against the government, in conjunction with counts *Brandt* and *Struensee*, was arrested and sent to the castle of Bronenburg, with her infant daughter. The two unfortunate noblemen were immediately executed; *Matilda*, however, was removed to Zell in Hanover, where she died at the age of twenty-three years.

3. Frederick VI. succeeded his father to the throne in 1808. During the first year of his reign, at a time when the country was at peace, the British fleet under *Lord Cathcart* and *Admiral Gambier*, bombarded the city of Copenhagen under the pretense that information had been received that Denmark intended to throw itself in favor of France. The Danish fleet, consisting of eighteen ships of the line and sixteen frigates, were destroyed by the British.

NETHERLANDS AND HOLLAND.

1. The territories comprising the Netherlands and Holland formerly embraced a great part of ancient Batavia. At an early period of their history they were divided into various small states, and governed by counts or earls. They were united to Germany subsequent to the reign of Charlemagne, but regained their independence in the tenth century. In 1443 they became subject to Burgundy, at which time they began to excite the attention of Europe for their extensive manufactures and commerce. They were again transferred to the house of Austria by the emperor Maximilian.

2. In 1555 they were resigned by *Charles V.* to his son *Philip II.* of Spain, from whom they revolted and established their independence, under the title of the Seven United Provinces of Holland. Spain still retained possession of several smaller provinces until the peace of *Utrecht*, in 1713, when they were ceded to Austria. In 1795 the United Provinces were overrun and conquered by the French, on which occasion the Stadtholder and his

During the reign of Frederick IV., what is said of Denmark? What is said of Christian VII.? What is related of this unfortunate princess?—3. When did Frederick VI. succeed? During his reign what took place?

1. What did these territories formerly comprise? When were they united to the German empire? In 1443, what took place?—2. In 1555, to whom were they resigned? In 1795, what is said of them? Into what were they erected by the congress of Vienna? What is he styled?

family fled for protection to England. After re
time united to the French empire, they were erecting for some
gress of Vienna, into a kingdom, under the governy the con-
Prince of Orange, who is styled the king of the Nether of the
Duke of Luxemburg. ds and

ITALY.

1. After the downfall of the Roman empire of the West, in 476, the Heruli overran Italy and conquered the country. But their kingdom was of short duration. After the short space of thirteen years, *Theodoric*, the king of the Ostrogoths, or Eastern Goths, invaded Italy, defeated and slew *Odoacer*, the king of the Heruli, and usurped his dominions, A. D. 493.

Theodoric, commonly called the Great, fixed his residence at Ravenna; he was an Arian in principle, but tolerated his Catholic subjects; his administration of the government showed him to have been a prince of great abilities. He died after a reign of thirty-three years.

2. Italy was wrested from the power of the Goths about the middle of the sixth century, by Belisarius and Narses, the generals of Justinian, and again annexed to the empire of Constantinople. It next fell into the hands of the Lombards. Alboinus, the Lombard king, invaded Italy, and was proclaimed king of the country about the year 568. Their sovereignty in Italy lasted for about two hundred and six years, under the reigns of twenty-two successive kings.

3. About the year 774, *Desiderous*, or Didier, king of the Lombards, took *Ravenna*, and carried his victorious arms to the walls of Rome. In this emergency, *Pope Stephen* sent to implore assistance from Constantine, the Greek emperor, in whose name the government of Rome was still exercised. But the emperor was at that time too much engaged in religious disputes, to think of sending his troops against the Lombards. In this extremity, the Romans embraced the last resource which was left them, that of calling to their assistance *Pepin*, the monarch of France. Pepin readily accepted the invitation; but before any act of hostility, deputies were sent to Astolphus, the king of the Lombards, to request that he would renounce his ambitious views. The fierce Lombard only answered the deputies with threats and insults.

4. Pepin immediately crossed the Alps and marched his army into Italy, defeated the Lombards, and compelled them to conclude a treaty of peace on terms offered by the conqueror. Scarcely had the French king departed, when the perfidious Lombard

1. When and by whom was Italy conquered? What did Theodoric do? Where did he fix his residence? What was he?—2. By whom was Italy wrested from the Goths? Into whose hands did it next fall? Who invaded the country? How long did their kingdom last?—3. In 774, what took place? What did Pope Stephen? What is said of the emperor? Whom did the Romans now call to their assistance? What was done first?—4. What did Pepin immediately do?

recommenced hostilities, and laid siege to Rome. Pope Stephen had recourse again to his royal protector, and Pepin again crossed the Alps, and obliged Astolphus to accede to a second and more humiliating treaty. The French monarch, before he returned to his own dominions, made a solemn grant of his conquered territories in Italy to Pope Stephen, and his successors in the pontifical chair, thus raising the head of the Christian church to the dignity of a temporal sovereign, A. D. 755. The territories thus bestowed, were called the *Ecclesiastical States*, and have, to the present time, composed the temporal dominion of the popes. This grant was afterwards confirmed by *Charlemagne*, the successor of Pepin, who completely destroyed the Lombard kingdom in Italy, after it had lasted for two hundred and six years, A. D. 774.

5. The principal states into which Italy is divided, are Venice, Florence, Naples, Sicily, the Ecclesiastical States, Parma, and a few others. The republic of *Venice* first grew into notice during the ninth century. The Venetians were for a considerable time the most commercial people of Europe. *Florence* became a republic in the thirteenth century, and maintained its independence for upwards of two hundred years. *Genoa* became a republic in 953, and was long distinguished for its commerce. The little republic of *San Marino* is distinguished for its great antiquity, and for the purity of its republican principles. It is under the immediate protection of the pope, and occupies a tract of only forty square miles, with a population of seven thousand inhabitants; it has retained its independence for more than thirteen hundred years, and so jealous of its liberties, that the laws require the magistrates to be semi-annually elected.

6. During the pontificate of *Gregory VII.*, a serious altercation took place between the pope and *Henry IV.*, emperor of Germany. It seems that it had been the custom in various countries, for the emperors to put the newly elected bishops and abbots in possession of their benefices, by giving them the ring and the crosier, the symbols of their pastoral authority. But as this ceremony, called *investiture*, seemed to apply the conferring of spiritual jurisdiction by temporal princes, it was considered as an encroachment on the rights of the church. The emperor, Henry, however, besides exercising this privilege, carried on a shameful traffic in ecclesiastical dignities, bestowing them not on the most worthy, but on those who offered him the largest sums of money. Against these abuses, *Pope Gregory* loudly declaimed; but his entreaties and expostulations were disregarded. Henry, instead of reforming his conduct, convened an assembly at Worms, in which, with the aid of a body of schismatical associates, he presumed to pass sentence of deposition against the pontiff, A. D. 1076.

After his departure, what was done by the Lombards? What did Pepin again do? Before his return, what grant did he make? What are these territories called? What was done by Charlemagne?—5. What are the principal states of Italy? What is said of the Venetians? Of Florence? Of Genoa? For what is San Marino distinguished? Under whose protection? How long has it maintained its independence? 6. During the pontificate of Gregory II what took place? What had been a custom in various countries? What was this ceremony called? What was done at the assembly at Worms?

7. Upon receiving intelligence of this outrageous act, *Gregory* proceeded to put into execution the fullest extent of his power With the advice of a numerous council, taking into consideration the obduracy of Henry, and the repeated complaints of his oppressed subjects, he excommunicated him, and pronounced the monarch fallen from his royal dignity; and at the same time declared the Germans no longer bound by their former oath of allegiance to him. Singular as this power may appear, which *Gregory* exercised on that occasion, still it was admitted by his contemporaries, that such power lay within the sphere of the papal jurisdiction, and it was supported by the civil and common jurisprudence of that period. This doctrine, although hostile to the independence of sovereigns, was often supported by the sovereigns themselves. Thus, when *Richard I.* of England was detained a prisoner in Germany, his mother *Eleanor* repeatedly solicited the pontiff to procure his liberation by the exercise of that authority which he possessed over temporal princes. Again *John*, the successor of *Richard*, invoked the aid of the same authority to recover Normandy from the French king. At what particular period the popes began to exercise this power, does not appear; nor is it exactly certain what the particular circumstances were which gave rise to it.

8. At first they only exercised their spiritual censures; but in an age when all ideas of justice were modelled after the feudal jurisprudence, it was soon admitted that princes, by their disobedience to the spiritual power of the church, had violated the oath of their coronation, and become traitors to God; and as such they had forfeited their kingdoms; and in the case of Henry IV., we hear the Germans expressly declare that they had sworn fealty to him on condition that he should reign for the edification, and not for the destruction of the church, and were he to infringe this duty, they would think themselves no longer bound by their oath of allegiance to him. *(See Schlegel, Philos. II., page* 137.*)*

To pronounce the sentence by which they were freed from the allegiance, was thought to belong exclusively to the sovereign pontiff, who was regarded as the head of the church.

9. At the news of the sentence pronounced by *Pope Gregory VII.*, the princes of Germany assembled in order to appoint another emperor in the place of Henry. The distressed monarch seeing that there was no other way left of averting the storm that he had occasioned, than by becoming reconciled to the see of Rome, departed for Italy, determined to effect his reconciliation on any terms. Gregory had left Rome, and advanced as far as *Canosse*, on his way to Germany; here Henry met him in a penitential garb, presented himself at the gates of the castle, and humbly begged to be admitted into the presence of the pontiff,

7. Upon receiving the intelligence, to what did Gregory proceed? With the advice of a numerous council, what did he do? What is said of this power? Of this doctrine? Give some instances in which it was supported by sovereigns themselves. What does not appear?—8. In an age, &c., what was soon admitted? In the case of Henry IV what do we hear? To pronounce this sentence, belonged to whom?—9. What did German princes do? What is said of the distressed monarch? How did Henry meet Gregory?

acknowledging his guilt, and expressing his readiness to make all the satisfaction in his power. *Gregory*, who had repeatedly experienced the insincerity of the emperor, kept him by way of trial, in suspense, for three days; on the fourth day he gave him an audience, received his submission, and absolved him on certain conditions.

10. The repentance of *Henry* was of short duration. Having assembled a numerous army, he refused to comply with the terms to which he had subscribed, and resumed his former course of violence against the church and state. At length the German princes, disgusted with the perfidious conduct of their sovereign, proceeded to the election of another; the choice fell upon *Rudolph*, Duke of Suabia. A civil war ensued, which terminated in the death of Rudolph, who perished in a battle near Mersburg, leaving *Henry* master of the empire. Elated by this success, the conqueror marched into Italy, and having taken Rome, he entered the Lateran palace, and caused the excommunicated bishop of Ravenna to be declared pope, under the title of *Clement III.* In the mean time, Gregory had retired to the strong castle of St. Angelo, where he remained until the arrival of *Robert Guiscard*, the leader of the Normans, who obliged *Henry* to retire with his antipope. The lawful pontiff was thus left master of the city; but as party violence rendered it unsafe or unpleasant for him to remain there, he removed to *Mount Cassino*, and finally to *Salerno*, where he was taken dangerously ill. A few moments before his death, he uttered these words: "I have loved justice, and have hated iniquity: wherefore I die in a strange land;" after this he calmly expired, on the twenty-fifth of May, A. D. 1085.

11. In 1311, Henry VII., Emperor of Germany, invaded Italy, and caused himself to be crowned king of *Lombardy*, at Milan. Italy was at this period in a state of anarchy, divided by two contending factions, which took their origin during the civil war in Germany; the one in favor of the emperor, styled the *Ghibellines*, the other his opponents, called the *Guelphs*. During the contest, the pope, finding his situation at Rome unpleasant, removed the seat of his power to Avignon, in France. In 1377, the Holy See was again removed back to Rome by Pope Gregory IX. After his death, the citizens of Avignon and Rome contended for the freedom of election. This gave rise to that celebrated contest for the pontifical chair called the *Great Schism* of the West; the affair was finally settled by the Council of Constans, and tranquillity restored by the election of Martin Colonna.

12. The illustrious family of the Medici, at this period, attained to a high degree of eminence in Florence, under *Cosmo de Medici*, who received the title of Grand Duke of Tuscany. The power

How long was he kept on trial?—10. What was the repentance of Henry? Having assembled his army, what did he do? Whom did the German princes choose in his place? What ensued? What was the fate of Rudolph? What did Henry now do? Where did Gregory retire? Where did he remove? What words did he utter before his death?—11. In 1311 what took place? What was the state of Italy at this period? During the contest, where did the popes remove? In 1377, what happened? To what did this give rise?—12. What is said of the family of the Medici? What does their power form?

of the Medici, which continued upwards of a century, forms a brilliant era in literature and the liberal arts; and the republic enjoyed a high degree of splendor during that period. Cosmo himself was a liberal patron of science, and employed his immense wealth in erecting works of taste and literary institutions. His dwelling at Florence exceeded in magnificence any palace in Europe; yet he was plain and unassuming in his private character. Cosmo II., who succeeded to the head of the government in 1537, encouraged the fine arts, and is said to have been the most magnificent patron of genius since the days of Augustus.

13. The subsequent history of Italy affords few events of importance until the period of the French revolution. The Italian states shared largely of the convulsions caused by the event. The French overran the Ecclesiastical States, took the city of Rome, and dragged the aged pontiff, *Pius VI.*, captive into France, where he died, in 1799. The kings of Naples and Sardinia were likewise driven from their dominions. In 1809, Napoleon solicited the pope to close his harbors against British commerce, and become a party in the war against Russia. To these measures Pius VII. returned a positive refusal, saying that "being the father of all Christian nations, he could not, consistently with that character, become the enemy of any one."

14. The emperor, highly incensed at this courageous reply, issued a decree at Vienna, declaring the *Ecclesiastical State* annexed to his empire. The venerable pontiff was immediately sent into captivity and exile, having previously pronounced the sentence of excommunication against the emperor. The pope remained in captivity for about five years, until after the first dethronement of Napoleon, in 1814, when he again returned to Rome.

TURKEY.

The Turks derive their origin from the Huns, who inhabited Grand Tartary, in Asia. At the commencement of the eighth century, we find them issuing from their obscure retreat, and settling in Pannonia and Asia-Minor. At first their dominions were divided into various small states, and governed by persons called *Emirs*. Towards the close of the twelfth century, Othman or Ottoman, who assumed the title of Sultan, succeeded in uniting them in one monarchy, and established the seat of his government at *Prusa*, in Bithynia.

What was Cosmo? What is said of his dwelling? What is said of Cosmo II.?—13. What did the French do? In 1809, what did Napoleon do? What was the answer of Pius VII.?—14. What decree did the emperor issue? What was the fate of the pontiff? When did he return from captivity?

1 From whom do the Turks derive their origin? In the eighth century, what do we find? Towards the close of the twelfth century, what took place?

2. Previous to this period, the Turks or Ottomans, (so called from the name of the founder of their monarchy,) had embraced the religion of Mahomet, which they retain to the present time. During the reign of this monarch, they extended their dominions to the borders of the Greek empire; and during the reign of his successor they crossed the Hellespont on rafts, took Gallipoli, entered Thrace, and thus laid the foundation of their empire in Europe. *Bajazet I.* had formed the project of invading Greece and reducing its capital, Constantinople, but was obliged to defer the execution of his design in order to defend himself against the encroachments of the celebrated *Tamerlane*, king of the Usbec Tartars, who had invaded his dominions. The two mighty chieftains met at *Angora*, where was fought one of the most sanguinary battles recorded in history. The united combatants amounted to nearly a million of men, of whom three hundred thousand were left dead upon the field. Bajazet fell into the hands of the conqueror, and shortly afterwards destroyed himself in despair.

3. The reign of Amurath II. was distinguished by his unsuccessful attempt to render himself master of the Greek capital, and his war with Poland. He was succeeded by his son Mahomet II., surnamed the Great, who immediately undertook the favorite object of his predecessor, namely, the reduction of the capital of the Eastern Empire. After some short delay in making the necessary preparations, he assailed the city of Constantinople both by sea and land. The indolent inhabitants, deeming themselves secure beneath the shelter of those walls, which for ages had bid defiance to every assault, made but a feeble preparation for their defense. Constantine, the last of the Greek emperors, alone seemed conscious of the impending danger, and began to prepare, with prudence and vigor, for the contest that was to decide the fate of his empire.

4. He continued night and day with his troops, to animate them by his presence, and to encourage them by his example. On the other hand, Mahomet, by the promise of increased pay and the spoils of the city in case of victory, stimulated his soldiers to redoubled energy. Both ancient and modern artillery were brought to bear during this memorable siege. The impregnable walls of Constantinople at length yielded to the combined force of the battering-ram and the cannon. On the 29th of May the city was taken by an assault and delivered up to the plunder of the victors. Constantine fell gloriously defending his country and his throne, and was afterwards found buried amidst the heaps of the slain.

5. The great church of St. Sophia was immediately converted into a mosque, and the Turkish crescent elevated upon the dome

2 Previous to this period what had the Turks embraced? What did they do during the reign of this monarch and his successor? What project had Bajazet I formed? Where did the two chieftains meet? What was the number of the combatants and the number slain?—3. For what was the reign of Amurath II. distinguished? By whom was he succeeded? What did he do? What is said of the inhabitants? Of Constantine?—4. What did he do? How did Mahomet stimulate his soldiers? On the 29th of May what took place? What was the fate of Constantine?—5. Into what was the church of St. Sophia converted?

where the cross had for ages reigned. A crier proclaimed a public invitation to prayer in the name of God and his prophet, and Mahomet II. knelt at the altar, where only a few days before the ill-fated Constantine, the last of the Cæsars, had received the Christian sacrament.

6. On the fall of Constantinople, *Mahomet* carried his victorious arms over all Greece and Epirus. But death put an end to his career of conquest in 1481. His successor, *Bajazet II.*, after carrying on various wars against the Saracens, Venetians, Hungarians, and others, was compelled to abdicate his crown to his ungrateful son, by whose order he was basely murdered. During the reign of Selim I., Syria and Egypt were conquered. His successor, Solyman I., surnamed the Magnificent, was the most illustrious of all the Turkish sovereigns; he took the island of Rhodes from the knights of *St. John*, laid siege to Vienna, reduced Bagdad, established his dominion over the whole of Assyria and Mesopotamia, and passed many excellent laws.

7. The reigns of his successors, Selim II. and Amurath III., were not marked by any transaction of importance. Mahomet III. commenced his reign by a display of unparalleled barbarity; he caused nineteen of his brothers to be strangled, and ten of his father's wives to be drowned. The empire, however, continued to flourish except in the naval department. Othman II. invaded Poland, where he suffered a most signal defeat, with the loss of eighty thousand men, and ended his life by assassination. *Amurath IV.* took Bagdad, and caused thirty thousand Persians to be slaughtered; during the reign of Mahomet IV. Candia fell under the Ottoman power after sustaining fifty-six assaults, in which the Turks lost one hundred and eighteen thousand men. Mahomet afterwards besieged Vienna with an army of two hundred thousand, from which he was compelled to retire in disgrace, through the intrepidity of *John Sobieski*, king of Poland.

8. The small but enterprising and martial republic of Venice, for one hundred and fifty years checked the Ottoman power. Since the reign of *Achmet III.*, who was deposed in 1730, the Turkish power has been on the decline. The reign of Mustapha III was distinguished by a ruinous war with Russia, which continued, with but little intermission, until the reign of Selim III., in 1792, when it was terminated by making important concessions to the Russian empire. During the reign of Selim, Buonaparte invaded Egypt, and took possession of Cairo and all the Delta. The year 1821 was distinguished for the commencement of the Greek revolution, which finally resulted in the emancipation of Greece from the power of Turkey.

What did the public crier do?—6. On the fall of Constantinople, what did Mahomet do? What was done by his successor? What is said of Solyman I.? What did he take?—7. How did Mahomet III. commence his reign? What did Othman invade? How did he die? What did Amurath IV take? During the reign of Mahomet IV. what was done?—8. What is said of the republic of Venice? For what was the reign of Mustapha III. distinguished? During the reign of Selim, who invaded Egypt? In 1821, what took place?

MODERN GREECE.

1. In our view of Ancient Greece, we pursued its history to its final conquest and subjugation by the Roman arms. The subsequent history of this country, until the building of Constantinople, and the great division of the Roman empire by the transfer of the seat of government from Rome to that city, presents but few events of importance. After the death of *Theodocius* the Great, the last sovereign who presided over both divisions of the empire, his son *Achadius* reigned in the East, and therefore may be regarded as the first of the Greek emperors.

2. He was a weak and indolent prince, controlled in every transaction by his wife *Eudoxia*, a haughty and imperious woman; his whole reign presents scarcely a single action worthy of the son of the illustrious *Theodocius*. He was succeeded by his son *Theodocius* the Younger, whose reign was short, and, like that of his father, was unimportant. The emperors who succeeded Theodocius, until the reign of *Justinian*, have left behind them no transactions that deserve a notice in this short compendium.

3. *Justinian* had been associated in the empire with *Justin I.*, and succeeded to the sole command on the death of that emperor, in the year 527. *Justinian* displayed his greatest wisdom in the choice he made of his ministers; and his reign is conspicuous, not for any memorable transaction of his own, but chiefly for the military operations of his generals. The great and illustrious Belisarius, one of the most distinguished of his generals, defeated the Persians in three sanguinary battles; destroyed the kingdom of the Vandals in Africa, and led their sovereign captive to Constantinople; wrested Italy from the hand of the Gothic princes and restored it for a short period to the dominions of *Justinian*.

4. The Goths a second time overran Italy, and again *Belisarius* was sent against them. But being left without the means necessary for conducting the war, that illustrious general was doomed to see his former prosperity decline, and himself treated with neglect by the man whose empire he had so repeatedly and so successfully defended against its foreign and domestic enemies. He was superseded in the command by *Narses*, who had the honor of terminating the war in Italy. He defeated *Totila* in a decisive engagement on the plains of Lentagio, in which the Gothic king was slain, and governed Italy under the title of duke for thirteen years.

5. While victory crowned the arms of *Justinian* in the West, the ravages of war threatened the destruction of his empire in the East. *Chosroes* the *Great*, king of Persia, for several years

1. In the review of ancient Greece, how far did we pursue its history? What is said of the subsequent history? Who is regarded as the first of the Greek emperors? —2. What was he? By whom was he succeeded?—3. In what did Justinian display his greatest wisdom? What did Belisarius do?—4. What is said of the Goths? What was the general doomed to see? By whom was he superseded? Whom did Narses defeat? —5. What is said of Chosroes the Great?

spread devastation over the rich and fertile provinces of Mesopotamia and Syria, frequently routing the army of the emperor with immense loss Scarcely was peace concluded with this formidable enemy, than the *Huns*, a furious and warlike race, made an irruption into Thrace, and even threatened the capital itself. Once more the empire was saved through the valor of *Belisarius*. Though far advanced in years, and scarcely able to wield his sword, he marched against the barbarians, and compelled them to retire. Unfortunately for this great man, he lived under the reign of a prince who was unable to appreciate his merits. In return for his many services rendered to the empire, the ungrateful *Justinian*, on mere suspicion of his being privy to a late conspiracy, stripped him of all his honors, caused him to be arrested and cast into prison, where he languished for several months. [*See his life in* BIOGRAPHY.]

6. Justinian died in the eighty-fourth year of his age, and in the thirty-ninth of his reign, A. D. 565. It was not, however, the military operations of this prince that render his reign so distinguished. The famous body of laws prepared under his direction, by the learned *Tribonian*, known by the name of the *Justinian Code*, have reflected a brighter lustre on his name, and have conferred greater benefits on posterity than all the military achievements of his generals. From this code the different states of Europe have derived the greater portion of the laws that make up their respective codes. Previous to the time of Justinian, to become acquainted with the ancient jurisprudence, it was necessary to peruse near two thousand volumes, a task which would take the longest lifetime to perform. The Justinian code was comprised in about fifty books, to which were added four others, called the *Institutes*, containing the fundamental principles of all legislation.

7. *Justinian* was succeeded in the empire by his nephew *Justin II.* Though a prince of much virtue, Justin was a man of weak intellect, and wholly governed by his consort Sophia, whose arrogant conduct brought new disasters on the empire. Against Narses, who still governed in Italy, the empress had long harbored a deadly hatred; and now believing herself in an elevation from which she could fearlessly wreak her vengeance, she sent him an insolent order to quit Italy and return to Constantinople. *Narses*, who inherited much of the military abilities of *Belisarius*, but wanted the patriotism and virtuous forbearance of that illustrious general, wrote to *Alboinus*, the king of the Lombards, and invited him to Italy to avenge the insult he had received. But scarcely had he consented to this hasty step, than he repented of an act which tended to dishonor a life otherwise distinguished by so many brilliant achievements. But his repentance came too late to prevent the evil; the Lombards had already set out for

Of the Huns? How was the empire saved? In return what did he receive?—6. When did Justinian die? What has his body of laws reflected? From this code, what has been derived? Previous to this time, what was necessary?—7. By whom was Justinian succeeded? What was he? By whom was he governed? What is related of Narses? What did he do?

Italy, and having crossed the Alps, they subdued that part of the country called from them *Lombardy*, and made Pavia the seat of their kingdom. A.D. 569

8. The Persians under *Chosroes*, again laid waste the eastern provinces of the empire. At the intelligence of these disasters, Justin was thrown into a deep melancholy, which gradually degenerated into a partial insanity. Perceiving that he was unable to direct the affairs of government alone, he had the prudence to associate with himself a colleague in the empire. The choice fell upon *Tiberius*, a man in every respect qualified for the important station. The honor of the empire was soon retrieved; *Chosroes* was driven to the extremity of Persia, where, being unable to survive his defeat, he died of grief and despair, after a reign of forty-eight years.

9. The words of *Justin* upon the introduction of *Tiberius* to the empire, are worthy of record: "Love the people as yourself, cultivate the affection and maintain the discipline of the army; protect the fortunes of the rich, and relieve the necessities of the poor." *Tiberius*, during his short reign, which lasted four years after the death of *Justin*, was never known to depart from this excellent advice; and on his death-bed he nominated *Maurice*, who had proved himself an able general, as his successor to the throne.

10. The reign of this prince was turbulent, and his end tragical. He possessed many virtues, and on some occasions displayed a considerable degree of prudence and courage, but avarice is said to have been his greatest fault. During one of his campaigns, twelve thousand of his troops fell into the hands of the enemy; *Maurice* refused to redeem them, although but a small sum was asked for their ransom; this refusal so enraged the barbarians, that they put them all to the sword. While this conduct excited against him the loudest complaints, he had the imprudence to order his troops to take up their quarters in the enemy's country, and to subsist there during the winter by plunder. The soldiers, exasperated at this command, revolted, and having proclaimed *Phocas* emperor, advanced towards Constantinople.

11. At the news of this event, *Maurice* endeavored to make his escape with his family; but at Chalcedon he fell into the hands of his pursuers, and his five sons were barbarously put to death in the presence of their unhappy father, who, in the bitterness of his affliction, repeated these words of the royal prophet: "*Thou art just, O Lord, and thy judgment is right.*" When the nurse endeavored to conceal the royal infant, and offered her own child to the executioner, *Maurice* refused to allow the deception. The tragical scene was ended by the execution of the emperor himself, who fell with his unfortunate children.

8. What did the Persians do? What happened Justin? What had he the prudence to do? On whom did the choice fall?—9. What were the words of Justin to Tiberius? What is said of Tiberius?—10. What is said of the reign of this prince? What happened during one campaign? What did he refuse? What did he order? What did the soldiers do?—11. At this news, what did Maurice do? At Chalcedon, what is related of him? What did he repeat? What is said of the nurse?

spread de- *hocas* did not long enjoy his ill-gotten crown. While he tamia, a- ined shut up in his capital, *Chosroes II.*, king of the Persians, crossed the boundary of empire, and spread desolation over some of the most fertile districts of Mesopotamia and Syria. The senate of Constantinople, seeing nothing done for the defense of the empire, invited Heraclius, the governor of Africa, to their assistance, offering him the purple as the reward of his services. *Heraclius* having declined the honor on account of his advanced age, the offer was eagerly embraced by his son, of the same name, who immediately embarking with a select body of troops, arrived before the walls of Constantinople, almost before the usurper had any intimation of his approach. *Phocas*, deserted by his friends, was instantly seized and beheaded; while at the same time *Heraclius* was proclaimed emperor. A. D. 610.

13. The reign of this emperor was almost one unbroken series of war and victory over his formidable neighbors, the Persians, whom he defeated in six successive campaigns, and at length obliged them to sue for peace. During his reign, which lasted for upwards of thirty years, he continued to defend and preserve the dignity of the empire. The several emperors who succeeded *Heraclius*, have left little of importance to distinguish their reigns. The reign of *Constantine Pagonatus* is conspicuous for the memorable siege which Constantinople sustained against the Saracens, who for several successive years presented themselves before the walls of the city, but were as often vigorously repulsed, and at last obliged to abandon the enterprise. One of the most destructive agents used by the Greeks during this age, was the *Grecian Fire*, which had the peculiar property of burning in water, and could only be extinguished by sand, wine, or vinegar. It was invented by a Syrian named *Callinicus*, and employed with great effect by the Greeks in their different wars for several centuries, before the secret of its composition was discovered by the neighboring nations.

14. *Justinian II.*, who succeeded Constantine, was distinguished for his cruelty; he was at length banished from the empire, but having regained the throne by the assistance of the Bulgarians, he exercised the most fearful vengeance on his enemies; his reign, however, was cut short by assassination. In the short space of six years, the scepter passed through the hands of three successive emperors. In 717, *Leo*, surnamed the *Isaurian*, succeeded in wresting it from the hand of the weak *Theodocius III.*

15. The reign of this monarch is more conspicuous for the hostility he manifested towards the use of sacred images in the churches, than for any important service he rendered to the empire. *Leo*, unable to comprehend that the veneration of images is only an inferior honor paid to the persons whom they represent, published a violent edict against the use of them in the churches.

12. What is said of Phocas? What did the Senate do? By whom was the offer embraced? What was the end of Phocas?—13. What was the reign of this emperor? For what is the reign of Constantine Pagonatus conspicuous? What was one of the most destructive agents? What is said of it?—14. What is said of Justinian II.? What took place?—15. For what is his reign memorable? What is said of Leo?

In consequence of this order, the pictures and images of Christ, and of the saints, were removed, not, however, without causing loud complaints and much disturbance. On one occasion, Leo ordered the execution of twelve librarians, whom he was unable to gain over to his party, and caused the destruction of the public library of Constantinople. The persecution was carried on under his successors, *Constantine* and *Leo IV.*, until the affair was finally settled by the seventh *General Council*, held at Nice, which solemnly decided that the relative honor paid to images was in accordance with Scripture and the early practice of the church, and free from the charge of idolatry and superstition.

16. During the minority of Constantine V., the empress *Irene*, his mother, was appointed regent. She was a woman distinguished alike for her great abilities and cruelty. By her order, her son was deposed, and murdered in a barbarous manner. After this she governed alone for five years; but an insurrection being excited against her, she was in her turn deposed, and *Neciphorus*, the great treasurer, proclaimed emperor. The unfortunate *Irene* was banished to the Isle of Lesbos, where, it is said, she was obliged to gain a scanty subsistence by the labor of her hands, a melancholy example of blasted ambition.

17. *Neciphorus* did not long enjoy the honor to which he was raised; having undertaken an expedition against the Bulgarians, he was defeated and slain in battle. During the short reigns of *Stauracius* and *Michael*, the Bulgarians in their turn invaded the empire, and carried their devastations so far as even to threaten the capital itself. Such was the situation of things, when *Leo* the *Armenian*, ascended the throne. Leo being a man of great military abilities, immediately marched against the Bulgarians, over whom he gained several decisive victories, and after a prosperous reign of seven years, he was assassinated by the partisans of *Michael*, the commander of the guards, who was raised from the prison, (where he had been confined on a charge of rebellion,) to the throne. During the reign of this weak and profligate prince, the empire suffered the loss of the islands of Crete and Sicily, which were conquered by the Mussulmen; and during the reign of Theophilus, his successor, the eastern Saracens took and destroyed the flourishing city of Amorium, in Asia Minor, and led thirty thousand of its inhabitants as captives into Persia.

18. *Theophilus* was succeeded by his son, Michael III., a man of the most dissolute character and abandoned habits. It was during the reign of this emperor, that the first separation of the Greek and Latin church took place. [*See* CHRISTIAN CHURCH.] After a reign of twenty-five years, he was assassinated in a state of intoxication, and *Basil the Macedonian*, his colleague, a man of humble birth, was acknowledged as sole emperor, A. D. 867.

What was the consequence of this order? On one occasion, what did he order? When was the affair settled? What did it decide?—16. During the minority of Constantine, who was appointed regent? What was done by her order? What was excited against her? What was her fate?—17. What is said of Neciphorus? Against whom did Leo march? What was his end? What is said of the empire during the reign of this prince?—18. By whom was Theophilus succeeded? What took place during his reign? What was his end? Who succeeded?

Through the vigilance and activity of Basil, the disorders of the preceding reign were soon removed, and peace restored to every department of the state. He waged successful war against the Saracens, and after a successful reign of nineteen years, he died from an accident which he received in the chase, A. D. 886.

19. Under the succeeding emperors, until the reign of *Neciphorus Phocas*, who ascended the throne in 963, the Greek empire had greatly fallen from its former splendor. *Neciphorus*, by his great military talents, for a short period, supported its declining glory. Having recovered the island of Crete, he next invaded Asia, and wrested from the Saracens several towns and provinces, which he united to the empire. By his avarice and exactions, he at length alienated the affection of his subjects; a conspiracy having been formed against him, he was assassinated. and *Joan Zemisces*, one of the chief conspirators, was raised to the throne.

20. This emperor, although he wore the crown of his murdered sovereign, directed the affairs of government with an able hand. He effectually repelled the irruption of the Russians, whom he defeated in several sanguinary battles, and finally compelled them to retire to their own dominions. He was equally successful in his war against the Saracens, who, availing themselves of his absence, had conquered several places in Asia. His prosperous career, however, was cut short by the treachery of his chief minister, who caused him to be poisoned, in the sixth year of his reign.

21. After his death, *Basil II.* and *Constantine VIII.*, reigned jointly together, but the exercise of the supreme authority was left entirely to the former. He was possessed of a military and enterprising spirit; he reduced Bulgaria, and considerably extended the limits of the empire in the East. He was preparing for an expedition against the Saracens of Sicily, when he died, in the sixty-eighth year of his age, and fifty-first of his reign, A. D. 1025. During the fifty succeeding years, fifteen different emperors filled the throne in succession, but their reigns are not distinguished for any remarkable transaction. At the time of the Crusades, Constantinople was taken by the French and Venetians, and held by them for a period of near sixty years, during which time the Greek emperors reigned at Nice. In 1261, in the reign of *Michael Palæologus*, Constantinople was again recovered from the conquerors.

22. In the year 1453, during the reign of *Constantine Palæologus*, Constantinople was besieged and taken by the Turks under Mahomet II. [*See* TURKEY.] Since that eventful period until within a few years, the unhappy Greeks groaned under the weight of Turkish despotism. In 1820, animated by a spirit

When did he die?—19. What is said of *Neciphorus*? What was his fate? Who succeeded?—20. What is said of this emperor? What was his end?—21. After his death, who reigned jointly? Who exercised the supreme authority? What did he reduce? When did he die? At the time of the Crusades, what happened? In 1261 what took place?—22. In 1453, what took place?

of freedom, they commenced an open revolt; and after the war had continued for several years, several of the European powers interposed in their behalf. On the 20th of October 1828, the combined fleets of England, France, and Russia, completely destroyed the Turkish naval force in the battle of Navarino, an event which secured the independence of Greece. The government chosen for the country by the great European powers is a limited monarchy, and the present sovereign is *Otho*, the son of Louis Charles of Bavaria, who bears the title of King of Greece.

AMERICA.

SECTION I.

The Discovery of the Country; the Conquest of Mexico and Peru; the Manners and Customs of the Indians.

1. For several years previous to the discovery of America, the attention of the Portuguese was directed towards finding a passage to the East Indies, by doubling the southern extremity of Africa, and then taking an easterly course, and it was the pursuit of this favorite object that led to the important discovery that followed. The honor of accomplishing an exploit so sublime as that of the discovery of the Western continent, belongs to Christopher Columbus, a native of Genoa. This illustrious man was well qualified by nature and education for this arduous undertaking. At the age of fourteen he engaged in a seafaring life; he was well versed in geometry, astronomy, geography, and naval science; calm, persevering, and patient under the most trying circumstances; dignified in his deportment; at all times perfectly master of himself, and capable of eliciting the esteem, and commanding the obedience of all under his direction.

2. The spherical figure of the earth was now generally admitted, and its magnitude was estimated with some degree of accuracy. Columbus, reasoning from these facts, and from the observations of modern navigators, arrived at this very just conclusion, that Europe, Asia, and Africa formed but a small portion of the terraqueous globe, and that to complete the balance, another continent must necessarily exist, which he supposed to be united to Asia, and might be reached by sailing west from Europe. Pieces of wood artificially carved, and reeds driven by a westerly wind, had

Since that period, what is said? In 1820, what took place? And on the 20th of October, 1828? What is the government chosen for the country? Who is the present king?

1. For several years, to what was the attention of the Portuguese directed? To whom does the honor, &c., belong? What is said of this illustrious man? Give his character?—2. What was now admitted? At what conclusion did Columbus arrive? What did he suppose? What had been taken up?

been taken up in the ocean, west of the Madeira Islands; trees, and on one occasion, the bodies of two men of strange features, were driven upon the Azores.

3. Convinced of the truth of his theory, *Columbus* was impatient to test it by experiment. Anxious that his native country should participate in the honor that might arise from so noble an enterprise, he first solicited the patronage of the senate of Genoa; but, to his great mortification, they treated his theory as a visionary project. He next applied for assistance to the Portuguese, but was again refused. He then despatched his brother *Bartholomew* to the court of England, to solicit the patronage of *Henry VII.*; but *Bartholomew* having been captured by pirates, did not reach England for several years.

4. In the mean time, *Columbus* laid his plans before *Ferdinand* and *Isabella*, the sovereigns of Spain, who gave him a favorable hearing. At length after years of patient solicitation, and after surmounting difficulties under which any other than *Columbus* would have sunk in despair, he obtained a gleam of hope from the royal favor of *Isabella*. The queen, fired with the glory that must follow from the accomplishment of so grand an enterprise, and anxious to spread the Christian religion, resolved to lend her assistance to *Columbus*. But owing to the low state of her finance, in consequence of the long war with the *Moors*, who had just been expelled from Spain, she offered to pledge her jewels in order to complete the preparations necessary for the voyage; from this embarrassment, however, she was relieved by the liberality of two Spanish noblemen, who advanced the necessary sum from their own private means.

5. On the 17th of April, more than seven years from the time of his first application, an armament was fitted out, consisting of three small vessels, called the *Santa Maria*, the *Pinta*, and *Nigna*, carrying ninety men, with provisions for only twelve months. *Columbus*, previous to entering on the voyage, was appointed admiral of all the seas he should explore, and governor of all the islands he should subdue.

6. On the 3d of August, in the year 1492, Columbus set sail from the port of Palos in Spain; and after touching at the Canary islands, where he refitted his vessels, he proceeded on his voyage, taking a westerly direction into seas unknown and unexplored, without a chart to guide his course. By the 14th of September, the fleet stood two hundred leagues west from the Canaries. Here it was observed that the magnetic needle in the compass did not point directly to the north star, but varied towards the west. The phenomenon, which had never been observed before, excited alarm and terror in the breasts of the Spanish sailors. They were then in a vast and trackless ocean, nature itself seemed to change and their only guide was about to fail.

What on one occasion?—3. Why did he solicit the patronage of Genoa? Where did he next apply? Where did he send his brother?—4. In the mean time what did Columbus do? At length what did he obtain? What is said of the queen? By whom was she relieved?—5. On the 17th of April, what was done? What were the names of the vessels?—6. When did Columbus set sail? By the 14th of September, where was the fleet? Here what was observed? What is said of this phenomenon?

7. *Columbus*, with his usual presence of mind, gave a solution for this phenomenon, which served to dispel the fears and silence the murmurs of his companions, although it was not satisfactory to himself. Having proceeded on his voyage for thirty days more without any prospect of land, the spirit of mutiny began again to manifest itself among the sailors, who now agreed among themseives to compel *Columbus*, by force, to return, while the vessels were in a condition to keep at sea. Never was there a more trying moment for *Columbus*. To exercise coersive measures on such an occasion, would be a dangerous expedient; to reason with men in whose breasts fear had extinguished every generous sentiment was useless, to return would blast for ever his highest expectations. Therefore, as the last expedient, he had recourse to exhortations and encouragement, and so far yielded to their importunities, as to propose to abandon the expedition and return to Spain, if land should not be discovered within the short space of three days.

8. For some days previous to this, the sounding line had reached the bottom, the flocks of birds increased, the air was more mild and warm. From all these symptoms, *Columbus* concluded that land was at no great distance; and on the evening of the 11th of October, he ordered the sails to be furled and a watch to be set. While standing on the forecastle, he beheld a light carried from place to place; and shortly after midnight the joyful sound of *land* was heard from the crew of the *Pinta*. From this moment until the return of day realized their expectations, no eye was closed; all on board were in the deepest suspense and sleepless expectation. But as the morning dawned, their doubts were expelled, a beautiful island presented itself to their view.

9. The crew of the *Pinta* immediately broke forth in a hymn of thanksgiving to God, in which they were joined by those of the other vessels, in tears of joy and congratulation. This office of gratitude to Heaven was next followed by an act of retribution to their commander; they threw themselves at his feet with the humblest acknowledgments of their rashness and disobedience, and besought his forgiveness. As the sun arose, the boats were manned and rowed towards the shore, with the Spanish colors floating to the breeze, while at the same time martial music rent the air. Columbus richly attired and with a drawn sword in his hand, was the first European who set his foot upon the New World. His men immediately followed, and falling upon their knees, with tears of joy they kissed the ground which they had long desired to behold. They next erected a crucifix, and prostrating themselves before it they returned solemn thanks to God, whose benign hand had guided them safe through a thousand dangers, and conducted their voyage to so happy an issue. They then took solemn

7. What did Columbus do? What again manifested itself? What did they agree? What did he propose, &c.?—8. For some days previous what had been observed? From these what did Columbus conclude and order? What was heard at midnight? From this moment what is said?—What did the crew of the Pinta do? By what was this office, &c. followed? As the sun arose, what was done? Who was the first to land on the New World? What did his men do? What did they erect? What followed?

possession of the country in the name of the sovereigns of Castile and Leon.

10. On the landing of the Spaniards, they found the coast covered with a race of people differing from any that they had ever seen before. They were of a dark copper color, without clothing or beards, and their hair flowing loosely upon their shoulders. The natives were equally surprised at the appearance of the Spaniards, whom they considered as the children of the sun, their idol; they regarded the ships as a species of animals, with eyes of lightning and voices of thunder.

11. The first land discovered by *Columbus* was one of the Bahama islands, called by him *St. Salvador;* it is also distinguished by the name of *Cat Island.* He afterwards visited *Cuba* and *Hayti* or *St. Domingo,* to which he gave the name of *Hispaniola,* and on which he left some of his men for the purpose of forming a colony. As *Columbus* had reached these islands by a western passage, and believing them not far distant from the unexplored region of India, they were denominated the *West Indies,* and the aborigines of the country were designated by the appellation of Indians, a name which they retain to the present time. After some time spent in exploring the country and in amicable traffic with the natives, and having collected a quantity of gold, *Columbus* set sail on his return to Spain.

12. During the voyage he was overtaken by a violent storm, which continued for fifteen days, and exposed the already shattered vessels to the most imminent danger. While the storm continued, *Columbus,* with great presence of mind, enclosed in a cake of wax a short account of the voyage and discovery, and placing it in a cask he committed it to the sea, with the hope that if he should perish, it might fall into the hands of some navigator or be cast ashore, and thus the knowledge of his discovery might be preserved to the world. But the storm fortunately abated, and Columbus arrived safely in the port of Palos, from which he had sailed about seven months before. He was received with the loudest acclamations by the people, who gazed with astonishment on the riches and the various curiosities which he brought with him from the New World; at Barcelona he met with a gracious reception from *Ferdinand* and *Isabella.*

13. *Columbus,* in his third voyage to the new world, discovered the continent, and landed in several places in the northern part of South America. But his success and the great marks of favor shown him by his sovereign, did not fail to excite envy and jealousy against him in the court of Spain. In consequence of various false and groundless charges, he was deprived of the government of *Hispaniola,* and sent home in chains. The captain of the vessel in which he returned, through respect to his illustrious captive, offered to release him from his fetters To whom Co-

10. On landing, what did the Spaniards find? What is said of the natives?—11. What was the first land discovered? What did he afterwards discover? What were these islands denominated? What were the aborigines called?—12. During the voyage, what happened? While the storm continued, what did Columbus? Where did he arrive? How was he received?—13. In his third voyage, what did Columbus discover? In consequence of false charges, what was done?

lumbus replied, "No, I wear these chains in consequence of an order from their majesties the rulers of Spain. They will find me as obedient in this as in every other injunction. By their command I have been confined, and their command alone shall set me at liberty."

14. On his return to Spain, a prisoner and in chains, the voice of indignation was heard from men of every rank; even Ferdinand himself, for a season, seemed to feel the blush of shame; he ordered the venerable *Columbus* to be set at liberty, but ungratefully retained him in Spain until he appointed another person governor of *Hispaniola*. Such was the reward that the great discoverer of the western continent received, for having devised and accomplished one of the noblest enterprises that ever entered into the mind of man. Columbus never forgot this unjust and shameful treatment; and during the remainder of his life, he carried about him the fetters in which he had been bound, as a memorial of the ingratitude he had received, and gave orders that they should be buried with him in his grave.

15. But his spirit of enterprise was not subdued. Bent on finding a passage to India by the west, which had been the leading object of his discovery, he undertook a fourth voyage to the new world; during which he examined the coast of Darien, but suffered shipwreck on the isle of *Jamaica*. After having endured a variety of sufferings and calamities, occasioned by the mutiny of his men, scarcity of provisions, and sickness, he again reached Spain. Shortly after his return he died at Valadolia, in the seventieth year of his age. His funeral, at the royal expense, was grand and imposing; on his tomb was placed the following inscription: "To Castile and Leon, Columbus has given a new world."

16. Though the world is indebted to *Columbus* for the discovery of the Western continent, still the honor of associating his name with the country he discovered has unjustly been wrested from him. *Americus Vespucius*, a native of Florence, who accompanied *Ojeda* on a voyage to the New World in 1499, discovered a part of South America the year after the continent had been visited by *Columbus*. *Americus*, on his return to Spain, published an account of his voyage, and claimed the honor of having been the first discoverer of the *main land;* and the continent from him gradually received the name of *America*.

17. The achievement of *Columbus*, who first crossed the expanse of the Atlantic, and visited regions hitherto unknown, excited throughout Europe a lively spirit of enterprise and adventure. In 1519, *Magellan*, a Portuguese navigator in the service of Spain, sailed to the western continent, passed the straits in the southern part of South America, which now bear his name, and was the first who entered that vast ocean called by him the Pacific,

What reply did Columbus make to the captain?—14 What is said of him on his return to Spain? What did Ferdinand do? What did Columbus do during the remainder of his life?—15 What did he undertake? What did he suffer? When and where did he die? What inscription is placed on his tomb?—16 From whom did the country receive the name of America? On his return to Spain, what did he publish?—17 What did the achievement of Columbus excite? In 1519, what was done?

from the calmness of its waters. *Magellan* lost his life in one of the Philippine islands, yet his officers proceeded on their voyage, and for the first time accomplished the circumnavigation of the globe.

18. *John Cabot*, a Venetian by birth, under a commission from Henry VII. of England, accompanied by his son *Sebastian*, sailed on a voyage of discovery in 1497, and discovered the continent of North America, a year before the main land of South America had been reached by Columbus. On the 20th of November, 1497, *Vasco de Gama*, employed by the king of Portugal, for the first time doubled the *Cape of Good Hope*, and in the following May arrived at Calicut on the coast of Malabar. By this he effected what had been the leading object of Columbus in all his enterprises, and what, in the preceding age, had been the great object of investigation, namely, to find a more expeditious and convenient mode of passage to the East Indies than through Egypt.

19. Cabot having proceeded on his voyage to the north in quest of a passage to India, without being able to effect his object, returned and sailed along the coast as far as *Florida*, erected crosses at various points as he passed, and took possession of the country in the name of the crown of England. This was the foundation of the English claim to North America.

20. Several years had now elapsed since the discovery of America by Columbus, and no permanent settlement was yet made upon the continent. At an early period after the arrival of the Spaniards, they had been apprised of the existence of the rich and powerful empire of Mexico. The Governor of Cuba having conceived the design of subjugating it to the power of Spain, fitted out a small fleet for that purpose, and placed it under the command of *Fernando Cortez*. On the 10th of January, 1519, Cortez sailed from Cuba with eleven small vessels, having on board six hundred men, sixteen horses, six pieces of artillery, and a few muskets. Having reached the continent, he caused himself to be proclaimed independent of the Governor of Cuba, (who had already revoked the commission intrusted to him,) and accountable to none but the monarch of Spain.

21. He then laid the foundation of the colony of *Vera Cruz*, and the better to inspire his troops in their arduous undertaking, by cutting off all hope of returning, he caused the vessels to be burnt on the coast. *Cortez* now proceeded rapidly on his march to the capital of the Mexican empire, which he finally reached after encountering innumerable difficulties. He entered the city under the assumed quality of ambassador of the Spanish monarch, and was cordially received by the emperor Montezuma, who assigned him one of the royal palaces as a place of residence during his stay.

Where did Magellan lose his life?—18. What did John Cabot do? Who first doubled the Cape of Good Hope? What was effected by this?—19. What laid the foundation of the English claim in North America?—20. At an early period, of what had the Spaniards been apprised? What designs did the governor of Cuba form? When did Cortez sail, and with what number of vessels? What did he cause himself?—21. What did he then do? Where did he proceed? How did he enter the city?

22. In the mean time an attack was made upon the Spanish colony at *Vera Cruz* by one of the Mexican generals. Cortez, on receiving intelligence of this transaction, taking with him a small band of resolute men, went to the palace of the emperor, seized the person of Montezuma, and compelled him to return with him to his residence. The Mexicans, roused by this breach of hospitality, flew to arms, and, after many sanguinary contests, the Spaniards were compelled to leave the capital. On one occasion, as Montezuma appeared on the rampart, in order to quell a sedition among the citizens, the unhappy monarch was killed by a stone thrown by one of the assailants; he was immediately succeeded by his brother, *Quetlavaca*.

23. But no reverse of fortune could intimidate the courage or abate the ardor of the enterprising Cortez. Having obtained the assistance of a nation of Indians, who were tributary to the sovereign of Mexico, and being reinforced by a body of Spaniards, he again presented himself before the city, which was accordingly taken after a siege of seventeen days. *Guatimozin*, who had succeeded Quetlavaca, endeavored to escape with his family and court, but was intercepted and taken prisoner before he could effect his design.

24. The Spaniards, who were greatly disappointed in the amount of treasure they expected to find in the city, in order to discover them, resolved to put the unfortunate monarch and his chief minister to the rack. The prince bore his sufferings with incredible constancy, and hearing his minister complain, he turned to him and said: "And myself, am I on a bed of roses?" He was liberated from the hands of the soldiery through the influence of Cortez, but was afterwards put to death on a charge of treason and conspiracy, during the year 1523. Thus was the great empire of Mexico overthrown, and reduced to a Spanish province by a few bold and daring adventurers.

25. Shortly after the conquest of Mexico by Cortez, a similar expedition was undertaken against the rich and powerful empire of *Peru*, by *Francis Pizarro*, who sailed from Panama in 1525, and began to explore the shores of the Pacific. His enterprise was for some time impeded by a variety of causes; but in 1531, having obtained from Charles V. of Spain a commission as governor of the country, and a small force to enable him to conquer it, he continued his adventures, and advanced into the very heart of Peru, then an extensive empire, governed by sovereigns styled *Incas*.

26. The country at that time was divided into two hostile parties, by the two sons of the late monarch, who disputed the succession to the throne. *Atabalipa*, the younger, was finally successful, having defeated his brother in battle and taken him

22. In the mean time what took place? On receiving this intelligence, what did Cortez do? What is said of the Mexicans? What was the fate of Montezuma?—23. What did Cortez again do? What is said of Guatimozin?—24. What did the Spaniards do? What is said of the prince? What was his fate?—25. After this what similar expedition was undertaken? In 1531, having obtained a commission as governor, what did he do?—26. How was the country at that time divided?

prisoner, he ordered him to be put to death. Both princes had previously endeavored to gain the assistance of the strangers, a circumstance which *Pizarro* did not fail to render subservient to his views. Marching to meet *Atabalipa*, under the cover of friendship, he suddenly attacked the army of the unsuspecting monarch; four thousand of the Peruvians were slain, and Atabalipa himself fell into the hands of the Spaniards. The unhappy monarch, in order to procure his release, engaged to fill the room in which he was confined, measuring twenty-two feet in length and seventeen in breadth, with vessels of gold and silver, as high as he could reach. The contract was fulfilled on the part of the Peruvian sovereign, yet he did not obtain his liberty, but being brought to trial on a charge of treason, and as the murderer of his brother and the usurper of his crown, he was put to death.

27. The entire country now submitted to the conquerors, who, for the better security, laid the foundation of the city of *Lima*, a short distance from the sea. The Peruvian monarchy being thus overthrown, discord began to prevail among the conquerors, and violent contentions ensued. *Almagro*, the rival of Pizarro, was taken prisoner, condemned and executed, and shortly afterwards Pizarro himself was assassinated. After his death the civil feuds continued until the year 1548, when the disasters which had so long desolated *Peru* were terminated, and the country reduced to a Spanish province by the wise and prudent measures of Pedro de la Gasca, who had been appointed governor.

28. At the time of the invasion of the Spaniards, the Mexicans and Peruvians had made considerable advances towards civilization. Their many magnificent palaces, temples, and pyramids, prove that they carried architecture to a high degree of perfection. They understood the arts of sculpture, mining, and working the precious metals; agriculture was in a high state of advancement; they had a regular system of government and a code of civil and religious laws. They worshipped the sun as the supreme deity; but the religion of the Peruvians possessed few of those sanguinary traits that characterized the Mexicans, who offered human victims in sacrifice. In the other parts of America the natives had made but little progress in civilization. The following are a few of the characteristics that distinguish the Indians of North America, except the empire of Mexico.

29. In person, the Indians were tall, straight, and well proportioned. Their complexion was of red, or copper color; their eyes were dark, their hair black, long and coarse. They are quick of apprehension, and not wanting in genius. When provoked to anger they are sullen and reserved; but when determined on revenge, no danger can deter them; or absence cool

What had both princes endeavored to obtain? Marching to meet Atabalipa, what did Pizarro do? What did the monarch engage to do to procure his release? What was his fate?—27. What is now said of the country? What prevailed? What was the fate of Almagro and Pizarro? In 1548, what took place?—28. At the time of the invasion, what is said of the Mexicans and Peruvians? What did they understand? What did they worship? What is said of the religion of the Peruvians?—29 What were the Indians in person? Their complexion? When provoked to anger? When captured?

their resentment. When captured by an enemy they never ask for life, or betray the least signs of fear.

30. They had no books at the time they were first visited, or any written literature, except rude hieroglyphics. Education, among them, was confined to the arts of war, hunting, and fishing. Their language was rude, but sonorous, metaphorical and energetic. Their arts and manufactures were confined chiefly to the construction of the wigwam, bows and arrows, ornaments of various kinds, stone hatchets, and weaving a kind of coarse mat from bark or hemp. Their agriculture was very limited, and the articles they cultivated consisted of corn, beans, peas, potatoes, melons, &c. Their skill in medicine was confined to a few simple prescriptions, but the diseases to which they were subject were few compared with those which prevail in civilized society

31. The employments of the men were principally hunting, fishing, and war; the women dressed the food, tilled the fields, and performed nearly all the drudgery, besides attending to their domestic concerns. Their domestic utensils consisted of a hatchet of stone, and a few shells which they used as knives. With these they scalped their enemies, dressed their game, &c. Money among the Indians was called *Wampum*, and consisted of small beads wrought from shells. War was the favorite employment of the aborigines of North America. When they fought in the open plain, they rushed to the attack with the utmost fury, at the same time uttering the frightful war-whoop. If peace was concluded, the chiefs ratified the treaty by smoking, in succession, the *Calumet* or pipe of peace.

32. Their government was an absolute monarchy; the will of the chief being regarded as the law, although in matters of moment, he consulted his counsellors, but his own decision was final. The religion of the natives consisted of traditions, mingled with many superstitions. Like the Hindoos, and some of the ancient nations, they believed in the existence of two gods; the one good, who was superior, and whom they styled the Great Spirit; and the other evil, who was thought to be inferior in power. They worshipped them both, and of both they made images of stone, to which they paid religious homage. They also entertained some confused ideas of future rewards and punishments. Their chief mode of worship was to sing and dance around a large fire, to which they added prayer, and sometimes they offered in sacrifice a kind of sweet-scented powder, blood and tobacco.

33. Marriage among them was, in general, a temporary contract; the men chose their wives agreeably to their fancy, and could put them away at pleasure; but generally, the contracts were observed with much fidelity. Polygamy was prevalent among them. Their treatment of their wives was cruel and oppressive; they were considered as slaves, and treated as such.

30 What is said of books? Their language? Their arts? Their agriculture? Their skill in medicine?—31 What were the employments of the men? Of the women? What were their domestic utensils? What was war?—32. What was their government? The religion of the natives? In what did they believe? What was their chief mode of worship?—33. What was marriage among them? What was prevalent? How did they treat their wives?

The rites of burial among Indians differed but little throughout the continent. They generally made an opening in the ground, at the bottom of which the corpse, wrapped in skins or mats, was deposited. The arms and ornaments of the deceased were buried with them, and a mound of earth raised over the grave.

34. The origin of Indians inhabiting the country on the arrival of the Europeans, has long been a subject of investigation, and as yet, the matter remains undecided. The best supported opinion is, that at some unknown period, they emigrated from the north-eastern part of *Asia* to the northern coast of *North America*. This may be probable, as Behring's Straits, separating the two continents, is only about forty miles wide, a much shorter distance than the Indians are known to sail in their canoes; this strait is frequently frozen over, hence they may have crossed on the ice

SECTION II.

Settlement of Virginia and New York

1. The French were among the first adventurers in the Western continent. As early as the year 1501, they had visited the banks of Newfoundland, and in 1524, Francis I. of France, willing to share with his neighbors a portion of the New World commissioned *Verrazano* on a voyage of discovery. This navigator explored a great part of the shores of North America. About ten years after this, *James Cartier*, under a similar commission from the French king, sailed to the new world, entered the gulf of St. Lawrence, and took possession of the country in the name of his sovereign, and called it *New France;* this name was subsequently changed to that of *Canada*.

2. In 1584, *Sir Walter Raleigh*, under a commission from Queen Elizabeth, of England, arrived in America, entered Pamlico Sound, and proceeding to Roanoke Island, near the mouth of Albemarle Sound, he took possession of the country for the crown of England. On his return, he gave so flattering an account of the beauty and fertility of the country, that Elizabeth bestowed on it the name of Virginia, as a memorial that it had been discovered during the reign of a virgin queen. Several attempts to form a settlement in Virginia were made by Sir Walter Raleigh; he despatched several small vessels, under the command of Richard Granville, carrying one hundred and eighty adventurers, who were landed on the Isle of Roanoke But the colonists, deluded by the prospect of finding mines of the precious metals, neglected the cultivation of the soil; they were, in consequence, reduced to the utmost distress by famine; many of

Describe the rites of burial?—34. What has been a subject of investigation? What is the best supported opinion?

1. Who were among the first adventurers? In 1524, what was done by Francis I.? Who next sailed to the new world? What was the country called?—2. In 1584, who arrived in America? On his return what did he give? What is said of his attempts to form a settlement? Of the colonists?

their number returned to England with Sir Francis Drake, while others perished with disease, or were destroyed by the natives.

3. This unsuccessful attempt withdrew for some time the attention of the English from these distant regions. In 1602, however, Bartholomew Gosnold sailed from England, and discovered Cape Cod, which name he gave it on account of the number of codfish caught near it. From this period we find that the spirit of adventure again revived. In 1606, James I. of England granted letters patent, an exclusive right or privilege, to the London and Plymouth companies, by which they were authorized to possess and occupy all the territory lying between the 34th and 45th degrees of north latitude, which at that time was included under the common name of Virginia. To the former company was assigned the section of country included between the 41st and 34th degree of south latitude, called South Virginia; and to the latter that part of the territory lying to the north, called North Virginia.

4. Under this patent, the London company, in 1607, sent out a vessel under the command of Captain Newport, carrying one hundred and five adventurers. After a tedious voyage of four months, they arrived at the mouth of the Chesapeake Bay, and sailed some distance up the Powhatan, now called the James River, where they built a fort, and laid the foundation of a town, which in honor of their king they called Jamestown. The government of the colony was framed by the London company, and was administered by a council of seven persons, with a president chosen from among their own number.

5. The name of the first president was *Wingfield*, but the most distinguished person in the council was the celebrated *Captain John Smith*, who, from the active part which he took in the transactions of the new settlement, has been styled *father* of the colony. The life and actions of this extraordinary man resemble the deeds of a hero of romance. In the early part of his life, he had the command of a body of cavalry in the Austrian army, and during a contest with the Turks, he was taken prisoner, and sent as a slave to Constantinople, from which he afterwards obtained his release and returned to England. His romantic and enterprising spirit led him to engage in an expedition to the new world, and by his superior abilities, the colony was repeatedly rescued from the brink of ruin.

6. Unfortunately for the colonists, they were soon involved in hostilities with the natives, whose antipathy to the English was occasioned by the cruel treatment they had previously experienced from *Sir Richard Granville*, who burnt an entire Indian village and destroyed their corn, in retaliation for their stealing a silver cup. The singular adventures of Captain Smith form a conspicuous portion of the history of the colony. On one occasion,

3. In 1602, what took place? In 1606, what was granted by James? What was assigned to the former? To the latter?—4. What did the London company do in 1607? Where did they arrive? What town did they commence?—5. Who was the most distinguished person? In early life what had he?—How was the antipathy of the natives to the English occasioned? Relate the adventures of Captain Smith?

while exploring the country, he was taken prisoner by a band of two hundred Indians; but charmed, however, with his valor, and the various arts which he used to astonish or please them, they released him from captivity. After this he was again taken by a party of three hundred, who led him in triumph to *Powhattan*, their king. The sentence of death was immediately pronounced against him, and he was conducted to the place of execution. His head was laid upon a stone, and the savages, with uplifted clubs, were about to despatch their victim, when *Pocahontas*, the favorite daughter of *Powhattan*, threw herself between the prisoner and the executioners, and by her tears and entreaties prevailed on her father to recall the sentence. Her prayers were heard, and Smith was set at liberty.

7. In 1609, Powhattan had concerted measures for the destruction of the colony; but Pocahontas, who had always manifested a friendly disposition towards the English, visited Jamestown alone, on a dark and stormy night, and disclosed to Captain Smith the designs of her father; the colony was by this means saved from destruction. Pocahontas, during a subsequent visit to Jamestown, was there detained, and her father, who was devotedly attached to his daughter, concluded a treaty with the English on their own terms. Pocahontas was afterwards married, with the consent of her father, to a Mr. Rolfe, a young planter of a respectable family. After their nuptials, which were celebrated with great pomp, Rolfe and the princess sailed for England, where she was instructed in the Christian religion, and publicly baptized. She died at Gravesend, in the twenty-second year of her age, leaving one son, from whom are descended some of the most respectable families of Virginia.

8. During the first year the colonists suffered severely from want of provisions and from sickness, which in a few months carried off half their number; but by the arrival of new adventurers, the population of the colony, at the close of the year, amounted to about two hundred persons. During the year 1609, *Captain Smith*, in consequence of an injury he received by the accidental explosion of gunpowder, was obliged to return to England for medical aid. His absence was a severe loss to the colony. In consequence of a waste of provisions, a most distressing famine followed; the period was long known by the name of the *starving times*. So dreadful was its effect, that in the space of six months, their number was reduced from nearly five hundred to sixty. The small remnant that survived, were so disheartened by these disasters that they resolved to abandon the settlement, and return to England. From this they were prevented by the timely arrival of *Lord Delaware*, who had been appointed governor, with one hundred and fifty men, and a large supply of provisions. Through his exertions, they were induced to remain

7. In 1609, what was concerted? How was it prevented? What is said of Pocahontas on a subsequent visit to Jamestown? To whom was she married? Where did they sail for? Where did she die?—8. From what did they suffer? What happened during the year 1609? In consequence of waste of provisions, what followed? In six months, to what was the number reduced? What did they resolve? How were they prevented?

and their number being increased by new arrivals, the condition of the colony soon began to assume a prosperous appearance.

9. The planters were men generally destitute of families, and had emigrated with a prospect of obtaining wealth, and expected eventually to return to their native country. But with a view of attaching them to the new settlement, and of rendering their residence permanent in the colony, an expedient was devised of supplying them with wives. Accordingly, a number of unmarried females were sent over from England, to be sold to such as were desirous to purchase. The price of a wife was at first one hundred pounds of tobacco; but as the number diminished, it was raised to one hundred and fifty pounds; the price of tobacco at the time was three shillings a pound.

10. The year 1620 is rendered memorable for the introduction of negro slavery into America. A Dutch vessel from the coast of Guinea, sailed up the James river, having on board about twenty negroes, who were sold as slaves to the planters of Virginia.

The colony had enjoyed, for some time, a great degree of prosperity. In 1623, however, it experienced a stroke that nearly proved fatal to its existence. Powhatan died in 1618, and was succeeded by his son, who did not inherit the friendly disposition of his father towards the English. A deliberate plan for the annihilation of the colony at one blow was concerted, and succeeded to a fearful extent. On the twenty-second of March, while the colonists were engaged in their usual occupations, the Indians fell upon them, sparing neither age nor sex, and in one fatal hour three hundred and forty-seven persons fell victims to their cruelty.

11. This treachery of the Indians was followed by a war of extermination; during which the colonists indulged in acts of atrocity, little inferior to those by which they had been visited. They fell upon the Indians at the approach of harvest, when they knew the attack would prove most fatal, destroyed their crops of corn, and, in their fury, murdered all who came in their way, or drove them into the forest, where so many perished with hunger, that some of the tribes nearest to the colony were totally extirpated.

12. In 1624, the London Company, which had been so active in establishing a settlement in Virginia, was dissolved by an arbitrary act of king James I., who invested the government of the colony in the crown, and appointed a governor, with a council of twelve persons, to aid him in the administration. The prosperity of the colony was subsequently retarded during the arbitrary administration of *Sir John Harvey*; but in 1639, *Sir William Berkley*, a man of superior abilities, was appointed governor, when it again began to flourish. During the revolution in England, which terminated in the execution of Charles, the colonists

9. What were the planters? With a view of attaching them to the settlement, what expedient was devised? What was the price of a wife?—10 For what is the year 1620 rendered memorable? In what manner? In 1623, what deliberate plan was formed? What took place on the 22d of March?—11. By what was this followed? When did they fall upon the Indians?—12. In 1624, what took place? How was the prosperity of the colony again retarded?

preserved their loyalty to the king. In 1651, the commonwealth under Cromwell, took vigorous measures for the reduction of the colony. Berkley made a spirited resistance; but being obliged to yield to a superior force, he retired from public life, and *Mathews* was appointed governor by Cromwell.

13. On the death of Mathews, the spirit of opposition was again manifested; the colonists threw off their allegiance to the commonwealth, recalled Berkley from his retirement, erected the royal standard, and proclaimed Charles II., son of the late king, as their lawful sovereign. Fortunately for the colonists, the restoration of Charles, which shortly afterwards took place, preserved them from the chastisement to which their previous declaration in his favor had exposed them. In 1676, towards the close of Berkley's administration, the restriction imposed on trade by the king, occasioned considerable discontent in the colony, and finally gave rise to an insurrection, known by the name of *Bacon's Rebellion*, so called from the name of its leader. During the progress of this unfortunate insurrection, the country was given up to pillage, Jamestown was burnt, and all the horrors of civil war continued to rage, until they were terminated by the death of Bacon.

14. The territory now comprising the Middle States of the Union, was originally settled by the Dutch and Swedes. In 1609 *Henry Hudson*, an Englishman, in the service of the East India Company of Holland, on a voyage in search of a north-west passage to India, touched at Long Island, and sailed up the river which now bears his name. The right of discovery being thus acquired, and the favorable report of the country, induced a company of Dutch adventurers to attempt a settlement on the Hudson river about the year 1613. They built a fort near the present site of Albany, called Fort Orange, and another with some few trading houses on Manhattan Island, where the city of New York now stands, and styled the latter settlement, *New Amsterdam*, while the whole country was called New Netherlands.

15. The Swedes had already made a settlement on the Delaware river, and held possession of the territory until its final subjugation by the Dutch colonists of New Netherlands, under their enterprising governor, Stuyvesant. The extension of the New England settlements occasioned a series of disputes and contests with their neighbors in New Netherlands. In 1664, Charles II., who had been restored to the throne of his father, forgetful of the friends who had given him a shelter during his exile, sought every pretext for a dispute with Holland. Among other things, he asserted a claim to the colony possessed by that country in America, and accordingly conveyed it to his brother, the *Duke of York*. The duke made immediate preparations for carrying the king's

During the revolution in England, what is said of the colonists? In 1651, what took place?—13. On the death of Mathews, what did the colonists do? In 1676, what did the restrictions on trade occasion and give rise? How was it terminated?—14 By whom was the territory comprising the Middle States settled? In 1609, what took place? Where did they build a fort? What did they call the settlements?—15. Where had the Swedes settled? In 1664, what did Charles do? What did he claim, and to whom did he convey it? What did the Duke of York do? What is said of Stuyvesant?

grant into effect, and for that purpose *Colonel Nichols* was sent out with a fleet, having on board a considerable force. After touching at Boston, he sailed for New Amsterdam, and anchoring before the place, he demanded its surrender. Stuyvesant, the governor, after some opposition, was obliged to yield to the English; and the whole territory thus became subject to the British crown, and the country, in honor of the duke, was called New York.

SECTION III.

New England Settlements.

1. In 1607, about the same time that the colony in Virginia laid the foundation of Jamestown, a settlement was commenced on the Kennebec river, under the direction of the Plymouth company; but owing to successive misfortunes, the settlement was abandoned for the present. In 1614, the country was again visited by Captain Smith, so celebrated in the history of Virginia, who examined the coast from the Penobscot river to Cape Cod, and on his return, prepared a map of the country, to which he gave the name of *New England*.

2. In 1620, a patent was granted by king James I., to *Ferdinando Gorges* and others, called the council of Plymouth, for the purpose of settling a colony in New England. Their patent included all the territory between the fortieth and forty-eighth degrees of north latitude. During the same year in which the patent was obtained, the first permanent settlement in New England was commenced at Plymouth, by a body of *Puritans*, also known by the name of *Brownists*, from the name of the founder of their sect.

3. The Puritans, who had suffered continual persecution in England, on account of their dissent from the tenets of the established church, had taken refuge in Holland, under the charge of their minister, Mr. John Robinson; but not finding their new residence agreeable, from various causes, they resolved to seek an asylum from oppression by removing to the wilds of America. After having experienced many delays and disappointments, a patent was obtained under the seal of the London Company, assigning to them a tract of land within the limits of the Virginia charter.

4. On the morning of the 22d of July, 1620, Mr. Robinson, their minister, kneeling in prayer on the sea-shore at Delfthaven, consecrated the embarcation of the *Pilgrims*. They touched at Southampton, in England, from which place they sailed on the fifth of August; but before proceeding far they were obliged to return, in order to repair the smaller of their vessels, called the

1 In 1607, where was a settlement commenced? By whom was the country visited in 1614? On his return, what did he do?—2. In 1620, what was granted? During the same year, what was commenced at Plymouth?—3. Where had the Puritans taken refuge? What did they resolve?—4. On the twenty-second of July 1620, what took place? Where did they touch? What were they finally compelled to do?

Speedwell, which they were finally compelled to abandon, and to prosecute their voyage in the *Mayflower*. At length, on the sixth of September, they sailed from Plymouth, in England, for the Hudson river; but by the treachery of the captain, who is supposed to have been bribed by the Dutch, they were carried much further to the north, and after a stormy passage, they came in sight of Cape Cod.

5. After some weeks spent in searching for a suitable place to land, during which they were exposed to incredible sufferings from the inclemency of the season, the Mayflower was safely moored in a beautiful harbor, to which, in grateful remembrance of the last port they left in England, they gave the name of *Plymouth*. On the morning of the 20th of December, 1620, after imploring the divine assistance, the Pilgrims, to the number of one hundred and one, landed upon the rock of Plymouth. The spot on which their first steps rested is still held in deep veneration by their descendants, and the day of their landing is yet celebrated with great enthusiasm.

6. Though the Pilgrims had succeeded in landing, their sufferings and distress were only about to commence. After a long and tedious voyage, they found themselves cast upon an unknown and hostile coast; exposed to all the rigors of a New England winter, without a roof to shelter them from the storm. Their supply of provisions was limited, and to fill up the measure of their sufferings, they were visited by a distressing sickness. By these united calamities, in three months after their landing, they were reduced to near one-half of their original number. *John Carver*, the first governor, died in March, and *William Bradford* was chosen to succeed him. The election of the governor took place annually, and at first he had but one assistant; the number was afterwards increased to five, and at length to seven.

7. With a desire to conform to the simplicity of the apostolic time, the Pilgrims at first held all their property in common This was one of the causes of scarcity that for some time prevailed in the country. In the spring of 1623, each family was allowed a piece of ground for its cultivation, and after the harvest of that year, no general want of provisions was experienced For the defense of the colony against the hostilities of the natives, a military organization was formed, and Captain Miles Standish, a man of considerable courage, was appointed to the command. In March, 1621, they received a friendly visit from *Samoset*, the chief of the *Wampanoags*, who gave them a cordial welcome, and in the name of his tribe allowed them to retain possession of the soil which they occupied, since there was not one of the original possessors then living to claim it.

8. From him they obtained important information respecting the country, and learned that a short time previous to their ar-

When and from what place did they sail?—5. Where was the *Mayflower* moored? On the 20th of December, what was done? What is said of the spot?—6. What is said of the pilgrims? Of their supply of provisions? By these calamities, to what were they reduced? Who was their first governor?—7. With a desire to conform, &c, what did the pilgrims do? In 1623, what was each family allowed? In March, 1621 what did they receive?—8 From him what did they obtain?

rival, a dreadful pestilence had carried off almost all the Indians in the vicinity. In the same month, *Massasoit*, the most powerful chief in that region, and from whom the name of Massachusetts is derived, paid a visit to the colony, and entered into a league of friendship with the settlers, which was strictly observed for upwards of fifty years.

9. The colony increased but slowly, and at the end of ten years the population did not exceed three hundred. In 1628, the colony of *Massachusetts Bay* was commenced by a company of adventurers under *John Endicott*, who formed a settlement at *Naumkeag*, to which he gave the scripture name of *Salem*. It might readily be supposed, that the men who had bled under the lash of persecution for their religious opinions, would have learned to respect these opinions in others. While we commend that noble spirit which enabled them to quit their native soil, and brave a thousand dangers in a hostile land, in order that they might enjoy the unrestrained exercise of their religious principles, we cannot refrain from disavowing that spirit of intolerance which they exercised among themselves.

10. Some of the colonists retained a high veneration for the ritual of the church of England, and refusing to conform to the colonial establishment, they assembled to a separate place of worship. Endicott called before him two of the principal offenders and sentenced them to banishment; they were accordingly sent home by the first vessel returning to England. In 1630, another company of adventurers, over fifteen hundred in number, under *John Winthrop*, who was appointed governor, arrived in Massachusetts and commenced the settlement of Charlestown, Boston, and other places in that vicinity. At the first general court held at Charlestown, a law was passed, declaring that none should be free, or have any share in the government, except those who had been received as members of the church.

11. In the spring of 1623, the settlement of *New Hampshire* was commenced at Dover and Portsmouth, by persons sent out under the patronage of Ferdinando Gorges and John Mason, to whom the country had been granted. These settlements were united to Massachusetts in 1641, and remained a part of that colony until 1678, when New Hampshire obtained a separate government. In 1635, the colony of *Connecticut* was commenced by a few families, with their favorite minister Mr. Hooker, who left Massachusetts, and after a fatiguing march through the wilderness, settled on the west side of the Connecticut river, and laid the foundation of Windsor and Wethersfield.

12. Roger Williams, a minister at Salem, having been banished from Massachusetts on account of his religious opinions, with a few companions commenced the settlement of Rhode Island, on the site where the city of Providence now stands, which name

In the same month who paid a visit to the colony?—9. In 1628, what colony was commenced? What might be supposed?—10. What did some of the colonists retain? What did Endicott do? In 1630, what took place? At the first general court at Charlestown, what law was passed?—11. In 1623 what settlement was commenced? By whom? When and by whom was Connecticut commenced?—12. What is related of Roger Williams?

they gave to the place, in grateful acknowledgment of the Divine protection. About two years after this, *Mr. Coddington*, having been also banished from Massachusetts, with seventy-six others, for holding opinions which were deemed erroneous by the colonial establishment, purchased from the Indians, *Aquetneck*, a fertile island in *Narraganset Bay*, and named it Rhode Island, under which title the previous settlement by Roger Williams was afterwards included.

13. In 1644, Williams visited England as agent of the settlers, and obtained from the British parliament, shortly after the commencement of the civil war, a free charter of incorporation for Providence and Rhode Island plantations. The charter was confirmed and its constitutional powers enlarged in the reign of Charles II. By this instrument it was ordered, "that none were to be molested for any difference of opinion in matters of religion;" yet the very first assembly, convened under its authority, excluded the Roman Catholics from voting at elections, and from every office in the government.

14. The friendly intercourse which had for some time existed between the colonists and the natives, began gradually to be interrupted. The Indians in the vicinity of Massachusetts Bay were few and unwarlike, and having received a stipulated compensation for the land from the early settlers, they evinced no disposition for hostility; but Connecticut and Rhode Island had to contend with numerous and powerful tribes. Among these, the *Narragansets* and *Pequods* were the most formidable. The latter having sent a deputation to their neighbors the Narragansets, requested them to forget for a season their mutual animosities, and co-operate in expelling the common enemy from the country: but the former considering this as a favorable opportunity for weakening or totally destroying a powerful rival, discovered their hostile intentions to the governor of Massachusetts, and united in alliance with the colonists against them.

15. The Pequods had pitched their camp in the middle of a swamp, near the head of Mystic river, and fortified it with palisades; but the colonists, under *Captain Mason*, marched to the place unperceived, and were about to enter the camp through a pass, which, by some unaccountable neglect was left open, when the alarm of their approach was given by a faithful dog. In a moment the warriors flew to arms and prepared to repel the attack; but in a few moments more the wigwams in which the Indians slept were enveloped in flames. Dreadful was the carnage that now ensued. Aroused from their slumbers by the discharge of musketry, the affrighted Indians rushed in consternation from their burning tenements. As they came forth they were received by the swords of the enemy; if they attempted to escape by scaling the palisades they were met by a shower of balls.

Two years after this, what did Mr. Coddington do?—13. In 1644, what did Williams do and obtain? By this instrument, what was ordered? Yet what was done by the first assembly?—14. What is said of the Indians in the vicinity? Which were the most formidable tribes? What did the latter request? What did the former do?—15. Where had the Pequods pitched their camp? What did the colonists do? Describe the scene that followed

Many afraid to venture out perished in the flames; while others, recoiling from the deadly weapons of the foe, rushed back into the devouring element and shared the fate of their companions. "In a few minutes, five or six hundred lay gasping in their blood or were silent in the arms of death." Those that were captured, above the number of two hundred, were either sold as slaves abroad or reduced to servitude by the English at home. So complete was the extermination, that in a few months the nation of Pequods was entirely destroyed, even their very name was no longer heard.

16. The danger to which they were exposed by the encroachments of foreign enemies and domestic hostilities, induced the four colonies of Massachusetts Bay, Plymouth, Connecticut, and New Haven, to enter into an alliance for their mutual defence, under the title of the *United Colonies of New England*, A. D 1643. This confederation, which was regulated by two delegates from each colony, subsisted with but little alteration until their charters were annulled by Charles II. As many of the early settlers were men of talents and education, they gave their earliest attention to the interest of learning and to the establishment of schools. In 1638, a few years after the settlement of Massachusetts, *Harvard University*, the oldest seminary of learning in the country, was founded at Cambridge.

17. Although the colonists possessed many excellent traits of character, they were not, however, without their faults. While they claim our admiration for their enterprise, for their love of liberty and attention to the interests of education, we are compelled to regard their misguided zeal in matters of religion with mingled feelings of sorrow and disapprobation. In 1656, a number of *Quakers*, flying from persecution at home, sought an asylum among their Christian brethren in New England; but the novelty of their mode of worship greatly offended the ministers of the established church; they were accordingly imprisoned and sent off by the first opportunity. A law was then passed prohibiting the emigration of Quakers to Massachusetts; forbidding their return, in case of banishment, under the penalty of death. In consequence of these severe proscriptions, several of these unoffending people were hanged.

18. In Connecticut the Quakers were treated with little less severity. A law was passed against them, subjecting the offender to imprisonment at hard labor, and the tongue to be pierced through with a red-hot iron. These instances of intolerance, which have cast a blot upon the memory of our forefathers, are not cited to wound the feelings of their descendants, but simply to remind them that it is their duty to avoid the repetition of these errors; that it is incumbent on all to discountenance religious intolerance in every form, in every age and in every clime; that the

What was done with those who were captured?—16. What did their danger induce the colonists to do? How long did this confederation subsist? To what did they give their earliest attention? When was Harvard University founded?—17. While they claim our admiration, what are we compelled? In 1656, what took place? What law was passed?—18. In Connecticut, what law was passed? Why are these instances of intolerance mentioned?

same ascendency that then prevailed over the civil authorities might even now plunge society into that unhappy state, which we are called to contemplate with so much regret.

19. After the termination of the Pequod war, the New England settlements enjoyed a long continuance of peace, during which they greatly increased in wealth and population. The treatment, however, which the natives had generally received from the early adventurers, had given them great reason to regard the Europeans with an eye of jealousy and distrust; and it must be confessed that the colonists, in their proceedings with regard to the natives, were often directed by principles of cruelty and injustice, as a reference to the records of those times will clearly prove. In 1675, the peace which long subsisted was interrupted, and the colonists found themselves involved in a destructive war with *Philip*, king of the *Wampanoags*, whose principal residence was at Mount Hope, Rhode Island.

20. *Philip*, equally eminent for his warlike character and undaunted courage, was the most formidable enemy ever encountered by the colonists. Having spent four years in maturing the plan of an extensive conspiracy which had for its object the utter extermination of the English, he commenced hostilities, and by means of his alliances was able to bring four thousand warriors into the field. Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Plymouth united against him. The war was commenced with great energy and spirit on both sides, and for some time conducted with equal success. In the great battle known by the name of the *Swamp Fight*, two hundred and thirty of the colonists were killed, while one thousand of the Indian warriors are supposed to have perished and over five hundred of their wigwams were burned. At length an end was put to these disasters in 1676, by the death of Philip, who was shot by one of his own men who had joined a party of the English under the famous Captain Benjamin Church.

21. At the commencement of this distressing war, the English population amounted to nearly sixty thousand persons, of whom six hundred had fallen in battle during the conflict, besides a much greater number of women and children who were led into a miserable captivity by the Indians. Scarcely a family or individual remained who had not to mourn the loss of a relative or friend. After the termination of this conflict, however, the New England colonies were freed from the hostilities of the natives until the war with the French, who employed the savages as auxiliaries.

22. About the year 1692, the people of the colonies were thrown into the utmost consternation, by the extraordinary imaginary power of witchcraft. A *Mr. Mather*, a minister of New England, who was a firm believer in all these ridiculous stories, relates a number of these supernatural events, which at the present time are more amusing than interesting. Several laws were made

19. After the Pequod war, what did New England enjoy? In 1675 what took place? —20. What is said of Philip? How was the war conducted? How many fell on both sides in the Swamp Fight? What was the end of Philip?—21. At the commencement of the war, what is said of the English? What had every family to mourn?—22. What took place in 1692?

against witchcraft, and not until twenty persons of both sexes had been executed, did the frenzy begin to cease.

23. *Maryland.* The founder of Maryland was *Sir George Calvert*, Lord Baltimore, a Roman Catholic, who was distinguished as a statesman, and had held the office of secretary of state in the reign of James I. of England. With a view of forming in America an asylum for himself and his persecuted brethren, he sailed to Virginia about the year 1631; but meeting an unwelcome reception there on account of his religion, he fixed his attention upon a territory beyond the Potomac, and finding it unoccupied and well adapted to his purpose, he immediately returned to England and obtained of Charles I. a grant of the land. From Henrietta Maria, the consort of Charles, the country was called Maryland.

24. Before the patent was completed, Sir George died, and the grant was transferred to his eldest son, Cecilius Calvert, who inherited the titles of his father. Preparations were immediately made for the settlement of a colony. Remaining in England himself, Cecilius Calvert appointed his brother Leonard as governor of the intended settlement. On the 22d of November, in the year 1633, emigrants to the number of about two hundred set sail from the Isle of Wight, in two small vessels, the *Ark* and *Dove*, and after a tedious passage arrived in March of the following year on the shores of the Chesapeake. Following the example of Columbus, they immediately erected a cross and returned thanks to God, who had conducted the voyage to so happy an issue, and then took possession of the country in the name of their sovereign. After having purchased the land from the natives, they commenced the building of the town of St. Mary, which for many years remained the capital of the colony.

25. The leading features of policy adopted by the founders of this colony, claim our warmest admiration. Their intercourse with the Indian tribes was marked by the strictest equity and humanity; at the same time the unrestrained exercise in matters of religion, granted to the professors of every creed, reflects the highest honor upon the memory of *Lord Baltimore* and his benevolent associates. Whilst the Episcopalians in Virginia would suffer no other form of worship among them, except that of the Church of England, and whilst the Puritans of New England punished with fines, tortures, and exile, all those who differed from their creed, the Roman Catholics of Maryland, transcending the proscriptive principles of the age, extended their arms, and invited among them the victims of intolerance from every clime.*

* *Bancroft* in his History of America, vol. I. p. 268, speaking of Maryland says: "Its history is the history of benevolence, gratitude, and toleration. The Roman

What laws were made?—23. Who was the founder of Maryland? Why did he remove to America? Where did he next fix his attention? From whom was the country named?—24. What happened before the patent was complete? Who was appointed governor? When and where did they sail from? What were the names of the vessels? What did they immediately do?—25. What claim our admiration? What reflects the highest honor on Lord Baltimore, &c.? What did the Roman Catholics of Maryland do?

26. The tranquillity of the colony was for some time interrupted by the intrigues of *Clayborne*, who finally supplanted the proprietor, and compelled him to retire from the settlement. The very first act of those who succeeded in the government, was to strike out the fairest feature in the original constitution of the colony, namely. religious toleration, and to enact the severest penalties against the professors of every creed at variance with that of the Church of England. Thus the Roman Catholics were doomed to see themselves deprived of the free exercise of their religion, within the limits of that colony in which they had labored to establish free toleration, and that too by the very persons to whom their benevolence had granted an asylum and home. After several years of disorder, the authority of the proprietor was restored, and the province began to assume its usual prosperity.

27. *Pennsylvania.* In 1681, the settlement of Pennsylvania was commenced under the direction of the celebrated *William Penn*, after whom the state is named. This eminent man was the son of *Admiral Penn*, who served in the British navy during the protectorate of Cromwell, and during a part of the reign of Charles II. In early life he embraced the tenets of *Quakers* or *Friends*, and shared largely of the persecution which was carried on in England against them, being repeatedly harassed by fines and imprisonment. Roused at length by these unjust and intolerant proceedings, Penn resolved to seek in the New World an asylum from the oppression of the Old. Accordingly he applied to Charles II., from whom he obtained the grant of a large tract of country, including the present state of Pennsylvania, in consideration of a debt due from the crown to his father.

28. The first colony arrived in the country in 1681, and began a settlement above the confluence of the Delaware and Schuylkill rivers. In the month of October of the following year, Penn arrived in the colony, accompanied by two thousand associates, chiefly of the denomination of the Friends or Quakers, and during the next year laid out the plan for the city of Philadelphia. Penn's first care after his arrival, was to conciliate the friendship of the Indians; accordingly, having assembled a council, he obtained possession of the land by a fair purchase, giving them in exchange such European goods as were useful to them, and entered into a solemn treaty with them, which was inviolably observed for a period of seventy years.

29. His system of government was established on the most humane and liberal principles. After the example of Lord Baltimore, he made civil and religious liberty the basis of all his insti-

Catholics who were oppressed by the laws of England, were sure to find a peaceful asylum in the quiet harbors of the Chesapeake, and there, too, Protestants were sheltered from Protestant intolerance."

26. How was the tranquillity of the colony interrupted? What was the first act of those who succeeded in the government? What were the Catholics doomed to see?—27. When and by whom was the settlement of Pennsylvania commenced? What is said of him? What did Penn resolve?—28. When and where did the first colony arrive? When did Penn arrive? What city did he lay out? What was his first care? 29 What is said of his system of government?

tutions, and to these wise regulations may be attributed the rapid advancement of Pennsylvania in population, enterprise, and importance. In addition to the territory included in the grant which he obtained from Charles, Penn became the proprietor of a tract of land, the present state of Delaware, which he obtained by purchase from the Duke of York. Having several times visited England, he at length died at London in 1718, having reached the age of seventy-five years.

30. *Delaware* was first settled in 1627, by a company of *Swedes* and *Finns*, who, having arrived in the country, purchased from the natives the land from *Cape Henlopen* to the falls of the Delaware, and commenced a settlement at the mouth of Christiana creek, near Wilmington, and called the country *New Sweden*. But their empire was not destined to be of long duration. In 1651, the Dutch in the neighboring colony of New Netherlands, who had always regarded the Swedish settlement with an eye of jealousy, under their governor, *Peter Stuyvesant*, invaded New Sweden, and reduced the colony to complete subjection. When the English afterwards conquered New York, they also obtained Delaware, which was considered a part of that territory.

31. *New Jersey* was first settled by *Hollanders* and *Swedes*. When New York was ceded by Charles II. to his brother, the Duke of York, all the territory between the Hudson and Delaware rivers was included in the grant. The tract comprising the present state of New Jersey, he sold to *Lord Berkeley* and *Sir George Carteret*. In 1671, Lord Berkeley disposed of his share of New Jersey to two English Quakers, named *Fenwicke* and *Byllinge*; and in the year 1682 William Penn and eleven others of the Society of Friends, became the proprietors of the remainder of the province, which they purchased from Sir George Carteret. The first governor was the celebrated *Robert Barclay*, the author of the "Apology for the Quakers," whose administration was for life.

32. *The Carolinas*. The next provinces that claim our attention are North and South Carolina. Towards the middle of the seventeenth century, a considerable number of persons, suffering in Virginia from religious intolerance, removed beyond the limits of that colony, and commenced a settlement in a portion of country north of Albemarle Sound, and shortly afterwards another company of adventurers from Massachusetts settled near Cape Fear. In 1663, Charles II. granted to Lord Clarendon and others the entire tract of land lying between the thirty-first and thirty-sixth degree of north latitude, and extending from the Atlantic to the Pacific Ocean.

33. The proprietors endeavored to hasten the settlement of this extensive region by establishing a liberal government, allowing perfect freedom in religion, and by offering a portion of land for

Of what did Penn become the proprietor? Where and when did he die?—30. When and by whom was Delaware settled? In 1651 what took place?—31. By whom was New Jersey settled? In 1674 what did Lord Berkeley do? Who afterwards became the proprietors?—32. What provinces next claim our attention? Towards the middle of the seventeenth century what was done? In 1663 what did Charles grant?—33. What did the proprietors do?

the first five years at a half-penny per acre. They afterwards extended their settlements to the banks of the Ashley and Cooper rivers, where Charleston now stands; and in 1739 the title of the land was sold to the crown, after which the country was divided into North and South Carolina, and a royal governor appointed over each. During the year 1700 the growth of cotton was introduced, and two years later that of rice, which articles have subsequently become the prominent staples of those provinces.

34. *Georgia.* The last settled of the thirteen original states that revolted against Great Britain was Georgia, which received its name from George II. In 1732 one hundred and sixteen persons embarked from England under General *Oglethorpe*, and arrived at Charleston early in the following year. From Charleston they sailed to their destined territory, and shortly after their arrival they laid the foundation of the city of Savannah. For several years after the settlement was commenced, the colony remained in a languishing state, but after the surrender of its charter to the crown, it began to flourish.

35. In the year 1736 the celebrated *John Wesley* arrived in Georgia, and commenced his missionary labors among the colonists and Indians, but not meeting with the desired success, he returned again to England. Oglethorpe was distinguished as a soldier and a statesman. At an early age he served on the continent of Europe under the celebrated *Prince Eugene*, until the return of peace; and on his return to England he was elected a member of the British parliament. At the commencement of the American Revolution he was offered the command of the British army, which high office he thought proper to decline. He died shortly after the contest was decided, at the advanced age of ninety-seven years.

SECTION IV.

The French war; Conquest of Canada; the Oppressive Measures of Great Britain towards the Colonies; Commencement of Hostilities; Battle of Lexington; Bunker Hill, Declaration of Independence.

1. We have seen that the French made settlements in Canada, at Quebec, on the banks of the St. Lawrence, about the same time that the English colony at Jamestown was commenced in Virginia. Besides the possession of Canada in the north, France had also a territory on the Mississippi, in the south, called Louisiana. The boundary between the English and French colonies had long been a subject of dispute and unavailing negotiation. It had been for some time a favorite object on the part

In 1739 what was done? What was introduced in 1700?—34. Which was the last of the thirteen states? When and by whom was the settlement commenced? Of what city did they lay the foundation?—35. In the year 1736 who arrived? What is said of Oglethorpe? When did he die?

1. Where had the French made settlements? What had long been a subject of dispute? What was the object of the French?

of France to connect her distant possessions, by erecting forts along the Ohio and the lakes, and thus to restrict the British to a limited territory on the sea-coast.

2. This proceeding on the part of France alarmed the British and called forth the most decisive measures. Repeated complaints of violence having been made to the governor of Virginia, he determined to send a messenger to the French commander at *Fort Du Quesne*, on the Ohio, where Pittsburg now stands, to demand the reasons of his hostile conduct, and to insist that he should evacuate the fort. The choice of a person to perform this arduous undertaking fell upon *George Washington*, the future deliverer of his country, then a youth in the twenty-first year of his age. Having received his instructions from the governor, he departed on his perilous journey to the French settlement, at a distance of near four hundred miles, one-half of the route being through a wilderness inhabited by hostile savages. On the way, his horse failing, he proceeded on foot, accompanied by a single companion, with a gun in his hand and a pack on his shoulders. On the 12th of December he reached the French fort, delivered his message to the commander, and by the middle of January returned in safety with an answer to the governor of Virginia.

3. The reply not proving satisfactory, the organization of a regiment was immediately commenced in Virginia, to support the claims of Great Britain over the disputed territory. Of this regiment, *Mr. Fry* was appointed colonel, and young *Washington* lieutenant-colonel; but on the death of Mr. Fry, which happened shortly after his appointment, the command devolved on Washington. Without delay Washington marched forward at the head of a small force to dislodge the French from Fort Du Quesne, situated at the confluence of the *Allegheny* and *Monongahela* rivers. But before he reached the place he was informed that the garrison had been strongly reinforced, and that a body of nine hundred French were advancing against him: he therefore thought it prudent to fall back to a fort which he had previously thrown up; but before he had time to complete his defense he was attacked by the French general, *De Villier*, and after making a brave resistance, he was compelled to yield on honorable terms of capitulation.

4. In the year 1755, *General Braddock* arrived in Virginia with two regiments, and after being joined by the provincials, under Washington, his forces amounted to twenty thousand men. Braddock was brave, but inexperienced as to the mode of Indian warfare. Washington, who acted as his aid-de-camp, asked permission to go forward and scour the woods with the provincial troops under his command; but Braddock, despising this prudent advice, pushed forward incautiously, and when within a few

2 What did the governor of Virginia determine? Whom did he select? What was the distance? On his way what happened? When did he reach the fort?—3. After this, what was immediately commenced? Of this regiment, who was appointed colonel? Where did Washington march? What was he informed before he reached the place? What did he do?—4. In 1755, who arrived? What is said of Braddock? What did Washington ask? What did Braddock do?

miles of Fort Du Quesne, he fell into an ambuscade of French and Indians. The invisible enemy commenced a heavy discharge of musketry upon his unprotected troops; the van was forced back upon the main body, and the whole army thrown into disorder. A dreadful slaughter now ensued. Braddock did all that a brave general could do to encourage his men to stand the assault; but valor was unavailing. After an action of three hours, seven hundred of the English were left dead upon the field, and Braddock himself, after having three horses shot under him, fell mortally wounded; Washington had two horses killed under him, and four bullets passed through his coat, yet he escaped uninjured. The provincial troops under his command preserved their order, and covered the retreat of the regulars, who broke their ranks and could not be rallied.

5. Three successive campaigns produced nothing but expense and disappointment to the British government. The lakes and the whole western and northern border were in possession of the French and Indians. With an inferior force they had maintained a superiority, and even extended their encroachments. In 1756, a change was effected in the British ministry, and *William Pitt* (afterwards Lord Chatham) was raised to the head of the administration. From this moment affairs began to assume a new aspect. The active and enterprising genius of Pitt seemed to diffuse itself through every department of the state. He addressed a circular to the colonies in America, assuring them that an effectual force should be sent from England, and called on them to furnish as large a force as their population would permit. The number of men brought into the field at the next campaign amounted to fifty thousand, of which twenty thousand were raised in the colonies.

6. The first expedition was directed against Louisburg, which, after an obstinate resistance, surrendered with a garrison consisting of nearly six thousand men. Ticonderoga and Crown Point next fell into the hands of the English. Niagara was besieged, and after a severe action, also surrendered. But a far more important and more dangerous enterprise remained yet to be accomplished. The city of Quebec, a place strongly fortified by nature and art, the capital of the French dominions in America, was protected by a garrison of ten thousand men, under the able and experienced General *Montcalm*. The arduous duty of reducing the place was committed to the heroic general *Wolfe*.

7. Having landed his army, consisting of eight thousand men, on the island of Orleans, below Quebec, he made an unsuccessful attempt to reduce the city. Not discouraged by this failure, Wolfe conceived the design of ascending, during the night, a steep and craggy precipice, to an eminence on the north bank of the river called the *Heights of Abraham*. This enterprise he effected with in-

What was his fate? What is said of Washington?—5. What did three campaigns produce? In 1756 what took place? What did he address? What was the number of men at the next campaign?—6. What was the first expedition? What places were taken? What is said of Quebec? To whom was the duty of reducing it committed?—7 What design did Wolfe conceive?

credible labor, before Montcalm had the slightest intimation of his design, and by sun-rise the following morning, his whole army was arrayed on the plains above. A sanguinary battle ensued, in which the French were entirely defeated, with a loss of fifteen hundred men, among whom were numbered four of their principal officers, who fell in the action. But while the French were called to mourn the loss of their brave general *Montcalm*, the British were compelled to lament the death of the heroic *Wolfe*, who fell in the moment of victory. This illustrious man having received a mortal wound, was carried to the rear of the army, where he caused himself to be raised that he might view the engagement. Faint with the loss of blood, he had reclined his head upon the arm of an officer, when he was roused by the cry: "They fly, they fly!" "Who fly?" exclaimed the dying general. Being told that it was the enemy, he replied, "I die contented," and immediately expired. The sentiments of Montcalm in the moments of death, are equally remarkable. Being told that he could not survive more than a few hours, he replied, "It is so much the better, I shall not then live to see the surrender of Quebec." This important battle was followed by the reduction of the city, and subsequently by that of all Canada; so that of all the territories claimed by France in America, New Orleans, and a few plantations on the Mississippi, alone remained in her possession, A. D. 1763.

8. Never had the attachment of the colonies to the mother country been more strongly manifested than during the French war, which had terminated so advantageously to England. The colonists felt proud of their descent and connexion with one of the most powerful nations of Europe. The peculiar circumstances in which the early settlers had been placed, led them to study with more than usual care the principles of political liberty, and to view with a jealous eye every encroachment of power. What degree of authority the parent country might exercise over the colonies, had never been defined. In England, the doctrine prevailed, that parliament had the power to bend them in all cases whatever; a principle which, in America, had been publicly denied.

9. The expenses attending the recent war had rendered it necessary to increase the usual taxes of the English nation; but the ministry, apprehensive of rendering themselves unpopular by too severely pressing on the resources of the people at home, determined to raise a revenue from the colonies in America. In 1765, *Mr. Grenville*, the commissioner of the treasury, introduced the famous *Stamp Act*, by which all instruments of writing, such as law documents, deeds, leases, wills, &c., were to be null and void, unless on stamped paper, on which a duty was to be paid. The bill passed the house after a long and animated discussion.

What ensued? What was the loss of the French? What was the fate of Wolfe? What is related of him before his death? What is said of Montcalm? What followed the reduction of this city?—8. What is said of the attachment of the colonies? Of what were they proud? In England, what doctrine prevailed?—9. What did the expenses render necessary? What is said of the ministry? In 1765, what was introduced? What was the nature of this act?

10. The news of this measure created the greatest sensation among the colonists. They remonstrated against it, but in vain, the *act* went into execution during the following year. The assembly of Virginia was in session when the intelligence arrived; a number of resolutions were immediately brought forward by the patriotic *Patrick Henry*, in opposition to the *act*. Massachusetts also declared herself opposed to it, and in all the colonies, a determined spirit of resistance to the oppressive measure was strongly manifested. When the news of the *Stamp Act* reached Boston, the bells were muffled, and rung a funeral peal; the crown officers were treated with insult, and, in some instances, the houses were broken open or demolished. In the city of New York, the *act* was carried through the streets with a death's head affixed to it, bearing this inscription: "The folly of England, and the ruin of America."

11. A *Colonial Congress* met at New York, and published a declaration of their rights, insisting particularly on the exclusive right of taxing themselves, and loudly complaining of the *Stamp Act*. The merchants of Boston, New York, and Philadelphia, entered into a combination, and passed a resolution not to import or sell British goods until the offensive measure should be repealed. So great and spirited was the opposition of the colonies, that the Stamp Act, through the exertions of Mr. Pitt, Lord Camden, and others, was repealed in the spring of 1766; but the repeal was accompanied with a declaration that the British parliament had the power to enforce upon the colonies any measure it might think proper; and in accordance with this principle, an act was passed during the following year, 1767, imposing a duty on tea, paper, glass, and painters' colors. This act was followed by another most arbitrary declaration, that all offenders in Massachusetts should be sent to England for trial, and in order to carry these measures into effect, two British regiments were sent over, and quartered in Boston.

12. The feelings of the citizens were highly exasperated to see themselves beset by an insolent soldiery, sent over with the design of intimidating them into compliance with the arbitrary acts of the British parliament. Frequent disputes occurred between them and the soldiers, and on the fifth of March, 1770, a collision took place between a detachment of troops under *Captain Preston*, and the inhabitants of Boston, which resulted in the death of three of the latter, while five more were dangerously wounded. Captain Preston and the soldiers were brought to trial, and acquitted, except two, who were convicted of manslaughter.

13. *Lord North* succeeded to the Duke of Grafton, as prime minister of England, in 1770, when all the duties were revoked, with the exception of the one imposing three pence per pound on *tea*. Things continued in this state of partia

10. What did the news of this measure create? In the Assembly of Virginia, wh was done? And in Boston? In the city of New York?—11. What did the Coloni Congress publish? What did the merchants of Boston, &c., do? When was the Stamp Act repealed? In 1767, what was passed? By what was this followed?—12. What frequently occurred? On the fifth of March, 1790, what happened?—13. By whom was the Duke of Grafton succeeded?

irritation until the year 1773, when the British East India Company were authorized to export their tea to the colonies free of duty. The inhabitants of New York and Philadelphia prevented the landing of the tea ships sent to these cities, but the people of Boston shewed their resentment in a different manner; a party of men disguised as Indians, boarded the vessels, and threw the tea, consisting of three hundred and forty-two chests, into the harbor.

14. Nothing could exceed the indignation of parliament when the news of this transaction reached England. An act was immediately passed, by which the port of Boston was closed, and the government and officers transferred to Salem, A. D. 1774.

In May of the same year, *General Gage*, who had been appointed commander-in-chief of the British forces in North America, arrived in Boston; and was shortly followed by two regiments more, with artillery and military stores.

By these proceedings, the Americans very justly concluded that it was the object of the British government to reduce them to obedience by force of arms; that the hour of reconciliation was passed; that their rights could only be maintained by an appeal to force; therefore, without delay, they began to prepare themselves for the contest.

15. An agreement was entered into by many of the most distinguished men of Massachusetts, called a "Solemn League and Covenant," by which they determined to suspend all intercourse with Great Britain, until their rights should be restored. A regular enlistment of soldiers was commenced, and five general officers were appointed. The general court of Massachusetts resolved that a congress of the colonies should be called; accordingly, on the fifth of September, delegates from all the colonies except Georgia met at Philadelphia. This body, generally known by the name of the *Continental Congress*, of which *Peyton Randolph* of Virginia was the first president, consisted of fifty-five members. They published a declaration of the colonies, agreed to suspend all intercourse with Great Britain: and drew up an address to the king, another to the people of England, and a third to the colonies.

16. When the proceedings of the Continental Congress were laid before the parliament, an address was presented to the king, declaring that Massachusetts was in a state of rebellion, and requesting that effectual means might be taken to suppress it. Accordingly, during the winter and spring of 1775, the number of royal troops in Boston were increased to ten thousand, a force deemed sufficient for that purpose. In February, General Gage despatched a body of troops to Salem, to take possession of some pieces of cannon, but they were disappointed of their object; the

What took place in 1773? How did the people of Boston show their resentment?—14. What act was immediately passed? In May of the same year, who arrived at Boston? By these proceedings, what did the Americans conclude?—15 What agreement was entered into? What was commenced? What did the Court of Massachusetts resolve? By what name is this body known? Who was the first President? What did they do?—16. What was done when the proceedings of Congress were laid before Parliament? In February, what did General Gage do?

cannon having been removed through the precaution of the provincials, who had received intimation of their design.

17. In April, Gage sent another body of troops under Colonel Smith and Major Pitcairn, to destroy the military stores which had been collected at *Concord*, about twenty miles distant from Boston. On the morning of the nineteenth of April, as they passed through Lexington, they were met by a party of militia, to the number of about seventy, who had assembled on the green for the purpose of opposing their progress. Major Pitcairn, riding up, called out to them to disperse; but not being obeyed, he discharged his pistol, and ordered his men to fire. Eight of the Americans were killed, and several wounded. Thus was shed the first blood in that memorable contest, which finally resulted in securing the liberty, and establishing the *Independence* of America.

18. The royal troops after this, proceeded to Concord, and destroyed some military stores collected in the town. The British commander then attempted to cut off the approach of the Americans from the neighborhood by destroying or occupying the bridges. Accordingly a small force was sent to take possession of a bridge over Concord river, but being attacked by the Americans, who were desirous of keeping open a communication with the town, a smart action took place, which terminated in the retreat of the British, with a loss of several killed and wounded. Hastily burying their dead in the public square, the British troops commenced their march, or rather their retreat towards Boston. In the mean time, the people of the neighborhood flew to arms, and attacked the retreating troops on every side; an incessant fire was kept up from behind trees, walls, and rocks, until they reached Lexington, where they were joined by a reinforcement, which secured their retreat to Boston, after sustaining a loss of sixty-five killed, and one hundred and eighty wounded. The Americans lost fifty killed and thirty-four wounded.

19. From the first appearance of the approaching contest, the Americans were anxious that when an attack should be made, the British should be the aggressors. In this they were gratified by the affair at Lexington, which was now considered as a signal for hostilities. The forts, magazines, and arsenals, within the limits of the colonies, were instantly secured for the use of the Americans. *Congress*, on hearing what had taken place at Lexington, immediately passed a resolution for raising an army of thirty thousand men in New England; and in a short time a considerable force was collected in the vicinity of Boston. The first expedition was directed against Ticonderoga and Crown Point, which were taken by surprise. Towards the end of May, a considera ble reinforcement of British troops arrived at Boston, under the

17. In April, what took place? On the nineteenth, by whom were they met? Wh . did Major Pitcairn do? How many of the Americans were killed?—18. What did the British commander attempt to do? What took place at the bridge over Concord river? What did the British do? How many were killed on both sides?—19. For what were the Americans anxious? What did Congress immediately? What was the first expedition? In May, what arrived at Boston?

command of Generals Howe, Clinton, and Burgoyne, officers of high reputation.

20. For the purpose of annoying, or, if possible, to drive the British from Boston, a detachment of one thousand men, under the command of Colonel Prescott, was ordered to throw up a breastwork on *Bunker Hill*, but by some mistake they took possession of *Breed's Hill*, an eminence much nearer Boston. Moving silently to the spot on the evening of the sixteenth of June, they prosecuted their design with so much expedition, that by the return of day they had nearly completed an intrenchment of ten rods square. At the break of day their operations being discovered, a brisk cannonade was commenced from a vessel lying in the harbor, against the works of the Americans, without being able to retard their progress. During the morning, Colonel Prescott received a reinforcement of five hundred men. About noon, a detachment of two thousand men, under the command of General Howe, were sent to drive the Americans from their intrenchments. A severe engagement followed; during which the British were twice repulsed with dreadful slaughter; but from the failure of the ammunition of the Americans, they finally succeeded in carrying the fortifications, after sustaining a loss of one thousand and fifty-four in killed and wounded. The loss on the part of the Americans amounted to four hundred and fifty-three; but among the slain they had to number the much lamented and patriotic Major-general Warren, who had hastened as a volunteer to the field of battle. While the British were advancing to the attack, Charlestown was ordered to be set on fire, and in a few hours, the whole town, consisting of four hundred houses, was laid in ashes.

21. The Congress then in session in Philadelphia, resolved on immediate measures of defense; they began the organization of a continental army, selected *George Washington* a member of their body from Virginia, as commander-in-chief, and made the appointment of subordinate officers under him. With much diffidence, *General Washington* received the appointment, but without delay entered immediately on the duties of his office, and by the second of July, joined the army at Cambridge.

22. With a view of guarding the frontiers, a plan was devised for the invasion of Canada, and, if possible, to reduce the country In pursuance of this object, a body of troops under *Schuyler* and *Montgomery* were sent to that province; but the former having returned to treat with the Indians, was prevented by sickness from again joining the army. The chief command therefore devolved upon Montgomery, who having taken *Fort Chamblee, St. John's*, and the city of Montreal, which surrendered without resistance, he pursued his victories to the very walls of *Quebec*. Colonel Arnold was sent with a reinforcement of one thousand

20. For the purpose of driving the British from Boston, what was done? How did they prosecute their design? At noon, what took place? What followed? What was the loss of the British? Of the Americans? What city was set on fire?—21. What did Congress resolve? Who was selected as commander-in-chief? When and where did he join the army?—22. What plan was devised? Who was sent to that province? What places did Montgomery take?

men to join Montgomery, and after a fatiguing march through the wilderness, during which his troops were exposed to incredible suffering, he reached *Quebec* in November. After remaining before the city for some time, without the slightest prospect of being able to take it by a siege, they resolved to attempt it by an assault. Accordingly, on the last day of December, they made an attack upon the city in three separate divisions, which, however, proved unsuccessful, and fatal to the brave Montgomery, who fell in the act of scaling the walls.

23. Early in the following spring, the Americans being obliged to relinquish their design of reducing Canada, evacuated the country. About this time the flourishing town of *Norfolk* was wantonly burnt by order of *Lord Dunmore*, the royal governor of Virginia; and Falmouth, a town in the province of Maine, shared the same fate, being laid in ashes by order of the British admiral. In October, *General Gage* having embarked for England, the command of the British forces devolved on *Sir William Howe*.

24. During the summer and autumn of 1775, the army under *General Washington*, amounting in number to near fifteen thousand men, remained inactive for want of suitable arms and ammunition; but early in the following spring, an effort was made to dislodge the British from Boston. On the night of the fourth of March, a battery was erected with much secrecy and despatch, on *Dorchester Heights*, a situation that completely commanded the city. Unable to remove the Americans from their position, General Howe deemed it expedient to evacuate the town; accordingly, on the seventeenth of March, he embarked his troops for *Halifax*, and General Washington on the same day entered the city in triumph, amidst the joyous acclamations of the inhabitants.

25. Early in the ensuing summer, a small armament under the command of *Sir Peter Parker*, and a body of troops, under General Clinton, made an attack on Charleston, the capital of South Carolina; but after a violent assault upon the fort on Sullivan's Island, from which they were repulsed with considerable loss, the enterprise was abandoned. When the news of the battle of *Bunker Hill* reached England, it filled all minds with surprise and astonishment. *Lord Chatham*, *Burke*, and *Fox*, endeavored, but in vain, to produce a change in the measures of the government. The ministry blindly persisted in their plans, and obtained an act of parliament, authorizing them to employ sixteen thousand mercenary troops from the *Landgrave of Hesse* and the *Duke of Brunswick*. All trade and intercourse with the colonies were prohibited, and their property on the high seas was declared forfeited to those who should capture it.

26. At the commencement of the controversy, the Americans had contended only for their rights as British subjects, but these

By whom was he joined? What did they resolve? What was the result?—23. About this time what town was burnt? In October, what happened?—24. During the summer of 1775, what is said of the army? On the fourth of March, what was done? On the seventeenth, what did General Howe do?—25. By whom was an attack made on Charleston? What is said of Chatham, Burke, and Fox? What did the ministry obtain?

hostile measures induced them to assume a loftier position Seeing there was no alternative left, but that of absolute freedom, or unconditional submission, they determined to sever entirely those ties that bound them to the mother country, and assert their independence. On the seventh of June, a motion was made in Congress by *Richard Henry Lee*, of Virginia, and seconded by *John Adams* of Massachusetts, for declaring the colonies free and independent; and at the same time, a committee, consisting of *Jefferson*, *Adams*, *Franklin*, *Sherman*, and *Livingston*, were appointed to prepare the *Declaration of Independence*, which was finally adopted after a full discussion, by a vote almost unanimous, on the memorable fourth of July, 1776. [*See* DECLARATION *in Appendix.*]

UNITED STATES.

SECTION V.

The war of the Revolution continued.

1. DURING the second Continental Congress, the provinces which had united against the oppressive measures of Great Britain, received the appellation of the *United Colonies*, but in the Declaration of Independence, they were styled the *United States of America;* hence, from this period, the history of the *United States* should probably commence.

The first important battle that took place after the Declaration of Independence, was that of *Long Island*. In June *General Howe* arrived off Sandy Hook, where he was shortly afterwards joined by his brother *Admiral Lord Howe*, with a great naval armament. General Washington, who knew that the favorite object of the British was to get possession of New York, had removed to that city with the greater part of his army.

2. On the 22d of August the British landed on *Long Island*, and on the 27th a severe engagement took place, in which the Americans were defeated with a loss of nearly one thousand men. The American generals, Sullivan and Lord Stirling, fell into the hands of the British, whose loss was estimated at about four hundred men. During the engagement, General Washington had hastened from New York with reinforcements, to the scene of action; but considering the inequality of numbers, it was thought expedient to evacuate the island, which was accordingly effected on the night of the 28th of the same month, with so much silence

26. For what had the Americans contended? Seeing no alternative left, what did they determine? On the seventh of June, what was done in Congress? Who were appointed on the committee to prepare the Declaration?

1. Why should the history of the United States commence from this period? Where did the first battle take place after the Declaration?—2. When did the British land? What followed on the 27th? During the engagement, what did General Washington do?

and order, that the British army, although not more than a quarter of a mile distant, had no intimation of their design until the Americans, with all their tents and baggage, were safely landed in the city of New York.

3. *General Howe*, who had been commissioned to settle the difficulties with the colonies, thought this a favorable opportunity for making proposals for an accommodation. He therefore dispatched several letters to General Washington; but as they were directed to George Washington, Esq., &c., the commander-in-chief refused to receive them unless addressed to him in his proper character. *Howe* then sent General Sullivan, who had been taken prisoner in the battle of Long Island, with a message to Congress; and in a few days after this, *Dr. Franklin*, *John Adams*, and *Edward Rutledge* were commissioned to hold an interview with the British general. They were politely received, but no accommodations of peace being effected, they returned to Philadelphia.

4. In September, the city of New York was abandoned by the American army, and shortly afterwards occupied by the British. Washington, with a part of his army, had retired to *White Plains*, where, on the 28th of October, a severe though indecisive action took place, with a loss of several hundred on both sides; and shortly afterwards, *Fort Washington*, on the Hudson, was reduced by *General Howe*, and its garrison, consisting of two thousand men, was captured. General Washington now retired to Newark; from thence he passed through New Brunswick, Princeton, Trenton, and finally crossed over to the Pennsylvania side of the Delaware, being so closely pursued by the British under *Lord Cornwallis*, that the rear of the one army was often in sight of the van of the other.

5. The affairs of the Americans at this crisis bore the most gloomy appearance. The army under General Washington, reduced by the loss of men in killed, wounded and prisoners, by the desertion of some, and the departure of others whose term of enlistment had expired, amounted to only about three thousand; and of this number, many were without shoes or clothing suitable to screen them from the inclemency of the season. To add to these disasters, General Lee had been taken prisoner at Basken-ridge, and Rhode Island fell into the hands of the British.

6. It was at this critical moment, when despair had pervaded almost every breast, that the expiring hopes of the nation were roused by a daring exploit of General Washington. On the night of the 25th of December he crossed the Delaware on the ice, surprised the enemy at Trenton and took the whole body, consisting of about one thousand Hessian troops under the command of Colonel Rahl, who was slain. He then proceeded to Princeton, and on the 3d of January, 1777, defeated a party of the Bri-

3. What did Howe think? What did he send to General Washington? Whom did he send with a message to Congress? What followed?—4. What was done in September? What took place at White Plains? What fort was shortly afterwards reduced? What course did Washington take?—5. By what was the army under Washington reduced? Where was Lee made prisoner?—6. On the 25th of December, what did General Washington do? Where did he then proceed?

tish, who lost about one hundred men, and compelled the remainder, about three hundred in number, to surrender themselves prisoners. In this action the Americans lost General Mercer, of Virginia, a brave and experienced officer. During the latter part of the year 1776, Congress had manifested the greatest energy. Measures were adopted for increasing the army, and *Dr. Franklin*, *Arthur Lee*, and *Silas Deane*, commissioned to Europe to solicit the aid and alliance of foreign powers.

7. During the spring of 1777, Governor *Tryon* was sent to destroy stores at Danbury in Connecticut; the design was executed and the town partly burnt; the British on their return were severely harassed by the Connecticut militia under General Wooster, who was unfortunately killed on the occasion. It had been long the object of General Howe to get possession of Philadelphia; for this purpose he embarked his troops, amounting to about sixteen thousand men, at Staten Island, entered the Chesapeake Bay, and landing near the head of Elk river, commenced his march towards that city. General Washington perceiving his object, hastened to oppose his progress with a much inferior force. On the 11th of September, a battle was fought on the banks of the *Brandywine*, in which the Americans were defeated with considerable loss. In this battle two eminent foreigners served under the American colors,—the *Marquis de Lafayette* of France, and *Pulaski* of Poland, the former of whom was wounded.

8. After this victory, the British General immediately directed his march to Philadelphia, and stationed the principal part of his army at Germantown, about seven miles from that city. On the 4th of October, General Washington attempted to surprise the detachment at Germantown, but was repulsed with a loss of twelve hundred men in killed, wounded, and prisoners, while the loss of the enemy was about half that number. While these operations were carried on in the Middle States, more auspicious events were taking place in the north. *General Burgoyne*, who commanded the British army in that quarter, took possession of Ticonderoga, which had been abandoned by the Americans under General St. Clair. But his progress was checked by the defeat of Colonel Baum, near Bennington, in Vermont, by a body of militia under General Stark.

9. Burgoyne having collected his forces, crossed the Hudson and encamped near *Saratoga*. General Gates, who had lately been appointed to the command of the American army in the north, advanced towards the enemy, and on the 19th of September an obstinate but undecisive engagement took place at *Stillwater;* and shortly after this another severe action occurred, in which the British were defeated and General Fraser killed; the American Generals *Arnold* and *Lincoln* were wounded. Gene-

In this action, who was killed? Who were commissioned to Europe?—7. What was done in the spring of 1777? What was the object of Howe? What course did he take? On the 11th of September, what took place? In this battle, what foreigners served?—8. After this victory, where did the British proceed? On the 4th of October, what took place? What place did Burgoyne take? How was his progress checked?—9. Where did Burgoyne encamp? On the 19th of September, what took place?

ral Burgoyne having made several ineffectual attempts to retreat, and finding his situation growing hourly more critical, called a council of war, in which it was resolved to surrender by capitulation. Accordingly, on the 17th of October, his whole army, amounting to near six thousand men, surrendered to General Gates as prisoners of war.

10. This event diffused universal joy among the Americans, and inspired them with ardor in the cause of freedom. The court of France, which had secretly wished success to the cause of the United States, was restrained from giving open countenance to their agents until after the surrender of Burgoyne. This event determined the course of France. A negotiation was immediately formed with the American commissioners, and on the 6th of February, 1778, a treaty of alliance, of amity and commerce was concluded and signed at Paris. The British ministry, on receiving intelligence of the alliance between France and the United States, began to hold out terms of reconciliation to the Americans, but the Congress was now too sanguine in the hope of success, to listen to any terms short of an acknowledgment of their independence.

11. In the mean time, General Howe, who had returned to England, was succeeded in the chief command by *Sir Henry Clinton*. It was now determined to concentrate the British forces in New York; accordingly, Clinton, having evacuated Philadelphia in June, crossed the Delaware, and proceeded on his march to that city. But as he retired, he was closely pursued by the American army under General Washington, and on the 28th of June a severe engagement took place at Monmouth Court-house, in which the British were repulsed with a heavy loss, and a signal victory must have been obtained, had General Lee obeyed his orders. For his misconduct on that day, Lee was suspended from duty and never afterwards joined the army.

12. In July, a French fleet of twelve ships of the line and four frigates, under the command of Count d'Estaign, arrived at the mouth of the Delaware; but towards the close of the season it sailed to the West Indies, without having performed any important service. The last transaction of this year was an expedition against Georgia, and on the last day of December the British took possession of Savannah.

13. 1779. During this year the principal theatre of the war was changed from the north to the southern provinces of the country. On the 15th of July, a detachment under *General Wayne* was sent to dislodge the British from Stony Point, on the Hudson; the expedition was conducted with so much courage and resolution, that the whole garrison, to the number of five hundred men, surrendered without the loss of a single individual on either side.

Finding it impossible to retreat, what was resolved? What was done on the 17th of October?—10 What is said of this event? Of the court of France? On the 6th of February, what was done? What did the British ministry do?—11. By whom was Howe succeeded? What was determined? What did Clinton do? On the 28th of June, what took place? What is said of General Lee?—12. In July, what arrived? What was the last transaction of this year?—13 During this year, where was the principal theatre of the war? What was done on the 15th of July?

In October, General Lincoln and Count d'Estaign made an attack upon Savannah, but were repulsed with considerable loss. In this action the brave and patriotic Pulaski, of Poland, was mortally wounded.

14. 1780. On the opening of the campaign of this year, the British troops evacuated Rhode Island. An expedition under Sir Henry Clinton and Lord Cornwallis was undertaken against Charleston, the capital of South Carolina, which was compelled to capitulate after a siege of six months, and the whole garrison, consisting of about two thousand five hundred men, together with all the adult male inhabitants, were surrendered as prisoners of war. Clinton, leaving four thousand troops under the command of Lord Cornwallis, returned to New York. For the purpose of subjecting the interior of the province, a considerable force was sent to Camden under *Lord Rawdon*. His troops, however were greatly harassed by small parties of the Americans under General Sumpter and other distinguished officers.

15. General Gates, who had been appointed to the command of the southern army in the place of General Lincoln, arrived in South Carolina in the latter part of July, and having concentrated his forces, prepared to oppose the progress of the British. Lord Cornwallis hastened to join Lord Rawdon with reinforcements, and on the 16th of August a severe engagement took place between the two armies, in which General Gates was defeated with the loss of upwards of seven hundred men. In this, *Baron De Kalb*, an illustrious Prussian general, then in the American service, bravely maintained his position at the head of the regular troops of Maryland and Delaware, until overpowered by numbers and almost surrounded, he was taken prisoner and died on the following day of the wounds he received. In July, *M. de Ternay*, with a French fleet, carrying six thousand land forces under *Count de Rochambeau*, arrived at Rhode Island. This event gave universal joy to the Americans; but the fleet, leaving the land forces, shortly returned again to France.

16. This year is distinguished for the treachery of *General Arnold*. General Washington, being called to Connecticut on business of importance, left the important fortress of West Point under the command of Arnold, who had previously distinguished himself at the siege of *Quebec*, and subsequently received a severe wound at *Saratoga*. He afterwards commanded in Philadelphia, where his oppressive conduct rendered him subject to a trial by court martial, by which he was sentenced to be reprimanded. He determined to have revenge; and for this purpose he entered into a negotiation with *Sir Henry Clinton*, to deliver up West Point with all its garrison into the hands of the British.

17. The British agent, through whom the negotiation with Arnold was conducted, was the unfortunate *Major Andre*. After

And in October? In this action, who was mortally wounded?—14 What was undertaken by Clinton and Cornwallis? What was the result? -15. What did General Gates do? What took place on the 16th of August? In this battle, what is said of Baron De Kalb? In July, what arrived at Rhode Island?—16. For what is this year distinguished? Into what negotiation did he enter?—17. Who was the British agent?

having an interview with the traitor, Andre was on his return to New York, with the papers in Arnold's own handwriting concealed in his boot, when he was detected by three Americans, and thus the treacherous designs were fortunately discovered in season to prevent their execution. Andre being convicted as a spy, his life was forfeited by the laws of war. He was accordingly condemned and executed. His youth and his many amiable qualities had endeared him to the officers of the British army, while his fate was deeply regretted by all. Arnold escaped to the English, and received as the reward of his treason an appointment to the office of brigadier-general in the British army.

18. 1781. The campaign of this year commenced by an expedition under Arnold, who made a descent upon the coast of Virginia, and committed extensive depredations. After the defeat of Gates, General Green was appointed to the army in the southern department. From this period affairs in that quarter began to wear a more favorable aspect. *Colonel Tarleton*, the British commander, was defeated by *General Morgan*, at the battle of the *Cow-Pens*. The two armies at length, under their respective commanders, met near Guilford Court-house, in North Carolina, where one of the best contested battles fought during the whole war took place. The Americans were obliged to retire from the field, yet the British suffered so severe a loss that they were unable to pursue the victory.

19. In September, General Green obtained an important victory over the British, under *Colonel Stuart*, at Eutaw Springs, where General Marion particularly distinguished himself, and Colonel Washington, a relative of the commander-in-chief, was wounded and taken prisoner. After this battle, Lord Cornwallis, leaving South Carolina, marched into Virginia, and having collected his forces, fortified himself at *Yorktown*. General Washington, learning the position of Cornwallis, secretly left his camp at *White Plains*, crossed the Hudson with his army, and passing rapidly through New Jersey and Pennsylvania, arrived at the head of Elk river, where he was joined by a considerable reinforcement under *Marquis de Lafayette*.

20. In the mean time, Clinton, who was not apprised of the departure of General Washington until it was too late to pursue him, sent a detachment of troops under *Arnold*, the traitor, against New London, in Connecticut, which was set on fire and burnt to the ground. While Washington was on his march to Virginia, he received the cheering intelligence of the arrival of a French fleet, consisting of twenty-four ships of the line, under *Count de Grasse*, in the Chesapeake. A British fleet of nineteen vessels, under *Admiral Graves*, soon after appeared off the Capes. A slight engagement took place between the two fleets, in which

Relate the circumstances of Andre's capture. What was his fate? What became of Arnold?—18. How was the campaign of this year commenced? Where and by whom was Tarleton defeated? Where did the two armies again meet? What was the result?—19. In September, what did Green obtain? After this battle, where did Cornwallis fortify himself? What did General Washington do?—20. In the mean time what was done by Clinton? While Washington was on his march to Virginia, what news did he receive? What fleet appeared off the capes? What took place?

the French had the advantage, and remained in possession of the bay.

21. A body of French troops was now landed, in order to co-operate with the Americans, under General *Washington*, who by this time had embarked his forces and arrived at *Yorktown*. A close siege was now commenced, and carried on with so much vigor by the united forces of France and America, that *Lord Cornwallis*, on the 19th of October, was compelled to sign articles of capitulation, by which the British army, military stores and shipping, were surrendered to General Washington. The number of the British forces that surrendered on that occasion amounted to something over seven thousand, but many of them at the time were unfit for duty. When the news of this glorious event, which was considered as deciding the contest, was reported to Congress, that body immediately recommended that a day of public thanksgiving should be observed throughout the United States. Shortly after the surrender of *Cornwallis*, the British evacuated nearly all their posts in South Carolina and Georgia, and joined the main army in New York.

22. As no rational hope now remained of conquering America, Great Britain at length resolved to discontinue the prosecution of a war which had already exhausted the nation and reflected discredit upon their arms. Accordingly, on the 30th of November, provisional articles of peace were signed, in which the independence of the United States was acknowledged; and the 3d of September, 1783, there was concluded, at Versailles, by *Franklin*, *Adams*, *Jay*, and *Laurens*, on the part of America, and *Mr. Oswald*, on the part of Great Britain, a definite treaty of peace, by which the thirteen United Colonies were admitted to be "Free, sovereign, and independent States."

Thus terminated that long and eventful war of the Revolution, which cost Great Britain, besides the loss of her colonies, the sum of £1,000,000 sterling, and the lives of fifty thousand of her subjects. A contest, which produced for America, as the happy reward of her trials, her sufferings, and the blood of her patriots, the establishment of her freedom and independence.

23. Peace being thus restored, the first step of Congress was to disband the army. But here a serious difficulty arose respecting the payment of the arrears of the officers and privates, many of whom had not received for five years the smallest compensation. The most serious consequences were about to ensue, when the storm, which seemed ready to plunge the rising republic into all the horrors of civil war, was happily quelled by the prudence and energy of General *Washington*. Congress having made arrangements for the payment of the soldiers, fixed upon the 3d of November for disbanding the army.

21. What was now commenced and carried on? What was the number of forces that surrendered? At the news of this event, what did Congress do?—22. What did Great Britain at length resolve? What took place on the 30th of November? And finally on the 3d of September, 1783? What did the contest cost Great Britain?—23. What now was the first step of Congress? What difficulty arose? How was it ouelled?

24. On the day previous, *Washington* issued his farewell address, bidding an affectionate adieu to the soldiers who had fought and bled by his side. After taking leave of the army, he was called to the still more painful duty of separation from officers endeared to him by the mutual dangers and sufferings they had endured together. After this, he immediately repaired to Annapolis, where Congress was then in session, resigned his military commission, and declared that he was no longer invested with any public character. Having thus given back the almost unlimited power he possessed to that source from whence he received it, he retired to his estate at *Mount Vernon*, and again devoted himself to the peaceful pursuits of agriculture, carrying to his retirement the gratitude of his country and the applause and admiration of the world.

25. At the close of the war, when the states were released from the presence of danger, the government, under the *Articles of Confederation*, was found to be weak, and wholly insufficient for the public exigencies. The authority of Congress was but little respected; a large public debt had been contracted, and no provisions had been made for paying the principal or the interest. The necessity, therefore, of a more efficient and general system of government was extensively felt; and in accordance with a proposition of the legislature of Virginia, commissioners from several states met, in 1786, at Annapolis, for the purpose of entering into certain commercial regulations. But after some deliberation they determined to adjourn with a proposal to all the other states to appoint delegates to meet at Philadelphia, for the purpose of digesting a form of government equal to the exigencies of the Union.

26. Agreeable to this proposition, delegates from every state, except *Rhode Island*, convened at Philadelphia on the 25th of May, 1787. On the motion of *Robert Morris*, of Pennsylvania, General Washington, one of the delegates from Virginia, was unanimously elected President of the Convention.

On taking the chair, he thanked the members of the Convention for the honor they had conferred upon him, reminded them of the novelty of the scene of business in which he was about to act, lamented his want of better qualifications, and claimed the indulgence of the house for any involuntary errors which his inexperience might occasion. On the 29th of May, the draft of a federal government, differing in some particulars from the present Constitution, was laid before the house by *Mr. Charles Pinkney* of South Carolina. The Convention then proceeded to discuss each clause separately, during which various opinions were advanced by the different delegates, and frequently animated discussions followed.

27. On the subject of salaries to the executive branch of the

24. On the day previous, what did Washington do? After this, where did he repair? Where did he retire?—25. At the close of the war, what was the government formed to be? What is said of Congress? What proposition was made in the Legislature of Virginia? What did they determine?—26. Agreeably to this, what was done? Who was elected President? On taking his seat, what did he say? On the 29th of May, what was done?

legislature, *Mr. Wilson*, of Pennsylvania, who was opposed to the measure, observed, "Sir, there are two passions which have a powerful influence on the affairs of men. These are ambition and avarice; the love of power, and the love of money. Separately, each of these has great force in prompting men to action; but when united in view of the same object, they have, in many minds, the most violent effects. Place before the eyes of such men a post of *honor*, and at the same time that of *profit*, and they will move heaven and earth to obtain it .... And of what kind are the men that will strive for this profitable pre-eminence, through all the bustle of cabal, the heat of contention, the mutual abuse of parties, tearing to pieces the best of characters? It will not be the wise and moderate, the lovers of peace and good order; the men fittest for trust. It will be the bold and violent, men of strong passions and indefatigable activity in selfish pursuits."*

28. On the morning of the 17th of September, after the last reading of the Constitution, *Doctor Franklin* arose with a speech in his hand, which he had reduced to writing for his own convenience, and which read in the following words:

"Mr. President—I confess that there are several parts of this Constitution which I do not at present approve, but I am not sure that I shall never approve them. For having lived long, I have experienced many instances of being obliged, by better information, or fuller consideration, to change my opinions even on important subjects, which I once thought right, but found to be otherwise. It is, therefore, that the older I grow, the more apt I am to doubt my own judgment, and to pay more respect to the judgment of others. In these sentiments, sir, I agree to this Constitution with all its faults, if they are such, because I think a general government necessary for us, and there is no form of government but what may prove a blessing to the people, if well administered. Thus I consent, sir, to this Constitution, because I expect no better, and because I am not sure that it is not the best. The opinions I have had of its errors, I sacrifice to the public good. I have never whispered them abroad; within these walls they were born, here they shall die. I hope, therefore, that for our own sakes, as a part of the people, and for the sake of posterity, we shall act heartily and unanimously in recommending this Constitution, if approved by Congress, wherever our influence may extend, and turn our future thoughts and endeavors to the means of having it well administered."† The Constitution was then signed by all the delegates present, with the exception of *Mr. Randolph*, *Mr. Mason*, and *Mr. Gerry*, who declined giving it the sanction of their names. [*See the* CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, *with names of the Delegates from each State, in the Appendix.*]

29. After four months' deliberation, the *Federal Constitution*

* Madison Papers, p. 772. † Ibid. p. 1596

27. On the subject of salaries, what did Mr. Wilson observe?—28 On the morning of the 17th of September, what was done by Doctor Franklin? Who refused to sign the Constitution?

being thus almost unanimously agreed to by the members of the Convention, was presented to Congress, and by that body transmitted to the several states for their consideration, and being at length accepted and ratified by eleven of the thirteen *Confederate States*, it became the Constitution of the United States, A. D. 1788. North Carolina and Rhode Island, the two States which at first dissented from it, afterwards adopted it; the former in 1789, and the latter in 1790.

30. By the Constitution, all legislative powers are vested in a Congress of the United States, consisting of a President, a Senate, and House of Representatives. [*See the* CONSTITUTION, *in the Appendix.*]

According to the Constitution, the several States immediately elected their delegates to Congress; and by the unanimous vote, *General Washington* was chosen the first President. When the appointment was officially announced to him, he yielded to the unanimous call of his country, and bidding adieu to his peaceful retirement at Mount Vernon, he proceeded without delay to New York, where the Congress was then assembled. His progress to that city was marked by every demonstration of gratitude and respect; triumphal arches were erected to commemorate his achievements; he was hailed as the father of his country; the aged invoked a thousand benedictions upon him as he passed; the young expressed their hope, that as he had defended the injured rights of their parents, he would not refuse his protection to their children.

31. On the 30th of April, he was inaugurated President of the United States, in the City Hall of New York, the oath of office being administered by Mr. Livingston, Chancellor of the State of New York. When he retired to the Senate Chamber, he addressed both houses in an impressive speech, reminding them that no truth was more thoroughly established, than that there existed an indissoluble union between virtue and happiness; between duty and advantage; between the genuine maxims of an honest and magnanimous people, and the solid rewards of public prosperity and felicity; and that the propitious smiles of an overruling providence could never be expected on a nation regardless of the fundamental rules of order and right, which Heaven itself had ordained.

32. *John Adams*, of Massachusetts, who had borne a distinguished part in the Revolution, was elected the first Vice-President. The other principal officers, at the first organization of the government, were *Thomas Jefferson*, Secretary of State; *Alexander Hamilton*, Secretary of the Treasury; *Henry Knox*, Secretary of War; *Edmund Randolph*, Attorney-General, and *John Jay*, Chief Justice of the United States. The first event

29. After four months' deliberation, what was done? When did it become the Constitution, &c? What states rejected it, and when did they adopt it?—30. By the Constitution, where is a legislative power invested? Who was chosen the first President? Where did he proceed? What is said of his progress to that city?—31. What took place on the 30th of April? When retired, how did he address the houses? Of what did he remind them, &c.?—32. Who was the first Vice-President? Who were the other principal officers?

of importance that distinguished the administration of Washington, was a sanguinary war with the Indians to the north of Ohio, who obtained a victory over Generals *Harmer* and *St. Clair*; but General *Wayne*, who was appointed to the command of the army in that section of the country, brought the war to a successful termination, and compelled the savages to conclude a treaty of peace in 1795, at Greenville.

33. The first object of the legislative attention was to replenish the treasury. For this purpose, duties were laid on imported merchandise, and a reasonable taxation imposed on the tonnage of vessels. In 1790, Colonel *Hamilton* brought forward a bill for the formation of a national bank. On this subject the cabinet was divided. *Mr. Jefferson* and *Mr. Randolph* considered the bill as decidedly unconstitutional. Hamilton and others, with equal decision, maintained the contrary opinion. But after a protracted debate, a bill for establishing such a bank passed both branches of the legislature, and received the signature of the President. The bank was chartered for twenty years, with a capital of ten millions, in shares of four hundred dollars each. This measure seemed to increase the disaffection of many with the executive, and gave rise to the two parties into which we find the political community divided at the present time. The supporters of M. Hamilton and the national bank were styled federalists, while Mr. Jefferson and those who opposed it were denominated republicans.

34. During the second term of Washington's administration, the United States were partially involved in difficulties growing out of the convulsions of Europe. The French Revolution had commenced, and that nation made certain demands on this country for assistance, while the feelings of the people were warmly enlisted on the side of France, and would have urged the nation into hostilities with England. The President, however, determined on a course of neutrality, and thus happily preserved the peace of the nation, although his policy met with much opposition. At the expiration of his second term, Washington having previously declined a re-election, in a valedictory address to the people, replete with maxims of the soundest policy, and breathing the warmest sentiments of affection for his country, retired again to his residence at Mount Vernon, and was succeeded in office by John Adams, while *Mr. Jefferson* was chosen Vice-President.

35. During Mr. Adams's administration, the menacing tone, and hostile attitude of the French Directory towards the United States, caused the American government to adopt measures of defense and retaliation. The navy was increased, and a provisional army raised, of which *General Washington* was appointed the commander-in-chief. Authority was given for the capturing of French armed vessels; this was followed by the capture of the

What first distinguished the administration of Washington?—33. What duties were laid? In 1790, what did Hamilton do? What did Jefferson and Randolph consider? For how long was the bank chartered? To what did this measure give rise?—34 In what was the United States partially involved? What course did the President pursue? At the expiration of his second term, what did Washington do? By whom was he succeeded?—35. During Mr. Adams's administration, what was done? What authority was given?

French frigate *L'Insurgente*, after a severe action, by the American frigate *Constellation*. These decisive measures on the part of the United States, induced the French government to accede to an amicable adjustment of the dispute. The Republic, at this period, was destined to experience a severe loss by the death of General Washington. On the 14th of December, he received a slight sprinkle of rain, and was seized in a few hours afterwards, with an inflammation of the throat, attended by a fever; he died on the following day, at his residence at Mount Vernon, in the sixty-eighth year of his age. [*See* BIOGRAPHY.]

36. For several years the country had been much agitated by the conflicting parties, which differed materially from each other in regard to the foreign relations of the country, and on various subjects of domestic policy. A commercial treaty with Great Britain, negotiated by Mr. Jay, in 1794, was severely censured by the Republicans or Democrats, who accused the Federalists of an undue partiality for England, and were accused, in turn, of a similar conduct towards France. Many of the measures of Mr. Adams's administration, both in relation to the foreign and domestic policy, were highly unpopular. The acts which excited the most disaffection, were those of raising a standing army, imposing a direct tax, and enacting the "*alien and sedition laws.*" A change having taken place in the administration of the public affairs, the Republican party having gained the ascendancy, elevated Mr. Jefferson to the Presidential chair, at the expiration of Mr. Adams's first term.

37. 1801. At the time when Mr. Jefferson was raised to the presidency, harmony subsisted between the United States and the great European powers. A new scene of vexation arose, and eventually a war succeeded, growing out of the piracies of the Barbary States. After several disputes were settled with *Tunis*, *Algiers*, and *Morocco*, the Bashaw of Tripoli demanded certain tributes, which the United States refused to pay. The refusal was immediately followed by the capture of several American vessels. In 1802, Commodore Dale, with three frigates, and a sloop of war, was sent into the Mediterranean, in order to protect the American commerce. In the following year, the *Philadelphia*, under the command of Captain Bainbridge, ran upon a rock about five miles from Tripoli, and being assailed on all sides, and deprived of every means of assistance, she was compelled to strike her colors; her officers and men were made prisoners by the Tripolitans. The war finally terminated by a treaty in 1805.

The other most striking events in the administration of Mr. Jefferson, were the purchase of Louisiana from the French, in 1803, for the sum of fifteen millions of dollars, two millions and a half of which were to be retained by the United States as a

What was the effect of these measures? When and where did General Washington die?—36. For several years, what had agitated the country? What was censured by the Republicans? What is said of many of the measures of Mr Adams? What acts excited disaffection? Who was elevated to the Presidential chair?—37. What war eventually succeeded? What was demanded by Tripoli? By what was this followed? In 1802, what took place? And in the following year? When was the war terminated? What were the other events of Mr. Jefferson's administration? In 1809, what took place? By whom was he succeeded?

compensation for illegal captures made by the French; the trial of *Aaron Burr*, under the charge of conspiracy, and an attempt to overthrow the government; he was finally acquitted; and by the expedition of Lewis and Clark, who explored the Missouri river, and contiguous countries, crossed the Rocky Mountains, reached the head waters of the Columbia, and descended that river to the Pacific ocean.

In 1809, Mr. Jefferson's second term of office having expired, and being desirous of conforming to the example of General Washington, he declined a re-election. He was succeeded by James Madison, who had been a leading man in the late administration, and who pursued a similar course of policy.

SECTION VI.

The Three Years' War.

1. During the wars that had for some time convulsed the continent of Europe, the United States endeavored to observe neutrality towards the belligerent powers, and peaceably to maintain a commercial intercourse with them. In the month of May, 1806, the British government declared all the ports and rivers from the Elbe, in Germany, to Brest, in France, to be in a state of blockade, and that all neutral vessels trading with these ports, should be seized and condemned. In November following, the emperor of France issued his *Berlin Decree*, prohibiting all intercourse with the British islands. This decree of the emperor was followed by the *Orders of the British Council*, by which all neutral vessels trading with France were compelled to stop at a British port, and pay a duty. In consequence of this, Buonaparte issued his *Milan Decree*, by which all vessels submitting to the British search, or consenting to pay any pecuniary exactions whatever, were confiscated.

2. About this time, at the recommendation of Mr. Jefferson, Congress laid an embargo on all the shipping of the United States; but the embargo was removed in the March of 1809, after it had remained in force about six months, and non-intercourse with France and England was substituted. While the trade of the United States was thus restricted and harassed by the belligerent powers of Europe, another species of injury and insult arose. This was the custom practiced by Great Britain of searching American vessels on the high seas, and impressing from them such seamen as were supposed to be British deserters. The custom was subject to great abuse, from the difficulty of distinguishing between American and British seamen; but there was, moreover, strong reason to believe that the British officers were not always anxious to make the distinction, and that in some

1. During the wars of Europe, what had the United States endeavored? In 1806, what did the British government do? In November following, what was done? By what was this followed? What did Buonaparte issue?—2. At this time, what was laid? But in 1809, what took place? What other species of injury and insult arose? To what was custom subject?

instances, American citizens were compelled to serve in the British navy.

3. Hitherto, this custom had been confined to private vessels, but in 1807, it was stated that four seamen, who had deserted from the British service, had entered on board the Chesapeake, an American frigate, carrying thirty-six guns, under the command of Commodore Barron. Captain Humphreys, commanding the Leopard, an English frigate of fifty guns, in compliance with an order from Admiral Burkley, followed the Chesapeake beyond the waters of the United States, and after demanding the deserters, fired a broadside upon the American frigate, by which four men were killed, and sixteen wounded. The Chesapeake immediately struck her colors, and the four seamen were given up, although there were strong reasons for believing that three of the number were native Americans. Commodore Barron, for neglect of duty, was suspended from the service for five years.

4. This outrage produced a general indignation throughout the country. The British government disavowed the orders of Admiral Burkley, and removed him from that station; but shortly afterwards appointed him to another of more importance. In 1809, *James Madison* succeeded Mr. Jefferson in the office of president. Madison, who had been a leading man in the late administration, pursued a course of policy similar to that of his predecessor. In April, arrangements were made with *Mr. Erskine*, the British minister, by which the American government again renewed the trade with England; but these arrangements were subsequently disavowed by the British cabinet. In the succeeding negotiations, Mr. Jackson having made use of some offensive language, Mr. Madison declined having any further correspondence with him. In this state of things, an unfortunate encounter took place between the *President*, an American vessel, and the *Little Belt*, an English sloop of war, which tended to increase the unfriendly feelings which had for some time existed between the two countries.

5. 1812. The prospect of an amicable adjustment of the difficulties between the United States and Great Britain, having been despaired of, the President, on the first of June, sent a message to Congress, strongly recommending to that body a declaration of war. The principal grounds for this measure, as stated in the message, were the impressment of American seamen by the British; the blockading the ports of their enemies, and suspicions that the Indians had been instigated to acts of hostilities by the British agents. The bill for declaring war passed the House of Representatives by a majority of thirty votes; in the Senate it passed by nineteen to thirteen, and on the eighteenth of June, the day after it passed the Senate, it was signed by the President.

3. In 1807, what was stated? What did Captain Humphreys do? What did the Chesapeake do? What is said of Commodore Barron?—4. What is said of the British government? Who succeeded Mr. Jefferson?—In April, what was done? What is said of Mr. Jackson? In this state of things?—5. In 1812, what did the President do? What were the grounds for this measure? By what majority did the bill pass the House? And in the Senate?

6. The minority in Congress opposed the declaration of war, on the ground that it was unnecessary and impolitic; therefore they protested against the measure. A considerable portion of the people supported the views of the minority; the war, in consequence, was prosecuted with much less vigor and energy than it might, had there been more unanimity in its favor. The first military operation after the declaration of war, was the invasion of Canada by *General Hull*, on the twelfth of July, at the head of two thousand men, but on the sixteenth of August, he disgracefully surrendered his whole army into the hands of the British. Hull was subsequently tried and found guilty of cowardice and neglect of duty, and was sentenced to be shot; but in consideration of his age and revolutionary services, he was recommended to mercy, and the punishment of death was withdrawn by the President.

7. A second invasion of Canada was attempted by General *Van Rensselaer*, who crossed the Niagara in November, with about one thousand men, and made an attack upon the British at Queenstown. After a severe action, the enemy was driven from the field; but being strongly re-inforced, they returned to the attack, and owing to the fact of the militia positively refusing to obey the orders of their general, the Americans were defeated, and a part of their army made prisoners of war.

On the nineteenth of August, the frigate *Constitution*, commanded by Captain Hull, captured the British frigate *Guerriere*, after an action of thirty minutes. The loss of the Guerriere was fifteen killed, and sixty-four wounded; that of the Constitution, seven killed, and seven wounded. In October, the frigate *United States*, commanded by Captain Decatur, took the British frigate *Macedonia*, and in the following month, the British sloop *Frolic* was captured by the *Wasp*, commanded by Captain Jones; but the Wasp was shortly afterwards taken by a British seventy-four In December, the Constitution, then under the command of Captain Bainbridge, captured the British frigate *Java*.

8. 1813. The campaign of this year was attended with various success. Towards the end of January, a detachment of about eight hundred men, under General Winchester, was surprised and defeated by the British and Indians under General Proctor, at Frenchtown, on the Raisin; and the greater part of those who surrendered to the enemy, amounting to about five hundred, were inhumanly massacred by the Indians. In April, *York*, the capital of Upper Canada, was taken by a detachment of Americans under General Pike, who was killed by the explosion of a magazine; the British lost on that occasion about seven hundred men in killed, wounded, and captured. In May an attack was made on *Sackett's Harbor* by the British under *Sir George Prevost*, but they were repulsed with considerable loss, by the Americans

6. What is said of the minority in Congress? What was the first military operation? But on the sixteenth of August, what did he do? What sentence was pronounced on Hull?—7. By whom was a second invasion of Canada attempted? On the nineteenth of August, what took place? What was the loss on each side? What captures were afterwards made?—8. Towards the end of January, what took place? In April? And in May?

under General Brown. About the same time the Americans took *Fort George;* but the progress of their victories suffered a momentary check, by the capture of a considerable force under Generals *Chandler* and *Winder*, who were taken by surprise by the British under General Vincent.

9. But the most brilliant achievement of this year was Perry's victory on *Lake Erie*, which took place on the 10th of September. The British fleet consisted of six vessels, carrying sixty-three guns; that of the Americans, of nine with fifty-six guns. For some time the contest appeared doubtful. The flag-ship of the Americans, at the commencement of the action, suffered severely, and being in a sinking condition, Perry descended into an open boat, and passing through a shower of balls, transported his flag to another vessel. After a tremendous conflict of three hours, victory declared in favor of the Americans, who reduced the British fleet to almost a total wreck. After this victory, *General Harrison* embarked his forces and landed on the Canada shore, and on the 5th of October defeated the British, at the battle of the Thames, under General Proctor. In this battle the celebrated Indian Chief *Tecumseh* was killed by Colonel Johnson.

10. Little more was done this year towards the conquest of Canada. General Wilkinson made an attack on Williamsburg, but was repulsed with considerable loss. During this year the British fleet, under Admiral Cockburn, committed various depredations in the south. The English, however, were more fortunate on the ocean during this season than they had been previously. In February, the *Hornet*, commanded by Captain Lawrence, captured the *Peacock*, a British sloop of war. In the course of the summer, Captain Lawrence was appointed to the command of the *Chesapeake*, which was captured by the *Shannon*, commanded by Captain Broke.

11. 1814. The campaign of this year was distinguished by several important actions on the frontiers. On the 12th of July the Americans, under General Brown, took *Fort Erie*, and shortly afterwards defeated the British under General Drummond, after an obstinate engagement at *Chippewa;* and the 25th of the same month, Generals Brown and Scott, at the well contested battle of *Bridgewater*, defeated the British, commanded by Generals Drummond and Rial; the loss of the enemy was nine hundred men, in killed, wounded, and prisoners. In September, a combined attack was made on Plattsburg by a British squadron, carrying ninety-five guns and one thousand and fifty men, commanded by Commodore Downie, and a land force under Sir George Prevost. But the naval force was totally destroyed by the American fleet, commanded by Commodore Macdonough. During the engagement of the fleets, the British were effectually

What fort did the Americans take? By what was their progress checked?—9 What was the most brilliant achievement of this year? What was the number of vessels in each fleet? Describe the battle? After this, what was done by Gen. Harrison? In this battle who was killed?—10. Where did Gen. Wilkinson make an attack? What is said of the British fleet? In February, what took place? And in the course of the summer?—11. For what was the campaign of this year distinguished? On the 12th of July, what was done? And on the 25th? And in September?

repulsed in their attack on the forts of Plattsburg by the Americans under General Macomb. The whole loss of the British on this occasion amounted to two thousand five hundred men, while the total loss of the Americans, on land and water, did not exceed two hundred and thirty-one.

12. In the month of August, a British fleet of sixty sail under Admiral Cochrane, entered the Chesapeake and landed a force of six thousand men, commanded by General *Ross*, on the banks of the Patuxent, about forty miles from Washington. The British general immediately commenced his march towards the capital, but at Bladensburg he met with a momentary repulse. At this point, the Americans under General *Winder* had assembled to oppose his progress, and a slight engagement followed. At the commencement of the action, however, the American militia fled, leaving Commodore *Barney*, with a few eighteen pounders and about four hundred marines, to sustain the whole weight of the conflict. Barney was at length wounded and taken prisoner. After this the British hastened to Washington, which they entered the same evening, burnt the capitol, the President's house, and other public buildings, and retired without molestation.

13. About a fortnight after this event, a combined attack was made on the city of Baltimore, by the British fleet of fifty sail under Admiral Cochrane, and a land force of seven thousand men under the command of General Ross. On the morning of the 12th of September, they effected a landing at North Point, about fourteen miles below the city. General *Stricker* was detached with about three thousand five hundred men, chiefly militia, to oppose their advance. General Ross, having preceded his army with a small reconnoitering party, was shot through the breast by one of the American riflemen, and falling into the arms of his aid-de-camp, he expired in a few minutes. The command then devolved upon Colonel *Brook*, who led on the attack. After maintaining his position for an hour and a half against a great superiority of numbers, General Stricker drew off his men and retired to Worthington Mills, about half a mile in advance of the main body. In the mean time a furious assault was made upon *Fort McHenry*, by a discharge of bombs and rockets from the British squadron. But after the bombardment had continued for twenty-five hours, the attempt was abandoned, and the fleet having taken on board the forces under Colonel Brook, moved down the bay.

14. Up to this period, the British had discovered no disposition to treat with commissioners of the United States; but the intelligence of the defeat of their army at Plattsburg gave a new turn to the negotiation: and a treaty of peace was signed at *Ghent*, on the 24th of December, 1814.

While the negotiation was in progress, a large armament had

What was the loss on both sides?—12. In the month of August, what was done? What took place at Bladensburg? What was done by the militia? What is said of Barney? What places did they burn?—13. On what city was an attack made? On the 12th of September, what was done? What was the fate of Gen. Ross? What did Gen. Stricker do? In the mean time, what assault was made?—14. When and where was the treaty of peace signed?

been despatched under the command of *Sir Edward Packenham*, for the purpose of making an attack upon the city of New Orleans. Fortunately for the city, which was in a very bad state of defense, General Jackson, the commander-in-chief of the forces in the southern district, arrived there on the 2d of December from Mobile. His presence was immediately felt by the confidence which it inspired, and by the unanimity with which the people seconded his prompt arrangements.

15. The British, after enduring incredible fatigue and difficulties, at length succeeded in reaching the main entrenchment of the Americans, which had been thrown up for the defense of the city. This they determined to take by an assault. Accordingly, on the 8th of January, 1815, they advanced to the attack, but were repulsed with immense slaughter by the Americans under General Jackson. In this memorable engagement, the British lost seven hundred killed, and fourteen hundred wounded, and five hundred prisoners. Generals *Packenham* and *Gibbs* were mortally wounded. The loss on the part of the Americans is said to have amounted to only seven killed and six wounded This was the last important event of the war; the news of the treaty of peace, which arrived shortly after, put an end to further hostilities.

16. In the treaty of *Ghent* no allusion is made to the causes of the war. "Security against future egression," as Mr. Grimshaw observes, "rests on a much firmer basis than the provisions of the most solemn treaty. Great Britain has been taught to appreciate the strength of the republic. She will read in the history of the late struggle, the most convincing arguments against the invasion of neutral rights."

17. *Mr. Madison* having filled the office of President for two successive terms, was succeeded, in 1817, by *James Monroe*. During the administration of Monroe, Florida was ceded to the United States by Spain, and erected into a territorial government in 1822. Mr. Monroe was succeeded in 1825 by *John Quincy Adams*, whose administration for four years was not marked by any events of great importance. This year was rendered memorable for the visit of General *La Fayette* to the United States Before his departure for France, Congress voted him the sum of two hundred thousand dollars and a township of land, as a remuneration for his services during the revolutionary war, and as a lasting testimony of their gratitude. On the 4th of July, 1826, while the nation was celebrating the fiftieth anniversary of its independence, *John Adams* died at Quincy in Massachusetts, in the ninety-first year of his age, and on the same day, *Thomas Jefferson* expired at Monticello in Virginia, having completed his eighty-third year.

18. In 1829, General *Andrew Jackson* succeeded Mr. Adams

While this was in progress, what city was attacked? What was fortunate for the city?—15. What is said of the British? What took place on the 8th of January? What was the loss on both sides?—16. What is said of the treaty of Ghent? What does Mr Grimshaw observe?—17. By whom was Madison succeeded? Who succeeded in 1825? For what is this year rendered memorable? When and where did Adams and Jefferson die?—18. In 1829, what took place?

as President of the United States, and in his first message to Congress he called the attention of that body to the *Bank of the United States*, the charter of which was about to expire. In the spring of 1832, a bill passed both houses for re-chartering the bank, with some new modifications and restrictions. The bill, however, was *vetoed* by the president, who, in his message returning the bill, declared the bank to be, in his opinion, inexpedient and unconstitutional, and announced his firm determination never to sanction by his approval, the continuance of that institution, or the re-establishment of any other on similar principles. General Jackson was succeeded in the presidency in 1837 by *Martin Van Buren*, who held the office for four years. His administration was particularly distinguished by a treaty with the Sioux Indians, and also a treaty with the Winnebagoes, by which they agreed to relinquish all their land east of the Mississippi; in consideration of which, the United States government agreed to pay them the sum of $2,500,000.

19. On the 4th of March, 1841, *General William Henry Harrison* was inaugurated President of the United States, but died on the 4th of the following April. In consequence of his decease, the Vice-President, *John Tyler*, was inaugurated in his place, according to a provision of the Constitution.

During the early part of the administration of *Mr. Tyler*, a domestic difficulty occurred in Rhode Island. An attempt was made to abolish the ancient charter, by which the State was governed, and to adopt a constitution. The discontented party accordingly framed a constitution, elected a legislature, and chose *Thomas W. Dorr* as governor. The attempt, however, to carry out the measure, was finally unsuccessful; the state was put under martial law; *Dorr* fled, but was afterwards taken, tried for treason, and sentenced to imprisonment for life. After lingering in captivity for some time, he was pardoned; in the meantime, a constitution for the state was adopted.

20. The other most important events during *Mr. Tyler's* administration were the settlement of the northeastern boundary line, between Maine and Canada, which was negotiated by *Lord Ashburton* on the part of England, and *Mr. Webster* on the part of the United States,—and the proposition for the admission of Texas into the Union.

On the 4th of March, 1845, *Mr. Tyler's* term of office expired, and *Mr. James K. Polk*, of Tennessee, who had been previously elected, succeeded to the office of President of the United States; and *Mr. George M. Dallas* to that of Vice President.

To what did he call the attention of Congress? In 1832, what passed What is said of the bill? By whom was Jackson succeeded? By what was his administration distinguished?—19. On the 4th of March, what took place? When did he die? What was done in consequence? During *Mr. Tyler's* administration, what took place? What was framed? What is is said of the attempt? What became of Dorr?—20. What were the other most important events of Tyler's administration? What took place on the 4th of March, 1845?

SECTION VII.

War with Mexico.

1. One of the most important events which took place during Mr. Polk's administration, was the war with *Mexico*. Mexico had been for several centuries a Spanish province, but finally revolted against the power of Spain, and having established her independence, assumed a republican form of government. The people of the United States rejoiced at the event; they freely extended their hand to invite a sister republic to take her stand among the nations of the earth, and were the first to acknowledge her independence.

2. But scarcely had Mexico declared her independence from the crown of Spain, when she committed outrages on the persons and property of American citizens. While the citizens of the United States were engaged in carrying on a lawful commerce with Mexico, they were imprisoned, their vessels seized, and our flag repeatedly insulted. The government of the United States had frequently demanded a redress in behalf of its injured citizens, but the demand was refused, or the subject evaded. It had been hoped that, after the treaty of the 5th of April, 1831, the causes of complaint would have been removed, and that Mexico would be restrained by the laws and usages of civilized nations.

3. This was far from being the case; for scarcely had two months passed away, when fresh outrages had been committed: and so intolerable had they become, that President Jackson, in his message to Congress, said, "that they should not be any longer endured," and a messenger was dispatched to Mexico to make a final demand for redress. The Mexican government expressed a desire to continue a friendly intercourse, and at the same time promised that the difficulties should be settled.

In August, 1840, a joint commission was organized to settle the claims. The claims that were allowed before the board, amounted to two million, twenty-six thousand, one hundred and thirty-nine dollars, and sixty-eight cents; and the amount unsettled, at that time, fell a little short of one million.

4. On the 30th of January, 1843, a second convention was concluded between the two governments, by which it was determined, that the interest due on the awards, made in favor of our citizens, should be paid to them on the 30th of April, 1843, and the principal, with the interest accruing thereon, in five years in equal instalments every three months. With this treaty, however, Mexico failed to comply; the claimants, up to the period of the war, having only received the interest due on the 30th of April, 1843, and three out of the twenty instalments. This conduct on the part of Mexico

1. What was one of the most important events during Polk's administration? What is said of Mexico? Of the people of the United States?—2. What did Mexico commit? While citizens of the United States, &c., what did they do? What was hoped after the treaty of 1831?—3. What was again committed? What did General Jackson say, and do? In 1840, what was done? What did the claims amount to?—4. On the 30th of June, 1843, what was concluded? With what did Mexico fail to comply? What is said of the claimants? What is said of this conduct

may be regarded as one of the primary causes of the war, the annexation of Texas was the immediate cause.

5. Texas was formerly a province of Mexico, and had been settled principally by emigrants from the United States. In 1834 her citizens becoming displeased with the unjust and tyrannical policy of the Mexican government, declared themselves independent. A war ensued, which finally terminated in favor of the Texans, by the defeat of the Mexicans in the battle of *San Jacinto*, at which *Santa Anna*, the Mexican president, was taken prisoner, A. D. 1836.

6. In 1845, the Texans, having intimated a wish to be admitted into the Union as a State, a proposition was laid before Congress for the annexation of the Republic of Texas to the United States; and after a warm discussion on the subject, the proposition passed, and Texas was admitted into the Union on the 29th of December, 1845. The boundary between Texas and Mexico had never been properly defined. Texas held the Rio Grande, from its source to its mouth, to be the boundary line between the two countries; while Mexico regarded the river Nueces as the boundary, and claimed the territory between the two rivers. Such was the state of things when Texas was admitted into the Union.

7. But, the United States having admitted Texas with the Nueces as her boundary, claimed the disputed territory, and of necessity, became bound to settle the difficulty with the Mexican government. Mexico still maintained her right to the territory between the two rivers, and began to make preparations to invade the country. On receiving intelligence of this, *Mr. Polk* directed *General Taylor* to take some convenient position beyond the river Nueces, in order to repel any invasion on the part of Mexico. Accordingly, *General Taylor* crossed the Nueces, and took up a position at Point Isabel. Leaving a garrison at that place, he proceeded south to the banks of the Rio Grande, and erected a fort, called *Fort Brown*, opposite Matamoras, a town on the Mexican side of the river.

8. On the 23d of April, General Taylor was informed that a large Mexican force had crossed the Rio Grande. On receiving this information, he immediately dispatched Captain Thornton with a small force, to reconnoitre the position of the enemy; but Thornton, in charging the Mexicans, was captured, and his men either killed or taken prisoners. On the 29th of the same month, information reached General Taylor that Point Isabel was attacked by the enemy, and in distress. The General immediately resolved to go to its relief, and to open a communication between the two posts. Accordingly, on the 1st of May, he left Fort Brown with the main body of his army on his march towards Point Isabel, leaving strict orders to the garrison of the fort to defend it to the last, if attacked by the enemy.

5. What was Texas? In 1834, what took place? What ensued? How did it terminate?—6. In 1845, what was done? When was Texas admitted into the Union? What is said of the boundary between Texas and Mexico? What did Texas hold? What did Mexico regard? 7. What is said of the United States? What did Mexico still do? What did Polk direct? What did General Taylor do? What did he erect?—8. On the 23d of April, of what was *General Taylor* informed? What did he do? What was the fate of Thornton? On the 29th what happened? What did the General resolve? On the 1st of May, what did he do?

9. But scarcely had General Taylor departed when the Mexicans began to make preparations to annihilate the fort he had left behind, and bury beneath its ruins its brave defenders. Accordingly on the 3d of May the sacred quiet of Sunday was broken by the thunder of cannon; a furious assault was made upon the American works. From this time until Saturday, an almost incessant shower of balls and shells was poured upon the entrenchments. The situation of the garrison was critical in the extreme; they were shut up within the walls of a temporary fortification, surrounded by a numerous and powerful enemy, cut off from all communication with their companions in arms, and having only four hundred rounds of ammunition.

10. In the meantime General Arista sent a summons to the fort to surrender, with a declaration that if it were not obeyed within an hour, he would put all the garrison to the sword. A declaration like this, under the circumstances in which it was delivered, was calculated to shake the constancy of the stoutest hearts; but nothing could subdue the resolution of the garrison. A council of war was called, and the awful summons laid before the members; when the question was asked, what should be done? "*Defend the fort to death*," was the unanimous reply; and in a few moments every man was again at his post, prepared to carry out the resolution.

11. In the meantime signal guns had been fired, with a view of communicating to their companions at Point Isabel the death struggle that was going on at Fort Brown. As the heavy sounds broke upon the plains, they at length fell upon the ear of General Taylor. He listened for a moment, and remembering the smallness of the force he had left behind, and the number of the enemy, he immediately resolved to march to the aid of the garrison. On the 7th, he commenced his march, saying: "If I meet the enemy, I will fight them." On the following day he arrived at a place called Palo Alto. At this point, the Mexicans had assembled to oppose his progress; they were drawn out in order of battle, their lines extending over a mile across a plain, bordered with chaparral; their lancers were placed a little in advance, on the left; behind these, they had stationed their infantry, while their heavy batteries were placed in the centre.

12. When General Taylor came in sight of the enemy, he immediately drew up his little army in order of battle. General Twiggs commanded the right, composed of the 3d, the 4th, and the 5th infantry, and Major Ringgold's artillery. Lieutenant Churchill commanded two eighteen pounders in the centre, while Colonel Belknap was placed over the left, composed of Duncan's artillery, and the 8th infantry. Scarcely was the order completed, when the battle was commenced by a furious discharge from Ring-

9. What did the Mexicans do? On the 3d of May what took place? What followed? What is said of the situation of the garrison?—10. In the meantime what did *General Arista* do? What is said of this declaration? What was called? What was the unanimous reply?—11. What was done? What is said? What did he do and resolve? On the 7th what did he do and say? Where did he arrive? At this place, who were assembled? Describe the position?—12. What did *General Taylor* do? What was the disposition of his forces? How was the battle commenced?

gold's battery, on the right. On the left Duncan's battery poured in a fierce and destructive shower of balls; while the two eighteen pounders in the centre shook the plains with their fire, and as they advanced, they poured destruction into the ranks of the enemy.

13. The battle now for several hours raged with unabating fury The Mexicans relying in their numbers, and in the strength of their position, fought in every confidence of victory. On the other side, our men, aware of the circumstances under which they fought, felt that victory depended on their skill and valor. The action continued with equal bravery on both sides until dark, when the Mexicans withdrew from their position, and retired into the chaparral, while *General Taylor* and his gallant army encamped for the night upon the field of battle. The forces of the Mexicans in this engagement amounted to six thousand men, while those under General Taylor did not exceed two thousand three hundred. The loss of the enemy in killed and wounded amounted to nearly four hundred; while the loss on the side of the Americans was only nine killed and forty-two wounded; but among the number of the slain, was the much lamented *Major Ringgold.*

14. The situation of *General Taylor* was exceedingly critical. His troops were weary after a hard fought battle; he was within a short distance of the fort, but far removed from reinforcements; while the enemy was reinforced, and preparing again to oppose his progress. In this state of things, he called a council of war. Some were for advancing, others were for entrenching where they stood, or for retreating to Point Isabel. When all had spoken, the old *General* said, with a firmness that bespoke the greatness of his soul: "*Gentlemen, if I live I will be at Fort Brown before night.*" This determined the council; his officers unanimously resolved to support his resolution.

15. As soon as the morning dawned General Taylor continued his march towards Fort Brown, and came in sight of the enemy, drawn up in order of battle, at a place called *Resaca de la Palma.* The Mexicans had strongly fortified this point, by erecting a battery on the right of the road leading to it, another on the left, and a third in the centre. As our little army advanced, the enemy's batteries opened upon it a furious and destructive fire. Still they moved onward, pouring in a well directed fire at every step. On the right they gained considerable advantage, while on the left, Ridgely's battery swept down the enemy at every discharge.

16. From the beginning of the contest, our army had advanced, and had driven the enemy from their original positions in every part, except along the road, where the centre battery was playing. This battery had caused considerable loss to our lines. At length, General Taylor seeing that the fate of the battle depended on the captur-

What is said of the left? The centre?—13. What is now said of the battle? What is said of the Mexicans? Of our men? What is said of the action? What of the Mexicans? Of *General Taylor* and his army? What was the number of the forces on each side? What was the loss of the enemy? The loss on the side of the Americans?—14. What is said of *General Taylor?* Of his troops? What was called? What is said of some? Of others? What did the *General* himself say?—15. As soon as the morning dawned, what did *General Taylor* do? Where did he meet the Mexicans? As the army advanced, what happened? What did they still do?—16. From the beginning, what is said? What did *General Taylor* do, and say?

ing of that battery, rode up to Captain May, and ordered him to charge it at the head of his dragoons, adding these words: "*Sir, you must take it.*" May immediately turned to his companions, and pointing to the battery, said: "*Men, we must take that battery.*" In a moment, May, at the head of his gallant troop, moved along the road, in the very face of the enemy's blazing battery, a cloud of dust marking their career.

17. In a few moments more, the bugle sounded to the charge; but just at that instant, a furious discharge swept through them, leaving nearly a third of the company prostrate upon the ground. When smoke and dust cleared away, it was seen that the fortifications were in possession of the Americans. May, upon his powerful charger, leaped the ditch and breast-work of the battery, followed by his companions; they rode down the men at their guns, broke through the Mexican lines, and took General Vega prisoner. At this sight a universal shout was raised from our army; the infantry rushed forward, driving the enemy before them. The fate of the day was decided; from this moment the battle became a rout, and the Mexicans, terrified at the valor of their enemies, rushed furiously towards the river in the direction of Matamoras.

18. While the battle raged, the garrison of Fort Brown had listened with intense anxiety, as the shrill sound of the musket, and the heavy roar of the cannon vibrated across the plain, and as the combat deepened, their anxiety increased; in breathless silence they awaited the issue of the fearful contest. But when they saw the enemy routed, and rushing in wild confusion over the field, pursued by our cavalry, they mounted the rampart, and waiving their banner to the breeze, they sent up a shout of victory. Although more than three thousand shots had been fired at the fort, yet only two men had been killed. In this battle the Mexicans lost upwards of two hundred killed, and six hundred wounded, together with two thousand stand of arms, six hundred mules, and the private papers of General Arista. The loss, on the part of the Americans, was thirty-nine killed and eighty-two wounded.

19. On the south side of the river, and directly opposite Fort Brown, stands the city of Matamoras, about twenty-eight miles from Point Isabel, and six from Palo Alto, and at that time containing about ten thousand inhabitants. General Taylor, after spending a few days at Fort Brown, resolved to make himself master of Matamoras. Accordingly, on the morning of the 17th, he sent a communication to the Mexican general, demanding a surrender of the place, together with all the public property in the city, and only giving him until three o'clock to consider the matter. The peremptory tone in which the demand was made, had the desired effect; for in a few

What is said of May? In a moment, what did he do?—17. In a few moments more, what happened? When the smoke and dust cleared away, what was seen? What did May do? Whom did did he take prisoner? At the sight, what was done? What did the Mexicans do?—18. While the battle raged, what is said of the garrison? When they saw the enemy, &c., what did they do? How many were killed in the fort? In this battle what did the Mexicans lose? What was the loss on the part of the Americans?—19. Where does the city of Matamoras stand? What did *General Taylor* resolve? On the 17th what did he do? What is said of this peremptory tone?

hours afterwards, the governor of the city sent a communication to General Taylor stating, that he might enter the city as soon as he thought proper. On the next day, he took possession of Matamoras, but found it deserted by Arista, the Mexican general, who, previous to his departure had thrown a large number of cannons into wells.

20. Although General Taylor had defeated the Mexicans in two decisive engagements, and was now in possession of Matamoras, still he found it impossible to follow up his success, owing to the want of troops and supplies, and he was obliged to remain inactive during a greater part of the summer. In the meantime a large force under the command of General Ampudia, had concentrated at Monterey, a city in New Leon, about one hundred and seventy miles from Matamoras.

21. On the 7th of September, General Taylor, having received reinforcements, left Matamoras, and on the 19th of the same month he encamped at the Walnut Springs, a short distance from Monterey. The city of Monterey was strongly fortified both by nature and art; its narrow streets were barricaded with heavy masonry, while from the windows of the houses, and from the flat roofs, on which battlements were erected, a deadly fire could be poured forth upon an advancing enemy. To the west, on a steep and craggy eminence, stood a fortification called the Bishop's Palace. Strong and massive forts were erected on the north and east, while the San Juan river flowed along the south. These fortifications were mounted with forty pieces of cannon, and manned by more than ten thousand men.

22. Notwithstanding the strength of the position, and the great superiority of numbers, on the part of the Mexicans, *General Taylor* resolved to attack the city. Orders were given to make the attack in three divisions; the first was placed under *General Twiggs*, the second under *General Worth*, and the third under *General Butler*. On the 20th of September, *General Worth* was ordered to take a position on the Saltello road, and to storm the heights of the Bishop's Palace, but owing to unavoidable delays, Worth was not able to reach his position until the morning of the 21st.

23. On this morning the battle properly commenced, and raged but with little intermission for three days. On the first day, several of the principal forts were stormed and taken in the rear of the city; and on the following day, the heights of the Bishop's Palace were carried, and the fort itself fell into the hands of the Americans. The 23d was marked by desperate fighting on both sides. The Americans still continued to advance, but every inch of ground they gained was desperately contested; they were compelled to drive back the enemy at the point of the bayonet. But nothing could check their

What followed? On the next day, what did he do?—20. What is said of *General Taylor*? In the meantime, where had a large force concentrated?—21. On the 7th, what did *Taylor* do? What is said of the city, and how was it fortified? Where was the Bishop's Palace? By what were these fortifications mounted?—22. What did *General Taylor* resolve? What orders were given? On the 20th of September, what was *General Worth* ordered to do? What followed?—23. What is said of the battle? On the first day, what were taken? On the following day? What is said of the 23d? What is said of the Americans? What were they compelled to do? What is said of their valor

impetuosity, or weaken their courage; fortification after fortification yielded to their valor, until the night of the third day's battle, the troops of Worth and Quitman had nearly met in the principal plaza of the city.

24. On the following morning, *General Ampudia* surrendered the city to *General Taylor*. An armistice was concluded for eight weeks, or until instructions from government could be received.

Monterey was defended by forty-two pieces of cannon well supplied with ammunition, and garrisoned by ten thousand men. The forces of the Americans amounted to six thousand six hundred men. The loss on the side of the Mexicans was estimated at about one thousand in killed and wounded; while the Americans lost one hundred and twenty-six killed and three hundred and fifty wounded. After this battle *General Taylor* established his head quarters at Monterey, while *General Worth* with one thousand two hundred men was directed to his position at Saltello.

25. In the meantime, *General Santa Anna*, who had previously been banished from the country, was recalled, and placed at the head of the Mexican government. The high military reputation of *Santa Anna* inspired the Mexicans with renewed confidence, and in a short time he collected around him an army of twenty thousand men, and encamped at San Luis Potosi, a strongly fortified city.

While these things were going on, *General Winfield Scott* was ordered by the government to take command of all the land forces in Mexico; at the same time he was directed to withdraw from *General Taylor* nearly all the regulars under his command, to aid in the reduction of the city of *Vera Cruz*. At the same time, *General Worth* was ordered to leave his post at *Saltello*, and march to *Vera Cruz*, while *General Taylor* was directed to fall back to Monterey, and await the arrival of reinforcements.

26. About the middle of February, 1847, *General Taylor*, learning that the Mexican President was about to make an attempt to possess himself of the posts of communication between *Saltello* and Matamoras, resolved to frustrate his design. On 20th of February he was encamped at *Agua Nueva*, about eighteen miles from *Saltello*, with a force of five thousand men. Here he was informed that *Santa Anna*, at the head of twenty thousand men, was about twenty miles distant. On receiving this intelligence, *General Taylor* fell back to *Buena Vista*, about seven miles from Saltello. The position selected by *General Taylor* was one of great strength; he thus describes it himself:

27 "The road at this point becomes a narrow defile; the valley on the right being full of impassable gullies, while on the left, rugged ridges extend far back to the mountains. The ground was so broken

24. What is said of *General Ampudia*? What was concluded? How was Monterey defended? What was the loss on both sides? Where did Taylor establish his headquarters?—25. In the meantime what took place? What is said of his reputation? What did he collect? While these things were going on what took place? What orders did *General Worth* receive? What *General Taylor*?—26. In February, of what was *General Taylor* informed, and what did he resolve? On the 20th of February, where did he encamp? Where did he fall back to.—27. How does he describe the position?

as nearly to paralize the artillery and cavalry of the enemy. *Captain Washington's* battery was posted to command the road; another force under Colonels Hardin and Bissel occupied the crests of the ridge on the left and in the rear, and a small force under Colonels Yell and Marshall occupied the left, near the base of the mountain, while another body held the reserve."

28. On the morning of the 22d of February, the Mexican army approached the American lines, and about eleven o'clock *Santa Anna* sent a communication to *General Taylor*, informing him that he was surrounded by twenty thousand men, and demanding an immediate surrender. The messenger was politely received by *General Taylor*, but a positive refusal to comply with the demand returned to the Mexican General. Shortly after the reply of *General Taylor* was delivered to *Santa Anna*, the Mexican batteries were opened upon the American lines, but without doing any material injury. In sight of each other, the two armies remained within their lines, until the close of the evening. While the anxious night of the 22d wore away, the combatants on either side stood at their arms, waiting with impatience the return of day. The Mexicans, actuated by all the emotions that patriotism and national pride can inspire, were resolved to drive back the invaders, and wipe off the disgrace that attended their arms on the plains of *Palo Alto*, *Resaca de la Palma*, and at *Monterey*; while the Americans were resolved to sustain the honor of their country, or find a burial place in the mountain ravines of *Buena Vista*.

29. At daybreak on the following morning the battle of *Buena Vista* was commenced. At an early state of the engagement *General Taylor* perceived that it was the design of the Mexicans to turn the left flank of the American line, and for that purpose they had concentrated a large body of infantry. To prevent this, he ordered *Sherman's* and *Bragg's* batteries to the left, and the second Illinois regiment to take a position between them, while the Kentucky regiment was removed to the right of the line, so as to occupy a position near the centre. Scarcely were these movements completed, when both armies, almost simultaneously opened on each other a terrific fire from their artillery, which was quickly followed by a shower of musket balls from the Mexican infantry. The combatants on both sides rushed to the contest with equal vigor; the American regiments seemed to vie with each other in the deadly strife, and with the coolness and firmness of veterans of a hundred battles, they poured forth their volleys with fearful precision against their numerous foes.

30. In the meantime, a body of Mexican cavalry had advanced along the defiles of the mountain, and had almost gained a position to attack the rear of the Americans. As soon as their movement

Where was Washington's battery placed? What place did Hardin and Bissel occupy?—28. On the 22d, what took place? What did *Santa Anna* do? What is said of the messenger? After the reply, what followed? In sight of each other, what is said of the armies? While the night wore away, what is said of the combatants? What is said of the Mexicans? Of the Americans?—29. At daybreak, what took place? At the commencement, what did *General Taylor* perceive? To prevent this, what did he do? What did both armies do? What is said of the combatants on both sides? Of the Americans -30. In the meantime, what took place? What followed?

was perceived, the American artillery was directed to that quarter, and opening upon them its whole fire soon dispersed them. On the right, a body of Mexican lancers were repulsed with immense loss. The battle now raged with fury; the very earth trembled beneath the American batteries, while the infantry told with terrible effect upon the ranks of the the enemy. Twice the Mexican cavalry rallied, and twice they were repulsed with severe loss. On the right, a large body of the enemy had gained considerable advantage over one of the Illinois, and the second Kentucky regiments; but at this critical moment, *Captain Bragg* was ordered to their support. This movement checked the tide of success, and the Mexicans were driven back in the utmost confusion.

31. Night put an end to the contest. The Americans slept upon the battle ground, with a determination to renew the conflict in the morning. But before the return of day the Mexicans had entirely disappeared; leaving their dead and dying upon the field of battle, they had retreated to *Agua Nuevu*, and finally to *San Luis Potosi*.

The loss of the Mexicans in this battle is estimated at about two thousand in killed and wounded. The loss on the side of the Americans was two hundred and sixty seven killed and four hundred and fifty-six wounded, and twenty three missing. Among the brave officers, who fell on that day, none were more lamented than *Cols. McKee, Harden, Fell, Davis*, and *Clay*, and *Captain Lincoln*. After this victory *General Taylor* fell back to Monterey.

32. Shortly after *General Taylor* had received orders to take up a position on the *Rio Grande*, Congress had authorized the President to accept the service of fifty thousand volunteers; and at the same time to increase the regular army. On the 13th of May, 1846, Mr. Polk issued a proclamation, stating, "that by the act of the Republic of Mexico, a state of war existed between the two governments," and called upon the people of the United States to support such measures as might be adopted for obtaining a speedy, just and honorable peace. About this time the news of the splendid victories of *Palo Alto* and *Resaca de la Palma*, reached Washington, and Congress immediately passed a vote of thanks to *General Taylor*, and to the officers and men under his command; Taylor at the same time was raised to the rank of major-general.

33. On the 23d of November, 1846, *General Scott* received instructions from the Secretary of War to repair to Mexico, and to take the command of the forces there assembled. He immediately departed, and reached the *Rio Grande* on the 1st of January, 1847. The first operation of *General Scott*, after reaching Mexico, was the reduction of the city of Vera Cruz. But finding the troops under his com

What is now said of the battle? What is said of the Mexican cavalry? On the right, what took place? What is said of this movement?—31. What is said of the Americans before the return of day, what happened? What was the loss of the Mexicans? Wha was the loss on the side of the Americans? Name the officers who fell?—32. Shortly after, &c., what had Congress authorized? On the 13th of May, 1846, what did Mr. Polk issue? About this time, what was received at Washington? What was passed by Congress?—33. On the 23d of November, what did *General Scott* receive? When did he reach the Rio Grande? What was his first operation? Finding his troops insufficient what did he do?

mand insufficient for that purpose, he withdrew nearly all the regular troops from the army under *General Taylor*. The rendezvous of the troops was at the island of Lobos, about one hundred and twenty-five miles north of the city of Vera Cruz. On the 9th of March, the troops reached the shore, a little below the city, and on the 22d everything was in readiness to commence the siege.

34. Before commencing operations, however, *General Scott* sent a summons to the Mexican authorities to surrender the city, giving at the same time, a certain period to the inhabitants, who wished to retire, to depart, and to take with them what property they pleased. The summons to surrender being rejected, the American batteries immediately opened a destructive fire upon the city. The cannonading continued from this time, with some slight intermission, until the morning of the 26th. The scene is described as one of terrific grandeur.

The darkness of the night was illumined by the blazing shells and flaming rockets, as they poured destruction over the ill-fated city; while the roar of the cannon, the crash of the falling houses, and the shrieks of the wounded and dying, reëchoed across the surrounding country.

35. On the morning of the 26th, the Mexican governor of the city sent a messenger to *General Scott*, with overtures to surrender; and on the following day articles of capitulation were signed. The city and the famous castle of *San Juan de Ulloa*, together with upwards of four thousand prisoners, about seven hundred cannon, and nearly ten thousand stand of small arms, fell into the hands of the Americans.

36. While victory was attending the American forces on land, their flag was equally triumphant on the ocean. The squadron under Commodores Sloat and Stockton was chiefly employed in subjugating California, while the squadron under Commodore Connor was chiefly engaged on the Gulf of Mexico. The cities of Tabasco and Frontiera were captured, without making much resistance. On the day after the surrender of Vera Cruz, an expedition was fitted out for the capture of *Alvarado*, under Commodore Perry, who despatched *Lieutenant Hunter* in advance with a small steamer. *Hunter*, having arrived off the bar, on the afternoon of the same day, opened a fire on the fort, which surrendered the next morning. He then proceeded up the river, captured four schooners, and on the following day anchored off *Flacoalparn*, a city, at that time, containing seven thousand inhabitants, which immediately surrendered. When Commodore Perry arrived a few days afterwards, he found these important places in the hands of the Americans.

37. After the reduction of Vera Cruz, *General Scott* commenced his march, with about six thousand men, towards the city of Mexico.

Where did the troops assemble? On the 9th of March, what took place? And on the 22d?—34. Before commencing operations, what did Scott do? The summons being rejected, what followed? How long did the cannonading continue? What is said of the scene?—35. On the morning of the 26th, what took place? What fell into the hands of the Americans?—36. What did the squadron under Sloat and Stockton do? What cities were taken? On the day after the surrender of *Vera Cruz*, what took place? What did Hunter do? What did Perry find?—37. After the reduction of Vera Cruz, what did *General Scott* do?

the capital of the republic. He proceeded with little opposition from the 8th to the 16th of April, when he was informed that a strong force of the enemy was in front, strongly fortified, on the heights of a mountain. No position could be more favorable to the Mexicans than that which they had selected. The road by which the Americans were obliged to ascend, lay among lofty hills, whose tops were strongly fortified and garrisoned. The highest of these peaks was *Cerro Gordo*, elevated more than a thousand feet, on which stood a battery that commanded the road below. The whole of these formidable entrenchments were defended by fifteen thousand men, under the command of *Santa Anna* in person.

38. On the 16th, *General Scott* arrived in front of the Mexican works, with about six thousand under his command, but instead of advancing along the national road, a road was constructed which wound around the base of the mountain, to the right, directly in the rear of the enemy, and on the evening of the 17th orders for the battle were issued. During the night the Americans succeeded in dragging several pieces of their heavy cannon up several heights, and as soon as the morning dawned they commenced a tremendous fire upon the works of the enemy. An obstinate battle ensued, in which the Mexicans were defeated, their fortifications were stormed and the stars and the stripes unfurled from the heights of *Cerro Gordo*.

39. *Santa Anna* escaped on one of his mules, leaving his carriage in the hands of the Americans. The loss of the Mexicans in this battle was exceeding great; one thousand two hundred were killed and wounded, and more than three thousand prisoners were taken; of this number, two hundred and eighty-eight were officers, and five were generals; besides five thousand stands of arms, and forty pieces of cannon fell into the hands of the Americans. The Americans lost sixty-three killed, and three hundred and sixty-eight wounded.

40. On the 19th of April, *General Worth* entered *Jalapa*, a city situated on the national road, about fifty miles from *Vera Cruz*, and at that time containing a population of thirteen thousand inhabitants. From this place Worth pushed forward, and on the 22d took possession of the city and castle of *Perote*, from which the garrison had fled, leaving behind them sixty-four guns and mortars, eleven thousand and sixty-five cannon balls, fourteen thousand three hundred bombs, and five hundred muskets. On the 15th of May, *General Worth* took possession of the city of Puebla, where *General Scott* remained for the greater part of the summer. *Puebla* is about sixty-six miles from the city of Mexico, and one hundred and eighty-six miles from *Vera Cruz*, and contained at that time about eighty thousand inhabitants.

On the 16th, of what was he informed? What is said of the position? Of the road What was the highest of these? By what were these entrenchments defended?—38. On the 16th, what took place? What did he do? On the the 17th, what was done During the night, what did the Americans do? What ensued?—39. What is said of *Santa Anna*? What was the loss of the Mexicans in this battle? What did the Americans lose?—40. On the 19th of April, what took place? On the 22d, what did Worth do? And on the 15th of May? Where is Puebla?

41. In the early part of August, *General Scott* resumed his march towards the capital of the Mexican republic, having under his command about nine thousand men. He met with but little interruption until he reached *Agotea,* about fifteen miles from the city of Mexico. From this place, the road leading to the city is a causway over a marsh, and the entrance to it commanded by a lofty hill, called *El Pinnel,* which had been strongly fortified. Batteries, mounting fifty guns, were placed along the sides of this causway, and the whole defended by twenty-five thousand men, under the command of *Santa Anna.* In order to avoid these fortifications, *General Scott* ordered a road to be cut around *Lake Chalco,* by which *General Worth* reached *San Augustine* on the 17th, a town about nine miles south of the city of Mexico. A short distance from this place, on a high eminence, called *Contreras, General Valencia* was stationed with a strong force.

42. On the 19th, continual skirmishes took place, but during the night the rain fell in torrents, and caused a temporary suspension of arms. Early on the following morning, a furious charge was made on the enemy's works. A desperate conflict ensued for a few hours. The Mexicans at length wavered, and finally fled in confusion, leaving the heights of *Contreras* in the hands of the Americans. The loss of the Mexicans in this battle was severe; they left seven hundred of their companions dead upon the field of battle, and upwards of eight hundred were taken prisoners; besides this, the Americans captured twenty-two pieces of cannon, a number of pack mules, and a large quantity of munitions of war. The loss of the Americans amounted to seventy killed and wounded.

43. After this battle, our army passed rapidly towards *Churubusco.* At this point the main body of the Mexican army, to the number of twenty-seven thousand men, under the command of *Santa Anna,* had taken up its position. At one o'clock, in the afternoon, the battle commenced. The combatants of both sides rushed to the contest, with the utmost ardor. The Americans, encouraged by the many battles which they had already gained, fought in the confidence that another victory would attend their arms; the Mexicans felt that the fate of war was against them, nevertheless they knew that if they failed on this occasion, their capital would fall into the hands of their enemies; therefore they resolved to make a last and desperate resistance.

44. As soon as the action became general the battle raged with the utmost fury; the roar of the musketry, and the thunder of the cannon shook the very hills, and vibrated for miles across the plains. The Americans advanced amidst a most terrific fire, and drove the enemy from their guns at the point of the bayonet. After the bat-

41. What did *General Scott* do? What is said of his progress? From this place, what is said of the road? What were placed along the sides? How was it defended? To avoid this, what did Scott order? What was stationed a short distance from this place? —42. On the 19th, what took place? And on the following morning? What is said of the Mexicans? What was the loss on both sides?—43 After this battle, what did our army do? What is said of this place? What is said of the combatants? Of the Americans? The Mexicans?—44. What is said of the battle? Of the Americans? Of the Mexicans?

tle had raged for about two hours the Mexicans were completely routed, and fled with precipitation to the walls of their capital. This battle was attended with heavy loss on both sides. The Mexicans lost nearly two thousand in killed, wounded and prisoners; while our loss amounted to one thousand and fifty-five in killed and wounded. Among the prisoners taken in this battle, was Sergeant Riley, with seventy others, who had deserted from the American lines. They were all tried by a court martial, and fifty of them, being found guilty of high treason, were hanged in the presence of both armies. The remainder, including their leader, were publicly whipped and branded.

45. On the 20th, a negotiation was opened between the two armies, which resulted in an armistice, providing for a cessation of hostilities, and an exchange of prisoners. During the armistice, *Mr. Trist*, the American commissioner, offered a proposition in behalf of his government, for settling the difficulties between the two countries. The proposition was rejected by Mexico, and *General Scott*, finding that the Mexicans were fortifying the city, in direct violation of the armistice, declared it at an end on the 10th of September, and made immediate arrangements for recommencing hostilities.

46. In the meantime the Mexicans had strongly entrenched themselves at a place called *Molino del Rey*, or the *King's Mill*. The place was surrounded by a regular field-work, mounted by ten pieces of artillery, and the whole defended by ten thousand men. The fortification, however, was stormed and taken by *General Worth*, at the head of three thousand seven hundred Americans. On the 13th, the fortress of *Chapultepec*, which was considered the key to the Mexican lines, was taken by the Americans after a desperate conflict.

47. After the fall of *Chapultepec*, *Generals Smith* and *Quitman* marched rapidly on towards the city of Mexico, although they suffered severely from the enemy's batteries, stationed along the road, and on the 14th, they entered the city, driving the enemy before them at the point of the bayonet. In the meantime, *General Worth's* division had filed round to the left, and entered the city by the gate of *San Cosmo*. The next morning at sun rise the American forces marched into the great Plaza, in front of the Cathedral, and precisely at seven o'clock, on the 15th of September, 1847, the Star-Spangled Banner was elevated in triumph over the capital of the Mexican Republic. Of the ten thousand troops with which *General Scott* left Puebla, only about seven thousand remained to witness his entry into Mexico.

48. After the fall of the capital, no important battles took place; skirmishing continued for a few days, but hostilities gradually sub-

What was the loss on both sides? Who was among the prisoners? What was done with them?—45. On the 20th, what took place? During the armistice, what was offered? What followed its rejection?—46. In the meantime, what was done? What is said of the place? What is said of the fortifications? On the 13th, what took place?—47. After the fall of Chapultepec, what followed? In the meantime, what did *General Worth's* division do? What took place the next morning? Of the ten thousand troops, &c., how many remained?—48. After the fall of the capital, what followed?

sided. A treaty of peace between Mexico and the United States was signed by the plenipotentiaries of both nations at the city of *Guadaloupe Hidalgo*, on the 2d of February, 1848. This treaty was afterwards amended by the Congress of the United States, and ratified by Mexico; and the respective ratifications were interchanged at *Queretaro* on the 3d of May, 1848. By this treaty the boundary line between Mexico and the United States commences in the Gulf of Mexico, three leagues from land, and proceeds from thence up the middle of the Rio Grande, to the southern boundary of Mexico; from thence to the western termination; thence along its western line until it intersects the river *Gila*, down that river until it empties into the *Rio Colerado*, then across the *Colerado*, following the division line between Upper and Lower California, to the Pacific ocean

SECTION VIII.

The administrations of Taylor, Fillmore and Pierce.

1. The presidential election, in 1848, resulted in the choice of General Zachary Taylor to the office of President of the United States. Millard Fillmore, of New York, was at the same time elected Vice-President. John M. Clayton, of Delaware, was appointed Secretary of State; and William M. Meredith, of Pennsylvania, Secretary of the Treasury. During the previous Congress, a new office, called the Home Department, and intended to aid the Secretary of the Treasury, had been created, and the President selected Mr. Ewing, of Ohio, to fill the newly created department.

2. The short administration of President Taylor was not marked by any events of striking importance. During the first year after his election, the people of California, met and framed a state constitution, and in the following Congress applied for admission into the Union. In the meantime the discussion of the slavery question caused much excitement throughout the country. In April, 1850, a select committee was appointed in the Senate of the United States to prepare a bill for the purpose of producing harmony in the national councils. Of this committee, Henry Clay was chairman. The chief features of the bill reported by this committee, and known as the "Omnibus Bill," were the admission of California, a territorial government of Utah and New Mexico, and the abolition of the slave-trade in the District of Columbia. After a protracted discussion, the bill was reduced to an act for the establishment of a territorial government in Utah.

3. On the 9th of July, 1850, the whole country was thrown into mourning by the sad announcement of the death of President

Where and when was a treaty of peace signed? What is further observed? By this treaty, what is the boundary between the two countries?

1. Who were elected President and Vice-President, in 1848? During the previous Congress, what had been done?—2. What was done during the first year of his administration? What question caused much excitement, and what was proposed? What were the features of this bill.—3 When and where did President Taylor die?

Taylor. This melancholy event took place at the presidential mansion, in Washington, after a short illness of five days.

4. By the death of General Taylor, the Vice-President, Mr. Fillmore, under the provisions of the constitution, became President of the United States. The cabinet of the late President having resigned, a new one was formed with Daniel Webster, of Massachusetts, as Secretary of State. During the following session of Congress, the compromise measures, which had been embraced in the "Omnibus Bill," were brought forward separately, and received the sanction of that body, thus happily allaying the excitement that had so much agitated the country.

5. During the spring of 1851 a second expedition for the invasion of Cuba was projected. The President, on receiving intelligence of the intended expedition, issued a proclamation, warning all those of our citizens, who should take part in it, that by the very act of thus violating the laws of neutrality, they would put themselves beyond the protection of the United States. Notwithstanding this warning, a body of about four hundred and sixty men, under the command of General Lopez, sailed from New Orleans, in August, and landed in Cuba. Contrary to their expectations, the people, instead of uniting with them, fled at their approach, and refused to give any assistance; and the invaders being attacked by a superior force, were either killed in battle, or made prisoners. Lopez having fallen with the rest into the hands of the Cuban authorities, was conducted to Havana, and executed. The Americans who survived, after remaining some months in prison, were liberated and returned to this country.

6. In June, 1852, the Democratic convention met in Baltimore, and nominated Franklin Pierce, of New Hampshire, for the office of President of the United States, and William R. King, of Alabama, for the Vice-Presidency; and at the election in the following November, these gentlemen were elected to these respective offices.

This year was rendered memorable for the deaths of two distinguished men. On the 29th of June, Henry Clay died in Washington, and in the following November, Daniel Webster departed this life at his residence in Massachusetts.

7. On the 4th of March, 1853, President Pierce entered on the duties of his office. He selected Wm. L. Marcy, of New York, as Secretary of State. On the 18th of April following, Mr. King, the Vice-President, died shortly after his return from Cuba, where he had gone for the benefit of his health.

During the early part of the year 1853 a dispute occurred between the United States and Mexico, respecting a portion of territory called the Mesilla Valley. General Lane, Governor of New Mexico, took possession of the disputed territory, and the Mexican government

4. Who became President on the death of General Taylor? During the following year what was done?—5. What was projected in the spring of 1851? What was the result and the fate of Lopez?—6. Who was elected President and Vice-President, in 1852 By what was this year rendered memorable?—7. What took place on the 4th of March When did the Vice-President die? In the early part of 1853, what happened between the United States and Mexico

prepared to enforce its right by an appeal to arms. The difficulty, however, was happily settled, by Mexico yielding her right to the Mesilla Valley, and granting to the United States the right to build a railroad across the isthmus of Tehuantepec, for the sum of ten million dollars.

8. During the year 1854 several important treaties were concluded by the United States with foreign governments. With a view of establishing a friendly and commercial intercourse between the United States and Japan, Commodore Perry had been sent with an American fleet to that country, and succeeded in arranging a treaty of peace and amity. This treaty was concluded and signed on the 31st of March, 1854, and by it the Japanese ports of Simoda and Hakodadi were opened to American vessels to obtain provisions and fuel; and safety and protection were guaranteed to all American seamen cast upon the Japanese coast, besides the right of a place of burial for Americans who might die in that country. About the same time a reciprocal treaty of commerce between the United States and the British provinces of North America, was concluded, and a treaty with Russia, by which that government recognized the doctrine that "free ships make free goods," and that the property of neutrals, unless contraband articles, should be respected, even if carried on board enemies' vessels.

9. During the early part of the year 1854 some difficulty occurred between the United States and the authorities of San Juan, or Greytown, on the Mosquito shore. The Transit Company had demanded of the authorities of the town an indemnity for certain property, alleged to have been stolen or destroyed by natives, and during the controversy a negro was shot by an American named Smith, the captain of a steamer running on the river. An attempt was made to arrest the offender, but it was resisted on the part of the passengers, among whom was Mr. Borland, the United States minister to Nicaragua. Mr. Borland subsequently going on shore, was assailed by the inhabitants of Greytown, and in the *melée* that followed was struck in the face by a bottle.

10. As soon as this outrage was made known at Washington, orders were dispatched to Captain Hollins, commander of the sloop of war Cyane, not only to demand an apology from the authorities of Greytown, but also an indemnity to the Transit Company. No regard being paid to these demands, Hollins, after several days delay, opened his batteries against the town, and in a few hours completely destoyed it. This conduct on the part of our government was severely censured, and denounced as an act of severity entirely uncalled for by the circumstances of the case.

11. During the last year of Mr. Pierce's administration troubles of a serious nature arose in Kansas. In February, 1856, the President issued a proclamation stating that combinations had been formed in the territory to subvert the legal authority, and warned all persons

8. In 1854, what important treaties were signed? At the same time what other treaty was concluded?—9. During the early part of 1854, what difficulty occurred between the United States and San Juan?—10. What orders did Captain Hollins receive, and what followed?—11. In 1856, what proclamation did the President issue in relation to Kansas?

engaged therein to desist therefrom, and at the same time directed the United States troops at Forts Leavenworth and Riley, to be in readiness to obey the requisition of Governor Shannon, in maintaining the peace of the territory. The free state men had, in October previous, met at Topeka, and framed a constitution excluding slavery from the territory, and under this constitution they elected a governor, lieutenant-governor, and a state legislature. This body met at Lawrence and elected Governor Reeder and General Lane, United States Senators. In the meantime, indictments for treason were made out against Mr. Robinson, the free state governor, who immediately fled from the territory. Shortly after this, sheriff Jones, who had some time previously been severely wounded in an attempt to arrest two persons at Lawrence, entered that town at the head of a large force, and after taking all the arms in the place, ordered the hotel and printing office to be destroyed, they having been declared nuisances by the grand jury. At the same time the house of Governor Robinson was destroyed, and numerous acts of murder and robbery were subsequently committed throughout the territory.

12. In the meantime a new election for delegates for a convention to frame a State constitution took place. This body met at Lecompton in the fall of 1857, and after several weeks' deliberation adopted a constitution tolerating slavery within the territory. This constitution was submitted to and ratified by the people, and during the subsequent Congress application was made for the admission of Kansas into the Union under the Lecompton constitution. After a long discussion in Congress, and much excitement throughout the country, a bill was passed on the 30th of April, 1858, admitting Kansas with the Lecompton constitution, with a proviso that the question of slavery should first be submitted to a vote of the people of the territory, and if the majority of the votes were in favor of the slavery clause, then Kansas should me admitted with the Lecompton constitution; but if the slavery clause should be rejected, the question of her admission was to be considered as rejected. This question was subsequently submitted to the people, and the result was the rejection of the clause establishing slavery in the territory.

13. In the fall of 1856 an election for President and Vice-President took place, and after an exciting contest, resulted in the election of the democratic candidates, Mr James Buchanan and Mr. John C. Breckenridge, to the offices of President and Vice-President of the United States. The other candidates were Mr. Fremont, Republican, and Mr. Fillmore, American. The latter received only the electoral vote of a single state, that of Maryland. Mr. Buchanan was inaugurated on the 4th of March, 1857, and selected Mr. Cass, of Michigan, as Secretary of State.

What did the Free State men do? What is related of Sheriff Jones?—12. In the mean time, what took place? After a long discussion, what did Congress do? What was the result of the election?—13. In 1856, who were elected to the offices of President and Vice-President? Who were the other candidates? When did Mr. Buchanan take his seat?

SECTION IX.

Administrations of Buchanan and Lincoln; Civil war; Assassination of the President.

1. If Mr. Buchanan's administration was peaceful, still the clouds that had been gathering for years on the political horizon, arrived at their culminating point during the latter part of his term, and were soon to burst, deluging the country with blood, and plunging it into all the horrors of a fratricidal war. The antagonism between the anti-slavery party at the North and the Southern slaveholders, had hitherto expanded itself in violent speeches on the floor of Congress, and no less violent and inflammatory appeals to the passions of the people, through the agency of the press. The two parties were brought in presence and the threatened conflict made imminent, by the election of Abraham Lincoln, the Republican candidate, to the Presidency, Nov. 4, 1860.

2. The Southern States took alarm at this advent to power, of a party inimical to the institution upon which they believed their prosperity to rest. The oft mooted question of secesssion was now openly discussed, and conventions were called in the several states, which, in all cases, by a large majority, voted an ordinance of secession. The leading states in this movement were South Carolina, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana and Texas. These were subsequently followed by Virginia, Arkansas, North Carolina and Tenneesee. Kentucky saw her people divided, and her soil desecrated by local civil strife. The representatives of the seceding states having met in convention at Montgomery, (Alabama,) organized the Provisional Government of the Confederate States of America, and elected Jefferson Davis, of Mississippi, President.

3. This final step, however, was not taken before an effort to conciliation had been made. The Southern Representatives in Congress were instructed to demand guarantees for the future, from the friends of the in-coming administration. Virginia, the "Mother of States," remained for some time as a voluntary mediator. But the secret determination of both parties made the result inevitable. The seceding states had, as a precautionary measure, taken possession of most of the Federal ports on their respective territory, as well as of all the public property. The United States, however, still retained possession of several strong positions, such as Fortress Monroe, in Virginia Fort Pickens, in Florida, and Fort Sumter, in Charleston harbor. An attempt having been made by the government to send supplies to the last-named fort, it was attacked on the 12th of April, 1861, by the Confederate batteries of Charleston, commanded by Gen. Beauregard. The fort surrendered, and was evacuated on the 13th. This was the first gun fired, that was destined to be the signal of the most disastrous war that has ever raged in any country. Events are too recent to

1. What happened towards the close of Mr. Buchanan's administration?—2. How did the Southern States receive the election of Mr. Lincoln? What states seceded? What action did they take?—3. What measures did the seceding states adopt? What was the first act of hostility?

allow the historian to write an impartial appreciation of their causes and results. We must be content to record facts simply as we find them, and let posterity judge.

4. The first act of President Lincoln, after the fall of Fort Sumter, was the issuing of a proclamation, calling for 75,000 volunteers to put down the rebellion. Little was it thought then, how many hundred thousands would be required to carry on the titanic struggle, during the four years it lasted. The first shock of arms took place on the soil of Virginia, on the 10th of June. Gen. B. F. Butler having started from Newport News with a column of 5,000 infantry, to march on Yorktown, found 1,200 Confederates, under General (then Colonel) Magruder, intrenched at a church called Great Bethel. The advance of the Federals, led by Colonel Winthrop, charged the breastworks in gallant style, but were repulsed with great slaughter. They returned to Newport. On the 21st of July, was fought the first grand battle, made famous under the name of Battle of Manassas. The Federals, under General McDowell, met the Confederates under Generals Beauregard and Johnston, near a stream called Bull Run. The result was the total route of the Union army, which fell back in disorder upon Washington. The Confederates, it is said, could have taken the Capital if they had pursued the enemy, but they lacked cavalry, and remained content with the possession of the field of battle, thereby reaping no fruits from their victory. On the 21st of September, the battle of Ball's Bluff (Virginia) was fought. The Union forces, under General Banks, were defeated by the Confederates, under Colonel Jenifer. The Federal General Baker was killed whilst gallantly heading his division.

5. During the remainder of the year 1861, little fighting was done; but the Federal government was preparing earnestly for the campaign of 1862. The Federals opened this campaign with 450,000, operating simultaneously in Virginia, Tennessee, North Carolina and Kentucky. The Confederates do not seem to have been fully awake to the magnitude of the task before them, although their armies were swelled by enthusiastic volunteers during the first year. These were twelve-month troops, and their time was nearly out, when their government passed the Conscription Bill, calling to arms all men under thirty-five years of age. A series of disasters to the Southern arms inaugurated the year 1862. General Grant attacked Fort Donelson, on the Cumberland river, and took it, after four days siege; the Confederate General, Buckner, surrendering with the garrison. In April following, Grant being at Pittsburg Landing with his army, awaiting the arrival of General Buell's corps, was suddenly attacked by the Confederates, under Generals Beauregard and Albert Sidney Johnston. The Southerners had made a forced march to surprise the enemy before the arrival of the expected reinforcements, and very nearly succeeded in the daring attempt, for they took part of the Federal camp,

4. What was Mr. Lincoln's first act? Where did the first shock of arms take place? With what result? What was the first great battle of the war? What battle was next fought?—5. What was the situation during the first year of the war? What success was gained by General Grant in 1862? What occurred at Pittsburg Landing?

many cannon, and prisoners; but night put, temporarily, an end to the conflict, and during the night General Buell arrived. The tables were turned, when the battle re-commenced next morning; the Confederate forces, in their turn, were inferior in number, and worn with the fatigue of the previous day. They were compelled to abandon the field, after losing some of the artillery taken in the first battle The most stubborn valor was evinced in both fights, and the slaughter on both sides was terrific. The Confederates had to mourn the loss of one of their best generals, Albert Sidney Johnston. These battles are known as the first and second battle of Shiloh.

6 A few days after this disaster, the Confederacy received another fatal blow. Commodore Farragut's fleet having succeeded in passing the forts at the mouth of the Mississippi, compelled the surrender of New Orleans, evacuated by General Lovell. The city was undefended, and General Butler, who arrived soon after the fleet, took quiet possession. By the fall of this important city, the Confederacy lost all hopes of controlling influence in the West, although they still prevented the free navigation of the Mississippi.

7. Whilst these events were transpiring in the South-west, a Federal army of 120,000, under General McClellan, was directed upon Richmond, (Virginia,) which had been made the capital of the Confederacy. This army, concentrated at Fortress Monroe, took up its march across the Peninsula. The first obstacle they met was at the old city of Yorktown, famous in history as the place where Lord Cornwallis surrendered his army to Washington. This point had been strongly fortified by General Magruder, and although he had but a small garrison, the imposing aspect of the works arrested General McClellan, when he arrived on the 4th of April, and gave time for the army of Virginia, under General Jos. Johnston, to be sent hurriedly to Yorktown. McClellan commenced siege operations, and labored during the whole month of April; but just as he was ready for a general assault, Johnston evacuated Yorktown, (May 3d.) The invading army lost no time in pursuing the Confederate columns, and overtook them near Williamsburg. From that place to Richmond, the march was marked by a number of partial engagements, Johnston's policy seeming to have been to weaken the Federal army, by strategic movements calculated to delay them near the noxious swamps of the Chickahominy. At last, General McClellan arrived almost in sight of Richmond, and then commenced the series of bloody conflicts known as the "seven days' fights." Robert E. Lee had succeeded Johnston in command, the latter having been badly wounded, and now, the Confederates took the offensive. Stonewall Jackson's corps, secretly recalled from north-western Virginia, fell on McClellan's rear, and the pursuing army now became the pursued; General McClellan trying to reach the James river, where to establish

Relate the two battles of Shiloh?—6. What happened a few days later? What was the consequence, to the Confederates, of the fall of New Orleans?—7. What general marched on Richmond? What road did he take? What obstacle did he meet? What about the siege of Yorktown? How was the retreat of the Confederates conducted? What general took command of the Southern army? What occurred before Richmond?

a new base of operations, and General Lee endeavoring to cut him off. At last, General McClellan reached a strong position at Malvern Hill, and saved the remnant of his once fine army, for the continual losses, not merely by battle, but from disease, had terribly reduced its ranks.

8. The Confederates now sought to transfer the war to Northern soil. Lee drove back General Pope, and won the second battle of Manassas, (or Bull Run,) on the 30th of August. He entered Maryland, and was opposed by McClellan at Antietam, September 17th. This dreadful battle was a Federal success, and Lee fell back, on the following night, across the Potomac. The slaughter on both sides was horrible. In November, General Burnside took command of the Federal army, and commenced another march on Richmond. He met Lee at Fredericksburg, (December 18th,) and was led on to attack the Confederate works near the city. Received by a fearful fire of infantry and artillery, his army was badly cut up, and compelled to evacuate Fredericksburg. During the same month, the Confederates suffered a great reverse in Tennessee in the loss of the battle of Murfreesboro. The Union forces were commanded by General Rosecrans, the Confederates by General Bragg.

9. No important movements took place until the ensuing spring, when General Hooker was put in command of the Federal army of the Potomac. He met General Lee on the 3d of May, 1863, at Chancellorsville, on ground of his own choosing, and gave him battle. The Federals were driven back across the Rappahannock, the Confederates retaining the dearly-bought field. This victory was overshadowed by the deepest gloom, for, Stonewall Jackson, one of the most beloved Southern leaders, was killed, accidentally, after the battle, by the fire of his own pickets, who, in the darkness, mistook him for the enemy.

10. The Confederates, struggling against dreadful odds, their ports blockaded, their soil overrun and devastated, resolved to make a supreme effort to transfer the scene of woe to Northern soil. To this effect, General Lee once more crossed the Potomac, and marched northward, through Maryland and Pennsylvania. At Gettysburg, in the latter State, he met the Federals, under General Meade. There was fought the most terrific battle of the war. It commenced on the morning of the 1st of July, and ended on the evening of the 3d. During these three days' carnage, victory seemed to waver between the contending armies; finally, General Lee, having exhausted his ammunition, was compelled to withdraw from the conflict; he had lost 30,000 men in killed, prisoners and stragglers. The Federals, also, had suffered to such an extent that they did not molest the retiring foe.

Where did General McClellan save his army?—8. What generals commanded at the second battle of Manassas? When was it fought? What about the battle of Antietam? The battle of Fredericksburg? What battle was fought in Tennesse? 9. What great battle was fought in May, 1863? With what success? What great general did the Confederates lose?—10. What was the condition of the Confederates after the battle of Chancellorsville? What did they resolve? What great battle was fought in Pennsylvania? With what result?

11. The adverse fortune which persecuted the Confederate arms in Virginia, was dealing them, at the same time, a crushing blow on the Mississippi river. The Southerners had fortified Vicksburg (Miss.) and Port Hudson, (Louisiana,) thus shutting the navigation of the Mississippi and guarding the mouth of Red river, a valuable channel of communication with the trans-Mississippi department, whence they derived their best source of supplies. An expedition was gotten up in New Orleans, against Port Hudson, by the combined army and fleet of General Banks and Commodore Farragut, whilst General Grant moved on Vicksburg. On the 14th of March, General Banks' advance engaged the Confederate pickets near Port Hudson; and on the same night, at 11 o'clock, Commodore Farragut's fleet attacked the river defences. The Commodore's flag ship, Hartford, with another vessel, the Albatross, lashed to it, succeeded in passing the batteries under cover of the darkness, but the other vessels, severely crippled, had to renounce the attempt and to float down the stream. The steam frigate Mississippi, running aground opposite the batteries, was set on fire and burned to the water's edge. General Banks did not attack, but moved rapidly back to Baton Rouge, whence he crossed, some time later, into western Louisiana, overran that country, and re-crossed the Mississippi above Port Hudson. During the intervening period, the greater part of the garrison was ordered off to strengthen General Pemberton's army, which defended the approaches to Vicksburg, and when the events about to be recorded took place, in May, the garrison of Port Hudson was reduced to about 5,000 men, under General Frank Gardner. On the 8th of that month, the fleet came in view, and took a position some four miles below the town. Six mortar boats and five vessels of war commenced the bombardment, which was to last until the end of the siege. On the 21st, General Banks completed the investment of Port Hudson.

12. Despatches now reached the Confederate commander, ordering the evacuation of Port Hudson; but it was too late. A council of war, summoned by the commander, took the following points in consideration: That General Grant, with superior forces, was pressing Pemberton in Vicksburg; that the junction of Banks' 30,000 men with Grant's 100,000 would make the fall of Vicksburg certain; that the little garrison of Port Hudson, although hopeless of final success, could serve more effectually the Confederacy, by detaining General Banks, than by cutting their way through his forces. The officers resolved to accomplish the sacrifice, and the garrison, in pursuance of that resolution, held the place forty-eight days. Meanwhile, defeated at Black river, General Pemberton had retired into Vicksburg with 30,000 men. But, despairing of receiving aid from General Johnston, the department commander, he surrendered Vicksburg on the 4th of July (1863.) The astounding news reached Port Hudson on the 8th, and it having been officially communicated to General Gardner by

11. How about the state of affairs at that time, on the Mississippi river? Describe the naval attack? Where did General Banks operate afterwards? How was Port Hudson invested?—12. Why did the garrison resolve to defend the place? What were, meanwhile, General Pemberton's movements? What was the fate of Vicksburg? Of Port Hudson?

Genera. Banks, the former considered the further effusion of blood as unnecessary, and surrendered, with all the honors of war, on the 9th of July. There remained of the garrison but two thousand men fit for duty; their ammunition was exhausted, three-fourths of their guns dismounted, and they had been living for the past three weeks on half a pound of mule meat and three ears of corn daily to each man. On the very night of the 8th, after the terms of capitulation had been agreed upon, General Banks sent in 4,000 rations to the famished garrison.

13. The resources of the South, both as to men and supplies, were now nearly exhausted. New levies were made, and boys left their college books to take their place by the side of gray-headed old men; but it was only to protract the struggle in a doomed cause. The North, with her large population and the flow of immigration from Europe, kept swelling the ranks of her armies, whilst, with her rich granaries, her arsenals and manufactures, and the ports of the whole world opened to her, she had inexhaustible sources of supplies. In 1864, she had 600,000 men in the field. General Sherman's army of Tennessee took up his march from Chattanooga on Atlanta (Georgia,) the great centre of railroad communication in the South; General Sigel occupied the Shenandoah valley, while two armies marched on Richmond and Petersburg, the key to its approach. Although General Johnston disputed the ground inch by inch, he could not stay General Sherman's triumphant march through the very heart of the Confederacy. His successor, General Hood, tried to divert the attention of Sherman, by moving into Tennessee; but the wily Federal commander did not change his plans. Hood nearly lost his little army in an attack on Franklin. Meanwhile, Sherman did not winter at Atlanta, as was supposed to be his intention, but took up his march in the direction of the Atlantic coast, destroying the railroads, and burning the mills and the crops. He took Savannah, caused the evacuation of Charleston, the proud city which had held for more than a year against fleet and army, overran the two Carolinas, leaving ruins and devastation behind him, and thus cut off the last resources of supplies for Lee's army.

14. General Grant, now Lieutenant-General, in supreme command of the armies of the United States, had steadily pursued his plan of operations against Richmond. Battles had followed battles, with alternate success; but whilst the Confederate forces were being slowly reduced, the Federal army kept increasing, by continual reinforcements. The bloody battle of Five Forks, fought on the 1st of April, decided the result. Lee ordered the evacuation of Richmond and Petersburg, and commenced retreating, pursued by Grant. On the 9th of April, 1865, the shattered remnants of the army of Virginia surrendered. This was the virtual ending of the war; the last hopes of the Confederacy had long been centered in that army, and where-

13. What was the respective condition of the two contending parties at the close of 1863? With what forces did the North open the campaign of 1864, and what disposition was made of them? Describe Sherman's campaign and its results? 14. What was General Grant doing, meanwhile? What battle hastened the end of the war? What happened on the 9th of April, 1865? What were the immediate consequences of the surrender?

ever the news of its surrender reached the Confederate commanders, they ceased all resistance and laid down their arms. Officers and men were paroled and disbanded, in accordance with the terms of General Lee's surrender.

15. Almost simultaneously with the exciting news of the return of peace, a thrill of horror was sent throughout the land by the report of the assassination of President Lincoln, shot in Ford's Theatre, Washington. Mr. Lincoln had recently been re-elected for another term; Vice-President Andrew Johnson was inaugurated President. Jefferson Davis, the President of the Southern Confederacy, was made prisoner, soon after the surrender, and taken to Fortress Monroe, where he was held in captivity until the spring of 1867, when he was brought into court and admitted to bail.

16. The most important result of the war has been the abolishment of slavery throughout the hitherto slaveholding states. The immense resources of the country have been developed and made known to the world. On the other hand, the government has become burthened with a heavy debt, and the Southern States, whose wealth flowed so freely into the national treasury, are desolated and impoverished. Thousands from North and South have perished, and many are the homes made desolate, many the hearts broken by sorrow. War has left its mark on this once prosperous and happy land. The work of reconstruction has been progressing slowly in these two past years, but the wounds are still bleeding, and can only be healed by the hand of Time.

17. The most important event, since the close of the war, has been the acquisition made by the United States, of the vast extent of country hitherto known as Russian America.

THE MEXICAN WAR.

European Expedition against Mexico; the victorious French in the Capital; the Empire established; Withdrawal of the French; Success of the Liberals; a Military Judas; Execution of Emperor Maximilian.

1. THE Republic of Mexico may be called the land of revolutions. Since that unfortunate country achieved its independence, it has been in an almost continual state of anarchy. There can be no national prosperity where there is no stability in the government, no security to be found under the law; and internal dissensions and strife have so

15. What great crime was perpetrated in Washington? Who succeeded Mr. Lincoln? What was the fate of Mr. Davis?—16. What are the important results of the war?—17. What important transaction has taken place since the war?

1. What is said about Mexico?

paralyzed the vast resources of Mexico, that, with her immense area of rich soil, her inexhaustible mines and her numerous seaports, she occupies but an insignificant place among the nations of the world. She has dropped to the rear of civilization, and her people, ignorant and debased, evince the barbarous instincts of the savage under the garb of Christianity.

2. In 1861, Benito Juarez, President of the Republic, involved his country into a foreign war, by various arbitrary measures, such as annulling or suspending obligations contracted by the government in Europe, despoiling resident foreigners, and also, by the unjust and illegal spoliation of the church property. France, England and Spain resolved to act jointly in obtaining satisfaction for the common wrong, and sent a fleet to Mexico. The expedition was successful, and the allies gained a footing on the Eastern coasts of Mexico; but some disagreement having arisen among them, England and Spain then withdrew their vessels, and the French were left alone to carry on the war.

3. The emperor Napoleon conceived the grand idea of regenerating a nation. The moment was opportune; the United States who would have seen with a jealous eye any attempt of a European power to gain influence on the American continent, were plunged into an all-absorbing civil war. He sent an army to Mexico, under command of General Forey, an officer of eminent merit. The French opened the campaign with their usual impetuosity and gained a series of brilliant victories. In June, 1863, General Forey made his triumphant entry into the city of Mexico. The notables of the capital, representing mostly the conservative party, assembled at the invitation of the French general, and voted for an imperial form of government as the most conducive to the prosperity and tranquility of their country. They then drew up an address offering the imperial crown to the archduke Maximilian, brother of Francis Joseph, emperor of Austria, and son-in-law of the king of Belgium, a prince of amiable qualities and sterling worth, connected by the ties of consanguinity with nearly all the crowned heads of Europe.

4. A deputation was immediately sent to Europe, on a French vessel of war, to make the formal offer of the crown to Prince Maximilian. He demanded time to consider, and required the vote of the Mexican people to be taken, as he would not become their ruler against their wish. After the necessary delay, a second deputation was sent, which gave Maximilian all the guarantees he desired. He renounced formally all his rights to the succession of the crown of Austria, and embarked with his amiable consort, the Princess Carlotta. The imperial pair entered the city of Mexico in May, 1864, amidst the acclamations of the people. By a prior agreement the emperor was to have a foreign legion composed of Austrians and Belgians, and France had pledged herself to leave her army in Mexico for two years longer.

2. What happened in 1861?—3. What was the success of the French? What determination did the notables of Mexico take?—4. Upon what conditions did Maximilian accept the crown? What followed?

5. The empire had every prospect of success. The liberals had been repeatedly vanquished, and Juarez had retired in the mountains. The new emperor immediately turned his attention to developing the resources of the country, and promoting the welfare of his subjects. Notwithstanding the continuation of hostilities, an era of prosperity seemed to dawn on Mexico; it is a stated fact that at no time there had been so much land in cultivation, so many manufactures in successful operation, and so many public works in execution, as during the years 1865 and 1866. Maximilian adopted several wise measures, but he made a fatal mistake; he recognized the validity of sales of church property, made by order of the former government, and this measure alienated from him the majority of the conservative or church party, without gaining, as he probably had hoped, the sympathies of the liberals. He did not understand the Mexican people.

6. Meanwhile, the time had come for the withdrawal of the French troops. The civil war in the United States had ceased, and the government of this country demanded of France the fulfilment of her agreement and the departure of her troops. The Mexican war had never been popular with the mass of the French people; the French Chambers had voted, it is true, the necessary appropriation, in 1863, but only after a violent debate, in which Mr. Berryer, the great leader of the opposition, proclaimed "that if the French arms helped to place Maximilian on the throne, the honor of France would be pledged to maintain him there at all cost and all risk." Napoleon felt that he would not be supported, and he withdrew the French troops. Maximilian was left alone, with a divided people, to continue the struggle against the liberals, now strengthened by the moral support of the United States. He refused to renounce the crown which had been voluntarily given to him, and placed himself at the head of his army. The struggle continued, with alternate success and defeat, until one of the imperial generals, a Mexican named Lopez, whom Maximilian had honored with his entire confidence and loaded with favors, vilely betrayed his master. This modern Iscariot sold for gold the stronghold that made the principal security of the imperial army, and Maximilian compelled to surrender, was taken prisoner at Queretaro. He was tried, together with three of his generals, and to the horror of the civilized world, and, against the rules of warfare as well as of humanity, was sentenced to be shot, and was executed.

7. Mexico is once more a republic. The reign of anarchy has already recommenced, and before these lines appear in print, some successful partizan may have replaced Juarez in the Presidential chair. Unless, indeed, the last prayer of the generous Maximilian was heard, when he said that "he hoped his blood might regenerate Mexico and secure her future happiness."

5. What were the prospects of the new empire? Say what good Maximilian did, and what fault he committed?—6. What caused the withdrawal of the French troops? What did Maximilian do, when the French withdrew? By whom was he betrayed? What was his fate?—7. What is the present condition of Mexico? What was Maximilian's last prayer?

REVOLUTIONS AND WARS IN EUROPE.

A. D. 1848–1867.

SECTION I.

FRANCE.—*Insurrection in Paris; Republic proclaimed; Louis Napoleon; the Empire established; Crimean war.*

1. For some time previously to the year 1848, various incidents occurred to give indications of the aproaching storm, which was to convulse the whole continent of Europe. The flame of revolution had already burst forth in Italy and Germany, and was not long in communicating its effects to the Parisian populace. In the early part of January, 1848, an open demonstration was made in favor of reform, and reform banquets were arranged to take place in various cities, especially in Paris. The government not only prohibited these reform festivals, but the king in a speech from the throne, censured in severe terms the whole movement as tending to excite blind and hostile passions. These measures only tended to exasperate the minds of the people. They paraded the streets in crowds, with the cry of reform, and "down with Guizot," the Minister of Foreign Affairs. One of these processions, on the night of the 23d of February, 1848, halted in front of the foreign office, and while in front of this building, a gun was discharged, and the military posted there thinking themselves attacked, fired upon the crowd, and fifty-two persons fell, either killed or wounded.

2. This was a signal for an open revolt. The news spread quickly through Paris; the alarm-bell was sounded, and in a few hours the whole city was in the wildest state of excitement. The people flew to arms, and were joined by the National Guard. The King, Louis Philippe, alarmed at this sudden change of affairs, and fearing for his own safety, immediately abdicated in favor of his grandson, the Count of Paris, and fled with his wife to England, where he was shortly afterwards joined by the other members of his family.

3. Upon the flight of the King, the monarchy was abolished, and a republican form of government proclaimed. As soon as the news of the success of the insurrection reached England, Louis Napoleon Bonaparte hastened to Paris, and addressed a few lines to Lamartine, then the head of the provisional government, announcing his arrival, and his willingness to place himself under the banner of the republic To this letter the government replied by ordering him to quit Paris within twenty-four hours, and he again quietly withdrew to England. A few months afterwards, Louis Napoleon was elected to the Constituent Assembly from the department of Seine, by a large majority, and after some opposition, took his seat in that body.

4. In the meantime an insurrection broke out in Paris against the new government. It was instigated by the *Socialist* leaders, who

1. Previously to the year 1848, what occurred? Where had the flame burst forth? To what did these measures tend? What took place on February 23d, 1848?—2. What followed? What became of the king?—3. What followed the flight of the king? Who hastened to Paris? To this letter what answer was given? What happened a few months after this?—4. In the meantime, what happened in Paris? By whom was it instigated?

wished to destroy every distinction of rank and fortune, and aimed at elevating themselves to power, and enriching themselves by plundering their more wealthy neighbors. The streets were barricaded and the most formidable preparations were made to resist the government forces. Alarmed at the bold determination of the insurgents, the National Assembly invested General Cavaignac with dictatorial power, and intrusted to him the suppression of the revolt. After a sanguinary conflict, which lasted three days, the government triumphed; the barricades were carried, and the rebels dispersed. This, however, was not accomplished without a serious sacrifice of life and destruction of property. Among the victims who fell on the occasion, none were more deeply lamented than M. Affre, Archbishop of Paris. During the contest, this illustrious man approached the barricades, with a view of soothing the angry feelings of the combatants. His presence inspired respect, and both parties for a short time ceased from the conflict. While he was holding a conference with the insurgents, he received a mortal wound, and was borne in a dying state from the barricades.

5. As soon as order was restored, an election for president took place. There were no less than six candidates, the most prominent of whom were Louis Napoleon, General Cavaignac, and Lamartine; the first named, however, was elected by a large majority over all his competitors. Napoleon entered on the duties of his office of president with energy, and soon succeeded in giving stability to the government. As his term of office drew towards a close, a formidable conspiracy was entered into, chiefly by the members of the Assembly, having for its object the overthrow of the existing government, and the arrest and imprisonment of the president. Louis Napoleon, however, being made aware of the movement, anticipated their designs by seizing on the government and dissolving the National Assembly. He then appealed to the people, who approved of his *coup d'état*, and invested him with the supreme sovereignty, by a vote of nearly seven millions of a majority over all opposition. Notwithstanding Napoleon III.'s assertion in 1852, "*l' empire c' est la paix*," France has sustained several wars since the establishment of the empire; but they have been of comparatively short duration, and have always been terminated with glory to the French arms.

6. In 1853, the Czar Nicholas, thinking the moment opportune for carrying out his long-cherished scheme of aggrandizement at the expense of Turkey, declared war against that power and invaded the Danubian Provinces. The flimsy pretext for this aggressive measure was of protecting the Greek Christians persecuted by the Turks, although they enjoyed, in reality, more religious liberty than did the Catholic Poles, in the Czar's own dominions. France and England formed an alliance with the Sultan, and declared war against Russia, in 1854. The allied powers soon drove the Russians from the Dan-

Who was clothed with dictatorial power? How was the insurrection suppressed? Who were among the victims?—5. Who were the candidates for the presidency, and who was elected? What conspiracy was entered into, and how did Napoleon act?—6. What did the Czar Nicholas, in 1853? What powers allied themselves against Russia? What did the allies?

ubian Provinces; but they aimed to greater results, and they resolved to strike a blow that would check forever Russia's ambitious schemes.

7. With this view, the combined forces of England, France and Turkey, numbering 60,000 men, landed at Eupatoria on the 4th of September, 1854, and marched on the Russian stronghold, Sebastopol. On the 20th they encountered the enemy, and defeated him in the bloody battle of Alma. They then pushed vigorously their operations against Sebastopol, upon which the attention of the civilized world was to be drawn for nearly a year, by the heroic daring of the besiegers and the stubborn defence of the besieged. The entrance of the harbor having been obstructed by the Russians by sinking several battle-ships and frigates, the allies resolved to take the place by land, and on the 17th of October, they commenced the bombardment with 200 pieces of heavy artillery. This siege may be called one continued battle, for, day and night the work of bloodshed and destruction was carried on with ever renewed vigor. Reinforcements were continually arriving, and in January, 1855, the allied army was strengthened by a corps from Sardinia. The forts at the entrance of the harbor had been silenced or blown up by the French and English fleets; the doomed city itself was a pile of ruins, when the general assault was given, September 8th, 1855. The French finally succeeded in carrying by storm the famous tower, Malakoff, and Sebastopol was now untenable. The Russian commander, Prince Gortschakoff, after a fruitless attempt to intrench himself in the city and protract the defence, sank his fleet, blew up the fortifications and evacuated the place. Sebastopol was taken.

8. During the siege, the Czar Nicholas died, it is said of a broken heart at the failure of his plans. He was succeeded by his son, Alexander II., the present Czar, who, after the fall of Sebastopol, agreed upon an armistice. Peace was finally concluded, and by the treaty signed at Paris in March, 1856, Russia renounced her protectorate over the Danubian Provinces; the navigation of the Danube was declared free, and the Black Sea neutral water, wherein no vessel of war can navigate. Turkey, on the other side, solemnly confirmed all the privileges heretofore granted her Christian subjects. Russia's power, if not her desire to oppress Turkey, was effectually paralyzed.

9. Such is the brief outline of the bloody conflict known as the "Crimean War," and during which the world saw the extraordinary spectacle of the banners of the Cross and the Crescent floating side by side, and the two old enemies, France and England, fighting in one common cause. Truly, the designs of an all-wise Providence are impenetrable.

10. The year 1857 saw England and France again united in a war against the emperor of China, to obtain redress for insults proffered to their flags, and to punish the Chinese for cruelties perpetrated upon

7. Where did they land in September, 1854? What great battle was fought? What place did they besiege?—8. Give an account of the siege of Sebastopol? What became of the Czar Nicholas? Who succeeded him? When was peace concluded? What were the conditions of the treaty?—9. What singular spectacle was presented by the Crimean war?—10. What expedition did France and England undertake in 1857? Why?

their missionaries. The allies took Canton, and advanced on Pekin so promptly that the Chinese emperor hastened to sign a treaty of peace; but, no sooner relieved from the presence of his enemies, he broke his faith and persecuted the Christians anew. Another expedition was sent to China, and the victorious army of the allies entered Pekin in October, 1860. A new treaty of peace was then signed, far more advantageous to the western powers than that of 1858; they secured the right of having an ambassador at Pekin; the Christians were guaranteed the free exercise of their religion, eight Chinese ports were opened to European Commerce, and, finally, France and England received each a war indemnity of 60,000 francs.

11. Another and similar expedition to Cochin-China was undertaken, in 1858, by France and Spain, the emperor of Annam having given them the same grounds of offence as that of China. The allies captured Tourane and Saïgon, and suspended operations for a time, Spain having accepted a pecuniary compensation, and withdrawn from the conflict. But France resumed active hostilities in 1862, and compelled the emperor of Annam to recognize the rights of the Christians, to pay her an indemnity and cede her three provinces, and to open three ports in Tonkin to commerce.

12. Simultaneously with these minor expeditions, France became involved in another continental war. A quarrel had sprung up between her ally, Victor Emmanuel, king of Sardinia, and the emperor of Austria. The latter having taken alarm at warlike preparations that were in progress in Sardinia, protested against them, and being unheeded, ordered his army in the Lombardo-Venetian provinces to cross the Tessino and invade the Sardinian territory. This act of hostility caused Napoleon to send immediately a French army into Italy. A short but bloody war ensued. On the 4th of June, 1859, the contending armies fought the celebrated battle of Magenta, which was gained by the French. On the 24th it was followed by the still bloodier battle of Solferino, which lasted sixteen hours. Napoleon and Francis Joseph were in command of their respective armies, and their presence seemed to instill new fury in the combatants. Finally, the Austrians having suffered terrible losses, retreated across the Mincio, leaving the French in possession of the battlefield.

13. A truce followed. The two emperors had a personal interview at Villa-franca, and agreed upon the basis of a treaty of peace, which was finally concluded at Zurich. Sardinia obtained Lombardy, and France had for her share Savoy and the county of Nice. The active part taken by France in Mexican affairs (1863–1866) has already been described in a preceding chapter. This war was one of the greatest mistakes of Napoleon, for it has cost France much blood and treasure, and none of the objects for which it has been undertaken have been realized.

What caused a renewal of hostilities? How did the war end?—11. Give an account of the expedition to Cochin-China?—12. In what other war did France become involved? How did Austria act? What were the two principal battles fought? With what result?—13. What followed? Upon what conditions was peace made?

SECTION II.

The Revolt in Hungary; Insurrection in Vienna; War in the North of Italy; Revolution in Rome; Flight of the Pope; the Roman Republic; the Pope Restored.

1. The news of the Paris revolution, and the overthrow of Louis Philippe, was the signal for similar outbreaks in almost every capital in Europe. The smaller States of Germany, Baden, Wirtemberg, and Saxony, were first convulsed, and many concessions and reforms wrung from the ruling authorities. Neither was the Austrian empire saved from the universal contagion. In March, 1848, a violent insurrection broke out in Vienna, and so great was its violence, that the emperor with his court retired to Innspruck leaving for a time his capital in the hands of the insurgents. But scarcely was this outbrake suppressed, when a new revolution broke out in Hungary. This new revolt was headed by the Magyars, the predominant race of the country, who aimed at rendering the nation independent of Austria, but the movement was opposed by the Croats, Slavonians, Servians, and other races of Hungary. The struggle was fierce and obstinate, and Austria finding herself unable to suppress the Hungarian revolt, owing to the distracted state of the empire, applied for assistance to Russia, which was readily granted. In the meantime, the Diet of Debreczin declared the independence of Hungary, and established a provisional government under the direction of Kossuth. The hostile armies now entered the country at several points, and the insurrection was brought to a speedy termination. Görgey, the Hungarian general, surrendered to the Russians at Vilagos, and Kossuth fled to Turkey, and subsequently visited the United States.

2. The flame of insurrection also swept over Rome and the north of Italy. In Milan and Venice the Austrian garrisons were driven out, and the standard of independence raised throughout the whole of Lombardy. This success inspired Charles Albert, King of Sardinia, with the hope of making himself master of the Lombard-Venetian kingdom, and he accordingly declared war against Austria. In this he was joined by numerous Italian volunteers, and for a brief period success attended his arms. The scale, however, was soon changed. In the summer of 1848, the Austrian field marshal, Radetski, then in the eighty-sixth year of his age, signally defeated him at Custozza. The King of Sardinia fled during the night into his own dominions, and a truce was concluded with the victors. Urged on by the popular leaders, and burning with the desire to obliterate the dishonor of the late defeat, Charles Albert again resolved to try the fortune of arms. Accordingly in the following spring, he again crossed the Austrian boundaries. He was

1. What is said of the news of the Paris revolution? In March, what took place in Vienna? By whom was the new revolt in Hungary headed? In the meantime, what did the Diet of Debreczin do? What followed?—2. What took place in Milan and Venice? Who declared war against Austria? By whom was he defeated? Urged by the popular leaders, what did he again try?

met by Radetski at Vercelli, and signally defeated. He was again routed on the following day at Novara, with the loss of upwards of fifteen thousand men. This ended the war. Radetski returned in triumph to Milan after an absence of only eleven days. Charles Albert, after the second defeat, hastily abdicated in favor of his son, Victor Emanuel, fled into Switzerland, and thence into Spain, where he shortly afterwards died.

3. In the meantime Rome was the scene of the most flagrant outrages and disorders. On the death of Gregory XVI, the choice of the conclave fell upon Cardinal Ferreti, who assumed the name of Pius IX. This illustrious Pontiff, from the very commencement of his reign, gave proofs of a liberal and enlightened policy, by granting to the people every reform consistent with their happiness and the well being of the State. At first his generous acts were received with enthusiasm, and the name of Pius IX was every where hailed with applause. Rome, however, had been for some time previously the centre of a secret political organization, which had for its ultimate object the destruction both of the altar and the throne. The leaders of this body, at that time, were Mazzini, Storbini, and Galletti, men of talents and energy, but of the most abandoned principles. They at first strongly urged the Pontiff to join in the war against Austria, and on his refusal to comply with their request, they cunningly threw the blame on the Jesuits, and held them up to the people as the friends of Austria, and the enemies to Italian independence. The "blacks," as they were termed, were everywhere denounced, and so great was the popular feeling, thus unjustly excited against them, that the zealous followers of St. Ignatius could not with safety any longer appear in public, and were finally expelled from the Papal dominions.

4. Encouraged by this success, they next directed their attacks against the Cardinals. It was the determination of the revolutionary leaders from the beginning to destroy this order, and thereby remove from the Pope his most faithful counsellors. Under various pretences they assembled the people, and inflamed their minds against the Austrians with whom they pretended the Cardinals had entered into a league for the purpose of delivering Rome into their hands and crushing forever the hopes of Italian independence. At the same time they professed the utmost loyalty to the Pope, but cunningly represented the Cardinals as restraining his Holiness in his measures of reform, and of preventing him joining in the war against Austria, as they had formerly done in regard to the Jesuits. From this time forward the cry of "Death to the Cardinals" was heard in every part of Rome.

5. With the view of conciliating the popular leaders, the Pope, in the fall of 1848, appointed as his prime minister, Count Rossi, a statesman of liberal views, a friend of nationality, but a man of

Where was Charles Albert again defeated? What became of him?—3. In the meantime, what was the condition of Rome? Who succeeded Gregory XVI? At first how were his generous acts hailed? Who were the leaders of the secret societies? At first what did they urge? Against whom did they excite the indignation of the people?—4. Against whom did they next direct their attacks?—5. Who was appointed prime minister?

energy and determination. The Count entered on the duties of his office by the adoption of the most vigorous measures for the restoration of order, and for the suppression of the lawless proceedings which had for some time disgraced the city. Alarmed at his boldness, the conspirators resolved to remove him by assassination, which they did in the most brutal manner on the steps of the Senate chamber. Immediately after the death of the minister, and while the city was still in the utmost excitement on account of the horrid deed, the conspirators formed themselves into a *Committee of Public Safety*, assumed the command of the military forces, and the control of the entire municipal authorities. They then drew up a new ministry, composed of the most violent members of their own body, such as Mannani, Galletti, Sterbini, and others, and went in procession to the Quirinal, accompanied by an immense crowd of soldiers of every grade, and followed by a curious and drunken mob. His Holiness requested time to consider their demands, but they insisted on his immediate assent. This being refused, they made a most furious assault on the palace, set fire to the gates and attempted to scale the walls. During the assault, Mons. Palma, Latin Secretary of the Pope, having exposed himself at one of the windows, was shot dead upon the spot.

6. For several days his Holiness was kept a close prisoner in his own palace; at length, finding himself completely at the mercy of the conspirators, and learning that they were about to compel him to renounce all temporal power over the Roman States, and that his life was threatened if he refused, he determined if possible to escape from the city. Accordingly with the assistance of the Bavarian and French ambassadors, he effected his flight from Rome, on 24th of November, 1848, and proceeded to Gaeta, in the kingdom of Naples, where he was cordially received by the king and the royal family.

7. After the flight of his Holiness, the Papal government was abolished, and a republic proclaimed. In the meantime the Pope was not idle. In the early part of January following his arrival at Gaeta, he published a solemn protest against the proceedings of the conspirators in Rome, and appealed to the great Catholic powers of Europe, respectfully asking their armed interposition in his behalf. His request was immediately responded to by several of the powers to whom the appeal was made. Among these France took the lead. In the latter part of April, 1849, a French army under the command of General Oudinot, landed in the Papal states and marched directly for Rome. The first attack on the city was unsuccessful, and the French general was obliged to retire to Palo, a few miles from Rome, there to wait the arrival of reinforcements. About the middle of June the attack was renewed, and continued with but little intermission for nearly two weeks. The city was finally carried,

What was his fate? Immediately after this, what was done? Who was killed during the assault?—6 For several days, how was the Pope kept? By whose assistance did he escape, and where did he retire to?—7. What was done after the flight of his Holiness? What did he publish, &c.? In April, 1849, what did the French do? What is said of the first attack? What was the final result?

and General Oudinot entered with his forces on the 2d of July. He immediately dispatched one of his officers to Gaeta, to inform the Sovereign Pontiff of the victory, and to place at his feet the keys of the city.

8. The Pope remained at Gaeta until the following April, when he returned in triumph to his capital, and quietly resumed the exercise of his authority. The evils entailed on the country by the late convulsion were serious and manifold. Commerce had been interrupted, the mechanical branches of industry paralyzed, debts created, and the community flooded by a worthless paper currency, which had been issued by the republic. To remedy these evils was the first care of Pius IX. on being restored to his capital, and to this he applied himself with unremitting energy. By his prudent measures public confidence was soon restored, and life and energy infused into every department of the government. The loyal and good were more than ever endeared to the person of the Pontiff, while the hostility of his enemies was disarmed by his clemency and mildness.

9. The magnanimity of the Holy Father did not, however, stay the revolutionary attempts of the Italian agitators. The war against Austria (1859) by exciting the public mind, gave birth to a political organization having in view the unity of Italy, and Victor Emmanuel, king of Sardinia, was proclaimed the king of the united kingdom. States ejected their rulers to enter this new combination, thereby not gaining their independence, but simply a change of masters. The Papal territory was sadly diminished by these unceremonious annexations; Bologna and the Romagna were absorbed, and in 1860, the duchies of Parma and Modena were united to the new kingdom.

10. The Pope having appealed, in vain, to the Catholic sovereigns of Europe, resolved to make an effort to resist these encroachments. He called upon the faithful to volunteer in defence of the Holy See, and entrusted the organization of his army to the French general De Lamoricière; an officer of the greatest merit, who had won imperishable fame in the African war. Volunteers flocked from every part of Europe, and Lamoricière was busily engaged in their organization and instruction, when, without any previous declaration of hostilities, the Sardinian general Cialdini, at the head of 60,000, invaded the Papal territory, and attacked the volunteers at Castelfidardo. Lamoricière's talents as a general were unavailing to resist the attack. His raw recruits, poorly armed, and much inferior in number to the enemy, were cut down or taken prisoners, and the organization of the Pontifical Volunteers destroyed at one fell blow. The gallant general cut his way through the enemy, and threw himself into the city of Ancona. But this place, attacked and shelled by the Sardinian fleet, was soon after compelled to capitulate. The fall of Ancona involved the loss to the Pontifical States of the Marches and Umbria.

11. Meanwhile, the revolution was still progressing all over Italy. Garibaldi was overrunning the Two Sicilies and taking Naples, whilst Cialdini besieged and took Gaeta. The youthful King of the Two Sicilies, Francis II, defended bravely his possessions; he could be

8. When did the Pope return to his capital? What evils were entailed on the community by the late convulsion?—9. What happened in Italy in 1860? Was the Papal territory diminished? How?—10. What did the Pope? What general took command of his army? What happened at Castelfidardo? Where did Lamoricière go? What was the fate of Ancona?—11. What about the movements of Garibaldi and Cialdini? How did Francis II. behave?

seen, in the batteries of Gaeta, accompanied by his young and amiable queen, animating the gunners by his example; but he had to succumb, and sought refuge in Rome.

12. The intervention of Napoleon, who sent troops to Rome, alone saved the eternal city from falling again into the hands of the revolutionists. Peace has been restored; the seat of government of united Italy has been transferred to Florence, and the French troops have been recalled. But the Sovereign Pontiff is still threatened. The revolutionary party in Italy wants Rome, and the question of the temporal power of the Pope is freely discussed in the Italian parliament, whilst the demagogues avow openly their schemes for robbing the church of her last resources. They forget that Empires have fallen, Republics have passed like ephemereal meteors, and nations even have disappeared from the face of the earth since Christ confided His church into the hands of Peter the fisherman. From all parts of the globe tributes of love are being sent to the Holy Father, and the banner of the cross may yet wave over an army strong enough to prevent the execution of the work of iniquity contemplated by the Italian demagogues.

WAR IN SCHLESWIG-HOLSTEIN—WAR IN GERMANY. A. D. 1863—1866.

1. In 1863 a quarrel arose between Christian IX., king of Denmark, and the Duke of Augustenburg, about the right of succession to the duchies of Holstein and Schleswig, which both claimed. The German Diet supporting the Duke of Augustenburg's claim, sent troops to Holstein, whilst Prussia and Austria, ostensibly for the same object, occupied Schleswig, and taking the offensive, invaded Jutland. Denmark fighting alone against such odds was soon overpowered, and compelled to cede not only Schleswig and Holstein, but also the duchy of Lauenburg.

2. Prussia had obtained Lauenburg for her share of the spoils, but far from being satisfied, she claimed the two other duchies. Austria refused to submit to such pretensions, and Prussia, withdrawing at once from the German Confederation, declared war against her former ally, whose territory she now invaded. She had secured by a secret treaty, the assistance of Italy, who rejoiced at an opportunity of driving the Austrians from Venetia.

3. The Italian army of invasion was not fortunate. The archduke Albert gave battle to the Italians, near Custozza, on the 24th of June (1866.) and defeated them completely, compelling them to recross the Mincio. Their fleet fared still worse; on the 20th of July, the Italian admiral Persano, with 23 vessels, engaged the inferior fleet of admiral Tegetoff. Persano lost several vessels and was compelled to run into the port of Ancona, for shelter.

4. Meanwhile, the Prussians were operating with much better fortune than their ally; in the month of June, they entered Hanover, Hesse-Cassel and Saxony; and soon occupied Frankfort and overran Darmstadt and Bavaria. The Austrian commander in chief was compelled to give battle to the formidable army, which, advancing in two columns through Saxony and Silesia made a junction at Gits-

12. What saved Rome? What is the present condition of the Pope?
1. What was the cause of the war in Schleswig-Holstein? What was the result? 2. What were the pretensions of Prussia, and how did she act?—3. What was the fortune of the Italian army of invasion? Of their fleet?—4. What success attended the Prussians? What great battle was fought?

chin. The Austrians were inferior in number and demoralized by the non-arrival of 80,000 Bavarians upon which they had counted. The battle of Sadowa resulted in the total defeat and route of the Austrian army. The carnage was fearful, the greatest, perhaps, that has ever drenched in blood a battle field. The famous *needle gun* was used, for the first time, in this battle, by the Prussians.

5. Austria was vanquished; to save the national honor and get rid of at least one of her adversaries, she ceded Venetia to France, with the secret understanding that this province should be turned over to Italy. The French emperor renewed his offers of mediation, and a treaty of peace was agreed upon, on the 26th July, which was subsequently ratified at Prague.

6. Austria lost much more than she had contended for; she confirmed the cession of Venetia, now a part of Italy; renounced taking any part in the new Confederation which Prussia proposed to form North of the Meyne river: and she formally renounced all claims upon the duchies of Holstein and Schleswig. She had moreover to submit to the annexation of Hanover, Hesse, Nassau and Frankfort to Prussia.

7. Thus ended this iniquitous war, commenced ostensibly to protect the claims of a weaker third party. This successful and ambitious scheme for the aggrandizement of Prussia, was the work of the prime minister, count Bismark, a statesman of extraordinary power, who is the ruling spirit in European diplomacy. The once powerful empire of Austria has been humbled and weakened, but the other Powers watch jealously the ambition of Prussia, and peace is anything but certain in Europe.

SPAIN. —During the last few years, Spain has made several efforts to regain the high position she once occupied among the other nations. Besides the expeditions against Cochin-China and Mexico, made jointly with France she has successfully terminated another against the emperor of Morocco, and has been waging war against the Argentine Republic and Chili. But internal troubles are continually impeding her progress.

THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH.

SECTION I.

From the Birth of Christ to the Triumph of Christianity, in the reign of Constantine, the first Christian Emperor, A. D. 312.

1. IN order to render this compendium more complete, it has been thought quite expedient to add a short history of the Christian Church, or of Christianity from its first promulgation to the present time. This interesting portion of history embraces a period of more than eighteen centuries, and may be divided into three separate portions.

The first division extends from the birth of Christ to the triumph of Christianity, when it became the religion of the Roman Empire, under the reign of *Constantine the Great*, A. D. 312.

The second division extends from the reign of Constantine to the Reformation, A. D. 1517; and the third, from the Reformation to the present time.

2. At a time when the Roman Empire had reached the meridian of its greatness; when every nation accessible to its arms

5. What happened after the battle of Sadowa? — 6. What did Austria lose? 7. What great statesman managed the affairs of Prussia? What are the prospects of peace in Europe? What about Spain?

1. What period does this history embrace? How does the first division extend? The second? The third?

had yielded submission to its power; when rival monarchs and contending chieftains had ceased their strife, and the troubled elements of war, which had for ages convulsed the world, had sunk to repose under the mild reign of *Augustus Cæsar*, Jesus Christ, the Prince of Peace, was born at *Bethlehem*, in Judea.

At the time when this remarkable event took place, an expectation universally prevailed, even among the pagan nations, that some extraordinary personage was about to appear in the world. The Jews, in particular, were anxiously expecting the coming of the Messiah, whose birth had been long foretold by the prophets, but they very erroneously imagined that he would appear as a temporal prince, clothed with worldly splendor and power; as a mighty conqueror, who would deliver their nation from the dominion of the Romans.

3. The *Pharisees*, who were the most powerful of the three sects* into which the Jews were at that time divided, presided in the schools, and were the chief doctors of the law. They received all the books of the Old Testament, to which they added their traditions or oral law, which was regarded of high authority. They affected the appearance of great sanctity, but being destitute of the true spirit of religion, they are chargeable with the grossest hypocrisy; they looked for a *Messiah* only as a great deliverer, who should rescue Judea from the yoke of a foreign power, and subject the whole world to the Mosaic institutions. It is not surprising, then, that the manner of our Saviour's appearance on earth disappointed the expectation of the Jews. No royal palace designates the consecrated spot where the long-expected Messiah first appeared among the children of men; a lowly manger is the place of his nativity; Joseph, his reputed father, is an humble carpenter, and Mary, his virginal mother, though descended from the royal house of David, is undistinguished among the daughters of Judea.

4. The life of our divine Saviour was one of labor and suffering; his death was finally consummated upon an ignominious cross. He had chosen for his disciples men of the humblest walks of life. To twelve of these, styled *Apostles*, he gave a divine commission to propagate his heavenly doctrine, in these remarkable words: "To me all power is given in heaven and earth; go ye, therefore, and teach all nations; baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost; teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded

*The other two sects were the *Sadducees* and *Essenes*. The Sadducees were unbelievers in religion; they admitted the authority of the books of *Moses*, but denied the sacred character of the other parts of the Old Testament; they rejected the doctrine of a future life, and the existence of angels and spirits. The *Essenes* were a class of men who took no concern in the affairs of state, but professed to live in retirement and to attempt to purify the soul by abstinence, silence, and mortification.

---

2. When and where was Jesus Christ born? At that time, what prevailed? What were the Jews anxiously expecting? But what did they imagine?—3. What is said of the Pharisees? What did they affect? What is not surprising? What was the place of his nativity? What was Joseph? What was Mary?—4. What is said of our divine Saviour? What had he chosen? In what words did he commission the twelve Apostles?

you; and behold I am with you all days, even to the consummation of the world."*

5. Thus divinely commissioned, the apostles, on the day of *Pentecost*, being imbued with the gifts of the *Holy Ghost*, enter upon their mission, and in the streets of Jerusalem, promulgate the law of Jesus Christ, and so astonishing were the fruits of their labors, that no less than three thousand persons were converted by the first sermon of *St. Peter*. This success did not fail to awaken in the breast of the Jewish rulers a spirit of jealousy; they dreaded the total subversion of the Mosaic law, and left nothing undone to retard the labors of the apostles. A violent persecution followed, during which St. Stephen, one of the seven deacons, fell a sacrifice to their fury, and is honored as the first martyr of the Christian church. The most active of the persecutors of the Christians was a young man named *Saul*, who, not satisfied with the cruelties he had committed at Jerusalem, obtained permission of the high-priest to pursue them even to the adjacent towns. With this view, he set out to Damascus, but on his road he was suddenly struck blind, and cast upon the ground; at the same time he heard a voice, saying to him, "*Saul, Saul!* why dost thou persecute me?" His attendants raised him up, and conducted him to the town; he was there baptized by a holy priest, named *Ananias*, and Saul, from being a violent persecutor of the Christian church, became one of its most illustrious apostles, and assumed the name of *Paul*.

6. In the mean time, the twelve apostles having composed a symbol of their belief, commonly called the Apostles' Creed, and having appointed *St. James*, the son of *Alpheus*, as the first bishop of Jerusalem, set out to announce the truths of Christianity to the pagan world. They confirmed the doctrines they taught by the most astonishing miracles; they healed the sick; raised the dead to life; and being endowed with the gift of tongues, they were enabled to speak the language of the different nations through which they travelled. The rapidity with which Christianity was propagated, will appear extraordinary, when we reflect that its founder belonged to a nation undistinguished for power or importance; that he suffered a public and ignominious death; that his apostles, with few exceptions, were poor and illiterate, destitute of power or influence; that the religion which they preached held out no promise of temporal goods, no worldly pleasures, honors or riches; but on the contrary, often exposed its professors to scorn and reproach, persecution and temporal loss, finally, that it had to contend not only with the long established superstition and popular dogmas of pagan worship, but also with the prejudices, passions, and vices of the world.

* St. Matt. chap. 28.

5. What did the Apostles do? How many were converted by the first sermon of St Peter? What followed? Who fell a sacrifice to their fury? Who was the most active of the persecutors? On the road to Damascus, what happened to him? By whom was he baptized? What name did he assume?—6 In the mean time, what did the twelve Apostles do? How did they confirm the doctrine they taught? What is said of the rapidity with which Christianity was propagated?

7. This rapid success, under these opposing circumstances, can be no other than the work of a divine hand. To the divine power of its founder alone, must we ascribe the wonderful triumph of the gospel. Allured by no earthly advantage, subdued by no other force than that of truth, the learned and the ignorant, the Jew and the Gentile, the Greek and the Barbarian, meekly bend their necks to the yoke of Christ, shake off their ancient prejudices, and profess themselves the followers of a crucified God.

8. In a few years, we find that the light of Christianity has spread its cheering rays over the various provinces of the Roman Empire. *St. Peter* visited the towns of Samaria, Judea, and Syria, and first fixed his episcopal chair at *Antioch*. He afterwards traversed the provinces of Asia Minor, and preached to the Jews in Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, and Bithynia; he visited Rome about the year 44, to which city he removed his episcopal seat, wisely judging, that from the capital the light of Christianity would spread more rapidly through the empire. St. Paul, who had lately been introduced to the apostles, was consecrated bishop, and sent to carry the glad tidings of Christianity to the Gentile nations. Passing through the provinces of Asia, Pamphylia, and Phrygia, he converted thousands to the Christian religion; from thence he visited Greece, a country which had been long renowned for science, for eloquence and for arts. Here the apostle resolved to introduce a more sublime and precious knowledge, the knowledge of the true God. His labors were crowned with success; idolatry fell before the power of his heavenly eloquence; flourishing churches arose in the cities of Corinth, Philippi, Thessalonica, Athens and Ephesus. From Greece he directed his course to Rome, and carried the knowledge of Christ into the very apartments of Nero's palace.

9. Notwithstanding the many shining virtues which adorned the lives of the first professors of Christianity, they were not, however, exempt from the frailties of men. A misguided zeal on the part of many of the Jewish converts at Antioch, led them to propose, as matter of obligation, that the Gentiles who became Christians, should submit to the law of circumcision, if they hoped to attain salvation. The proposition gave rise to warm disputes; charity and religion were likely to suffer, when the affair was happily settled by a council held at Jerusalem. St. Paul and Barnabas repaired to that city to be present on the occasion *St. Peter* opened the discussion, and after relating the wonders which God had wrought through his ministry among the Gentiles, concluded that no superfluous burden of the ancient law ought to be imposed upon them. *St. James* concluded the debate by expressing his approbation of the decision given by *St. Peter.*

10. In this, the first council of the Christian church, the apostles established a judicial form of proceeding, which the church,

7. What is said of this rapid success? What is further observed in this paragraph?—8. In a few years, what do we find? What places did St. Peter visit? Where did he visit Rome? What is said of St. Paul? What places did he pass through? Where did he finally direct his course?—9. What did a misguided zeal lead many of the Jewish converts to propose? How was the affair settled? Who opened the discussion and who concluded the debate?—10. In this council, what was established?

in after ages, followed, in deciding all questions that relate to faith and discipline. A dispute, important in its consequences, had arisen among the faithful; private authority, even that of *St. Paul*, is unable to calm into silence the contending parties; recourse is had to the pastors of the church assembled in council, the points in dispute are regularly discussed; a decree is formed upon the subject; the faithful bow in acquiescence to the decision; the cause of disagreement is removed; harmony and peace are again restored.

11. After the death of *Festus*, the Roman governor, by whose authority the violence of the Jews had been restrained, the flame of persecution was again renewed against the Christians in the Holy City. *St. James*, the bishop of Jerusalem, surnamed the *Just*, on account of his exemplary piety and charity, fell a victim to their fury. Being summoned before the council of the *Sanhedrim*, he was ordered to declare his opinion concerning Jesus Christ; but in order that the declaration might be more public, they commanded the apostle to ascend the battlements of the temple, and from thence declare his sentiments to the surrounding multitude. No sooner had the venerable confessor appeared on the summit, and proclaimed the divinity of Jesus Christ, than he was precipitated from the battlement, and perished amidst a furious discharge of stones from the hands of the populace, while, in imitation of his divine Master, he prayed for his persecutors, and besought God to forgive them, because they knew not what they did.

12. Although the faithful had suffered in many places, both from the Jews and Gentiles, they had not, as yet, undergone any general persecution. The first of the Roman emperors who armed the sovereignty of the state against the professors of Christianity, was Nero, whose cruelty was only surpassed by his moral depravity. In his wild extravagance, he set fire to the city of Rome, that he might have the vain satisfaction of rebuilding it on a more magnificent plan. But finding that his excesses created against him the murmurs and disaffection of the people, he artfully contrived to throw the odium upon the Christians, whom he openly accused as the authors of the late conflagration, and published a decree, which made it a capital offense to profess the Christian religion. Revolting were the cruelties exercised against the unoffending professors of Christianity. Some were covered with the skins of wild beasts and devoured by dogs; others were braced in tunics steeped in pitch, and placed at certain distances, then set on fire to light the streets by night. Among the many who suffered on this occasion, were the two illustrious apostles *St. Peter* and *St. Paul*. They were confined for nine months in a loathsome prison, at the foot of the capitol, before they were called to receive the crown of martyrdom. St. Peter was crucified with his head downwards, but St. Paul, being a Roman citizen, had the honor of dying by the sword.

What is observed about it?—11. After the death of Festus, what followed? Who fell a victim to their fury? Relate the circumstances of his death.—12. Who first armed the sovereign power against the Christians? What did he do? On whom did he throw the odium? What did he publish? How were some put to death? What were the most distinguished?

13. The second persecution commenced during the reign of the emperor Domitian, about the year 95, during which, it is computed that forty thousand Christians received the crown of martyrdom. The most illustrious sufferer was *St. John*, the Evangelist. He resided chiefly at Ephesus, in Ionia; but on information being lodged against him, he was cited by the emperor to appear at Rome, and on account of his faith, he was condemned to be cast alive into a caldron of boiling oil. The sentence was carried into execution before the Latin Gate, but by the miraculous power of God, the holy martyr came forth from the caldron, not only unhurt, but more fresh and vigorous than before. The emperor having failed in his attempt to deprive him of life, banished him to the *Isle of Patmos*, where the saint was favored with those heavenly visions recorded in his book of *Revelations*. On the death of Domitian, in the year 96, St. John again returned to Ephesus. At this early period of Christianity, the church had the mortification to see many of her children fall from their first faith, and teach erroneous doctrines. Of these, the most conspicuous were Ebion and Nicholas of Antioch. Among other errors, they denied the divinity of Jesus Christ, and asserted the necessity of observing the ceremonies of the Mosaic law. To silence the heretical declaimers, St. John, at the request of the bishops of Asia, wrote his gospel, which he commences in a strain of sublime eloquence.

14. The third persecution. After the death of Domitian, the peace of the church was restored, under the mild reign of Nerva; but the reign of that prince was of short duration, and on the accession of Trajan to the imperial throne, the sanguinary edicts of Nero and Domitian were again renewed, and again the cities and provinces flowed with Christian blood. The younger Pliny, who was then governor of Bithynia, in a letter to the emperor, bears ample testimony to the exemplary lives of the Christians, and tells us, that so great was their number, that they filled the fields, the towns, and villages; that on his arrival in the province, he could scarcely find a man of whom to purchase victims for the pagan altars. The most illustrious of those who suffered for the faith, on this occasion, were St. Clement, bishop of Rome; St. Ignatius, of Antioch, and St. Simeon, of Jerusalem. Simeon was nearly related to our divine Saviour, and had reached the one hundred and twentieth year of his age.

15. The fourth persecution commenced about the year 168, under the reign of *Marcus Aurelius*, during which thousands sealed with their blood the doctrines of Christianity. Among the most illustrious of those who suffered was *St. Polycarp*, the venerable bishop of Smyrna.

The fifth persecution commenced in the year 202. From the

13. When did the second persecution commence? Who was the most illustrious sufferer? Where did he reside, and to what was he condemned? How did he come forth from the caldron? Where was he banished? At this early period, what had the church? Of these, who were the most conspicuous? To silence them, what did St John do?—14. When did the third persecution commence? What does the younger Pliny say of the Christians? Who were the most illustrious of the sufferers?—15. When was the fourth persecution commenced, and who suffered? When did the fifth persecution commence?

death of Aurelius, the Christians had enjoyed the free exercise of their religion, under various emperors, to the reign of *Severus* who was thought even favorable to Christianity, during the first seven years of his administration. At this period, seemingly without any provocation, he published against them a most sanguinary edict, forbidding them to hold their religious assemblies, and to profess the name of Christ. A dreadful persecution followed, particularly in Egypt, in Gaul, and Africa, where thousands sealed the profession of their faith by the effusion of their blood.

16. The sixth persecution was commenced under the reign of *Maximin*, who had reached the imperial throne by imbruing his hand in the blood of his predecessor. The emperor pointed not his shafts against the great body of the Christians, who had now become too numerous to be marked out for slaughter, but directed his malice against the pastors of the church. With the death of Maximin, the persecution ceased in 240, after it had lasted three years. The seventh persecution of the Christians was published on the accession of Decius to the throne. It was the most dreadful hitherto experienced; prisons, stripes, fire, wild beasts, melted wax, boiling pitch, racks, and iron hooks to tear the flesh from the bones, were employed to torment and to kill. The most distinguished of those who suffered during this persecution, were *Fabianus*, the bishop of Rome, *Alexander*, of Jerusalem, and *Babylas*, of Antioch. The eighth persecution was commenced under the emperor Valerian, who, in the beginning of his reign, had shown the greatest lenity towards the Christians. With a view of rendering the gods propitious to his arms, on the eve of an expedition against the Persians, he published a violent edict against the professors of the Christian name. Among the first who suffered, were *St. Stephen*, bishop of Rome, and *St. Sextus*, his immediate successor, with the illustrious martyr, *St. Lawrence*, and also *St. Cyprian*, of Carthage.

17. To gratify the senate and people of Rome, the emperor Aurelian published a sanguinary edict against the Christians, about the year 274; but the hand of an assassin put an end to his life, before he had the satisfaction of seeing it properly carried into effect; yet in several places, many received the crown of martyrdom.

The tenth and last persecution took place about the year 302 The church, after enjoying a general tranquillity for thirty years, was again doomed to experience another sanguinary persecution, under Dioclesian, and his colleagues in the empire. For some time, Dioclesian rejected the measures on political motives, until he was at length overcome by the soothsayers, who declared that the empire could never flourish as long as the impious, meaning the Christians, were suffered to exist. The deluded emperor

What edict was published?—16 When was the sixth persecution commenced? Against whom did he direct his malice? When was the seventh persecution published? What were the modes of torture? Who were the most distinguished sufferers? What did Valerian publish on the eve of his expedition against Persia? Who suffered on this occasion?—17. To gratify the people of Rome, what did Aurelian do? When did the tenth persecution take place? For some time what did he reject? At length, what did he publish?

weakly yielded to their persuasions, and published an edict for the total extirpation of the Christian religion. This violent decree well suited the sanguinary dispositions of his colleagues, *Maximinus* and *Galerius*, whose respective provinces were deluged in Christian blood. In Britain and Gaul, under the mild administration of *Constantius*, the horrors of the persecution were less severe; still the authority of Constantius was insufficient in some instances to restrain the more superstitious of the magistrates; hence, even in Britain, we find St. Alban, and also St. Angelus, bishop of London, dying for the faith; and in Gaul, St. Quintin, and others, with the whole Theban legion, barbarously sacrificed to gratify an inferior officer of state.

18. At this period, when the power of darkness seemed to threaten the total extirpation of the Christian name, we are called to look for the rise of that coming dawn which is to usher in a brighter and happier era; when the church is to triumph over the ruins of pagan superstition; when the cross is to adorn the diadem of the *Cæsars*. By a sudden revolution in the state, or rather by the providence of God, whose superintending power directs the destinies of nations, Constantine, having triumphed over all his competitors, was placed in the undisputed possession of the imperial throne. The first care of this enlightened prince was to declare himself the protector of Christianity, and to publish an edict, by which all the penal restraints respecting religion were removed, and full liberty allowed to every one to profess and exercise that form of religious worship he should think proper to adopt. To break the force of prejudice, which time and custom had thrown around the religion of the empire, *Constantine* wisely judged that lenient measures were the most likely to effect his object; and he concluded that to overthrow the system of error, nothing more was requisite than to grant protection to the true religion, and to let the wisdom of her doctrines, and the purity of her precepts, appear in open view.

19. To remedy the evils occasioned by the edicts of his predecessors, he recalled the exiles; restored to the Christians their places of worship, and treated their ministers with the deepest respect. To the bishop of Rome, he granted the *Lateran Palace* as the place of his future residence, and the adjoining palace was converted into a Christian temple, now called the church of *St. John of Lateran*.

Thus was the church finally triumphant, after undergoing the ordeal of ten sanguinary persecutions. A change with respect to their religion, so sudden, and so unexpected, inspired the Christians with the prospect of joy for the present, and the most flattering anticipations for the future.

What is said of the persecution in Britain?—18. At this period, what are we called to look for? What is said of Constantine? What was his first care, and what did he publish? To break the force of prejudice, what did he conclude?—19. To remedy the evils, &c., what did he do? To the bishop of Rome what did he grant? What is observed of the Church?

SECTION II.

From the triumph of Christianity to the Reformation, from A. D. 312 to 1517.

1. UNDER the protection of *Constantine* Christianity widely extended and rapidly increased; magnificent churches arose where pagan temples had stood for ages; the storm of persecution had ceased; the bishops no longer lay under any restraint in the public exercise of their pastoral functions; the people hastened to embrace a religion sanctioned by their sovereign. Such was the pleasing prospect of affairs when the church beheld her peace interrupted, and prosperity marred, not indeed by the hand of a pagan persecutor, but from the undutiful conduct of her own children.

2. *Arius*, a turbulent priest of Alexandria, had aspired to the episcopal chair of that city, but being defeated in his pretensions, he began to assail the doctrines of the church, and openly denied the divinity of Christ, and asserted that the Son of God was not equal to his Father in nature and substance. At this doctrine, the faithful were shocked and scandalized; the pastors were alarmed; and in order to check the progress of error, and to define the doctrine of the church on the point in question, the convocation of a general council was deemed expedient. Accordingly, during the month of June, in the year 325, the famous council of *Nice* was convened. It was composed of three hundred and eighteen bishops, besides a much greater number of inferior ecclesiastics. *Osius*, the venerable bishop of *Cordova*, in Spain, with two priests, presided in the name of St. Silvester, bishop of Rome, who was unable to attend in person. Constantine also, with many of his chief officers of state, was present on the occasion. After mature deliberation, the fathers, with exception of five bishops, unanimously condemned the opinions of Arius as erroneous, and contrary to what had been taught by the apostles and their immediate successors, and published the *Nicene Creed*, which should stand to all succeeding ages, as the test of orthodox belief in the divinity of Jesus Christ. The question of faith being thus finally decided, the council proceeded to enact certain canons, for the regulation of ecclesiastical discipline. The uniform celebration of Easter-day was fixed, and directed to be universally kept in future, on the first Sunday after the first full moon that follows the vernal equinox. Before the council separated, a synodical epistle was drawn up, and directed to St. Silvester, who is styled in that document, the blessed pope of Rome, requesting that he would confirm its decrees.

3. Arianism was checked for the present, but not suppressed; it continued to find many patrons and supporters among those

1. What is said of Christianity under the protection of Constantine? What arose? What did the people do?—2. What is said of Arius? What did he deny and assert? In order to check the progress of error, what was done? When and where did it meet? Of what was it composed? Who presided? How were the opinions of Arius condemned? What was fixed and directed? Before the council separated, what was done?—3. What is said of Arianism?

whose rank and power gave it considerable influence. *Constantius*, the son and successor of *Constantine*, with several subsequent emperors, favored the Arian heresy, and under their respective reigns, the orthodox portion of the church experienced a series of persecution little inferior in point of cruelty and violence to those carried on when pagan sovereigns swayed the imperial scepter. Under the reign of *Theodosius* the *Great*, peace was again restored to the church; and in order to remedy the evils, and correct the general confusion of doctrine that pervaded the east, occasioned by the violence which prevailed for nearly forty years, a second general council was convened at Constantinople in the month of May, A. D. 381.

4. This council consisted of about one hundred and fifty orthodox bishops, besides thirty of the *Macedonian* party. The Macedonians, who took their name from *Macedonius*, the leader of their sect, not only maintained the Arian heresy, but also denied the divine procession of the *Holy Ghost*. The fathers of the council condemned, in the most explicit terms, this new error, declaring the Holy Ghost to be "The Lord and Giver of life, who, with the Father and Son, is equally adored and glorified." Among the persons most distinguished for their learning and sanctity, we find the names of *St. Athanasius*, bishop of Alexandria; *St. Basil*, the *Great*, bishop of Cæsarea; *St. Gregory Nazianzen*, no less distinguished for his eloquence than for the holiness of his life; *St. Gregory*, bishop of Nyssa, and *St. Cyril*, bishop of Jerusalem. To these may be added the names of *St. Ambrose*, bishop of Milan; *St. Jerome*, the learned Secretary of St. Damasus, bishop of Rome, and finally the illustrious *St. Chrysostom*, who died in the early part of the succeeding century.

5. As the Arian heresy gradually declined, the schism of *Donatus* began to rise on its ruins. The first appearance of this schism is dated from about the middle of the fourth century. Donatus, a turbulent prelate, with several other bishops, contested the validity of the election and consecration of Cecilian, bishop of Carthage, and even went so far as to pass sentence of deposition against him, and to elect *Majorian* in his place. In defiance of all authority, they supported this violent measure, and on the death of Majorian, they elected one Donatus, from whom the party properly derives its name. To schism, they added heresy; asserting that God, the Son, was less than the father, and greater than the Holy Ghost; that the church had failed, and that with them alone existed true virtue. Towards the close of the fourth, and the beginning of the fifth century, they had greatly multiplied; and with their numbers, their violence also increased; they denounced open hostilities against the orthodox clergy, drove them by force from their churches, profaned the sacred

Of Constantius? Under Theodosius the Great, what took place? When was the second council convened?—4. Of what did this council consist? What did the Macedonians maintain and deny? What did the fathers of this council declare? Who Who are among the persons most distinguished for their learning, &c.?—5. As the Arian heresy declined, what schism arose? What is said of Donatus? To schism what did they add and assert? What did they denounce? After the great conference at Carthage, what do we find?

vessels, and overturned the altars. After the great conference held at Carthage during the year 411, at which *St. Austin*, the learned bishop of Hippo, in the most satisfactory manner, refuted the arguments of the *Donatists*, we find that the heresy rapidly declined; but before it had entirely disappeared, the *Pelagian* heresy grew into being.

6. *Pelagius*, the progenitor of this new sect, by birth a Britain, was a monk of Bangor, in Wales, from which place he went to Rome during the fourth century. He denied the existence of original sin in the soul of man, and rejected the necessity of divine grace for the merit of good works, contending that Adam, by sinning, only affected himself, and that his descendants are now born in that state in which they would have been had he never sinned. These errors were repeatedly condemned by several local councils held about this period, and refuted by the unanswerable arguments of the great St. Austin.

7. About the year 428, the Nestorian heresy was first broached at Constantinople. Nestorius, from whom the heresy takes its name, was at that time bishop of that city. In opposition to the Catholic doctrine, he taught that there were two distinct persons in Jesus Christ, namely, that of God and man, joined together by a moral union in such a manner that the Godhead dwelt in the humanity merely as a temple. Hence he denied the *Incarnation*, or that God was made man, and asserted that the Blessed Virgin Mary ought not to be styled the Mother of God, but the mother of the man Christ, whose humanity was only the temple of the divinity. This strange doctrine, delivered for the first time from the pulpit of the great church of St. Sophia, so shocked the audience, that they closed their ears and rushed from the holy place. These errors of Nestorius were condemned by the third general council held at Ephesus, A. D. 431.

8. About twenty years after this event, the fourth general council was held at Chalcedon for the purpose of condemning the errors of *Eutychus*, who admitted but one nature in *Jesus Christ*, and maintained that his human nature was totally absorbed by the divine, and became one with it; so that in his opinion Christ had no real body, and consequently, as divine nature is incapable of suffering, he had neither died nor suffered really, but in appearance only. The heresy, however, continued to increase, causing violence and confusion, particularly in the east, until after the fifth general council, held at Constantinople during the year 553, when it gradually declined.

9. But the church was not destined to enjoy a long continuance of repose; one heresy was no sooner checked and proscribed, than a new one started up in its place. Error had often found protection in the imperial palace, but in the present instance we

6 What is said of Pelagius? What did he deny, reject, &c.? By whom were these errors condemned and refuted?—7. About the year 428, what took place? What was Nestorius? What did he teach? Hence, what did he deny and assert? Where was this strange doctrine first delivered, and what followed?—8. When and why was the fourth general council held? What did Eutychus admit and maintain? When and where was the fifth general council held?—9. In the present instance, what do we find?

find the emperor himself becoming the founder of a new sect called the *Iconoclasts*, or *Image-breakers*. *Leo*, surnamed the *Isaurian*, having ascended the throne of Constantinople, conceived a great aversion to the images of Christ and the saints, which were used in the churches, and ordered their removal under the severest penalties. In carrying this extraordinary edict into effect, much violence and bloodshed was occasioned; and the disturbance continued to rage until the convocation of the seventh general council held at *Nice* towards the close of the year 787. This council was attended by about three hundred bishops, the representatives of the church from all parts of the Christian world, and after due deliberation they unanimously declared that the pictures and images of Jesus Christ and his saints are useful in the churches and other places; that they recall forcibly to the minds of those who behold them the scenes they represent; that they may be venerated and honored, not indeed with that supreme honor which belongs to God alone, but with a relative and inferior honor, such as every Christian entertains for the *Bible* in which the divine law is written.

10. In the year 866, *Photius*, through the influence of imperial authority, was placed in the patriarchal chair of Constantinople, to the forcible exclusion of *St. Ignatius*, its lawful incumbent. Proceeding from one extravagance to another, Photius at length calling together a synod of twenty-one bishops, pronounced sentence of deposition and excommunication against *Nicholas*, the Roman pontiff. Upon the accession of *Leo*, surnamed the *Wise*, Photius was compelled to relinquish his usurped dignity and to retire to a monastery in Armenia, where he died in the year 893. The foundation of the *Greek Schism* was thus commenced, and finally completed by *Michael Cerularius*, in 1053. On the death of *Alexis*, Michael, from the humble condition of a monk, was to succeed him in the patriarchal chair. Shortly after his elevation, he began by his acts and writings to display his inveterate prejudice against the discipline and doctrine of the Latin church.

11. *St. Leo*, the Roman pontiff, seeing that every thing seemed to threaten an open rupture, did all in his power to prevent it. He sent the celebrated *Cardinal Humber* to Constantinople for the purpose of effecting an adjustment of the difficulties, but without success. Michael now threw off all restraint, assumed the title of universal patriarch, and published an act of excommunication against the bishop of Rome and the whole Latin church; and proceeding from schism to heresy, he denied the procession of the Holy Ghost from God the Son, as well as from the Father. The maintenance of this article with the rejection of the papal jurisdiction, besides some variation in points of discipline, form the only difference at present between the Greek and Latin churches.

What is said of Leo? When was the seventh general council held? By whom was it attended? What did they unanimously declare?—10. In 866, what took place? What did he at length pronounce? On the accession of Leo, what is said of Photius? By whom, and when was the Greek schism completed?—11. What did St. Leo do? What did Michael assume, publish, and deny?

12. While these things were transacting in the East, new errors were broached in the West. *Beregarius*, archdeacon of Angers, and a native of Tours, in France, began to dogmatize against second marriages, also against the necessity of infant baptism, and lastly, against the real presence of Christ's body in the Holy Eucharist. Several local councils were held for the purpose of ascertaining the opinion of the church on these different points of doctrine. Beregarius being cited to appear before them, renounced his positions, but afterwards propagated them in the new. In the year 1079, during the pontificate of St. Gregory VII., a great council was held at Rome, at which one hundred and fifty bishops assisted. Before the assembled prelates Beregarius again solemnly recanted his opinions, confessed that he had been deceived, and threw his writings into the fire. It is generally believed that after this he remained in the communion of the Catholic church until his death, which took place during the year 1088.

13. From an early period, it had been customary for emperors or kings to present the ring and crosier to all the bishops elected within their respective dominions. Against this custom, called *Investiture*, the sovereign pontiffs had long declaimed, as it was often productive of evil consequences, subjecting the church to the necessity of waiting on the capricious will of the sovereign to fill the vacant bishoprics. During the pontificate of Gregory VII the privilege was warmly contested against Henry IV., emperor of Germany, and continued to be a subject of dispute until the affair was finally settled at the council of Lateran, held in the year 1123, when his successor, Henry V., renounced his pretensions to the right of *Investiture*.

14. Shortly after this period, the peace of the church was again interrupted by the pretension of two claimants of the papal chair. On the death of Honorius II., Innocent II. was chosen to succeed him by a majority of the cardinals, not, however, without strong opposition on the part of *Cardinal Peter*, who had long aspired to the pontifical dignity. He had the address to procure his election in opposition to the lawful pontiff, whom he expelled from Rome, and kept possession of his usurpation until his death, in the year 1138. The most striking circumstances that distinguish the close of this and the greater part of the following century, were the *Crusades*, or sacred wars, undertaken for the recovery of the Holy Land from the hands of the infidels; of these we have spoken under their proper head.

15. During the pontificate of Gregory IX., a treaty of union was commenced between the Greek and Latin churches; and although it did not receive the entire approbation of the Greek nation, still it seemed to promise a happy issue. The project was eagerly pursued by the succeeding pope, and finally accomplished

12. What is said of Beregarius? In 1079, what took place? What did Beregarius solemnly do? What is generally believed?—13. From an early period, what had been the custom? Why did the sovereign pontiff declaim against it? When was the affair finally settled?—14. By what was the peace of the church interrupted? On the death of Honorius, what took place? What striking circumstance is mentioned?—15. What was done during the pontificate of Gregory IX.? When was it finally accomplished?

in the time of Gregory X., at the great council held at Lyons, in France, A. D. 1274. The union, however, was of short duration. On the death of Michael, the Greek emperor, his son *Andronicus*, who had ever been averse to the union, openly disavowed the measure, and in a short time frustrated all that had been accomplished at the council of Lyons. The bishops, who signed and refused to retract the union, were deposed, and the Greek church a second time plunged into heresy and schism.

16. On the death of Gregory XI., Urban IV. was chosen to succeed him in the pontifical throne. At this time the abuses committed by the agents and officers of the court of Rome had become a subject of loud complaint. A laudable zeal in effecting a reform carried this pontiff to a degree of severity which was deemed imprudent. In his exhortations and reprimands, he spared not even the cardinals themselves. They felt the justness of his censures, but rather than reform the causes of complaint, they chose to involve all Christendom in confusion. Retiring from Rome to the number of fifteen, they proceeded to *Fondi*, where, declaring the Roman see vacant, they chose for pope, *Robert* of Geneva, who took the name of *Clement*, and fixed his residence at *Avignon*, A. D. 1379. *Urban*, however, steadily maintained his authority until his death in 1389. Five years afterwards, Clement, his rival, was called from the busy scenes of life to the silence of the tomb.

17. About the year 1385, *John Wickliffe*, styled the *Reformer*, appeared in England during the latter part of the reign of Edward III. Wickliffe had received a liberal education in the university, where he subsequently gave lessons of divinity with much applause. The doctrines advanced by him were chiefly the following: He maintained that a bishop or priest in the state of mortal sin, could not ordain, consecrate, or baptise; that the substance of the bread and wine remain in the sacrament after consecration, and that Christ was not really present therein; that the pope, if he be a wicked man has no authority over the faithful; that the clergy ought to have no temporal possessions, and that auricular confession was superfluous and unnecessary. Wickliffe gained many adherents of whom the Duke of Lancaster, the king's uncle, was the most distinguished, under whose patronage he continued to disseminate his principles until his death. His followers are generally known by the name of *Lollards*.

18. The convocation of the general council of Constance had two important objects in view, namely, the extinction of schism, and the investigation of the doctrines advanced by Wickliffe, which were still advocated by his disciples. It was proposed for the sake of peace, that the three competitors would resign their pretensions to the pontifical throne. To this measure Gregory readily assented; John, who was regarded as the lawful pope,

On the death of Michael, what was done by his son?—16. Who succeeded Gregory XI.? To what did his zeal carry him? Retiring from Rome, what did the cardinals? What is said of Urban?—17. In 1385, what took place? What is said of Wickliffe? What did he maintain? By what name were his followers known?—18 Why was the council of Constance called? What was proposed? What is said of Gregory and John?

showing an unwillingness to resign his claim, was deposed by the council, but he afterwards freely signed the act of his deposition. Benedict, the third competitor, obstinately refused to come into any measures with the members of the council, who, regarding the Holy See as vacant, proceeded to make arrangements for the election of a new pontiff. The choice fell upon cardinal *Otho Colonna*, who took the name of *Martin V.* His election gave universal satisfaction, and happily ended the schism which had so long distracted the church, A. D. 1417.

19. The writings of Wickliffe, which by this time had passed into Germany, fell into the hands of *John Huss*, rector of the university of Prague. Pleased with the principles they inculcated, he adopted them, and preached them from the pulpit. His eloquence and the persuasive manner in which he addressed his audience, gained him many adherents, among whom a professor of divinity, known by the name of *Jerome of Prague*, was the most distinguished. Huss being cited to appear before the council to give an account of his doctrines, readily consented, and having obtained a passport for the security of his person from the emperor, he set out to Constance. Having arrived there, he began to disseminate his principles among the people, for which he was placed under arrest and sent to the Dominican Convent, until the council could take cognizance of his case. When called before the prelates, he was convicted of holding doctrines contrary to the church, and refusing to retract, he was degraded from the order of the priesthood, and delivered over to the civil power. The punishment which the Germanic law at that time inflicted on those convicted of obstinate errors against faith was burning alive; to this cruel ordeal Huss was sentenced by the magistrates of Constance, and suffered on the 16th of July, in 1415. About a year after this event, Jerome of Prague was condemned for obstinately maintaining the doctrines of Huss, and was executed in a similar manner.

20. In 1439, the last re-union of the Greek with the Latin church took place, at the council held at Florence. After the great point in dispute, namely, the procession of the Holy Ghost, had been regularly discussed, the Greeks frankly acknowledged that the Latins had proved their point. A decree was accordingly made out, which once more united the churches of Rome and Constantinople in one fold and under one pastor, and was first signed by the pope and Latin fathers, then by the Greek emperor and all his bishops, except *Mark*, the metropolitan of *Ephesus*.

This memorable event, which had been so well conducted, afforded every well grounded hope that the orthodox faith would once more diffuse its rays over the provinces of the East. But from the unsteady character of the Greeks, little could be expected; the union, after enjoying a precarious existence for a few

Of Benedict? Who was elected by the council?—19. What is said of the writings of Wickliffe? Being cited before the council, what did he do? Why was he sent to the Dominican Convent? Of what was he convicted? What punishment was inflicted by the Germanic law? When did Huss suffer? Who else was condemned?—20. In 1439, what took place? What decree was made out, and by whom was it signed? What did this event afford? In 1452, what took place?

years, was severed for ever. In 1452 a general revolt took place, and threw every thing into confusion; the monks, the clergy, a part of the laity and the senate, rose tumultuously at once, proclaimed the union at an end, and from that moment removed all communion with the Latin church.

SECTION III.

The Reformation, A. D. 1517.

1. AMIDST the various circumstances which continued to awaken the jealousies and direct the interests of the rival monarchs of Europe, the bishop of Rome was often compelled to act in the two-fold capacity, as a temporal prince and as the spiritual head of the Christian world. Unhappily the obligations annexed to his character, as head of the church, obligations which had no other object than the interest of religion and the general peace of all Christendom, were sometimes, by a dereliction of duty incident to human nature, made subservient to selfish or political ends. The sovereign pontiffs, moreover, enjoyed extensive privileges, which excited the murmurs of many of the clergy, and contributed materially to weaken the papal jurisdiction, particularly in the West, where it had numerous and formidable adversaries.

2. In this state of things, Leo X. was called to fill the pontifical chair. *Julius*, his predecessor, had formed the design of erecting a church in Rome in honor of *St. Peter*, which in extent and magnificence would be worthy of the capital of the Christian world. This noble design suited the lofty genius of Leo. But finding the sum in the treasury insufficient for the completion of the work, he resolved to appeal to the generosity of the faithful at large; and in order to encourage their gratuitous offerings, he published a grant of indulgences to all those who should contribute towards the expense of the edifice, solely designed for the honor of God.

An indulgence accordingly, as I find it defined in several standard Catholic works, "is a relaxation of the temporal punishment which still remains due to sin, after its guilt has been remitted by the sacrament of penance."*

3. In the publication of these indulgences and in the collection of the contributions of the faithful, many abuses are alleged to have been committed by those appointed for that purpose. On similar occasions, when a crusade or the like was to be put in motion, the Augustin friars were usually appointed to announce it from the pulpit; at this time, however, they had the mortifica-

* Poor Man's Catechism. Catholic Christian Instructor.

1. How was the bishop of Rome often compelled to act? What did they enjoy? 2. Who was called to fill the pontifical chair? What design had his predecessor formed? What did Leo resolve and publish? What is an indulgence?—3. In the publication of these indulgences, what are alledged? On similar occasions who were appointed?

tion to see the Dominicans preferred before them. This circumstance tended materially to pique the Augustinians, and led them to reprobate, in the most pointed manner, the misconduct of the members of a rival order. The most conspicuous of those who publicly denounced the abuses committed by the Dominicans, was *Martin Luther*, doctor and professor in the University of Wittemberg. In the warmth of his invectives, Luther passed from the abuses, to contest the efficacy of the indulgences themselves. The University over which he presided, and the elector of Saxony espoused his interest. The dispute was maintained for some time with much earnestness between the papal commissioners and the divines of Frankfort.

4. In the mean time, his doctrine, which now began to excite universal attention, was announced to his holiness at Rome. *Leo*, in 1520, published a bull in which he proscribed the opinions of Luther, and called on him to retract his errors and to burn his writings, and placed him under the censure of excommunication unless he should comply within a given time. Luther at first determined to appeal from the pope to a general council, but being protected by the elector of Saxony, he resolved to pursue a more decided course. Finding himself excommunicated and his opinions condemned, he no longer observed any restraint, but publicly burnt the papal bull in the presence of a vast assemblage of the people in the city of Wittemberg, and from that moment renounced the authority of the pontiff.

5. This circumstance tended materially to advance his cause. The people on a sudden lost that reverential awe which had formerly impressed them for every thing proceeding from the Roman pontiff, and also the confidence which they had always reposed in the efficacy of indulgences. Luther, perceiving that his doctrines had caused considerable fermentation in the empire, thought prudent to withdraw for some time from public view. He retired to a castle belonging to his protector, the Duke of Saxony. In this retreat he digested his system of reform. Having already renounced the papal supremacy, he next rejected transubstantiation, the sacrifice of the mass, purgatory, and the utility of prayers for the dead; also the invocation and intercession of the saints, and finally, monastic vows, celibacy of the clergy, and the merit of good works.

6. At the solicitation of the pope and the princes of Germany, Charles V., who had lately succeeded to the imperial throne, assembled a diet at Worms in 1521, for the purpose of taking some measures relative to the new doctrines. Luther, without being the least intimidated by the late censures fulminated against him, appeared before the assembly, boldly defended all that he had done or written, and in conclusion declared that his conscience would not permit him to make the least retraction what

Who was the most conspicuous, &c.? In the warmth of his invectives, what did Luther do?—4. In the mean time, what took place? In 1520, what did Leo publish? What did Luther at first determine? What did he publicly do?—5. What is said of the people? Where did Luther retire? What did he reject?—6. In 1521, what was done? What is said of Luther before his assembly?

ever. An imperial edict was passed, which ordered his writings to be burnt, and himself to be placed under arrest. Under these circumstances Luther thought it proper again to withdraw, but the sudden departure of the emperor to Spain rendered the edict ineffectual.

7. *Lutheranism*, or the *Reformation*, as it was now called, spread rapidly through the different states of Germany. From Upper Saxony it extended over the northern districts, the principalities of Brunswick and Mecklenberg; it passed into the Palatinate, Lunenburg, Magdeburg, and most of the towns along the coast of the Baltic, as far as Prussia. In 1530, the Lutheran directors published their confession of faith, in twenty-one articles, which are called the Confession of Augsburg. The following year is distinguished for the famous league of *Smalkald*, when the confederate princes of the confession solemnly bound themselves to support each other in their *protest* against all compulsory measures that the emperor might adopt against them. From this protest made at Smalkald, those professing the reformed religion have acquired the appellation of *Protestants*.

8. At the diet of Augsburg, the princes who had signed the confession, pledged themselves to abide the decision of a general council to be convened by the pope. Accordingly, in 1542, Paul III. convoked a general council to meet at *Trent*, for the purpose of terminating the religious contests which had so long disturbed the tranquillity of the empire and that of Europe. This celebrated synod was not concluded before the year 1563, at which time the Protestants thought proper not to be ruled by its decrees. In the mean time, a treaty of peace was concluded at *Passau*, between Charles V. and the princes of Germany, which secured to the Protestants religious toleration and full liberty of conscience.

9. Among those who bore a prominent part with Luther, in the early part of the Reformation, are the names of *Calvin*, *Zuinglius*, *Melancthon*, *Carolostadius*, and *Beza*. [*See* BIOGRAPHY.] The Reformation soon extended into Sweden and Denmark, and was firmly established in the city of Geneva, and the Swiss cantons, by Calvin. It was about this time that Henry VIII., of England, applied for a divorce from Catharine of Arragon; but being disappointed in his application, he renounced the authority of the pope, and assumed the title of the supreme head of the English church. Under his successor, Edward VI., through the instrumentality of Cranmer, the reformed doctrines were effectually established in that kingdom. Scotland soon became the theatre of reform, through the preaching of Knox, who had imbibed the principles of Calvin during his residence at Geneva.

10. While thousands were deserting the ancient faith, the church of Rome beheld with pleasure the formation of a religious

What was passed?—7. How had the Reformation spread? In 1530, what was published? For what is the following year distinguished? How was the appellation of Protestant acquired?—8. At the diet of Augsburg, what did the princes pledge themselves? When was the council of Trent convoked and concluded? In the mean time, what was concluded at Passau?—9. Who bore a prominent part with Luther? What is said of Henry VIII. of England? Of Scotland?

society of men, destined to carry the light of Christianity to nations over which the gloom of paganism still prevailed. The founder of this new order was *Ignatius* of Loyola, born in the year 1491, of a noble family in Spain. On the 15th of August in the year 1534, Ignatius and nine champions, by vow consecrated themselves to God, for the purpose of promoting his service, and procuring the salvation of souls. In 1537, they repaired to Rome, and made an offer of their services to pope Paul III. The pontiff gave them a gracious reception, applauded their zeal, and in 1540 erected them into a religious order, under the title of the *Society of Jesus*. To instruct children and the ignorant in the principles and duties of religion; to assist the faithful in their spiritual wants; to announce the truths of Christianity to pagan nations, marked the spirit and design of the institution of St. Ignatius.

11. But as these subjects could not be attained without the united force of virtue and learning, the study of the various branches of polite literature, from the first rudiments of grammar to the sublime lessons of astronomy, is enjoyed as a sacred duty on the members of this order. Shortly after the foundation of the society, its members rapidly increased, and in a few years, they had colleges established in various towns of Italy, Portugal, and Spain. *St. Francis Xavier*, one of the first companions of *Ignatius*, was sent to carry the light of Christianity to pagan nations of the east. The principal scene of his labor was in the empire of *Japan*; from thence he passed over to *China*, where he died in 1552. So abundant were the fruits of his labor, that in the short space of one month, he is said to have baptized with his own hand ten thousand persons. About the time that *St. Francis* had finished his course in Asia, *Joseph Anchieta* undertook a similar mission among the Indians of South America.

12. The Reformed churches differ materially from each other in form and in belief; all, however, take the *Bible* as the sole rule of faith, and maintain the right of private interpretation.

10. What did the church of Rome behold? Who was the founder of this order? What was done on the 15th of August? And in the year 1534? What did the pontiff do? What marked the spirit of the institution of Ignatius?—11. What is enjoined as a sacred duty on the members of this order? What is said of St. Francis Xavier? When did he die? What is said of the fruit of his labors? By whom was a similar mission undertaken in South America?—12. How do the reformed churches differ?

APPENDIX.

DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE.

IN CONGRESS, *July 4th*, 1776

The Unanimous Declaration of the Thirteen United States of America.

WHEN, in the course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume, among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.

We hold these truths to be self-evident;—that all men are created equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. That to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed; that whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to institute a new government, laying its foundation on such principles, and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness. Prudence, indeed, will dictate, that governments long established should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly all experience hath shown, that mankind are more disposed to suffer while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed. But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same object, evinces a design to reduce them under absolute despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such government, and to provide new guards for their future security. Such has been the patient sufferance of these colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former systems of government. The history of the present king of Great Britain, is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute tyranny over these states. To prove this, let facts be submitted to a candid world.

He has refused his assent to laws the most wholesome and necessary for the public good.

He has forbidden his governors to pass laws of immediate and pressing importance, unless suspended in their operation, till his assent should be obtained; and when so suspended, he has utterly neglected to attend to them. He has refused to pass other laws for the accommodation of large districts of people, unless those people would relinquish the right of representation in the legislature—a right inestimable to them, and formidable to tyrants only.

He has called together legislative bodies at places unusual, uncomfortable, and distant from the repository of their public records, for the sole purpose of fatiguing them into compliance with his measures.

He has dissolved representative houses repeatedly, for opposing, with manly firmness, his invasions on the rights of the people.

He has refused, for a long time after such dissolutions, to cause others to be elected; whereby the legislative powers, incapable of annihilation have returned to the people at large, for their exercise; the state remaining, in the mean time, exposed to all the dangers of invasion from without, and convulsions within.

He has endeavored to prevent the population of these states; for that purpose obstructing the laws for naturalization of foreigners; refusing to pass others to encourage their migration hither, and raising the conditions of new appropriations of lands.

He has obstructed the administration of justice by refusing his assent to laws for establishing judiciary powers.

He has made judges dependent on his will alone, for the tenure of their offices and the amount and payment of their salaries.

He has erected a multitude of new offices, and sent hither swarms of officers to harass our people, and eat out their substance.

He has kept among us, in times of peace, standing armies without the consent of our legislatures.

He has affected to render the military independent of, and superior to the civil power.

He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his assent to their acts of pretended legislation:

For quartering large bodies of armed troops amongst us:

For protecting them, by a mock trial, from punishment for any murders which they should commit on the inhabitants of these states:

For cutting off our trade with all parts of the world:

For imposing taxes on us without our consent:

For depriving us, in many cases, of the benefits of trial by jury:

For transporting us beyond seas, to be tried for pretended offences:

For abolishing the free system of English laws in a neighboring province, establishing therein an arbitrary government, and enlarging its boundaries, so as to render it at once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these colonies:

For taking away our charters, abolishing our most valuable laws, and altering, fundamentally, the forms of our governments:

For suspending our own legislatures, and declaring themselves invested with power to legislate for us in all cases whatsoever.

He has abdicated government here, by declaring us out of his protection, and waging war against us.

He has plundered our seas, ravaged our coasts, burnt our towns, and destroyed the lives of our people.

He is at this time transporting large armies of foreign mercenaries to complete the works of death, desolation, and tyranny, already begun with circumstances of cruelty and perfidy, scarcely paralleled in the most barbarous ages, and totally unworthy the head of a civilized nation.

He has constrained our fellow-citizen, taken captive on the high seas, to bear arms against their country, to become the executioners of their friends and brethren, or to fall themselves by their hands.

He has excited domestic insurrections amongst us, and has endeavored to bring on the inhabitants of our frontiers the merciless Indian savages, whose known rule of warfare is an undistinguished destruction of all ages, sexes and conditions.

In every stage of these oppressions, we have petitioned for redress in the most humble terms: our repeated petitions have been answered only by repeated injury. A prince, whose character is thus marked by every act which may define a tyrant, is unfit to be the ruler of a free people.

Nor have we been wanting in attention to our British brethren. We have warned them, from time to time, of attempts by their legislature to extend an unwarrantable jurisdiction over us. We have reminded them of the circumstances of our migration and settlement here. We have appealed to their native justice and magnanimity, and we have conjured them by the ties of our common kindred to disavow these usurpations, which would inevitably interrupt our connections and correspondence. They too have been deaf to the voice of justice and of consanguinity. We must, therefore, acquiesce in the necessity which denounces our separation, and hold them as we hold the rest of mankind—enemies in war, in peace friends.

WE, therefore, the representatives of the United States of America, in general congress assembled, appealing to the Supreme Judge of the world for the rectitude of our intentions, do, in the name and by the authority of the good people of these colonies, solemnly publish and declare, that these united colonies are, and of right ought to be, free and independent states; that they are absolved from all allegiance to the British crown, and that all political connexion between them and the state of Great Britain is, and ought to be, totally dissolved; and that, as free and independent states, they have full power to levy war, conclude peace, contract alliances, establish commerce, and to do all other acts and things which independent states may of right do. And for the support of this declaration, with a firm reliance on the protection of Divine Providence, we mutually pledge to each other our lives, our fortunes, and our sacred honor.

JOHN HANCOCK

New-Hampshire.

JOSIAH BARTLETT,
WILLIAM WHIPPLE,
MATTHEW THORNTON.

Massachusetts-Bay.

SAMUEL ADAMS,
JOHN ADAMS,
ROBERT TREAT PAINE,
ELBRIDGE GERRY.

Rhode-Island, &c.

STEPHEN HOPKINS,
WILLIAM ELLERY.

Connecticut.

ROGER SHERMAN,
SAMUEL HUNTINGTON,
WILLIAM WILLIAMS,
OLIVER WOLCOTT.

New-York.

WILLIAM FLOYD,
PHILIP LIVINGSTON,
FRANCIS LEWIS,
LEWIS MORRIS.

New-Jersey.

RICHARD STOCKTON,
JOHN WITHERSPOON,
FRANCIS HOPKINSON,
JOHN HART,
ABRAHAM CLARKE.

Pennsylvania.

ROBERT MORRIS,
BENJAMIN RUSH,
BENJAMIN FRANKLIN,
JOHN MORTON,
GEORGE CLYMER,
JAMES SMITH.

GEORGE TAYLOR,
JAMES WILSON,
GEORGE ROSS.

Delaware.

CÆSAR RODNEY,
GEORGE READ,
THOMAS McKEAN

Maryland.

SAMUEL CHASE,
WILLIAM PACA,
THOMAS STONE,
CHARLES CARROLL, of Carrollton.

Virginia.

GEORGE WYTHE,
RICHARD HENRY LEE,
THOMAS JEFFERSON,
BENJAMIN HARRISON,
THOMAS NELSON, Jr
FRANCIS LIGHTFOOT LEE.
CARTER BRAXTON.

North Carolina.

WILLIAM HOOPER,
JOSEPH HEWES,
JOHN PENN.

South Carolina.

EDWARD RUTLEDGE,
THOMAS HEYWARD, Jr.
THOMAS LYNCH, Jr.
ARTHUR MIDDLETON.

Georgia.

BURTON GWINNETT,
LYMAN HALL,
GEORGE WALTON

CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES.

We, the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquillity, provide for the common defence, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

ARTICLE I.—SECTION I.

1. All legislative powers herein granted, shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a senate and house of representatives.

SECTION II.

1. The house of representatives shall be composed of members chosen every second year by the people of the several states; and the electors in each state shall have the qualifications requisite for electors of the most numerous branch of the state legislature.

2. No person shall be a representative who shall not have attained to the age of twenty-five years, and been seven years a citizen of the United States, and who shall not, when elected, be an inhabitant of that state in which he shall be chosen.

3. Representatives and direct taxes shall be apportioned among the several states which may be included within this union, according to their respective numbers, which shall be determined by adding to the whole number of free persons, including those bound to service for a term of years, and excluding Indians not taxed, three-fifths of all other

persons. The actual enumeration shall be made within the three years after the first meeting of the Congress of the United States, and within every subsequent term of ten years, in such manner as they shall by law direct. The number of representatives shall not exceed one for every thirty thousand, but each state shall have at least one representative, and until such enumeration shall be made, the state of *New-Hampshire* shall be entitled to choose three; *Massachusetts* eight; *Rhode Island* and *Providence Plantations* one; *Connecticut* five; *New-York* six; *New-Jersey* four; *Pennsylvania* eight; *Delaware* one; *Maryland* six; *Virginia* ten; *North-Carolina* five; *South-Carolina* five; and *Georgia* three.

4. When vacancies happen in the representation from any state, the executive authority thereof shall issue writs of election to fill up such vacancies.

5. The house of representatives shall choose their speaker and other officers, and shall have the sole power of impeachment.

SECTION III.

1. The Senate of the United States shall be composed of two senators from each state, chosen by the legislature thereof, for six years; and each senator shall have one vote.

2. Immediately after they shall be assembled in consequence of the first election, they shall be divided, as equally as may be, into three classes. The seats of the senators of the first class shall be vacated at the expiration of the second year, of the second class at the expiration of the fourth year, and of the third class at the expiration of the sixth year, so that one-third may be chosen every second year; and if vacancies happen, by resignation or otherwise, during the recess of the legislature of any state, the executive thereof may make temporary appointments until the next meeting of the legislature, which shall then fill such vacancies.

3. No person shall be a senator who shall not have attained to the age of thirty years, and been nine years a citizen of the United States, and who shall not, when elected, be an inhabitant of that state for which he shall be chosen.

4. The vice-president of the United States shall be president of the senate, but shall have no vote, unless they be equally divided.

5. The senate shall choose their other officers, and also a president pro-tempore, in the absence of the vice-president, or when he shall exercise the office of president of the United States.

6. The senate shall have the sole power to try all impeachments. When sitting for that purpose, they shall be on oath or affirmation. When the president of the United States is tried, the chief justice shall preside; and no person shall be convicted without the concurrence of two-thirds of the members present.

7. Judgment, in cases of impeachment, shall not extend further than to removal from office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust, or profit, under the United States; but the party convicted shall nevertheless be liable and subject to indictment, trial, judgment, and punishment according to law.

SECTION IV.

1. The times, places, and manner of holding elections for senators and representatives, shall be prescribed in each state by the legislature

thereof but the congress may, at any time, by law, make or alter such regulations, except as to the places of choosing senators.

2. The congress shall assemble at least once in every year, and such meeting shall be on the first Monday in December, unless they shall by law appoint a different day.

SECTION V.

1 Each house shall be the judge of the elections, returns, and qualifications of its own members, and a majority of each shall constitute a quorum to do business; but a smaller number may adjourn from day to day, and may be authorized to compel the attendance of absent members, in such manner and under such penalties as each house may provide.

2. Each house may determine the rules of its proceedings, punish its members for disorderly behavior, and, with the concurrence of two-thirds, expel a member.

3. Each house shall keep a journal of its proceedings, and from time to time publish the same, excepting such parts as may in their judgment require secrecy; and the yeas and nays of the members of either house, on any question, shall, at the desire of one-fifth of those present, be entered on the journal.

4. Neither house, during the session of congress, shall, without the consent of the other, adjourn for more than three days, nor to any other place than that in which the two houses shall be sitting.

SECTION VI.

1. The senators and representatives shall receive a compensation for their services, to be ascertained by law, and paid out of the treasury of the United States. They shall, in all cases, except treason, felony, and breach of the peace, be privileged from arrest during their attendance at the session of their respective houses, and in going to or returning from the same; and for any speech or debate in either house, they shall not be questioned in any other place.

2. No senator or representative shall, during the time for which he was elected, be appointed to any civil office under the authority of the United States, which shall have been created, or the emoluments whereof shall have been increased, during such time; and no person holding any office under the United States shall be a member of either house during his continuance in office.

SECTION VII.

1. All bills for raising revenues shall originate in the house of representatives; but the senate may propose or concur with amendments, as on other bills.

2. Every bill which shall have passed the house of representatives and the senate, shall, before it becomes a law, be presented to the president of the United States; if he approve, he shall sign it; but if not, he shall return it, with his objections, to that house in which it shall have originated, who shall enter the objections at large on their journal, and proceed to reconsider it. If, after such reconsideration, two-thirds of that house shall agree to pass the bill, it shall be sent, together with the objections, to the other house, by which it shall likewise be reconsidered, and if approved by two-thirds of that house, it shall become a law. But

in all such cases, the votes of both houses shall be determined by yeas and nays, and the names of the persons voting for and against the bill, shall be entered on the journal of each house respectively. If any bill shall not be returned by the president within ten days (Sundays excepted) after it shall have been presented to him, the same shall be a law in like manner as if he had signed it, unless the congress, by their adjournment, prevent its return; in which case it shall not be a law.

3. Every order, resolution, or vote, to which the concurrence of the senate and house of representatives may be necessary, (except on a question of adjournment,) shall be presented to the president of the United States; and before the same shall take effect, shall be approved by him, or being disapproved by him, shall be repassed by two-thirds of the senate and house of representatives, according to the rules and limitations prescribed in the case of a bill.

SECTION VIII.

The congress shall have power—

1. To lay and collect taxes, duties, imposts, and excises; to pay the debts and provide for the common defense and general welfare of the United States: but all duties, imposts, and excises, shall be uniform throughout the United States:

2. To borrow money on the credit of the United States:

3. To regulate commerce with foreign nations, and among the several states, and with the Indian tribes:

4. To establish a uniform rule of naturalization, and uniform laws on the subject of bankruptcies, throughout the United States:

5. To coin money, regulate the value thereof, and of foreign coin, and to fix the standard of weights and measures:

6. To provide for the punishment of counterfeiting the securities and current coin of the United States:

7. To establish post-offices and post-roads:

8. To promote the progress of science and useful arts, by securing, for limited times, to authors and inventors, the exclusive right to their respective writings and discoveries:

9. To constitute tribunals inferior to the supreme court: To define and punish piracies and felonies committed on the high seas, and offenses against the law of nations:

10. To declare war, grant letters of marque and reprisal, and make rules concerning captures on land and water:

11. To raise and support armies; but no appropriation of money to that use shall be for a longer term than two years:

12. To provide and maintain a navy:

13. To make rules for the government and regulation of the land and naval forces:

14. To provide for calling forth the militia to execute the laws of the union, suppress insurrections, and repel invasions:

15. To provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining the militia, and for governing such part of them as may be employed in the service of the United States, reserving to the states respectively, the appointment of the officers, and the authority of training the militia according to the discipline prescribed by congress:

16. To exercise exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever, over such district (not exceeding ten miles square) as may, by cession of particular states, and the acceptance of congress, become the seat of

government of the United States, and to exercise like authority over all places purchased, by the consent of the legislature of the state in which the same shall be, for the erection of forts, magazines, arsenals, dock yards, and other needful buildings:—and,

17. To make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution the foregoing powers, and all other powers vested by this constitution in the government of the United States, or in any department or officer thereof.

SECTION IX.

1. The migration or importation of such persons as any of the states now existing shall think proper to admit, shall not be prohibited by the congress prior to the year one thousand eight hundred and eight; but a tax or duty may be imposed on such importation, not exceeding ten dollars for each person.

2. The privilege of the writ of habeas corpus shall not be suspended, unless when, in cases of rebellion or invasion, the public safety may require it.

3. No bill of attainder, or ex post facto law, shall be passed.

4. No capitation or other direct tax shall be laid, unless in proportion to the census or enumeration herein before directed to be taken.

5. No tax or duty shall be laid on articles exported from any state. No preference shall be given, by any regulation of commerce or revenue, to the ports of one state over those of another: nor shall vessels bound to or from one state, be obliged to enter, clear, or pay duties in another.

6. No money shall be drawn from the treasury, but in consequence of appropriations made by law; and a regular statement and account of the receipts and expenditures of all public money shall be published from time to time.

7. No title of nobility shall be granted by the United States, and no person holding any office of profit or trust under them, shall, without the consent of the congress, accept of any present, emolument, office, or title of any kind whatever, from any king, prince, or foreign state.

SECTION X.

1. No state shall enter into any treaty, alliance, or confederation; grant letters of marque or reprisal; coin money; emit bills of credit; make any thing but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts; pass any bill of attainder, ex post facto law, or law impairing the obligation of contracts; or grant any title of nobility.

2. No state shall, without the consent of the congress, lay any imposts or duties on imports or exports, except what may be absolutely necessary for executing its inspection laws; and the neat produce of all duties and imposts, laid by any state on imports or exports, shall be for the treasury of the United States, and all such laws shall be subject to the revision and control of the congress. No state shall, without the consent of the congress, lay any duty of tonnage, keep troops or ships of war in time of peace, enter into any agreement or compact with another state, or with a foreign power, or engage in war, unless actually invaded or in such imminent danger as will not admit of delay.

ARTICLE II.—SECTION I.

1. The executive power shall be vested in a president of the United States of America. He shall hold his office during the term of four

years, and, together with the vice-president, chosen for the same term, be elected as follows:

2. Each state shall appoint, in such manner as the legislature thereof may direct, a number of electors, equal to the whole number of senators and representatives to which the state may be entitled in the congress; but no senator or representative, or person holding an office of trust or profit under the United States, shall be appointed an elector.

3. The electors shall meet in their respective states, and vote by ballot for two persons, of whom one at least shall not be an inhabitant of the same state with themselves. And they shall make a list of all the persons voted for, and of the number of votes for each; which list they shall sign and certify, and transmit sealed to the seat of the government of the United States, directed to the president of the senate. The president of the senate shall, in the presence of the senate and house of representatives, open all the certificates, and the votes shall then be counted. The person having the greatest number of votes shall be the president, if such number be a majority of the whole number of electors appointed; and if there be more than one who have such majority, and have an equal number of votes, then the house of representatives shall immediately choose, by ballot, one of them for president; and if no person have a majority, then, from the five highest on the list, the said house shall, in like manner, choose the president. But, in choosing the president, the votes shall be taken by states, the representation from each state having one vote; a quorum for this purpose shall consist of a member or members from two-thirds of the states, and a majority of all the states shall be necessary to a choice. In every case, after the choice of the president, the person having the greatest number of votes of the electors shall be the vice-president. But if there should remain two or more who have equal votes, the senate shall choose from them, by ballot, the vice-president. *No. 3 has been annulled and supplied.*

4. The congress may determine the time of choosing the electors, and the day on which they shall give their votes; which day shall be the same throughout the United States.

5. No person, except a natural-born citizen, or a citizen of the United States at the time of the adoption of this constitution, shall be eligible to the office of president: neither shall any person be eligible to that office, who shall not have attained to the age of thirty-five years, and been fourteen years a resident within the United States.

6. In case of the removal of the president from office, or of his death, resignation, or inability to discharge the powers and duties of the said office, the same shall devolve on the vice-president, and the congress may, by law, provide for the case of removal, death, resignation, or inability, both of the president and vice-president, declaring what officer shall then act as president; and such officer shall act accordingly, until the disability be removed, or a president shall be elected.

7. The president shall, at stated times, receive for his services a compensation, which shall neither be increased nor diminished during the period for which he shall have been elected, and he shall not receive within that period any other emolument from the United States, or any of them.

8. Before he enter on the execution of his office, he shall take the following oath or affirmation:

9. "I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the office of the president of the United States, and will, to the best of my

ability, preserve, protect, and defend the constitution of the United States.

SECTION II.

1. The president shall be commander-in-chief of the army and navy of the United States, and of the militia of the several states, when called into the actual service of the United States; he may require the opinion, in writing, of the principal officer in each of the executive departments, upon any subject relating to the duties of their respective offices; and he shall have power to grant reprieves and pardons for offenses against the United States, except in cases of impeachment.

2. He shall have power, by and with the advice and consent of the senate, to make treaties, provided two-thirds of the senators present concur: and he shall nominate, and, by and with the advice and consent of the senate, shall appoint ambassadors, other public ministers and consuls, judges of the supreme court, and all other officers of the United States, whose appointments are not herein otherwise provided for, and which shall be established by law. But the congress may, by law, vest the appointment of such inferior officers as they think proper, in the president alone, in the courts of law, or in the heads of departments.

3. The president shall have power to fill up all vacancies that may happen during the recess of the senate, by granting commissions, which shall expire at the end of their next session.

SECTION III.

1. He shall, from time to time, give to the congress information of the state of the Union, and recommend to their consideration such measures as he shall judge necessary and expedient: he may, on extraordinary occasions, convene both houses, or either of them, and in case of disagreement between them, with respect to the time of adjournment, he may adjourn them to such time as he shall think proper; he shall receive ambassadors and other public ministers; he shall take care that the laws be faithfully executed; and shall commission all the officers of the United States.

SECTION IV.

1. The president, vice-president, and all civil officers of the United States, shall be removed from office on impeachment for, and conviction of, treason, bribery, or other high crimes and misdemeanors.

ARTICLE III.—SECTION I.

1. The judicial power of the United States shall be vested in one supreme court, and in such inferior courts as the congress may, from time to time, ordain and establish. The judges, both of the supreme and inferior courts, shall hold their offices during good behavior; and shall, at stated times, receive for their services a compensation which shall not be diminished during their continuance in office.

SECTION II.

1. The judicial power shall extend to all cases in law and equity arising under this constitution, the laws of the United States, and treaties made, or which shall be made, under their authority; to all cases affecting ambassadors, other public ministers and consuls; to all cases

of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction; to controversies to which the United States shall be a party; to controversies between two or more states; between a state and citizens of another state; between citizens of different states; between citizens of the same state claiming lands under grants of different states; and between a state, or the citizens thereof, and foreign states, citizens, or subjects.

2. In all cases affecting ambassadors, other public ministers and consuls, and those in which a state shall be a party, the supreme court shall have original jurisdiction. In all the other cases before mentioned, the supreme court shall have appellate jurisdiction, both as to law and fact, with such exceptions, and under such regulations, as the congress shall make.

3. The trial of all crimes, except in cases of impeachment, shall be by jury, and such trial shall be held in the state where the said crimes shall have been committed; but when not committed in any state, the trial shall be at such place or places as the congress may by law have directed.

SECTION III.

1. Treason against the United States shall consist only in levying war against them, or in adhering to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort. No person shall be convicted of treason unless on the testimony of two witnesses to the same overt act, or on confession in open court.

2. The congress shall have power to declare the punishment of treason: but no attainder of treason shall work corruption of blood, or forfeiture, except during the life of the person attainted.

ARTICLE IV.—SECTION I.

1. Full faith and credit shall be given in each state to the public acts, records, and judicial proceedings of every other state. And the congress may, by general laws, prescribe the manner in which such acts, records, and proceedings shall be proved, and the effect thereof.

SECTION II.

1. The citizens of each state shall be entitled to all privileges and immunities of citizens in the several states.

2. A person charged in any state with treason, felony, or other crime, who shall flee from justice, and be found in another state, shall, on demand of the executive authority of the state from which he fled, be delivered up, to be removed to the state having jurisdiction of the crime.

3. No person held to service or labor in one state under the laws thereof, escaping into another, shall, in consequence of any law or regulation therein, be discharged from such service or labor; but shall be delivered up on claim of the party to whom such service or labor may be due.

SECTION III.

1. New states may be admitted by the congress into this union; but no new state shall be formed or erected within the jurisdiction of any other state, nor any state be formed by the junction of two or more states, or parts of states, without the consent of the legislatures of the states concerned, as well as of the congress.

2. The congress shall have power to dispose of, and make all needful

rules and regulations respecting the territory or other property belonging to the United States; and nothing in this constitution shall be so construed as to prejudice any claims of the United States, or of any particular state.

SECTION IV.

1. The United States shall guaranty to every state in this union, a republican form of government, and shall protect each of them against invasion, and, on application of the legislature, or of the executive, (when the legislature cannot be convened,) against domestic violence.

ARTICLE V.

1. The congress, whenever two-thirds of both houses shall deem it necessary, shall propose amendments to this constitution; or, on the application of the legislatures of two-thirds of the several states, shall call a convention for proposing amendments, which, in either case, shall be valid to all intents and purposes, as part of this constitution, when ratified by the legislatures of three-fourths of the several states, or by conventions in three-fourths thereof, as the one or the other mode of ratification may be proposed by the congress; provided, that no amendment which may be made prior to the year one thousand eight hundred and eight, shall in any manner affect the first and fourth clauses in the ninth section of the first article: and that no state, without its consent, shall be deprived of its equal suffrage in the senate.

ARTICLE VI.

1. All debts contracted and engagements entered into, before the adoption of this constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this constitution, as under the confederation.

2. This constitution, and the laws of the United States, which shall be made in pursuance thereof, and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby; any thing in the constitution or laws of any state to the contrary notwithstanding.

3. The senators and representatives before mentioned, and the members of the several state legislatures, and all executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and of the several states, shall be bound by oath or affirmation to support this constitution, but no religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the United States.

ARTICLE VII.

1. The ratification of the conventions of nine states shall be sufficient for the establishment of this constitution between the states so ratifying the same.

Done in convention, by the unanimous consent of the states present, the seventeenth day of September, in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty-seven, and of the Independence of the United States of America the twelfth. In witness whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our names.

GEORGE WASHINGTON,
President, and Deputy from Virginia.

New-Hampshire.
JOHN LANGDON.
NICHOLAS GILMAN.

Massachusetts.
NATHANIEL GORHAM,
RUFUS KING.

Connecticut.
WM. SAMUEL JOHNSON,
ROGER SHERMAN.

New-York.
ALEXANDER HAMILTON.

New-Jersey.
WM. LIVINGSTON,
DAVID BREARLY,
WM. PATTERSON,
JONATHAN DAYTON.

Pennsylvania.
BENJAMIN FRANKLIN,
THOMAS MIFFLIN,
ROBERT MORRIS,
GEORGE CLYMER,
THOMAS FITZSIMONS,
JARED INGERSOLL,
JAMES WILSON,
GOUVERNEUR MORRIS,

Delaware.
GEORGE READ,
GUNNING BEDFORD, Jr.,
JOHN DICKENSON,
RICHARD BASSETT,
JACOB BROOM.

Maryland.
JAMES McHENRY,
DANIEL, of St. Thomas Jenifer.
DANIEL CARROLL.

Virginia.
JOHN BLAIR,
JAMES MADISON, Jr.

North Carolina.
WM. BLOUNT,
RICHARD DOBBS SPRAIGHT,
HUGH WILLIAMSON.

South Carolina.
JOHN RUTLEDGE,
C. COTESWORTH PINKNEY,
CHARLES PINKNEY,
PIERCE BUTLER.

Georgia.
WILLIAM FEW,
ABRAHAM BALDWIN.

Attest:

WM JACKSON,
Secretary.

AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION.

Article 1. Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

Art. 2. A well-regulated militia being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed.

Art. 3. No soldier shall, in time of peace, be quartered in any house without the consent of the owner; nor in time of war, but in a manner to be prescribed by law.

Art. 4. The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated; and no warrants shall issue but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

Art. 5 No person shall be held to answer for a capital or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia, when in actual service, in time of war or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb, nor shall be compelled, in any criminal case, to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

Art. 6. In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the state and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation: to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor; and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.

Art. 7. In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved; and no fact tried by a jury shall be otherwise re-examined in any court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

Art. 8. Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

Art. 9. The enumeration in the constitution of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

Art. 10. The powers not delegated to the United States by the constitution, nor prohibited by it to the states, are reserved to the states respectively, or to the people.

Art. 11. The judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by citizens of another state, or by citizens or subjects of any foreign state.

Art. 12, § 1. The electors shall meet in their respective states, and vote by ballot for president and vice-president, one of whom, at least shall not be an inhabitant of the same state with themselves; they shall name in their ballots the person voted for as president, and in distinct ballots the person voted for as vice-president; and they shall make distinct lists of all persons voted for as president, and of all persons voted for as vice-president, and of the number of votes for each, which lists they shall sign and certify, and transmit sealed to the seat of the government of the United States, directed to the president of the senate; the president of the senate shall, in the presence of the senate and house of representatives, open all the certificates, and the votes shall then be counted; the person having the greatest number of votes for president, shall be the president, if such number be a majority of the whole number of electors appointed: and if no person have such majority, then from the persons having the highest numbers, not exceeding three, on the list of those voted for as president, the house of representatives shall choose immediately, by ballot, the president. But, in choosing the president, the votes shall be taken by states, the representation from each state having one vote; a quorum for this purpose shall consist of a member or members from two-thirds of the states, and a majority of all the states shall be necessary to a choice. And if the house of representatives shall not choose a president whenever the right of choice shall devolve upon them, before the fourth day of March next

following, then the vice-president shall act as president, as in the case of the death or other constitutional disability of the president.

2. The person having the greatest number of votes as vice-president, shall be the vice-president, if such number be a majority of the whole number of electors appointed; and if no person have a majority, then from the two highest numbers on the list, the senate shall choose the vice-president: a quorum for the purpose shall consist of two-thirds of the whole number of senators, and a majority of the whole number shall be necessary to a choice.

3. But no person constitutionally ineligible to the office of president, shall be eligible to that of vice-president of the United States.

BIOGRAPHY OF EMINENT PERSONAGES.

The design of this biographical sketch, is to give a short account of such distinguished characters as could not have been previously introduced, without interrupting, too materially, that close connection of events, so requisite in a compendium of history. Hence the names, kings, emperors, &c., whose lives are immediately connected with the countries to which they belonged, are generally omitted in this outline.

Adam, the first of the human race, created by the Almighty from the dust of the earth, and placed in a delightful garden of Eden, with only one restriction laid upon him, namely, to abstain from eating the fruit of the tree of the knowledge of *good* and *evil;* this injunction, however, he violated; and in consequence of his disobedience, he was driven from the earthly Paradise; and died at the age of 930 years.

Ashur, one of the sons of Shem, built Nineveh, and is supposed to have been the founder of the Assyrian empire; little is recorded of him in scripture.

Anacreon, a Greek poet, who flourished about 500 years before the Christian era. His odes have been much admired for their sweetness, gaiety and elegance. He lived to the age of 85 years, and his death was occasioned by being choked by the seed of a grape.

Archimedes, a famous geometrician, was born at Syracuse. At the time when the Romans under *Marcellus* besieged that city, he constructed machines which sunk several of their vessels; and others he set on fire by burning glasses. He was killed by a Roman soldier, who was ignorant of his character, while the philosopher was engaged in his study, A. C. 208.

Æsop, a Phrygian philosopher and fabulist, flourished about 580 years before the Christian era, and is supposed to have been the inventor of fabulous writing. He was originally a slave, but finally obtained his liberty. He travelled over a greater part of Greece and Egypt, but spent much of his time at the court of Crœsus, king of Lydia, by whom he was commissioned to consult the oracle of Delphi. He offended the Delphians by his sarcastic remarks, by whom he was killed by being thrown from a rock.

ARISTOTLE, one of the most distinguished philosophers of antiquity, was a man possessed of extraordinary powers of intellect. His writings treat on almost every branch of knowledge in his time;—moral and natural philosophy, metaphysics, mechanics, grammar, criticism, and politics, all occupied his pen. He was moderate in his meals, slept little, and was indefatigably industrious. He taught in the *Lyceum* at Athens. A few moments before his death, he is said to have uttered these words: "I entered this world in impurity; I have lived in anxiety? I depart in perturbation. Cause of causes, pity me." He died at the age of 63 years.

ALEXANDER, *the Great*, the son of *Philip*, king of Macedon, was born at Pella, 335 years before the Christian era. At the age of ten years, he was placed for education under the care of Aristotle. When he came to the throne, he immediately determined on the invasion of Asia; defeated Darius in three sanguinary battles, reduced Egypt, Media, Syria, Persia, and spread his conquests over a great part of India. On his return from India, he made Babylon the seat of his Asiatic empire, where he died in the 32d year of his age, of a fever occasioned by excessive intemperance. Alexander was the most renowned hero of antiquity, surpassing all others in the rapidity, extent, and splendor of his conquests; perhaps no other individual ever produced greater misery on mankind, if, to the slaughter occasioned by his own wars, we take into consideration the influence which example has had on the career of others, who have made him their model. He possessed abilities and talents, which might have rendered him distinguished as a statesman and a benefactor to his species, yet his military achievements alone have acquired him the surname of Great.

ANGELO, *Michael*, a distinguished painter, sculptor, and architect, was born in 1474. In architecture he surpassed all the moderns, and is thought to have been the greatest designer that ever lived. His most celebrated painting is the *Last Judgment*. His architectural abilities are best displayed on the Church of St. Peter, at Rome, the building of which he completed. His style is that of grandeur and sublimity, united with the utmost simplicity and beauty. He died in the 90th year of his age.

ADDISON, *Joseph*, an elegant English essayist and poet, was the son of a clergyman, and born in the year 1672. His merits, as a writer, procured for him public employment, and in 1717, he was raised to the office of Secretary of State. His most admired productions in prose, are to be found in the Spectator. He is distinguished for a delicate and gentle humor, and his style is remarkable for purity and ease. His poetry is less admired. His tragedy of Cato, however, has some merits Addison died at the age of 57.

ABRAHAM, the immediate progenitor of the Hebrew nation, was the son of *Terah*, and born in Chaldea. After the death of his father, he removed into the land of Canaan, which God promised to give to his posterity. In the 100th year of his age his son Isaac was born. After passing through various scenes of life, he was called to the severe trial of offering up his son Isaac in sacrifice at the command of the Deity. All his fondest hopes were reposed in that son, yet he hesitated not a moment in the execution of the divine behest. But at the moment, however, in which his arm was raised to take the life of his son, God interposed, and accepted the obedience of the patriarch in the place of the

crifice, commended his faith, and thus rescued Isaac from his impending fate. Abraham died at the age of 175 years.

Bede, an English historian, surnamed the Venerable. He was born at Wearmouth, about the year 672. In his youth he studied with much diligence, and soon became eminent for his learning. His most celebrated work is his *Ecclesiastical History* of England, which he published in 731 He was a man of exemplary piety. His last sickness was a consumption, which terminated in the asthma. He supported his affliction with great firmness, and during his extreme weakness, never omitted the duties of his station. He died in 735.

Burns, *Robert*, a celebrated Scotch poet, was born at Ayr, in 1759. He seems to have been a poet by nature; his poems in the Scottish dialect, are remarkable for their beauty, elegance, and simplicity. They no sooner appeared in print, than he was called from the plough to associate with men of letters. He died at the age of 39. His death was hastened by intemperance and licentious pleasures.

Belisarius, an illustrious general under the emperor Justinian. He repeatedly saved the empire by his valor; even when far advanced in years and scarcely able to wield his sword, he marched against the Huns, who made an irruption into the empire, and defeated them with great slaughter. In return for his many services, the suspicious emperor deprived him of all his honors, and condemned him to an ignominious confinement, which lasted for several months. It is believed that he again recovered the friendship of the emperor. He died, A. D. 565.

Bacon, *Roge.*, an eminent philosopher, was born in the year 1214, in England, of a respectable family, and became a monk of the Franciscan order. To the comprehensive mind of Bacon, many of the discoveries made by the genius and toil of later ages were known. He was acquainted with the structure of the air pump, with laws of optics, and the power of glasses. He gave such a clear description of gunpowder, that it is evident that he was its inventor. His writings amount to above 80 treatises on various subjects, but his chief production is his *Opus Majus*, or Great Work, which he wrote while imprisoned through the jealousy of his enemies. He died at the age of 80.

Bacon, *Sir Francis*, an eminent English philosopher, was born in 1561. His astonishing faculties were early developed, and gained him the favorable notice of Elizabeth. On the accession of James I., he rose to power; was made attorney-general, keeper of the seals, lord chancellor, and finally raised to the peerage. His elevation excited the envy of his enemies, and he was accused of bribery and corruption in the office of chancellor; in consequence of which he was fined £40,000, and sentenced to imprisonment in the Tower. As an author, his *Novum Organum Scientiarum*, has immortalized his name. He was the first who taught the proper method of studying the sciences, or rather, to point out the way in which we should begin and carry on our pursuit of knowledge, in order to arrive at truth; and has been styled the *pioneer of nature*, and the *priest of nature's mysteries.*

Burke, *Edmund*, an eminent Irish orator and political writer, was the son of a respectable attorney, at Carlow, where he was born, in 1730 After studying at *Trinity College*, Dublin, he went to London and entered at the *Middle Temple;* but without paying any serious attention to the law, he devoted his time principally to literature and politics. His style and arguments as a writer soon attracted notice, and his *Essay on the*

Sublime, gained for him universal admiration. In 1765, he was sent to parliament, where he combined the character of an elegant writer with an eminent speaker. During the war of the American Revolution, he exerted all the power of his eloquence against the measures of the British. When the French Revolution broke out, he became alarmed at the progress of licentious principles, and with a view of counteracting them in England, he published his celebrated *Reflections*. For some time before his death, he retired from public life. He died in 1797. As an author, his merits are universally acknowledged; he was copious, elegant, and forcible.

BUONAPARTE, *Napoleon*, was a native of Corsica, where he was born in 1769. The career of this extraordinary man surpassed, in many respects, that of every great conqueror who preceded him. In his 27th year, he was raised to the command of the French army; at the age of 30, he caused himself to be elected first consul; and in his 35th year, he was proclaimed emperor of France. During the ten years that he possessed the imperial throne, he was the most powerful potentate, not only of his age, but of modern times, and made the world tremble at the terror of his name. He raised to the rank of kings, his three brothers, his brother-in-law, and three German electors; also *Bernadotte*, one of his generals, was raised to the throne of Sweden. He united in his person the three-fold character of conqueror, usurper, and legislator. He triumphed over civilized enemies; legislated in a refined age; and seized upon the scepters of his most powerful rivals. To him France is indebted for an admirable code of laws, in the formation of which he was the efficient agent. No man ever enjoyed a greater opportunity of benefiting his fellow man than Buonaparte; yet this opportunity was cast away, except so far as it suited his insatiable ambition and lust of power, to which he was ready to sacrifice every principle of justice and humanity. He chose to be an Alexander, or a Cæsar, rather than a Washington; a subverter, rather than a protector of liberty; a terror and a scourge, rather than a delight and a blessing to mankind. The close of his eventful life, furnishes a most instructive lesson on the instability of all human things, and the vanity of human glory. He died on the island of *St. Helena*, on the 5th of May, 1821, in the 6th year of his captivity, and 52d of his age. [*For the principal events of his life, see* FRANCE.]

CAIN, the first born of the human family, was distinguished for his wickedness and for being the first of murderers; he killed his brother *Abel* through jealousy, because his brother's sacrifice was more acceptable to the Lord than his own. Nothing is mentioned in scripture of the time or manner of his death.

CATO, an illustrious Roman general who took part against Cæsar. After the battle of Pharsalia, he returned to Utica; but finding it impossible to resist the power of the conqueror, he resolved not to survive the liberty of his country. After supping cheerfully with his friends, he returned to his chamber, and having read Plato's dialogue on the immortality of the soul several times, he stabbed himself with his own sword.

CECROPS, a native of Egypt, who led a colony into Greece and laid the foundation of Athens, A. C. 1556. He taught his subjects the cultivation of the olive, and was the first who raised an altar to Jupiter, and offered sacrifices to him. He reigned over the country for 50 years, and on his death he was succeeded by *Cranaus*.

CADMUS, a Phœnician who built Thebes, and was the first who introduced letters into Greece. His alphabet consisted of only sixteen letters, to which eight were afterwards added.

CICERO, *Marcus Tullius*, the prince of Roman orators and philosophers, was the son of a Roman knight. His father perceiving his promising abilities, procured for him the most celebrated masters of his time. He served one campaign under Sylla, but on his return to Rome appeared as pleader at the bar, where the greatness of his genius and superior eloquence soon raised him into notice. Having passed through the inferior honors of the state, he was at length elevated to the office of consul; and during his administration, he detected and crushed the conspiracy of Catiline. On this occasion he received the thanks of the people, and was styled the father of his country; but his refusal to second the arbitrary measures of Cæsar and Pompey caused his banishment; he retired to Greece, but was allowed to return after an absence of sixteen months. After the death of Cæsar, he again espoused the republican party, and thus incurred the hatred of Antony, one of the leading members of the triumvirate, by whose order the illustrious orator was assassinated in the 64th year of his age, A. C. 43.

CÆSAR, *Caius Julius*. This extraordinary man united in his person the threefold character of warrior, historian, and statesman. Though ambition was his ruling passion, yet he possessed the most splendid endowments of genius, and many noble qualities of the heart; clemency seems to have been his predominant virtue. On passing a small village among the Alps, on his way to take possession of his government in Spain, before the formation of the triumvirate, he remarked, that "he would rather be the first man in that village than the second man in Rome." He frequently made use of this verse of Euripides: "That if right and justice were ever to be violated, they were to be violated for the sake of reigning." In his military career, he was probably never surpassed. He was so much the idol of his troops, that in any important conjuncture, his lieutenant could say nothing more impressive to them than, "Soldiers, imagine that Cæsar beholds you!" He fought no less than fifty battles, in which 1,192,000 men are said to have been slain. In the midst of his military enterprises, he found time to become the author of several works, of which only the memoirs of his wars are now extant; these are much admired for their elegance as well as the correctness of style. As an orator, he would have rivalled Cicero had he devoted himself to the bar; he spoke with the same spirit with which he fought. He was assassinated in the senate house in the 56th year of his age, A. C. 44. [*For his achievements, see* ROME.]

COWPER, *William*, a celebrated English poet, was born in the year 1730. In the early part of his life, he was afflicted with a distressing melancholy brought on by serious reflection on religious subjects; on one occasion he even attempted his life. He did not become an author until the age of 50 years. The first volume of his poems appeared in 1782, and the second volume in 1785. His most admired work is his *Task*, which abounds with beauty of sentiment, combined with harmony and sweetness of style. Cowper died in 1800; aged 70.

CALVIN, *Joan*, a coadjutor of Luther in the Reformation, was born at Noyon, in Picardy, July 10th, 1509. He spent most of his active life at Geneva, where he filled the chair of professor of divinity, and warmly espoused the cause of the Reformation. He died in 1564.

COBBETT, *William*. This distinguished man was born in England

in the year 1762, of humble parentage, and possessed but few advantages for education. In early life, he followed the profession of arms as a common soldier, but employed his leisure moments in attentive reading. He soon emerged from this situation, and appeared in public as a bold and elegant writer. The general characteristics of his style are purity, perspicuity and masculine vigor; frequently eloquent, but often attended with a strain of torturing sarcasm, contemptuous jocularity and fierce invective. Cobbett is by far the most voluminous writer who has lived for centuries. He died in 1835.

COPERNICUS, *Nicholas*, an eminent astronomer and the discoverer of the true system of the universe, was born at *Thorne*, in Prussia. He early devoted himself to the study of mathematics, applied his knowledge to an examination of the different theories respecting the universe; and after twenty years of profound investigation, he arrived at this important truth, that the sun is placed in the centre of the universe to illuminate and control the whole system. For various reasons he concealed this great discovery for thirty years. At length, through the importunities of his friends, he consented to have his work published; as soon as completed, a copy of it was brought to him, and in a few hours afterwards he was seized with a violent effusion of blood, which terminated his life in the 70th year of his age, A. D. 1543.

COLUMBUS, *Christopher*, an eminent navigator, and discoverer of America, was born at Genoa in 1442. At the age of fourteen he entered on a seafaring life, and after a variety of adventures, he went to Lisbon, where he married the daughter of *Perestrello*, a navigator of considerable eminence, whose journals were peculiarly beneficial to Columbus. At this period the attention of the Portuguese was directed towards finding a passage to the East Indies; this they expected to attain by doubling the Cape of Good Hope, and then sailing towards the East. Inflamed by the desire of accomplishing so noble an enterprise, the active mind of Columbus, after attentively comparing the observations of modern pilots with the conjectures of the ancients, at length concluded, that by sailing directly west from Europe across the Atlantic, new countries, which he supposed to form a part of Asia, must infallibly be discovered. The spherical figure of the earth was known, and its magnitude ascertained with some degree of accuracy; and Sir John Mandeville had even demonstrated that it might be circumnavigated. Convinced of the correctness of his theory, Columbus was anxious to test it by experiment. At length, after many delays, he obtained assistance from Isabella, queen of Spain, and on the 3d of August, 1492, sailed on his voyage of discovery. On the 11th of October, the same year, he came in sight of an island, to which he gave the name of *St. Salvador*, one of the Bahamas; he also discovered *Cuba* and *St. Domingo*, which he called *Hispaniola*, and returned in May of the following year. He made three other voyages to the New World, in the last of which he was shipwrecked on the coast of *Jamaica*. Here for a time he obtained astonishing command over the Indians by predicting an eclipse of the moon. He died shortly after his return to Spain, at Valladolid, in the 70th year of his age, A. D. 1506. [*For further particulars, see* AMERICA.]

DIDO was the daughter of *Belus*, king of Tyre. Her husband was murdered by Pygmalion, the successor of Belus. The disconsolate princess, with a number of Tyrians, set sail in quest of a settlement. A storm drove them upon the coast of Africa, where they founded the city of Carthage. Her beauty and the fame of her enterprise, gained

aer many admirers; her subjects wished to compel her to marry the king of Mauritania, but she killed herself rather than enter into a matrimonial alliance with one for whom she could entertain no affection.

Dryden, *John*, a celebrated English poet, was born in the year 1631. He first exhibited his poetical powers in an eulogium on Oliver Cromwell; and this was followed, in 1660, by a poem, "on the happy return and Restoration of his sacred majesty, Charles II." On the accession of James II., he became a Roman Catholic, and was continued in the appointment of *Poet Laureat*, which he had held under Charles. After the revolution, he was deprived of all his honors, and from that time until his death, he was obliged to rely for subsistence on the immediate profits of his poetical productions. His Ode on St. Cecilia's Day, his translation of Virgil, Juvenal, and Perseus, are lasting proofs of his poetical genius. His style is flowing and musical, at the same time grand and energetic. He died in the year 1700.

Demosthenes, the prince of orators, was born at Athens. His early education was much neglected, through the treachery of his guardians, who squandered away his property. Perhaps no individual ever aspired to the art of oratory with more impediments to overcome than Demosthenes; and no one ever attained to a higher excellence in that art. Besides an impediment in his speech, he had a weak voice, accompanied with a shortness of breath; the movements of his body, moreover, were most ungraceful. That he might remedy the imperfection in his speech, he accustomed himself to declaim with pebbles in his mouth; and in order to strengthen his voice and lungs, he frequently harangued on the sea shore, where the agitation of the waves caused him to exert his utmost strength, that he might be heard above the noise, and at the same time served to give him an idea of the commotion of popular assemblies; and finally, he corrected the awkwardness of his gesture, by speaking before a mirror, and by taking lessons from the most accomplished comedians. That he might apply himself more to his studies, he retired to a cave, and shaved one half of his head, so that he could not decently appear abroad. Having thus qualified himself, he came forth from his retreat, and presented himself before the public. His great abilities as an orator soon placed him at the head of the government, where he exerted all the powers of his eloquence against the ambitious designs of *Philip*, king of Macedon. His orations against that prince, are called *Philippics*, a name since applied to all satirical productions. On the death of *Alexander*, Demosthenes once more endeavored to rouse his countrymen to an effort for the recovery of their liberty; the attempt was ineffectual, and Athens was obliged to purchase peace by the sacrifice of ten of her public speakers. Demosthenes, to avoid falling into the hands of his enemies, suffered a voluntary death by taking poison, in the 60th year of his age, A. D. 322.

Eve, the name of the first woman; she was formed by the Creator of a rib taken from the side of *Adam*, while in a deep sleep; thus she became the "bone of his bones, and the flesh of his flesh," and was given to him as his wife. She was the first transgressor; being deceived by *Satan* in the form of a serpent, she eat of the forbidden fruit, and offered it to her husband, who also followed her example. In punishment for this offense, she was banished from Paradise with Adam, and subjected to all the miseries that have since afflicted the human family.

Euripides, an eminent tragic poet of Greece, was born at *Salamis*. As a poet, he is peculiarly happy in expressing the passions of love,

particularly the more tender and animated; his thoughts are sublime, and his productions abound with many moral reflections. He spent the latter days of his life at the court of *Archelaus*, king of Macedonia. His end was tragical; in one of his solitary walks, he was attacked and devoured by the hounds of the king, in the 78th year of his age, A. D. 407

EUCLID, the greatest mathematician of antiquity, was born at Alexandria, and flourished near 300 years before the Christian era. His writings were numerous; but his 15 books on the elements of mathematics, which consist of problems and theorems, with demonstrations have acquired an imperishable fame, and have suffered but little alteration to the present time.

FRANKLIN, *Benjamin*. This distinguished philosopher and discoverer of electricity, was born at Boston, in 1706. In youth, he was apprenticed to an uncle in the printing business. As his occupation allowed him but little time for study, he supplied the deficiency by carefully reading at night the works which he had printed during the day, and by this means soon acquired extensive information. He was a member of the American Congress during the eventful period of the Revolution. As a public negotiator, he effectually secured the honor and interest of the country. He died in 1790, while governor of Pennsylvania, at the advanced age of 84 years. His discoveries in science have associated his name with that of *Newton*. He is the father of that branch of philosophy, which explains the laws of the electric *fluid*, and the utility of lightning rods will for ever point to him as a temporal benefactor of the human race.

GIBBON, *Edward*, an eminent English historian, was born at Putney, in 1737. His most important work is his "Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire," which he completed after twenty years' labor. It is an elaborate production and generally accurate. In principle, he was a decided skeptic and unbeliever; he wrote with a view of establishing his principles; hence throughout his works the seeds of infidelity are widely disseminated, a fact which renders his productions highly dangerous to the uncautious reader. He scoffs at Christianity, and endeavors to turn it to ridicule whenever an opportunity offers. He died of the dropsy in 1794.

GALILEO, an Italian, distinguished for his discoveries in mathematics and astronomy. He embraced the Copernican system, which he endeavored to establish from the Bible. For thus attempting to blend his astronomical theories with the sacred writings, he was summoned before the tribunal of the inquisition at Rome. Some years after this, he published his *Dialogues* and *Memoirs*, in which he again endeavored to raise the system of the rotation of the earth to the dignity of a dogmatical tenet. Being again cited before the tribunal at Rome, he was lodged in the palace of Tuscany, and for a short time in the apartment of the attorney-general. After having received his sentence and made his recantation, *Galileo* obtained permission to visit his native country, where he died at the advanced age of 78 years.

GOLDSMITH, *Oliver*. This eminent poet, historian, and miscellaneous writer, was born in Ireland in 1729. He made a tour through Europe on foot, and supported himself by playing on the flute. Having at length returned to London, he commenced his literary career The publication of *The Traveller*, in 1765, obtained for him a high poetical celebrity, with many distinguished friends. The *Deserted Village*,

the most admired of his poetical productions, was published in 1769. His comedies, *The Good-natured Man*, and *She Stoops to Conquer*, are also much admired. His *History of Rome*, *History of England*, and *History of Animated Nature*, with the *Vicar of Wakefield*, are among his principal works. He died in the 46th year of his age. His life and character were eccentric, but interesting. Generosity, carelessness, and imprudence, were the distinguishing features of his disposition. His prodigality always kept him in poverty. Sweetness of fancy and tenderness of feeling are the peculiar features of his poetry. His expression is natural and idiomatic, yet in the highest degree select and refined.

GUTTENBERG, *John*, the inventor of the art of printing. It has been contended that Lewis Coster, of Haarlem, invented the use of moveable type; but it seems that opinion is without foundation, and that the art of printing, as practiced at present, was discovered by *Guttenberg*, of Mayence, about the year 1438, although it was several years after this period, before the art was brought to any perfection. In 1450, *Guttenberg* entered into partnership at Mayence, with *John Fust*. It was about this period, that the method of casting the character in metal was discovered. This improvement is supposed to have been made by Schæffer, who assisted them at this time. The first printers carried their types about in bags, and printed small pamphlets and the like. The first entire book issued from their press, was the Psalter in Latin, printed at Mentz, in 1457, of which there are two copies yet extant, one in the imperial library at Vienna, the other purchased by Louis XVIII. of France, for the sum of 12,000 francs. A complete edition of the Bible, in Latin, was printed in two folio vols., at Mentz, in the year 1462. From this epoch, the progress of typography was rapid, and before the close of the fifteenth century, various editions of the Bible, with a vast number of other works, were published in different parts of Europe.

HOMER was not only the greatest of the Greek poets, but also the earliest, whose works have survived the devastations of time. He is regarded as the most ancient of all profane *classical* writers. The place of his nativity is unknown; several cities claim the honor of having given him birth. Little is known of his parentage, or his circumstances of life; but it is generally agreed that he was a *wandering* poet, and that he was blind, at least towards the close of his life. His greatest poems are the *Iliad* and *Odyssey*. The Arundelian marbles fix the period in which he flourished at 907, before the Christian era.

HERODOTUS, a celebrated historian, was born at Halicarnassus, and is styled the father of history. His most celebrated work describes the wars of the Greeks against the Persians, from the age of Cyrus to the battle of *Mycale*. His style abounds with elegance, ease, and sweetness.

HIPPOCRATES, styled the father of medicine, was born in the island of Cos. He devoted his whole attention to medical applications; his writings, a few fragments of which remain, procured him the epithet of divine. He died at the age of 99 years, A. C. 361.

HESIOD, an eminent Greek poet, who is supposed to have flourished about the time of Homer. His greatest production is a poem on *agriculture* which contains many moral reflections, mingled with instruction for cultivating the fields. His *Theogony*, another poem, gives a faithful description of the gods of antiquity. So partial were the Greeks to his moral productions, that they required their children to commit them to memory.

HORACE, the greatest of the Roman lyric poets; he studied at Rome and afterwards at Athens. He accompanied *Brutus* in the civil wars and at the battle of Philippi he saved his life by flight. From this period he devoted himself to writing verses; and his talents soon recommended him to the patronage of Augustus. He died in the 57th year of his age, A. C. 8.

HUME, *David*, an historian of some eminence, was born at Edinburgh, in Scotland, in 1711. He was designed by his father for the law, but the turn of his mind led him to literary pursuits. His principal works are his treatise on *Human Nature*, his *Inquiries* concerning the *Principles of Morals*, and his *English History*. In principle, *Hume*, like *Gibbon*, was a decided skeptic and unbeliever; hence we find the principles of infidelity inculcated throughout his writings. His history, though possessing considerable merits in perspicuity and purity of style, is far from being accurate in many particulars. He died in 1776.

HAYDN, *Joseph*, a celebrated composer of music, was born of humble parentage in Austria, in 1733. He went to England where he published several of his musical works, in consequence of which the degree of doctor of music was conferred on him by the University of Oxford. In 1796, he returned to Germany, where he composed his sublime oratorios of *The Creation*, and *The Seasons*. His other publications are various and valuable. He died at the advanced age of 76, in 1809.

HENRY, *Patrick*, the great American orator, was born in the colony of Virginia in 1736. In the early part of his life, he was passionately addicted to pleasure, and averse to toil, even to the labor of study. He married at the age of 18, and settled on a farm, but agriculture as well as mercantile pursuits, in which he subsequently engaged, proving unsuccessful, he turned his attention towards the law, and after six weeks preparatory study, he was admitted to practice. He served his country in various posts. In 1765, he was elected a member of the Virginia legislature, and introduced his celebrated resolutions on the *Stamp Act*, he afterwards bore a distinguished part in the period of the Revolution. He died at the age of 61, in 1797.

ISAIAH, the Prophet, was the son of Amos. He prophesied upwards of 700 years before the Christian era. He was the greatest and most sublime of all the prophets. He boldly censured the vices of his time, and according to the Hebrew tradition, he was put to death by being sawed in two, during the reign of king Manasse.

JUBAL is spoken of in the Scripture as "the father of such as handled the harp or organ;" a fact which proves that music must have been one of the earliest arts known and taught among men.

JOSHUA, the successor of Moses, led the people of Israel into the promised land, and having divided the country among the ten tribes, he died in the 110th year of his age.

JOSEPH, the patriarch, was one of the twelve sons of *Jacob*, whose eventful life is so pathetically described in the scripture. He was sold by his brothers to merchants, who carried him into Egypt, where, through the interposition of heaven, he finally became the ruler of that country, under *Pharaoh*. The triumph of his innocence, as the reward of his piety, proves the care of God manifested towards the virtuous, and should lead them to place their confidence in his protection under the most trying scenes of life.

JOHNSON, *Samuel*, an eminent lexicographer, critic, and essayist, was

born at Litchfield, 1709. He commenced his education at Oxford, but owing to the insolvency of his father, he was obliged to leave the university prematurely. Involved in poverty, and without any prospect before him, after trying various expedients to obtain a livelihood, he went to London in quest of employment, in 1737. From this period until 1763, he was engaged in literary labors, under the pressure of poverty and disappointment. On one occasion he was arrested for a debt of five guineas, from which he was relieved by the kindness of a friend. His first important work, was his celebrated *English Dictionary*, which he completed in the space of seven years, and for which he received only the sum of £1575. The *Rambler*, and *The Lives of Poets*, are among some of his principal productions. He died in 1784, aged 75 years.

Jefferson, *Thomas*. This eminent statesman was born at Shadwell, Virginia, in 1743. He was elected a member of the continental Congress in 1775, and was one of the committee appointed to draw up the *Declaration of Independence*, which document, with a few alterations, was his own composition. In 1801, he was elected to the office of President of the United States, and after serving to the expiration of his second term, he retired from public life to his seat at Monticello, where he died on the 4th of July, 1827. In private life, he was hospitable and agreeable in his manners; in public, the uncompromising, sagacious, and talented leader of the democratic party.

Jackson, *General Andrew*. This distinguished man was born on the 16th of March, 1767, in the *Waxhaw* settlement, South Carolina. His parents were emigrants from Ireland, and followed the industrious occupation of farming. Andrew, while yet in his infancy, was bereft of his father, and left with two elder brothers, to the care of a devoted mother. During the war of the Revolution, Jackson, though young, partook largely of the calamities of that eventful period. One of his brothers was slain in the battle of *Stono;* he himself, with the other brother, was taken prisoner, and carried to Camden. During his captivity, Andrew was ordered, by a British officer, to wipe the mud off his boots, which he peremptorily refused to do, demanding the treatment due to a prisoner of war. The officer, enraged at this refusal, drew his sword and struck at the head of Jackson, who warded off the blow with his left hand, but received a wound, the mark of which he carried with him to his grave. His brother, for a similar offense, received a wound upon his head, inflicted by a sword, of which he eventually died. After the war, he turned his attention towards the law, and was admitted to practice at the age of twenty. In 1788, he located himself permanently at Nashville, Tennessee. In 1796, he was elected one of the members of a convention, assembled to frame a constitution for the state. In the following year he was sent to Congress, and the year after to the Senate of the United States, which situation he shortly resigned, not being satisfied with his political duties at Washington. On his return from Congress, he was appointed one of the judges of the Supreme Court of Tennessee. During the late war he received the appointment of Major General in the regular army, and defeated the British on the 8th of January, 1815, in the memorable battle of New Orleans. In 1828, he was elected to the office of President of the United States. After the expiration of his second term, he retired again to the repose of the *Hermitage*, where he died on the 8th of June, 1845, in the 78th year of his age.

Lucilius, an early Roman poet. He is considered as the first great satirical writer among the Romans; his productions are harsh and inelegant; of his thirty satires, only a few verses remain. He died at Naples in the 46th year of his age.

Lucretius, a Roman didactic poet. He studied at Athens, and imbibed the tenets of *Epicurus*. In his poem on the *nature of things*, he advocates Atheism, and endeavors to establish the mortality of the soul. He is thought to have caused his own death in the 44th year of his age, A. C. 54.

Livy, a distinguished Roman historian, who wrote the history of his country in 140 books, of which only 35 are extant. His style is clear and spirited; his descriptions bold and concise. He died at Padua in the 67th year of his age, A. D. 17.

Lycurgus, the great Spartan legislator, flourished about the year 884 before the Christian era. He was regent of Sparta during the minority of *Charilaus*, his nephew. After returning from his travels in Asia and Egypt, he framed the celebrated code of laws for his country, which rendered Sparta for so many ages one of the leading states of Greece. Having established his laws, and engaged the citizens not to alter them until his return, he left his country, and by a voluntary death he rendered their establishment effectual.

Locke, *John*, a celebrated English philosopher, was born in the year 1632. By the patronage of Lord Shaftsbury, he held a respectable situation under government, and wrote at that time several political tracts. His lordship being compelled to retire from England, on a charge of being concerned in Monmouth's rebellion, Locke also removed to Holland, where he devoted himself to literary pursuits, but subsequently returned to his native country, and published his *Essay on the Human Mind*. He died in the year 1704.

Luther, *Martin*, the celebrated reformer, was born at *Isleben*, in Saxony, 1483. He was designed by his parents for a civilian, but the following awful incident directed his attention towards the church. As he was walking in the fields with a fellow-student, his companion was struck by lightning, and killed by his side. His mind was so much affected by this event, that he formed the resolution of retiring from the world. He accordingly entered into the order of Augustine Friars, at Erfurt. From this place he removed to Wittenberg, being appointed professor of divinity in the University, founded in that city by the elector of Saxony. In 1517, he commenced the Reformation, the particulars of which have been given under the head of the *Christian Church*. In 1524, he threw aside the monastic habit, and the following year married a nun; he had three sons, whose descendants are still respected in Germany. Luther died at his native place in 1546.

Methuselah is not known to have been remarkable for any thing except his age, having completed 969 years, the oldest of the human race.

Menes, the founder of the Egyptian monarchy, was worshipped as a god after his death. He is supposed to have built the city of Memphis, and is said to have reigned 62 years over Upper Egypt, and 35 over Lower Egypt.

Melchisedec. Little is known of this personage. After the return of *Abraham* from an expedition against some of the neighboring princes, who had committed depredations on his territory, Melchisedec met and blessed him. The scriptural account is in the following words: "But

Melchisedec, the king of Salem, bringing forth bread and wine, for he was a priest of the Most High God, blessed him and said: Blessed be Abraham by the Most High God, who created heaven and earth; and he (Abraham) gave him tithes of all."

Moses, the great lawgiver of the Jews. In his infancy he was exposed on the banks of the Nile, in a small basket made of rushes: in this situation he was found by the daughter of Pharaoh, who saved his life, and adopted him as her own child. After having led the Israelites from the land of Egypt, and having given them the divine law at the foot of Mount Sinai, he died on Mount Nebo, at the age of 120 years, after having taken a view of the promised land, A. C. 1447.

Milton, *John*, the greatest of the epic poets of modern time, was born in London, 1608. As a political writer, he has been much admired, but as a poet he has been justly celebrated as a compeer of Homer and Virgil. His *Paradise Lost* is the greatest poem which modern ages have produced. Towards the close of his life he became blind; he suffered considerably from personal and political enemies, and finally died comparatively poor and forsaken by the world, A. D. 1674.

Montgomery, *General*, a distinguished officer in the war of the Revolution. He fell in an attempt to storm the walls of Quebec. Few men have fallen in battle more regretted on both sides, than General Montgomery. He had engaged in the American cause from the purest principles; he left the enjoyment of ease and the highest domestic happiness, in Ireland, his native land, to share the toils, the dangers, and the fortunes of a war, undertaken to defend the rights of a community, of which he was only an adopted member.

Newton, *Sir Isaac*, one of the most illustrious and greatest philosophers and mathematicians that ever lived, was born at Woolstrope, in Lincolnshire, England. Having lost his father at an early period of his life, the utmost attention was bestowed on his education by his mother. He studied at Trinity College, and at the age of 22 discovered the method of fluxions, which he afterwards greatly improved. But his most important discovery was the principle of gravitation. He was led to the investigation of this principle by observing an apple fall from a tree; by inquiring the reason, why it fell to the ground after leaving the stem, in preference to taking any other direction. He died in the 85th year of his age, A. D. 1727.

Noah, from whom the earth was a second time peopled, is considered by some as the Chronos of the Greeks. His eminent piety procured for him and his family an exemption from the awful calamity of the deluge. Having built the ark according to the Divine direction, he entered it, taking with him his wife, his three sons, and their wives, together with the various animals of the earth, and thus under the special care of God, survived the destruction of the world. He died 350 years after the deluge, at the advanced age of 950 years.

Nimrod, a great warrior, is the first king we read of in authentic history.

Ninus, an Assyrian monarch, who conquered a great part of Asia He married the celebrated *Semiramis*, to whom he left his kingdom after a reign of 52 years.

Orpheus, called the father of poetry, is said to have been the son of Apollo. The power of his music was such, as fiction reports, that at the strains of his lyre, the rivers were stayed in their course, the mountains were moved, and the ferocity of wild beasts subdued. He is said

to have been one of the *Argonauts*, of which celebrated expedition he wrote a poetical account; this, however, is doubted; and the poems that pass under his name, are supposed to have been written by a later author. *Orpheus* flourished 1284 years before the Christian era.

Ovid, a distinguished Roman poet, who flourished during the reign of *Augustus*, and under a part of the reign of *Tiberius*. The most of his poems are still extant; they are characterized by sweetness and elegance, though often debased by indelicate expressions.

Ossian, a Caledonian bard. He is supposed to have flourished in the fourth century, and to have been the son of *Fingal*. He wrote in Gaelic; and poems that go by his name, translated by *Macpherson*, are marked by a simple and sublime wildness.

Plutarch, an eminent biographer. His principal works are his *Lives of Illustrious Men*. Having travelled through Egypt and Greece in quest of knowledge, he returned to Rome, where he opened a school with great reputation. He enjoyed the special favor of the emperor Trajan, and after the death of his patron, he retired to his native place *Chæronea*, where he died, A. D. 140.

Pindar, a Grecian lyric poet, was a native of Thebes. His compositions were universally admired, and his hymns were repeated in the temples at the celebration of the festivals; his odes, which have survived the wreck of time, are greatly admired for their grandeur of expression, magnificence of style, and harmony of numbers. Pindar died at the age of 86, A. C. 435.

Phidias, a Grecian, the most famous sculptor of antiquity, was born at Athens. His statue of *Jupiter Olympius* passed for one of the wonders of the world. That of Minerva, in the Pantheon of Athens, measured 39 feet in height, and was made of gold and ivory. He died A. C. 432.

Polybius, a learned historian, who wrote the history of the Greeks and Romans. He fought against the Romans in the war of *Perseus*, and being made prisoner he was brought to Rome, where he was befriended by the younger *Scipio*. He was present at the siege of Carthage, and after the destruction of that city, he retired to Megalopolis, where he died in his 82d year, A. C. 124.

Pythagoras, a Greek philosopher, was born at Samos. He travelled through Egypt and other countries, and finally settled at *Crotona*, where his universal knowledge gained him many friends and admirers. The world is indebted to him for the demonstration of the 47th proposition of *Euclid*, respecting the square of hypothenuse. The time and place of his death are unknown.

Plato, an eminent Grecian philosopher, called the Divine, was for eight years the pupil of Socrates. He taught in the grove of Academus, near Athens, where he was attended by a crowd of noble and illustrious pupils. His works are numerous, and generally in the form of dialogues. The ancients, and even the learned of modern times, have admired and studied the writings of this great philosopher. They display great depth of thought, with elegance and harmony of expression. Among other truths, he maintains, by many powerful arguments, the immortality of the soul. He died in the 81st year of his age, A. C. 348.

Pliny, *the elder*, was born at Verona, of a noble family; he is the earliest writer on natural history, whose works are extant. To his public duties he attended through the day, but devoted himself to study during a portion of the night. His work on Natural History was comprised in 37 books. He is said to have written 160 volumes of remarks

and annotations on various authors, but these have not survived the wreck of time. His love of knowledge cost him his life. During an eruption of Vesuvius, he was induced to approach the mountain, for the purpose of making his observations on this interesting phenomenon While thus employed, he was overtaken by the burning lava and perished, A. D. 79.

Pope, *Alexander*, an eminent English poet, was born in London in 1688. At the age of twenty, he published his *Essay on Criticism*, a production which evinces all the reflections of a more mature age. The *Temple of Fame*, *Rape of the Lock*, the *Dunciad*, and *Essay on Man*, are among his most celebrated productions. His translation of Homer's *Iliad* is still read and universally admired. In person, *Pope* was diminutive and somewhat crooked. In disposition he was fretful, but his manners were easy, and his wit fascinating. He died in his native city, at the age of fifty-six years.

Pitt, *William*, a distinguished statesman and orator, was earl of Chatham, and born in the year 1708.—Being elected to Parliament at the age of twenty-seven, he was soon distinguished for his eloquence, and early enlisted in the ranks of the opposition. In 1756, he was appointed Secretary of State, but his continuance in office was of short duration. His popularity, however, was with the mass of the nation, and he was recalled to the Secretaryship in 1757. He deprecated, with all the power of his eloquence, measures relating to the American war, in the House of Lords. His constitution was at this time so enfeebled that on one occasion, as he rose to speak, he fell into a swoon, and died in a few days, in the seventieth year of his age. As an orator and statesman, he is perhaps unrivalled in modern times; the music and majesty of his voice, the gracefulness of his action, the power of his eye, carried conviction with his arguments. It is said that Walpole, the minister, though supported by a decided majority, never heard his voice in the House of Commons without being alarmed.

Quintilian, an eminent rhetorician, was a native of Spain. After twenty years employed in teaching rhetoric, and pleading at the bar at Rome, he retired from public life, and devoted himself to composition. His institutions, in twelve books, form the most perfect system of oratory extant. He died A. D. 95.

Romulus, the founder, and the first king of Rome, was the son of Rhea Sylvia, and born at the same birth with Remus. He was distinguished as a legislator, though his institutions were almost exclusively of a warlike tendency. We are fabulously told, that he was taken up to heaven whilst reviewing his army, although it is generally believed that he was killed by the senators. The Romans paid divine honors to him under the name of *Quirinus*.

Raphael, an eminent painter, was born a *Urbino*, in 1483. By studying the best masters in painting, he soon rose to eminence, and merited the appellation of the *divine Raphael*. He also excelled as an architect, and was employed in the building of St. Peter's at Rome. By the general consent of mankind, he was acknowledged as the greatest of painters; he excelled particularly in beauty and grace. He died at the age of thirty-seven; his death is said to have been hastened by intemperate habits.

Rousseau, *John James*, was born at Geneva in 1712. He was of a weakly constitution, but his mind was strong and active, and the early reading of *Plutarch* and *Tacitus* tended to expand his ideas, and to in-

spire him with courage Though equally skeptical with Hume an. Voltaire, yet he quarrelled with the former, who had been his protector in England, and incurred the displeasure of the latter, for maintaining the immoral tendency of the stage, although he himself had written for it. He died at his native place, Geneva, in the sixty-sixth year of his age. His works prove him to have been a man of transcendent genius, but at the same time exhibit the utmost eccentricity, joined with licentiousness and skepticism. He has been called the Diogenes of modern times.

Sophocles, an eminent tragic poet of Greece, the cotemporary and rival of *Euripides*, was born about 497, A. C. Towards the close of his life, being accused of insanity by his children, who wished to obtain his possessions, the poet composed and read his tragedy of *Œdipus*, and then asked his judges whether the author of such a performance could be insane; he was immediately acquitted, to the confusion of his ungrateful offspring. He died in his ninety-first year, of excessive joy, on hearing that he had obtained a poetical prize at the Olympic games.

Socrates was a native of Athens. In early life he followed the profession of his father, who was a statuary; he also for some time followed the profession of a soldier. But he is far more distinguished as a philosopher and moralist, than as a warrior. He was remarkable for the mildness of his disposition, and acquired that serenity of mind, and firmness of countenance, which the most alarming dangers could not destroy, nor the most sudden calamities alter. He inculcated the purest principles of morality, and supported the doctrine of the immortality of the soul. Being accused by his enemies on a false charge of corrupting the youth of Athens, he was condemned to death; accordingly, he drank the juice of the hemlock, and calmly expired in the seventieth year of his age, A. C. 401.

Solon, the great Athenian legislator, and one of the wise men of Greece, was born at Salamis, and educated at Athens. Having been elected archon, he reformed every department of the government. He instituted the *Areopagus*, regulated the Prytaneum, and his laws continued to flourish for near four hundred years. He died in the eightieth year of his age, A. C. 558.

Sappho, a celebrated Greek poetess, and inventor of the Sapphic verse, was born in the island of Lesbos, about six hundred years before the Christian era. She was celebrated for her poetical talents and beauty. Her poems were much admired for their sublimity, harmony, sweetness, and elegance; but of all her productions only a few fragments are now extant.

Solomon, the wisest of mankind, was the son of *David*, king of Israel. The early part of his life was distinguished for exemplary piety, but he afterwards fell even into idolatry. He married no less than one thousand wives, seven hundred of whom held the title of queens. It is believed that he repented before his death. The temple which he built at Jerusalem rendered his name memorable. He wrote the books of *Proverbs*, *Ecclesiastes*, and *Canticles*. He died in the fifty eighth year of his age, and fortieth of his reign, A. C. 975.

Semiramis, a female conqueror, celebrated for her beauty, and heroic mind. In her infancy, she is said to have been exposed in the desert, but her life was preserved a whole year by doves. After the death of her husband, Ninus, the Assyrian monarch, she assumed the reins of

government, and immortalized her name by enlarging and embellishing the city of Babylon She also extended her dominions by the conquest of a part of Ethiopia; but her greatest and last expedition was directed against India. Having advanced to the banks of the Indus, she crossed the river with her army, after a sanguinary contest, on a bridge of boats, but was finally defeated, and fled with precipitation to her own dominions. Having discovered that her son had entered into a conspiracy against her, she abdicated the throne in his favor, and died after a reign of forty-two years.

Samson, one of the Judges of Israel, was endowed with extraordinary strength. On one occasion he slew one thousand *Philistines* with the jaw bone of an ass. The secret of his strength, which lay in his hair, was at length discovered by his wife *Delilah*, who treacherously cut off his hair while he was asleep, and thus rendered him powerless. In this state he was taken by his enemies, who deprived him of his sight, and retained him a prisoner. In the mean time his hair increased and with it his strength also returned. On a certain occasion, as the Philistines were holding a festival in the temple of Dagon, *Samson* was brought in for their amusement; but by an effort of his strength, he pulled down the pillars supporting the edifice, and perished with three thousand of his enemies in the general ruin.

Strabo, a celebrated geographer and historian, was a native of Amasia, and died A. D. 25. His geographical work, in seventeen books, is the only one now remaining. It is written in Greek, and is justly considered an elegant and classical production.

Seneca, *Lucius*, a celebrated moralist and philosopher, was born at Cordova, in Spain. He became early distinguished for his abilities, and acquired considerable eminence for his eloquence in pleading at the bar. He was intrusted with the education of Nero, and while the young emperor was guided by the counsels of his illustrious preceptor, he governed with universal approbation. But at length becoming impatient of the restraint imposed on his vicious inclinations, he pretended that Seneca had conspired with Piso against his life, and sent a messenger to inform him that he must die; permitting him at the same time to choose the manner of his death. The philosopher received the mandate with cheerfulness, and ordered the veins of his legs and arms to be opened; but as the blood flowed extremely slow, his life was at length terminated by warm vapor, in the seventy-second year of his age, A. D. 65.

Sallust, a Roman historian. He was a man of depraved and licentious manners. Of his Roman history, the conspiracy of Catiline and the wars of Jugurtha are the only portions extant. He died in the fifty-first year of his age, A. C. 35.

Sheridan, *Richard Brinsley*. This celebrated wit, statesman, and orator, was born in the city of Dublin, in 1751. At an early age, he married Miss Linley, a beautiful young lady; but he did not obtain her without some difficulty, being obliged to fight two duels, with a Captain Matthews, on her account. On the conclusion of Mr. Sheridan's speech on the impeachment of Mr. Hastings, the whole assembly, members, peers, and strangers, in Westminster Hall, joined in a tumultuous burst of applause. A motion was immediately made to adjourn, in order that the members might recover from the effect of his overpowering eloquence. Sheridan undermined his constitution by intemperance, and died in needy circumstances.

SPENSER, *Edmund*, an eminent English poet, flourished during the reign of Queen Elizabeth, by whom he was employed for some time in public life. His most celebrated production is his *Fairy Queen*. He died at London, in 1598.

SHAKESPEARE, *William*, the greatest of the dramatic poets, was born in England, in the year 1564. He came to London at an early age, and first enlisted among the players and became an actor on the stage. He, however, shortly afterwards applied himself to dramatical writing, and soon gained a universal reputation. Towards the close of his life, he retired to his native place, where he died in the 53d year of his age, A. D. 1617. As a writer of plays, he has never been surpassed, he is admired for the beauty, elegance, and simplicity of his style. His writings, however, are frequently interspersed with blemishes and moral defects.

SWIFT, *Jonathan*, distinguished as a wit, poet, and prose writer, was born in Ireland in 1667. About the year 1694, he took orders as a minister in the church of England, and as dean of *St. Patrick's* in Dublin. After the accession of Queen *Anne*, he became deeply engaged in political controversy, and wrote some able political works. He died in 1745. Among other works, he was the author of *Gulliver's Travels* and *Tale of a Tub*. *Swift* was eccentric in the extreme; he delighted to differ from all other men, on those subjects in which all others agreed. Though married, he was never known to be in the company of his wife except in the presence of a third person. Whether he really believed in the truth of Christianity, is a subject of doubt.

THALES was born at Miletus in Ionia. He was distinguished for his high attainments in philosophy, geometry, and astronomy. He was the first who calculated the solar eclipse, and the founder of the Ionic sect of philosophy. He died at the age of 96 years, A. C. 548.

THEOCRITUS, a pastoral poet, who flourished at Syracuse, A. C. 282. A few fragments of his poetical compositions, written in the Doric dialect, are yet extant, and admired for their beauty, elegance, and simplicity. He is said to have written several invectives against *Hiero*, king of Syracuse, by whose order he was put to death.

VIRGIL, an illustrious Roman poet, was born in a village near *Mantua*, about 70 years before the Christian era. Having lost his farm in the distribution of the lands to the soldiers of *Augustus*, he repaired to Rome and procured the restoration of his property through the influence of Mecænas. His most celebrated writings are his *Eucolics*, *Georgics*, and the *Æneid*. He enjoyed the particular friendship and patronage of *Augustus*. He died at Brundusium in the 51st year of his age. A. C. 19.

VARRO, a learned Roman, who is said to have written no less than 300 volumes; all of which are lost, except two fragments. He died at the age of 88 years, A. C. 28.

VOLTAIRE, *Marie Francis*, an eminent French poet and writer, was born in the year 1694. In early life he evinced superior powers of mind; his fondness for satire, directed against the government, caused his imprisonment in the *Bastile*, from which he was at length liberated through the influence of the Duke of Orleans. After this event, he devoted himself more to the composition of poetry. His principal efforts were directed towards the drama; and his *Alzire*, *Mahomet*, and *Merope*, place him at the head of the dramatic poets of France. He spent much of his time at the court of Frederick II., king of Prussia; but at length fixed his residence in a village on the borders of France. The boldness

and extravagance of his writings often exposed him to danger, and compelled him at different times to retire from it. He died while on a visit to Paris, in the 84th year of his age. His last moments are said to have been attended with the utmost horror, and dread at the reflection of the irreligious and atheistical tendency of his writings, which have been regarded as one of the agents in bringing about the awful calamities that befell France.

Washington, *George.* This illustrious general, patriot, and statesman, was born in the year 1732, in the county of Fairfax, Virginia. He was educated under the care of a private tutor, and distinguished himself for his progress in mathematics and engineering. The principal events of his life have been already noticed. After the struggle, which procured the Independence of America, he resigned his military command and again retired to the tranquillity of private life. In 1789, he was called by the unanimous voice of his countrymen to fill the high office of President of the United States. Having filled that station a second term, or eight years, he declined the honor of being again re-elected, and returned to his peaceful residence of Mount Vernon, where he died after a few days' illness, on the 14th of December, 1799, in the 68th year of his age. The history of his country is the best eulogium of this great man; his most lasting monument, the love and admiration of the world. Washington never had any offspring. In his 27th year, he married Mrs. Custis; a lady possessed of every accomplishment that contributes to domestic felicity. At his death, he directed all his servants should be emancipated after Mrs. Washington's decease.

Xenophon, a celebrated general, historian, and philosopher of Greece He served in the army of Cyrus, the Younger, and chiefly conducted the retreat of the *Ten Thousand* after the battle of *Cunaxa.* After this event, he continued the history of *Thucydides,* wrote the life of Cyrus, the Great, and collected the Memorabilia of Socrates. He died at Corinth in the 90th year of his age, A. C. 350.

Zeno, the founder of the Stoic school of philosophy, was a native of Cyprus. The early part of his life was devoted to commercial pursuits; but he at length turned his attention towards the study of philosophy, which he afterwards taught at Athens for 48 years, and died at the age of 99, A. C. 264

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE

OF

REMARKABLE EVENTS, DISCOVERIES, AND INVENTIONS, FROM THE CREATION OF THE WORLD TO THE YEAR 1840

B. C.

4004 The Creation of the World, according to the Hebrew text of the Scriptures.
3875 Cain murders Abel.
3017 Enoch translated to Heaven
2348 Universal Deluge.
2247 The Building of Babel; the Dispersion of Mankind; and the Confusion of Languages.
2217 Nimrod supposed to have built Babylon, and founded the Babylonish Monarchy; and Assur to have built Nineveh, and founded the Monarchy of Assyria.
2188 Menes (in Scripture Misraim) founds the Monarchy of Egypt
1996 The Birth of Abram.
1897 Sodom and Gomorrah destroyed by fire from Heaven.
1896 Isaac born.
1836 Jacob and Esau born.
1823 Death of Abraham.
1716 Isaac dies.
1635 Joseph dies in Egypt.
1571 Moses born in Egypt.
1556 Cecrops founds the kingdom of Athens.
1546 Scamander founds the kingdom of Troy.
1520 Corinth built.
1493 Cadmus builds Thebes, and introduces Letters into Greece.
1491 Moses brings the Israelites out of Egypt.
1452 The Pentateuch, or five books of Moses, written.
1451 The Israelites led into the land of Canaan by Joshua.
1434 Joshua dies.
1207 Gideon, Judge of Israel.
1193 The Trojan War begins.
1184 Troy taken and burnt by the Greeks.
1155 Samson born.
1099 Samuel delivers Israel.
1079 Saul, King of Israel
1055 David, King of Israel, begins his reign.
1004 Dedication of Solomon's Temple.
980 Rehoboam and Jeroboam begin to reign over Israel.
955 Abijah, king of Judah, dies, and Asa succeeds him.
914 Jehoshaphat succeeds his father Asa—Ahab, Ahaziah, and Jeneram, reign over Israel.
869 The City of Carthage built by Dido.
752 The foundation of Rome, by Romulus

B. C.
724 Hezekiah, tenth king of Judah.
721 Salmanazar takes Samaria, and carries the Ten Tribes into captivity, which puts an end to the Israelitish kingdom.
711 Sennacherib, king of Assyria, invades Judea.
708 Habakkuk prophesied.
695 Manasseh, sixteenth king of Judah.
658 Byzantium founded by Pausanias, king of Sparta.
627 The Forty Years of Hezekiah began.
610 Josiah slain.
606 Nebuchadnezzar takes Jerusalem, and carries the Jews into captivity.
601 End of the Assyrian Empire. Nineveh taken by Nebuchadnezzar
600 Jeremiah prophesied.
599 Birth of Cyrus the Great.
588 The Jewish Capital and Temple are burned to the ground.
572 Nebuchadnezzar subdues Egypt.
551 Confucius, the Chinese Philosopher, born.
538 Babylon taken by Cyrus. End of the Babylonian Empire.
536 Cyrus ascends the throne of Persia. He puts an end to the Jewish Captivity, which had lasted seventy years.
534 Daniel prophesied.
529 Death of Cyrus the Great.
520 The Jews begin to build the second Temple, which is finished in four years.
508 The first Alliance between the Romans and Carthaginians.
504 Sardis taken and burnt by the Athenians.
490 The Battle of Marathon, in which Miltiades defeats the Persians
488 The first Tribunes of the People created at Rome.
486 Xerxes succeeds his father, Darius, in the kingdom of Persia.
485 Coriolanus banished from Rome.
480 The Spartans, under Leonidas, slain at Thermopylæ.
— Naval Victory gained by the Greeks over the Persians, at Salamis
476 Themistocles rebuilds Athens.
— A great Eruption at Ætna.
456 Cincinnatus, Dictator at Rome.
455 Commencement of the Seventy Prophetical Weeks of Daniel.
452 The two books of Chronicles, supposed to have been written at this time by Ezra.
431 The Peloponnesian War begins, which lasted twenty-seven years.
— Malachi, the last of the Prophets.
422 Sanballat builds a Temple on Mount Gerizzim for Eleazar, his son-in-law.
418 Disturbances at Rome on account of the Agrarian Law.
404 Nehemiah dies.
404 Malachi prophesies.
403 Lysander takes Athens. Government of the Thirty Tyrants.
401 The younger Cyrus defeated by his brother Artaxerxes, and killed.
— Persecution and death of Socrates.
385 Rome taken by the Gauls, under Brennus.
356 Alexander the Great born at Pella, in Macedonia
348 End of the Sacred War.

B. C.
343 The War between the Romans and Samnites, which led to the Conquest of all Italy.
336 Philip murdered by Pausanias.
— Alexander the Great destroys Thebes.
332 Alexander conquers Egypt, and takes Tyre.
330 Darius Codomanus killed. End of the Persian Empire
328 Alexander passes into India, defeats Porus, founds several cities, and penetrates to the Ganges.
— The voyage of Nearchus from the Indus to the Euphrates.
324 Alexander the Great dies at Babylon, at the age of thirty-three
320 Ptolemy carries 100,000 Jews captives into Egypt.
285 The Astronomical Era of Dionysius of Alexandria.
283 The Library of Alexandria founded.
280 Pyrrhus invades Italy.
277 The translation of the Septuagint made by order of Ptolemy Philadelphus.
266 Silver money is coined at Rome for the first time.
253 Manasseh chosen high priest of the Jews.
241 End of the first Punic War.
235 The Temple of Janus shut the first time since the reign of Numa.
225 Great victory of the Romans over the Gauls.
219 Hannibal takes Saguntum.
218 The second Punic War begins.
206 Gold first coined at Rome.
203 The Carthaginians recall Hannibal to Africa.
196 The battle of Zama, and end of the second Punic War.
170 Antiochus Epiphanes takes and plunders Jerusalem.
167 End of the kingdom of Macedon.
166 Judas Maccabeus drives the Syrians out of Judea.
149 The third Punic War begins.
146 Corinth taken by the Consul Mummius.
— Antiochus besieges Jerusalem.
103 Jugurtha starved to death at Rome.
91 The War of the Allies against the Romans.
82 Sylla perpetual Dictator. His horrible proscription
80 Julius Cæsar makes his first campaign.
79 Cicero's first Oration for Roscius.
72 Herod the Great is born.
63 Victories of Pompey. He takes Jerusalem, and restores Hyrcanus to the government of Judea.
62 Catiline's conspiracy quelled at Rome by Cicero.
61 Pompey enters Rome in triumph.
59 The first Triumvirate, Pompey, Crassus, and Cæsar.
55 Cæsar lands in Britain, and makes a short campaign.
54 Cæsar invades Britain a second time, and conquers part of it.
49 Cæsar passes the Rubicon, and marches to Rome.
48 Battle of Pharsalia, in which Pompey is defeated.
— The Alexandrian Library of 400,000 volumes burnt.
45 The Calendar reformed by Julius Cæsar, by introducing the Solar Year instead of the Lunar. The first Julian Year began January 1, 45 A. C.

B. C.
44 Julius Cæsar assassinated in the Senate-House, having killed 1,192,000 men.
33 Mauritania reduced to a Roman Province.
32 War declared by the Senate against Antony and Cleopatra.
31 Battle of Actium, and end of the Roman commonwealth.
— Octavius, emperor of Rome.
19 Temple of Jerusalem rebuilt by Herod.
10 The temple of Janus shut by Augustus for a short time.
8 Augustus corrects an error of the Roman Calendar.
5 Augustus ordains a Census of all the people in the Roman empire.
4 JESUS CHRIST is born four years before the commencement of the vulgar era.

A. D.
9 The Roman Legions under Varus, destroyed in Germany.
14 Tiberius emperor of Rome.
17 Twelve cities of Asia destroyed by an earthquake.
26 John the Baptist preaches in Judea the coming of the Messiah.
29 Jesus baptized in Jordan by John
33 JESUS CHRIST crucified.
— The conversion of St. Paul.
37 Caligula emperor of Rome.
39 St. Matthew writes his Gospel.
40 The name of Christians first given to the Disciples of Christ at Antioch.
41 Claudius, emperor of Rome.
— Herod persecutes the Christians, and imprisons Peter.
42 Sergius Paulus, pro-consul, converted by St. Paul.
44 St. Mark writes his Gospel.
Herod is smitten by an angel, and dies.
50 London is founded by the Romans.
St. Paul preaches in the Areopagus at Athens.
55 St. Luke writes his Gospel.
64 The first persecution of the Christians raised by Nero.
— Rome set on fire by Nero.
67 Massacre of the Jews by Florus, at Cæsarea Ptolemais and Alexandria.
St. Peter and St. Paul put to death.
— Josephus, the Jewish historian, Governor of Galilee.
70 Jerusalem taken and destroyed by Titus.
78 A great pestilence at Rome, 10,000 dying in one day.
79 Titus, emperor of Rome.
— Heraculaneum and Pompeii destroyed by an eruption of Vesuvius.
93 The Evangelist John banished to Patmos.
95 Dreadful persecution of the Christians at Rome, and in the provinces.
— St. John writes his Apocalypse, and his Gospel.
98 Trajan forbids the Christian assemblies.
108 St. Ignatius devoured by wild beasts at Rome.
115 The Jews in Cyrene murder 200,000 Greeks and Romans.
118 Persecution of the Christians renewed by Adrian, but afterwards suspended.
120 Adrian's wall built across Britain.

A. D.
135 The Romans destroy 580,000 Jews in Judea.
137 Adrian rebuilds Jerusalem by the name of Ælia Capitolina.
154 Justin Martyr publishes his apology for the Christians.
168 A plague over the known world.
177 Persecution of the Christians at Lyons.
189 The Saracens defeat the Romans. This people first mentioned in history.
191 A great part of Rome destroyed by fire.
195 Byzantium besieged, surrenders to Severus.
202 The fifth persecution against the Christians, principally in Egypt
217 Macrinus, emperor of Rome.
222 The Roman empire begins to decline.
225 Mathematicians allowed to teach publicly at Rome.
236 The sixth persecution of the Christians.
248 The secular games celebrated at Rome.
250 The seventh persecution of the Christians under Decius.
257 The eighth persecution of the Christians.
259 The Persians ravage Syria.
260 The temple of Diana at Ephesus, burnt.
267 The Heruli invade and ravage Greece.
274 Silk first brought from India.
276 Wines first made in Britain.
295 Alexandria, in Egypt, taken by Diocletian.
302 The tenth persecution of the Christians.
306 Constantine the Great, emperor of Rome. He stops the persecution of the Christians.
315 Crucifixion abolished.
321 Observation of Sunday enjoined.
325 The first general council at Nice, where the doctrines of Arius were condemned.
330 Constantine removes the seat of empire to Constantinople.
337 Death of Constantine. The empire divided among his three sons
— Constantine II., Constans, and Constantius, Emperors of Rome
341 The Gospel propagated in Ethiopia by Foumentius.
361 Julian, Emperor of Rome. He abjures Christianity, is elected Pontifex Maximus, and attempts fruitlessly to rebuild the Temple of Jerusalem.
367 Gratian, Emperor of he West.
378 The Goths advance to the gates of Constantinople.
370 Theodosius, the Great, Emperor of the East.
381 Second general council held at Constantinople.
383 The Huns overrun Mesopotamia; are defeated by the Goths
410 Rome sacked and burnt by Alaric. Death of Alaric.
426 The Romans withdraw finally from Britain.
431 The third general council held at Ephesus.
432 Gospel preached in Ireland.
439 Genseric the Vandal, invades and plunders Italy.
— Carthage taken by the Vandals. Kingdom of the Vandals in Africa.
445 The Britons in vain solicit the Romans to assist them against the Picts and Scots.
451 The Saxons arrive in Britain, under Hengist and Horsa.
457 Fourth general council at Chalcedon.

A. D.
452 Foundation of the city of Venice.
472 Great eruption of Mount Vesuvius, seen from Constantinople.
481 The kingdom of France begins.
490 Ireland, called the Isle of Saints, famous for its schools.
493 Odoacer put to death by Theodoric.
497 Clovis and the Franks converted to Christianity.
508 Theodoric, the Great, defeats Clovis in the battle of Arles, and then makes peace with him.
510 Clovis makes Paris the capital of the kingdom of the Franks.
511 Death of Clovis. Division of his kingdom among his four sons.
516 The computation of time by the Christian era introduced by Dionysius the Monk.
519 Justin restores the Orthodox Bishops, and condemns the Eutychians.
529 The books of the Civil Law published by Justinian.
532 Great Insurrection at Constantinople quelled with prodigious slaughter.
543 An earthquake all over the world.
551 The manufacture of Silk introduced into Europe.
553 Fifth general council at Constantinople.
571 Birth of Mahomet, the false prophet.
580 The Latin tongue ceases to be spoken in Italy about this time.
581 The city of Paris destroyed by fire.
609 The Jews of Antioch massacre the Christians.
616 Jerusalem taken by the Persians.
622 Flight of Mahomet from Mecca to Medina.
632 Death of Mahomet.
636 Jerusalem taken by Omar and the Saracens, who keep possession of it 463 years.
640 The library of Alexandria, founded by Ptolemy Philadelphus, is burnt by the Saracens.
641 Constantine, Emperor of the East for a few months, poisoned by his step-mother.
643 The temple of Jerusalem converted into a Mahometan mosque.
653 The Saracens take Rhodes, and destroy the Colossus.
668 The Saracens obtain peace of the Emperor Constans, and agree to pay a yearly tribute.
660 Organs first used in churches.
680 The sixth general council of Constantinople.
685 The Britons, totally subdued by the Saxons, retreat into Wales and Cornwall.
713 Spain conquered by the Saracens under Muce, the general of the Caliph Walid.
737 Death of Pelagius, who preserved the Christian monarchy in Asturia.
748 The computing of years from the birth of Christ began to be used in history.
755 Temporal dominion of the Pope.
762 Almanzar builds Bagdad, and makes it the seat of the Empire of the Caliphs.
767 The Turks ravage Asia Minor.
772 Charlemagne, sole monarch of France.
779 Charlemagne conquers Navarre and Sardinia.

A. D.
787 The Danes first land in England.
— The seventh general council, or second of Nice.
788 Irene puts to death her son, Constantine, and is proclaimed sole Empress.
800 Charlemagne crowned Emperor at Rome.
816 The Eastern Empire ravaged by earthquakes, famine, conflagrations, &c.
827 Egbert unites the kingdoms of the Saxon Heptarchy.
— Beginning of the kingdom of England.
845 The Normans plunder Hamburgh, and penetrate into Germany
848 The Venetian fleet destroyed by the Saracens.
867 The Danes ravage England.
886 The University of Oxford founded by Alfred.
887 The Normans besiege Paris.
890 Alfred, the Great, composes his code of laws, and divides England into counties, hundreds, and tithings.
891 The first land-tax in England.
915 The University of Cambridge founded by Edward, the Elder.
941 Arithmetic brought into Europe.
967 Antioch recovered from the Saracens by Nicephorus.
991 The Arabic numeral ciphers first introduced into Europe
1000 Paper made of cotton rags, in use.
1013 The Danes, under Sueno, get possession of England.
1025 Musical characters invented by Guido Aretino.
1040 Macbeth usurps the throne of Scotland by the murder of Duncan
1055 The Turks take Bagdad, and overrun the Empire of the Caliphs.
1065 The Turks take Jerusalem from the Saracens.
1066 William (the Conqueror) king of England.
1070 The Feudal Law introduced into England.
1079 Doomsday-book begun by William, the Conqueror.
1080 Tower of London built.
1087 William II. (Rufus) king of England
1095 The first Crusade to the Holy Land. Peter, the Hermit.
1098 The Crusaders take Antioch.
1099 Jerusalem taken by Godfrey of Boulogne. The Knights of St. John instituted.
1100 Henry I. (Beauclerc) king of England.
1110 Writing on paper made of cotton rags common about this time.
1135 Stephen, king of England.
1141 Stephen, king of England, taken prisoner in the battle of Lincoln by the troops of Matilda.
1143 He recovers his kingdom.
1147 The second Crusade preached by St. Bernard.
1150 The study of the civil law revived at Bologna.
1151 The Canon Law is collected by Gratian, a monk of Bologna.
1156 Moscow in Russia founded.
1157 The Bank of Venice instituted.
1163 London Bridge built the first time of stone.
1170 Paper made of linen rags.
1172 Conquest of Ireland, by Henry II.
1187 The city of Jerusalem taken by Saladin.
1188 Third Crusade.
1190 Teutonic Order.

A. D.
1196 Fourth Crusade sets out from Venice
1200 Fifth Crusade.
1204 Latin Empire at Constantinople.
1208 London incorporated, obtains a charter for electing a Mayor and Magistrate
1215 Magna Charta signed by king John.
1217 Sixth Crusade.
1233 Houses in London, and other cities of England, France, and Germany, still thatched with straw.
1248 Seventh Crusade.
1258 Bagdad taken by the Tartars. End of the Empire of the Saracens.
1261 Fall of the Latin Empire of Constantinople.
1270 Eighth and last Crusade.
1270 Death of St. Louis.
1282 The Sicilian Vespers, when 8,000 French were massacred.
1283 The conquest of Wales, by Edward I.
1290 University of Lisbon founded.
1291 Ptolemais taken by the Turks.
1293 From this year there is a regular succession of English Parliaments.
1294 Parliaments established in Paris.
1299 Interregnum in Scotland for eight years. Sir William Wallace nobly supports the liberty of his country, defeats the English at Stirling, and drives them out of the kingdom.
1302 The Mariner's Compass said to be discovered at Naples.
1304 Wallace betrayed, delivered up, and put to death by Edward I.
1307 The establishment of the Swiss Republics.
—— Coal first used in England.
1308 The seat of the Popes transferred to Avignon for seventy years.
1314 The Scots under Robert Bruce defeat the English under Edward II., at Bannockburn.
1319 The University of Dublin founded.
1320 Gold first coined in Christendom.
1340 Gunpowder invented by Swartz, a Monk of Cologne.
—— Oil Painting invented by John Van Eyke.
—— Copper Money first used in Scotland and Ireland.
1346 Battle of Cressy, won by Edward III. and the Black Prince, over the French.
1350 The Order of the Garter instituted by Edward III.
1351 Coals first brought to London.
1352 The Turks first enter Europe.
1356 The battle of Poictiers, in which John II., king of France, is taken prisoner, and afterwards brought to London.
1362 Law pleadings in England changed from French to English.
1365 Universities of Vienna and Geneva founded.
1381 Peace between Venice and Genoa.
1381 Bills of exchange first used in England.
1383 Cannon first used by the English in the defence of Calais.
1391 Cards invented in France for the king's amusement.
1392 The Cape of Good Hope discovered by the Portuguese.
1394 The Jews banished from France by Charles VI.
1405 The Canary Islands discovered.
1412 Algebra brought from Arabia into Europe.

A. D
1412 The University of St. Andrews, in Scotland, founded.
1415 John Huss condemned by the Council of Constance for heresy and burnt.
1420 The Island of Madeira discovered by the Portuguese.
1425 The court of sessions in Scotland, instituted by James I.
1428 Joan of Arc, the Maid of Orleans, compels the English to raise the siege of that town.
1436 Paris recovered by the French from the English.
1439 Reunion of the Greek and Latin churches.
1440 Invention of the art of printing, by John Guttenberg, at Strasburg
1446 Great inundation of the sea in Holland.
1453 Constantinople taken by the Turks.
—— End of the English government in France.
1459 The art of engraving on copper invented.
1460 Battle of Wakefield, in which the Duke of York is killed.
1474 The Cape de Verd Islands discovered by the Portuguese.
1479 Ferdinand and Isabella unite the kingdoms of Arragon and Castile.
1489 Maps and sea charts first brought to England.
1492 America discovered by Christopher Columbus.
1497 The Portuguese, under Vasco de Gama, double the Cape of Good Hope, and sail to the East Indies.
1497 Sebastian Cabot lands in North America.
1500 Brazil discovered by the Portuguese.
1507 Madagascar discovered by the Portuguese.
1514 Cannon bullets of stone still in use.
1517 The Reformation in Germany begun by Luther.
1521 Cortez completes the conquest of Mexico.
1522 The first voyage round the world performed by a ship of Magellan's squadron.
1534 The Reformation in England.
1539 The first English edition of the Bible authorized; the present translation finished 1611
—— About this time cannon began to be used in ships.
1539 Six hundred and forty-five religious houses suppressed in England and Wales.
1543 Pins first used in England, before which time the ladies used skewers.
1545 The council of Trent, the last of the General Councils, opens and continues, with various interruptions, for eighteen years.
1552 The book of common prayer established in England by act of Parliament.
1553 Lady Jane Grey beheaded.
1560 Beginning of the civil wars in France.
—— The Reformation completed in Scotland by John Knox.
1561 Mary queen of Scots arrives in Scotland from France
1563 Knives first used in England.
1568 Mary queen of Scots flees to England for protection.
1569 The earl of Murray, regent of Scotland, assassinated by Hamilton
1572 The massacre of St. Bartholomew, August 24.
1574 Socinus propagates his opinions.
—— Don Sebastian, king of Portugal, invades Africa.
1576 The League in France formed against the Protestants.
1579 Commencement of the republic of Holland, by the union of Utrecht

A. D.
1580 The world circumnavigated by Sir Francis Drake.
1582 The New Style introduced into Italy by Pope Gregory XIII., the 5th of October being counted the 15th.
1584 Virginia discovered by Sir Walter Raleigh.
1588 Manufacture of paper introduced into England.
1589 Coaches first introduced into England.
1591 University of Dublin erected
1592 Presbyterian church government established in Scotland.
1594 The Bank of England incorporated.
1597 Watches first brought into England from Germany.
1600 The English East India Company established.
1602 Decimal Arithmetic invented at Bruges.
1605 The Gunpowder Plot discovered.
1607 Settlement of Jamestown, Virginia.
1608 Galileo discovers the satellites of Jupiter.
—— Arminius propagates his opinions.
—— Quebec founded.
1610 Hudson's Bay discovered.
1614 Logarithms invented by Napier.
—— New York settled.
1619 Discovery of the circulation of the blood, by Dr. Harvey.
1620 The broad silk manufacture from raw silk, introduced into England.
—— Copper money first introduced into England.
—— Settlement of Plymouth, Massachusetts.
1623 New Hampshire settled.
1624 New-Jersey settled.
1625 The Island of Barbadoes the first English settlement in the West Indies.
1627 Delaware settled.
1633 Connecticut settled.
1634 Maryland settled.
1636 Rhode-Island settled.
1639 The first printing-press established in the American colonies.
1642 Beginning of the civil war in England.
1643 Archbishop Laud condemned by the commons, and beheaded.
1649 Commonwealth of England begins.
1650 North and South Carolina settled.
1651 The Quakers first appear in England.
1652 The Dutch colony at the Cape of Good Hope established.
—— The first war between the English and Dutch.
1654 End of the commonwealth of England.
—— The English, under Admiral Penn, take possession of Jamaica.
1660 Charles II., king of Great Britain.
1662 The Royal Society instituted in England.
1663 Charter of Carolina, and a colony settled soon after.
—— The French Academy of Inscriptions instituted.
1665 Great plague in London.
1666 Tea first used in England.
—— Great fire in London,
—— The Academy of Sciences instituted in France
1678 The habeas corpus act passed in England
1681 Pennsylvania settled.

A. D
1685 Duke of Monmouth beheaded.
1686 The Newtonian philosophy first published in England.
1688 Revolution in Britain. King James abdicates the throne, December 23.
1689 Episcopacy abolished in Scotland by king William.
1700 Yale College (Conn.) founded.
1702 The English and Dutch destroy the French fleet at Vigo.
—— The French send colonies to the Mississippi.
1703 Gibraltar taken by Admiral Rooke, July 24.
1704 Peter the Great founds St. Petersburg.
—— First newspaper published in America at Boston
1706 Union between England and Scotland.
1726 Great earthquake at Palermo, August 21.
1727 Inoculation first tried on criminals with success
1732 Washington born, Feb. 22.
1733 Georgia settled.
1744 Commodore Anson completes his voyage round the world.
1745 Louisburg and Cape Breton taken by the British troops, June 6
—— The rebellion breaks out in Scotland, July.
1750 Academy of Sciences founded at Stockholm.
1752 New Style introduced into Britain, September 3 reckoned 14.
1754 Great eruption at Ætna.
—— Great earthquake at Constantinople and Cairo, September 2.
1755 Lisbon destroyed by an earthquake, Nov. 1.
1755 Defeat of Braddock.
1756 War declared between Great Britain and France, May 18.
1759 General Wolfe takes Quebec, in Canada, Sept. 17.
1760 Montreal and Canada taken by the British.
1762 American Philosophical Society established at Philadelphia.
1765 Stamp Act passed.
1775 Battle of Lexington, April 19.
—— Battle of Bunker's Hill in North America, June 17.
1776 The Americans declare their independence, July 4.
—— Battle of Long-Island, August 27.
—— New-York taken, in September.
—— Battle of Trenton, Dec. 25.
1777 Battle of Brandywine, Sept. 11.
—— Philadelphia taken, Sept. 26.
—— Surrender of the British army under Burgoyne, at Saratoga, in the state of New-York, Oct. 17.
1780 Treachery of Arnold, Sept. 22.
1781 Battle of Cowpens, January.
—— Battle of Guilford, March 15.
—— Battle of Eutaw Springs, Sept. 8.
—— Surrender of the British army under Cornwallis, to the Americans and French at Yorktown in Virginia, Oct. 19.
1782 Articles of peace, between Great Britain and the United States, signed at Paris, Nov. 30.
—— First English Bible printed in America at Philadelphia.
1788 Constitution of the United States adopted.
1789 George Washington, first President of the United States, April.
1791 Vermont became a state.
—— First Sunday School in the United States commenced at Philadelphia

A. D.
1791 Methodist Missionary Society instituted in England.
1792 Kentucky became a state.
—— Baptist Missionary Society formed in England.
1793 Louis XVI. and Marie Antoinette beheaded.
1795 The Cape of Good Hope taken by the British, Sept. 16.
1797 John Adams, President of the United States, March 4.
1798 Ireland in open rebellion, May, June, &c.
—— Admiral Nelson destroys the French fleet in the battle of the Nile August 1.
1799 George Washington dies, Dec. 14.
—— London Religious Tract Society.
1800 Union of Britain and Ireland.
801 First meeting of the Imperial Parliament of Britain and Ireland January.
—— Thomas Jefferson, President of the United States, March 4
804 British and Foreign Bible Society.
1805 Lord Nelson defeats the combined fleets of France and Spain off Cape Trafalgar, takes or destroys 19 ships of the line, and is killed in the battle, Oct. 21.
—— War between England and Spain.
1806 The British Parliament vote the abolition of the slave trade, June 10.
1808 Abolition of the slave trade in the United States of America, Jan. 1
1810 American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions formed incorporated in 1812.
1810 Population of the United States, 7,239,903.
1811 Two hundred buildings and large quantities of goods burnt in Newburyport, Mass.
— — An unusually large comet appeared, Sept. 1.
—— Richmond theatre burnt, Dec. 26.
1812 War against Great Britain declared by the United States, June 18.
—— General Hull and his army taken prisoners in Canada, August 16.
—— The French army enter Moscow, Sept. 14.
—— British frigate Guerriere captured, August 29.
—— do do Macedonian captured, Oct. 26.
—— do do Java captured, Dec. 29.
1813 Commodore Perry captures the British squadron, on lake Erie, Sept. 10.
1814 Napoleon Buonaparte dethroned, April 4, and banished to the island of Elba, for which he sailed, April 28.
—— City of Washington taken by the British, August 24.
—— British squadron on lake Champlain captured by Commodore McDonough, Sept. 11.
—— Pensacola taken by General Jackson, Nov. 7.
—— Treaty of peace between the United States and Great Britain, signed at Ghent, Dec. 24.
—— The British repulsed at New-Orleans, Dec. 28.
1815 The British completely defeated, and General Packenham slain at New-Orleans, Jan. 8.
—— United States frigate President taken by a British squadron, Jan. 15
—— Peace between Great Britain and the United States ratified, February 24.
—— American Education Society instituted at Boston.
—— Battle of Waterloo.

A. D.
1816 Deaf and Dumb, Society for the instruction of, instituted at Hartford, Connecticut, June 24.
—— American Bible Society formed.
—— Indiana admitted into the Union as a state.
1817 United States Bank opened for business at Philadelphia, Jan. 1.
—— American Colonization Society for free blacks organized, Jan 1
—— James Monroe, President of the United States, March 4.
—— Mississippi admitted into the Union as a state, Dec. 11.
1818 Illinois admitted into the Union as a state, Dec. 4.
1818 Commercial treaties concluded between the United States on the one part, and Great Britain and Sweden on the other.
1819 A treaty for the cession of Florida to the United States signed at Washington, Feb. 23.
—— First steam-ship sails for Europe, May.
—— Alabama admitted into the Union as a state, Dec.
1820 George III., king of England, dies, Jan. 29.
—— George IV. succeeds to the throne of Great Britain and Ireland.
—— Maine admitted into the Union as a state.
—— The American Colonization Society sent out their first colonists to Liberia.
—— Population of the United States, 9,625,734.
1821 Missouri admitted into the Union as a state.
—— Napoleon Buonaparte dies at St. Helena, May 5 aged 52.
—— Elias Boudinot, president of the American Bible Society, dies.
1822 Columbia College established.
—— Massacre of Greeks at Scio.
1824 The Marquis de la Fayette visited the United States.
—— American Sunday-School Union instituted at Philadelphia.
1825 John Quincy Adams, President of the United States, March 4.
—— American Tract Society instituted at New-York.
1826 The Ex-Presidents, John Adams and Thomas Jefferson died, July 4.
1829 Andrew Jackson, President of the United States, March 4.
1830 An act passed by Congress, to remove the Indians residing in any of the states or territories, to the west of the Mississippi
—— Fifth census of the United States taken. Number of the population, 12,850,240.
—— George IV., king of England, dies, June 26.
—— William IV. succeeds him.
1836 Formation of the Republic of Texas.
1837 Martin Van Buren inaugurated, March 4.
1841 William Henry Harrison inaugurated, March 4, and dies, April 4 Vice-President, John Tyler, inaugurated in his place.
1845 James K. Polk inaugurated.
—— General Jackson dies, June 8
1846 War between the United States and Mexico declared, April.
—— General Taylor gains the battles of Palo Alto and Resaca de la Palma over the Mexicans, May 8 and 9.
—— Matamoras taken, May 15.
—— Death of Pope Gregory XVI—Election of Pius IX, June.
—— Storming and capture of Monterey, September 21.
1847 Battle of Buena Vista, in which Santa Anna with 22,000 men is defeated by General Taylor with 5,000, February 23.
—— Vera Cruz surrendered to General Scott, March 29.

A. D.
1847 The Mexicans defeated at Cerro Gordo by General Scott, April 18.
—— Battles of Contreras and Cherubusco; Mexicans again defeated, August 20.
—— Storming of Chapultepec, September 12.
—— City of Mexico taken by General Scott, September 14.
—— Ex-President John Quincy Adams died at the capitol at Washington, February 23.
1848 Louis Philip abdicates in favor of the Count of Paris, and escapes to England, February 24.
—— The regal government abolished and republic proclaimed.
—— Insurrection in Vienna—the Emperor retires with his court to Innspruck, March.
—— Treaty of peace between the United States and Mexico, signed at Guadaloupe, November.
—— General Taylor elected President of the United States, and Mr. Fillmore Vice-President, November.
—— Count Rossi, the Pope's minister, assassinated on the steps of Senate Chamber, November 15.
—— The flight of the Pope from Rome, and escape to Gaeta, November 24.
1849 A republic proclaimed at Rome, February.
—— Charles Albert, King of Sardinia, declares war against Austria, and is defeated by Radetski, March.
—— General Taylor inaugurated President of the United States, March 4.
—— Rome taken by the French—the republic abolished, and the authority of the Pope re-established, July 2.
—— Gorgey surrenders to the Russians at Vilagos. End of the Hungarian insurrection, August 11.
1850 Death of John C Calhoun in Washington, March 31.
—— Death of President Taylor, at the presidential mansion, Washington, July 9.
1851 Lopez's second invasion of Cuba—is captured and executed, May 19.
—— *Coup-d'etat* of Louis Napoleon—end of the French Republic.
1852 General Pierce elected President of the United States, Nov.
—— Death of Henry Clay, June 28.
—— Death of Daniel Webster, Oct. 24.
1853 President Pierce inaugurated, March 4.
—— Mr. King, Vice-President, dies April 18.
—— The World's Fair opened in the Crystal Palace, New York, July 14.
1854 Treaty of Commerce concluded between the United States and Japan, by Commodore Perry, March.
—— Passage of the Kansas-Nebraska bill, May.
—— War between Russia and Turkey—England and France form an alliance and declare war against Russia, May.
1855 Troubles in Kansas—Topeka Convention.
1856 President Pierce's proclamation on the state of affairs in Kansas, February.
—— James Buchanan elected President of the United States, and John C. Breckenridge, Vice-President, November.
1857 President Buchanan inaugurated.
—— Franco-English expedition to China.
1858 Franco-Spanish expedition to Cochin-China.
1859 Italian war.
—— Battle of Magenta.
—— Battle of Solferino.
1860 Italian revolution.
—— Victor Emmanuel proclaimed King of Italy.
—— Battle of Castelfidardo.
—— Bombardment of Ancona.
—— Capture of Gaeta.

A. D.
1860 Capture of Pekin by the French and English.
—— Abraham Lincoln elected President of the United States.
1861 Civil war in the United States.
—— French, English and Spanish joint expedition against Mexico.
—— The Southern States secede.
—— Attack on Fort Sumter, (April 12.)
—— Battle of Great Bethel.
—— Battle of Manassas.
1862 Surrender of Fort Donelson.
—— Battles of Shiloh.
—— Capture of New Orleans.
—— Siege of Yorktown.
—— Battle of Seven Pines.
—— Battle of Beaver's Dam.
—— Battle of Gaines' Mill.
—— Battle of Savage Station.
—— Battle of Frazier's Hill.
—— Battle of Malvern Hill.
—— Battle of Ball's Bluff.
—— Second battle of Manassas.
—— Battle of Antietam.
—— Battle of Fredericksburg.
—— Battle of Murfreesboro.
1863 Battle of Chancellorsville.
—— Battle of Gettysburg.
—— Surrender of Vicksburg and Port Hudson.
—— The French enter the city of Mexico.
—— The Mexican notables vote for an empire.
—— War in Schleswig-Holstein.
1864 Maximilian of Austria, emperor of Mexico.
—— Siege of Petersburg; advance on Richmond.
—— General Sherman crosses the State of Georgia.
—— Capture of Atlanta and Savannah.
—— Re-election of President Lincoln.
—— Evacuation of Charleston.
1865 Battle of Five Forks.
—— Surrender of General Lee.
—— End of the civil war.
—— Abolition of Slavery.
—— Assassination of President Lincoln.
—— Vice-President Andrew Johnson succeeds Mr. Lincoln.
1866 War between Prussia and Italy, and Austria.
—— Battle of Custozza.
—— Naval battle off Ancona.
—— Battle of Sadowa.
1867 Overthrow of the Mexican empire.
—— Capture and execution of Maximilian.

THE END.

STANDARD SCHOOL BOOKS,

Published by MURPHY & CO., Baltimore.

☞A liberal discount to Booksellers, Schools, Teachers, &c., when purchased in quantities.

Fredet's Ancient and Modern Histories.

New Revised and Enlarged Editions, Continued up to 1867.

Ancient History; *from the Dispersion of the Sons of Noe, to the Battle of Actium, and the Change of the Roman Republic into an Empire.* By PETER FREDET, D. D, Professor of History, in St. Mary's College, Balt. 31st revised edition. 12o., half arab. 1 50

Modern History; *from the Coming of Christ, and the Change of the Roman Republic into an Empire, to the Year of our Lord,* 1867. By PETER FREDET, D. D, Professor of History in St. Mary's College, Balt. 32d revised and enlarged edition. 12o, half arab. 1 50

Introduced into many of the principal Literary Institutions of the United States, adopted as Text Books in the Irish University, Dublin, and many Institutions in England and the Provinces, Fredet's Histories have acquired a widespread reputation, and their excellence is too well established, at this day, to be dwelt upon. The publishers have the pleasure of announcing, that, in order to make the Modern History more complete and deserving of the liberal patronage hitherto extended to it, they have, with the valuable assistance of the late Dr. Fredet's reverend collaborators, added several Chapters embracing the Historical Events that have taken place in this and other countries from 1854 to 1867.

The Modern History has been thoroughly revised and considerably enlarged. The additional matter, carefully prepared, will be found worthy of the distinguished Historian's original work. The history of the Recent Civil War in the United States, particularly, has been compiled with a truthful impartiality which makes it the best Synopsis of this Memorable Event yet published for the use of Educational Institutions. It is a correct record of facts, faithfully told without political comment.

The student will therefore find in Dr. Fredet's two books, the "Ancient" and "Modern" Histories, the most Complete, Authentic, and reliable *History* of the *World*, from its Creation to the Year of our Lord, 1867.

☞*From a large number of Commendatory Notices of Professor Fredet's Ancient and Modern Histories, we select the following, as embodying the spirit of all.*

COLLEGE OF WILLIAM & MARY, *Williamsburg, Va., October,* 1867.

GENTLEMEN:—The demand for Fredet's Ancient and Modern Histories and Kerney's Compendium of History, shows that these most excellent books are appreciated as they ought to be. The improvements and additions to the editions of 1867 just issued from your press, make them all that could be reasonably desired. Immediately after the reopening of the College of William and Mary, in 1865, Fredet's Histories were, after a careful examination of the Text Books of the day, adopted in the Institution. A more intimate acquaintance soon justified the wisdom of the selection. They evidently were written in a conscientious and Christian spirit, with a manifest intent to teach historical truths. They constitute an honorable monument to the memory of the late Reverend Author,

Kerney's Compendium was subsequently introduced into the College Grammar School, and gave entire satisfaction. In style and system and the interest it excites, it is admirably adapted to beginners and junior students, while it may be read and consulted with profit by the more advanced. Trusting that your public spirit and enterprise in putting such standard works within reach may meet with a liberal encouragement, I remain yours, very respectfully,

Messrs. J. MURPHY & Co. BENJ S. EWELL, *President, College W. & Mary.*

The *Metropolitan* says:—"The style is veritably charming by its simplicity, and by the quiet love of his subject which the author displays. It is the language of a talented and successful teacher, who relates to his class the great events of time, succinctly but graphically, yet in a lively and picturesque manner. It is thus that history should be written for youth."

The *London Standard* says:—"These two excellent manuals of History have a wide and increasing circulation in America, and are everywhere held in the highest esteem. The compiler, Dr Fredet, has achieved a task of no ordinary difficulty, in compressing so much recondite matter into so small a space; in leaving untold nothing that was of note of the immense and varied annals of the world. No college, school, or library ought to be without these excellent works."

The *Dublin Telegraph* says:—"Fredet's Histories have been adopted, as a class book, by the Irish University; and we entertain no doubt, that they will supersede, even in other establishments, those miserable compilations which wilful perverters of truth have long palmed upon the public as histories and abridgments of histories."

The *Dublin Tablet* says:—"These two volumes are plain, copious, and useful summaries of history, and the number of editions through which they have passed attest their popularity."

The *Philadelphia Instructor* says:—"We hope these histories will find their way into literary institutions in order that the young may learn the past from pure and uncorrupted sources."

MURPHY & Co., *Publishers and Booksellers, Baltimore.*

School Edition Lingard's England.

Lingard's History of England. By JOHN LINGARD, D. D. With a Continuation from 1688, to the reign of Queen Victoria. Abridged by JAMES BURKE, Esq, A. B. With an Appendix to 1873. *By the Editor of the First Class Book of History.* To which are added, Marginal Notes and Questions, adapted the use of Schools. 17th Edition..... 1 50

The Student will find that the ipsissima verba *of the great Historian of England has been religiously preserved in the Abridgment.*

The Continuation has been written by an au hor who has been long and favorably known in literature.

NOTICES OF THE PRESS.

The Metropolitan, in noticing this work, says:—"We are glad to see this excellent abridgment adapted to the use of schools. It will do much to remove those many false impressions, which English historians have hitherto made upon the readers of history by their word-painting of imaginary events. In no nation perhaps was history more 'a conspiracy against truth,' than in that of England, and in none did the mind of American youth need a more particular antidote to it's poisoning influence. It was a good thought then to give a sound, reliable first book to the youthful student, and we are happy to find that Mr. Burke and his able American collaborator, have succeeded in producing a text-book which we can with the utmost confidence commend to the favorable consideration of the instructors of youth.

The *Cambridge* (Mass) *Chronicle* says:—"We have often called the attention of our readers to the great value of Lingard's History. The learning, ability, and general impartiality of the author are well known An abridgment of the work was very desirable, and it appears to have been very well done by the present editor. No person can be said to be thoroughly acquainted with English History who is not familiar with Lingard. The volume is well printed, in a clear type, and convenient form, and furnishes a valuable contribution to the historical literature of the country

The *London Critic* says:—"The author has carefully and successfully produced a volume that must be very acceptable to those for whose use it was designed."

The *Dublin Review* says:—"Mr. Burke's Abridgment is completely successful. We do not hesitate to pronounce the work, as a whole, one of the most valuable additions to our scanty school literature which we have met with for many years."

The London edition of *Brownson's Review* says:—"Mr. Burke appears to have entered on his task with an enthusiasm equal to the ability which he has displayed in executing it. He has formed a Manual of British History, not merely the best for the object aimed at—the instruction of youth—but a volume of safe reference to those of riper years.".

The *Dublin Freeman's Journal* says:—"Mr. Burke has performed his laborious task well, compressing into a comparatively small space the substance of such a large work in the author's own language, adding a clear and rapid abstract of the national history down to the present year."

A History of the Catholic Church, *from the Commencement of the Christian Era to the Ecumenical Council of the Vatican.* Compiled and Translated from the best Authors. By Rev. THEODORE NOETHEN. With Questions, adapted to the use of Schools... 3d Edition ...Recently Published, 1.25

The utility and importance of a Compendium of Church History for the use of Colleges, Academies and Schools, are so great and so evident, that the Compiler of the present volume deems it unnecessary to make more than a passing allusion to this subject. The knowledge of the History of the Catholic Church is essential to every Catholic. As the age we live in demands the greatest watchfulness on the part of parents, teachers and pastors, in regard to the moral and religious culture of youth, we have omitted nothing that can make this History a most complete and perfect text-book for students, trusting thereby to effect a great and lasting good for the present and future time. In order to facilitate the use of this work for both teachers and scholars, Questions have been added at the end of the book.

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS.

"This Compendium has long been needed. We call the attention of all instructors to the work, and recommend its introduction into the Catholic schools. Besides being a good text-book for the class, it will be found to conta n very instructing reading for the family circle. The facts are recorded succinctly, and the few reflections made are to the point." *Ave Maria.*

"The book is very praiseworthy."—*Cath. World.* "Just the book we wanted."—*N. Y. Tablet.*

MURPHY & CO., *Publishers and Booksellers, Baltimore.*

KERNEY'S COMPENDIUM OF HISTORY.

A Compendium of Ancient and Modern History, *from the Creation to the year* 1867, with QUESTIONS adapted to the use of Schools; also an APPENDIX, containing the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution of the U. S., a Biographical Sketch of Eminent Personages, with a Chronological Table of Remarkable Events Discoveries, Improvements, etc. By M. J. KERNEY, A. M. 45th Revised and Enlarged Edition, continued up to 1867.

12o., half arabesque. 1.25

In presenting a Revised and Enlarged Edition of this Popular History to the public, the publishers deem it unnecessary to dwell upon its merits. Extensively introduced into the Schools of this Country, and in many Institutions of Learning in England and Ireland, and even in the East Indies, it has met everywhere with the greatest favor, and thirty-one editions rapidly exhausted are evidence of the liberal patronage extended to this sterling work.

The Compendium has been brought down to the Present Time, and this Edition comprises all the Important Events that have transpired in Europe since the Crimean War, including the Recent War between Austria and Prussia; the History of the Ephemeral Empire of Mexico; and a Graphic Sketch of the American Civil War, *written without partiality or bias.* The data for this Last and Important Chapter have been carefully compiled from the most authentic sources, and from the *best Narrative of the Principal Events of the War, that can be put in the hands of the young.*

These additions have been carefully prepared, and written in conformity with the spirit of impartiality which has made Mr. Kerney's books so popular.

RECOMMENDATIONS, NOTICES, &c.

This Book, has been Introduced into the Female High Schools of Baltimore, by the following Resolutions, January 10, 1871.

Resolved, That the Committee on Female High Schools be authorized to purchase for, and introduce into said Schools as a Text-book on general History, Kerney's Compendium of History.

Resolved, That from and after this the use of all other Histories as Text-books in said Female High Schools shall be forbidden and the book adopted under the authority of the foregoing resolutions shall be substituted in the place of those now used and taught in said Schools.

BALTIMORE CITY COLLEGE, *Baltimore, May* 31*st*, 1871.

MESSRS MURPHY & CO. In accordance with your request, I have carefully examined Kerney's Compendium of History. As the result of this examination, I can unhesitatingly affirm that the work is uniformly pervaded by a clear, candid, and discriminating narration of leading historical events, which admirably adapts it to the purposes of instruct on in our Schools and Academies A second and a more diligent perusal of the book, has only served to confirm my first impressions of its excellence, and I commend it to all who are interested in elevating the standard of instruction in this most important department of our educational system.

HENRY E. SHEPHERD, *Prof. History and Literature.*

EASTERN FEMALE HIGH SCHOOL, *Baltimore, June* 20, 1871.

GENTLEMEN: I have no cause to change the favorable opinion I expressed of "Kerney's Compendium of Ancient and Modern History" on its introduction into this School. In the hand of the true educator it has, I think, no superior. NATH. H. THAYER.

Kerney's Compendium has been introduced into the College Grammar School, and gives entire satisfaction. In style and system, and the interest it excites, it is admirably adapted to beginners and junior students, while it may be read and consulted with profit by the more advanced.

BENJ. S. EWELL, *President.*
WILLIAMSBURG, VA., *October*, 1867. *College William and Mary.*

The Compendium of History, by M. J. Kerney, has been in my possession several months, and, after a careful reading, I believe it to be a very useful book in the department of study to which it belongs. I take pleasure in recommending it to teachers.

J. N. M'JILTON, *Chairman Central High School of Balt.*

"We have looked through it with some attention, and must confess that we have been favorably impressed with its merits. In the History, more especially, where it is impossible to avoid the relation of facts touching various religious creeds, the compiler seems to have scrupulously refrained from any remark that could arouse sectarian prejudice—a fault in which too many of those who have given their labors to the compilation of school histories have been prone to indulge." *National Intelligencer.*

"As an elementary treatise, this work will, we should suppose be, and deservedly so, a favorite in our schools. The appendix of biographical notices of prominent individuals, is an original and desirable addition to the book." *Lutheran Observer.*

"It is a work containing much useful information, and, as a school book, and for general historical reference, it will be found invaluable." *Baltimore American.*

MURPHY & CO., *Publishers and Booksellers, Baltimore.*

Upwards of 75,000 Copies have been Sold.

Kerney's First Class Book of History, Designed for Pupils commencing the Study of History, with *Questions*, adapted to the use of Schools. By M. J. KERNEY, A. M., Author of Compendium of History, &c., &c. Revised and Enlarged Edition. *(80th Thousand.)* 60

☞ It is Clear, Comprehensive, Truthful and Impartial, and can be recommended with confidence, as the best and most reliable School History Published.

The appearance of thirty-two large editions of Kerney's First Class Book of History within *twenty* years, is a sufficient evidence of its popularity as an elementary class book. As a book for study, and a book for reading, in place of the disjointed matter found in ordinary "Readers," the publishers have endeavored to make it every way worthy of continued patronage.

The importance of the particular changes introduced in this edition, renders it desirable to call the attention of teachers and parents to its enhanced value. Errors and inaccuracies of whatever kind have been carefully rectified. If any have found in it heretofore defects worthy of notice, it is to be hoped that the emendations supplied,—suggested as they have been, by teachers of experience,—will recommend this new edition to their hearty approval.

In order to make this book correspond in character with the Author's larger work, the "Compendium of Ancient and Modern History," the last edition was enlarged by the introduction of a short synopsis of Sacred and Ancient History. In Modern History, several chapters were added, embracing the most important recent events that had transpired in the civilized countries of the world, including the late "American Civil War."

The form of "Questions" at the foot of each page has been improved. Superfluities have been retrenched, and facts equally as important to be known as those already stated, introduced. Where the narrative embraces events, which, from their religious or political bearing, gives rise to a diversity of opinion among men, care has been taken to avoid a method of statement likely to prove offensive to either extreme. *Truth* is the object aimed at, not partizanship: in the interests of which it is notorious that the most of what is called History, is written. The publishers trust that this little book will now find its way into a still wider circle of institutions, than those in which it has been heretofore known and appreciated.

No pains have been spared in the revision and preparation of this Edition, with the hope of rendering it worthy, in every respect, of the high commendation and liberal patronage enjoyed by Mr. Kerney's Popular Class Books.

From a large number of RECOMMENDATIONS, *we select the following:*

From PROFESSOR JOYNES, *of Washington and Lee University, Va.*

MESSRS. JOHN MURPHY & CO., *Dear Sirs*:—I have used *Kerney's First Lessons in History* for some time past, in teaching my own children, and have had occasion at the same time to compare it with other books for the first study of History. I consider *Kerney's* much the best book for this purpose that I have seen. It is the most comprehensive, and at the same time the simplest in its style—while it is full of *facts*, it is also sufficiently enlivened by anecdote and incident, to keep up the interest of children. It seems moreover to be just and truthful, and contains nothing that could be offensive to any portion of our people—a quality that in these days, when school books seem to be regarded as proper instruments for political teaching, should commend it especially to the people of the South. I trust it may have a wide circulation in our Southern Schools. Very truly. EDWARD S. JOYNES.

EASTERN FEMALE HIGH SCHOOL, *Baltimore, June* 23, 1871.

GENTLEMEN:—The true province of the Historian is to give facts without comment. This is a distinguishing quality of Kerney's "First Book of History," which renders it not only a model for all compilers, but an unobjectional work for all schools. NATHANIEL H. THAYER.

MURPHY & CO., *Publishers and Booksellers, Baltimore.*

A NEW SCHOOL HISTORY of MARYLAND.

Adopted as a Text Book in the Public Schools of Baltimore,

And Several Counties of the State.

Onderdonk's History of Maryland, *upon the basis of McSherry, from its Settlement, to 1867.* With 20 fine Illustrations, to which is added the Constitution of Maryland, for the use of Schools. By HENRY ONDERDONK, A. M., Head Master of College of St. James, and late President of the Maryland Agricultural College. *Second Revised and Enlarged Edition*.....75

The first edition of this History having met with such favor from the public that a second edition is demanded, opportunity has been given to correct some typographical errors, and to adapt it more thoroughly to the uses of the class-room. A portion has been entirely re-written. That which relates to the period of the civil war has been made quite full. The facts have been obtained as far as possible from official sources, or from parties personally connected with the events narrated.

The adoption of the book as a text-book by the Public Schools of the City of Baltimore, and the subsequent adoption by the State Board of Education, and their recommendation of its use by all the Schools of the State, both justify the hope expressed, and give assurance that this history supplies a want so long felt.

With a view of meeting the requirements of the School Law of 1872, the Constitution of the State has been added in an Appendix.

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS,
Baltimore, September 24th, 1869.

This certifies that the Board of School Commissioners of this city, have inserted in the Rules of Order, as one of the studies to be pursued in the Grammar Schools, "McSherry's History of Maryland, as revised by Onderdonk." Attest: H. M. COWLES,
Adopted May 25th, 1869. *Sec'y Board School Commis'rs.*

☞At a meeting of the STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION, held on the 29th of May, 1872, Onderdonk's School History of Maryland, with the Constitution of the State Appended, was unanimously recommended for adoption in all the Public Schools of the State.

A New and Complete System of Penmanship.

Gillespie's Progressive System, In 6 Numbers, with Steel Plate Copies at the Head of each Page .....Price, per No. 18 cts.; per dozen, 1 50

This new and complete system, designed to lead the Pupils from the first principles in Penmanship to a free, open, and practical style of writing, adapted to general business purposes, is well worthy of the attention of teachers.

German School Books.—The following *German Speller and Reader,* prepared by the School Sisters of Notre Dame, for the German Schools under their charge, may justly be considered the best and cheapest Books of their class for the use of Primary Schools.

Fibel für die lieben Kleinen, gebraucht in den Schulen der deutschen Schulschwestern unserer Lieben Frau 10

Lesebüchlein für die lieben Kleiner, gebraucht in den Schulen der deutschen Schulschwestern unserer Lieben Frau 15

Kleiner Katechismus ....320 pages, 3 cts.....per 100 net, 2 00

☞***Specimen Copies*** of any of MURPHY & Co's School Books, will be supplied to Professors, Teachers and others, with a view to introduction, on receipt of one-half the retail price. ☞Liberal terms for introduction.

School and Classical Books, &c.—Their Stock of School Books embraces in addition to their own, nearly all the Publications of the leading Publishers in the United States, comprising every variety, together with all the leading Text-Books in Latin, Greek, French, Spanish and German—nearly all of which they are prepared to *supply at Publishers' prices.*

☞**Paper, Stationery and School Requisites, generally.** Their Stock comprises every variety, at the lowest current rates.

☞***Careful and prompt attention to all orders.***

MURPHY & Co., *Publishers and Booksellers, Baltimore.*

Kerney's Arithmetics.

The Columbian Arithmetic, designed for the Use of Academies and Schools. By M. J. KERNEY, A. M.....26th Edition. 50

The aim has been, in the compilation and arrangement of this work, to make it a book of *practical instruction;* one in which the science of figures is thoroughly explained and clearly elucidated. The examples for practice are generally such as the pupil will meet in the various business transactions of life. The arrangement is progressive, all questions being solved by rules previously explained. This new edition has been carefully revised and enlarged. Several useful tables on the subject of *Interest* have been introduced, and numerous miscellaneous examples added. These improvements render the work still more deserving of the extensive patronage it has heretofore enjoyed.

Key to Kerney's Columbian Arithmetic, containing the solution of the principal Questions..... 40

Introduction to the Columbian Arithmetic, for the Use of Schools. By M. J. KERNEY, A. M.....30th Revised Edition. 20

This little work is designed as an introduction to the former, and is intended for children about to commence the study of Arithmetic. The first principles of the science are familiarly explained in the form of question and answer, and the pupils are conducted in the study as far as the end of compound numbers. It is replete with practical examples, adapted to the capacity of that class of learners for which it is designed, and it also contains all the *Tables.*

Mr. Kerney's Arithmetics have already acquired a wide-spread popularity, as attested by the sale of large editions in a few years. They are books of practical instruction, arranged by a practical teacher of many years' experience. The present editions have been carefully revised, and neither pains nor expense will be spared to render them at all times worthy the high reputation already acquired.

The Publishers have the pleasure of announcing that this Series of Arithmetics have undergone a *careful* and *thorough revision,* by a BROTHER OF THE SOCIETY OF THE HOLY CROSS, an experienced practical teacher and arithmetician.

Kerney's Murray's Grammar.—*An Abridgment of Murray's Grammar and Exercises,* designed for the Use of Academies and Schools; with an Appendix, containing Rules for Writing with Perspicuity and Accuracy; also a Treatise on Epistolary Composition. By M. J. KERNEY, A. M.
43d Edition. 18o. half bound, 25

This Grammar is used in the Public Schools of Baltimore; in the Schools of the Christian Brothers; and in many of the principal Schools and Academies throughout the country.

In point of arrangement, this work is superior to any other Abridgment of Murray's Grammar that has yet appeared before the public. It combines the Grammar and Exercise, by adapting Exercises to every chapter and section throughout the work, so that the pupil may have, at every stage of his progress, a practical illustration of the portion under his immediate study. The present edition has been carefully revised by the author, and many valuable improvements made in the work. A Treatise on Epistolary Composition has been added, containing directions for writing Letters, Notes, Cards, &c., with a variety of examples of the same.

A Catechism of Scripture History, compiled by the Sisters of Mercy for the children attending their schools. Revised by M. J. KERNEY, A. M.....30th Edition. 75

"This excellent work is extensively used in nearly all Catholic Institutions throughout England, Ireland, Canada, and the United States.

"The object of the Catechism, 'is to render children early acquainted with the truthful and interesting events recorded in the Sacred Scriptures; to familiarize them with the prophecies relating to the coming of the Messiah, and lead them to regard the Old Testament as a figure and a forshadowing of the New.'

"The present edition has been much improved, the questions to the answers being made more concise, so as to admit of their being easily committed to memory. An Appendix has also been added, containing extracts from the Prophets, Scripture texts, and short sketches of the lives of the Apostles and Evangelists. The Chronological Table, which has been carefully revised and considerably enlarged, fixes the dates of the most remarkable events recorded in the Sacred Writings."

Catechism of Ecclesiastical History. Abridged for the Use of Schools. By a Friend of Youth. Enlarged Edition. 16o. flexible cloth, 30

This little work has been carefully revised and enlarged, the text being brought down to the present time. These improvements it is hoped will render it still more popular with the instructors of youth.

Murray's English Grammar, adapted to the different classes of learners; with an APPENDIX, containing rules and observations for assisting the more advanced students to write with perspicuity and accuracy. By LINDLEY MURRAY.....12o. 40

In presenting a new edition of Murray's Grammar, which is universally considered the best extant, we deem it sufficient to state, that the present edition is printed from an entirely new set of plates, and that it has been carefully revised, and free from many of the inaccuracies and blemishes which are to be found in other editions, printed from old stereotype plates. This, together with the very low price affixed to it, are the only claims urged in favor of this edition.

***Murray's English Reader*.....18o. 35**

A New and Important Work on Philosophy—Third Edition.

ELEMENTS OF PHILOSOPHY, Comprising Logic and General Principles of Metaphysics. *By Rev. W. H. HILL, S. J., Professor of Philosophy in the St. Louis University, Mo. Third Revised Edition,* 1 50

Vol. II. being the Second Part, Preparing for Early Publication.

FROM THE PUBLISHERS' PREFACE TO THE SECOND REVISED EDITION.—It is not yet one month since we issued the First Edition of 'HILL'S PHILOSOPHY,' and such has been the demand for the work, that a second Edition is required. Very favorable notices have already been given of the work by several periodicals, and able judges, whose verdict we know to be of the highest authority, have pronounced the book a true and trusty guide through the intricacies of Philosophy.

"We rejoice at this success, because it ensures the completion of the whole work, including Ethics, and gives us every reason to believe that we shall soon have, in our language, a Manual of Philosophy, for students and for the general reader, equal to the admirable Latin works, which have hitherto been almost the only pure sources of Philosophical knowledge.

"The Author has taken advantage of the opportunity offered by the preparation of this Edition, to revise his work and thus render it more acceptable to the student."

This Work is from the pen of one who has devoted many years to the study and teaching of philosophy. That it is sound in principle, is guaranteed by the well known character of the studies in the Society to which the author belongs, whilst his experience as a Teacher leaves no room for doubt that his book has been written in clear and correct language. It is elementary and must be concise; yet it treats the important points of philosophy so clearly, and contains so many principles of wide application, that it cannot fail to be especially useful in a country where sound philosophical doctrine is perhaps more needed than in any other.

GREEK AND LATIN GRAMMARS, &c.

Wettenhall's Greek Grammar.—*Rudiments of the Greek Language,* arranged for the Students of Loyola College, Baltimore, — upon the basis of WETTENHALL. 6th. ed. 12o..... 75

Extract from the Preface.—"It is not intended by this publication to present a new Greek Grammar to the classical student; after the elaborate volumes of Matthiæ, Buttman, Kuhner, Gail, Burnouf, and other scholars of Germany and France, it would be altogether vain to expect any new discovery in that language. The most that we can do is to avail ourselves of their labors in order to smooth the difficulties, which are usually met in its study. The greatest of these, we have learned from a long experience in teaching, is the large size of the grammars, which are put in the student's hands when he commences. Excellent as these may be for the professor or more advanced scholar, they only tend to deter the beginner from approaching it We trust that this will be obviated by the present compendium, in which we have endeavored to comprise within as short a compass as possible, all that is of absolute necessity to the learner. If it induces him to apply with more alacrity to study a language second to none in the literary beauties and treasures which it contains, our intentions will have been amply fulfilled."

Ruddiman's Latin Grammar.—*Ruddiman's Rudiments of the Latin Tongue;* or, a Plain and Easy Introduction to Latin Grammar: wherein the principles of the language are methodically digested, both in the English and Latin. With useful Notes and Observations. 30th edition — corrected and improved. By WILLIAM MANN, M. A. 12o, half arabesque..... 75

☞ *The Cheapest and Best Latin Grammar published.*

Ars Rhetorica—Auctore, R. P., MARTINO DU CYGNE, Societatis Jesu. Editio Secunda Americana. In usum Collegii Georgeopolitani, S. J. 18o. half arab..... 75

To this New Edition, an Appendix has been added, containing Examples taken from the English Classics.

MURPHY & Co. *Publishers and Booksellers, Baltimore.*

Sestini's Mathematical Works.

Elementary Algebra. By B. SESTINI, S. J., Author of Analytical Geometry, &c., &c. Professor of Natural Philosophy and Astronomy in Georgetown College. 12o. half arabesque, 75

The main object of this treatise is to render the science of Algebra intelligible to pupils whose minds are yet unaccustomed to such studies. The beginner will here be furnished with such proofs as are suited to his capacity; examples will afford new light to what might be otherwise obscured with regard to the operations founded on higher principles; he will, for the present, content himself with merely practical rules, exemplified in the same manner. With a mind thus gradually led on to strict mathematical discussion, he may then resume his course with profit, by the aid of a treatise now in preparation, which is intended as a sequel to this, and, by more exact and thorough investigation, complete his study of Algebra.

A Treatise on Algebra. By B. SESTINI, S. J., Author of "Elementary Algebra," "Analytical Geometry, &c. 12o. 1 00

The treatise is divided into two parts, the first of which contains Algebraical operations, with several questions and doctrines connected with them, so that each section may prove complete in its own subject, and the inconvenience of turning elsewhere to speak of matter left unfinished before, may be avoided.... The second contains the most indispensable theories of equations, proportions, and progressions, logarithms, and some few principles on the series. The doctrine of equations has been treated more copiously than the others, not so much on account of its importance as because it is well adapted to give an idea of algebraic analysis, and thus prepare the mind of the student, who would afterwards apply himself to higher studies. It is as clear and concise as the nature of such works permits, and seems to embrace everything necessary to a full knowledge of Algebra.

Elements of Geometry and Trigonometry, by B. SESTINI, S.J., Author of "Analytical Geometry," "Elementary Algebra," &c. 8o. half cl. $2

"The author has succeeded in combining two qualities rarely united,—great perspicuity and extreme conciseness. It has evidently been the result of great thought and long experience in teaching. The student passes gradually from the simpler to the more complex truths of the science. The work cannot be too warmly commended as an admirable introduction to the science it professes to teach, and we would advise teachers and parents not to select a text-book until they have given this volume a careful and candid examination."—*Metropolitan.*

Manual of Geometrical and Infinitesimal Analysis, by B. SESTINI, S. J. 8o., half cloth.....(Just Published,) 1 50

Extract from the Preface.—"This manual, prepared with the view of its serving as an introduction to the study of Physical Science, was only intended for a class of students intrusted to the care of the compiler. The suggestion of friends that the work might prove advantageous to others induces him to offer it to the public.

"Works of analysis—some of them voluminous—are not wanting; nor does our little book pretend to give a complete development of its subject. For this reason we call it a manual, which excludes all discussions the results of which are seldom or never called into use in the applications. It is hoped, however, that it will sufficiently serve the purpose intended."

The North American Spelling Book. Designed for Elementary Introduction in Schools. A New Enlarged Edition. Being an improvement upon all others..... 20

The aim in this compilation has been to present a gradation of lessons necessary to impart a knowledge of the spelling, division, pronunciation, and accentuation of the various sounds and syllables that compose the English language. In pronouncing and accenting words, good usage and the best lexicographers have been followed. The rules laid down are few, but simple and concise; and the progress from what is easy to what is difficult, is gentle and gradual. It is universally conceded to be one of the BEST, as it is unquestionably the *Cheapest Spelling Book published.*

THE BEST AND CHEAPEST

Wilson's Progressive Speller.

Wilson's Progressive Speller, *containing upwards of Twelve Thousand Words,* with Reading and Dictation Exercises annexed to each Lesson, arranged so as to be best adapted to Aid the Memory, and obviate the difficulties in this Branch of Elementary Education. Compiled by WILLIAM J. WILSON, *of North Carolina* ..... *Third Revised Edition, 190 pages, 12o.* 25

This book has been prepared with great care by a gentleman eminently qualified, both by education and experience. Its characteristic is the simplicity of its arrangement. Being strictly a Spelling Book, no attempt is made to combine with it subjects of study coming, properly, *after* the child has learned to spell and read. Each lesson is accompanied by a Reading and Dictation Exercise, designed to cultivate the eye, the ear, and the hand. The object of a Speller being to teach the mechanical structure and pronunciation of words, the lessons and exercises are arranged primarily and solely to this end. The orthography and orthœpy are according to the standards recognized as highest authorities in this country. Especial care has been taken to keep it free from *provincialisms,* and it is, therefore, recommended as a Text-Book suitable alike for all sections of the country. The completeness of its vocabulary may be known from the fact of its containing upwards of twelve Thousand English words.

RECOMMENDATIONS, NOTICES, &c.

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, OFFICE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
RALEIGH, May 20th, 1871.

MESSRS. JOHN MURPHY & CO., *Gents*—I have the honor to inform you that, by virtue of a vote passed by the Board of Education of this State, on the 25th of March last, "Wilson's Progressive Speller" is recommended for use in the Public Schools of this State.

Yours truly S. S. ASHLEY, *Sec'y of Board.*

From REV. C. H. WILEY, *Superintendent of Common Schools of N. Carolina.*

Mr. W. J. WILSON: *Dear Sir*—I have examined with care your Spelling Book, and regard it as a book of decided merit. You have manifested in its preparation taste, judgment and learning, and I consider it worthy of introduction into our schools. I am truly yours, C. H. WILEY.

From REV. B. CRAVEN, D. D, *President of Trinity College, N. C.*

Mr. WILSON: *Dear Sir*—I have examined your Spelling Book, and believe it in many respects superior to any with which I am acquainted. It is full of important improvements. I should be glad to see it introduced into all our schools. B. CRAVEN.

From REV. DR. DEEMS, *of N. Carolina.*

Dear Sir—The pressure of my engagements has not allowed time for a very careful examination of the MS of your Spelling Book, the many good points of which have made themselves apparent to the Rev. Dr. Craven and the Rev. Mr. Wiley, whose judgment of such a work I am free to endorse. I hope it will meet with deserved success. Very respectfully yours,

W. J. WILSON, Esq. CHARLES F. DEEMS.

From PROFESSOR JOYNES, *of Washington and Lee University, Va.*

MESSRS. JOHN MURPHY & CO., *Dear Sirs*—I regard *Wilson's Progressive Speller,* published by you, as the best Spelling Book that I have ever seen. In simplicity, in fullness, in well distributed and well graded classification, and in practical convenience for use, I think it superior to other books. The *Dictation Exercises* that accompany the spelling lessons, afford a useful praxis for the pupil. I like the book most of all on account of its *simplicity* and *easy progressiveness.* It recognizes the old truth—almost forgotten now-a-days—that spelling is something not only worth learning, *but very hard to learn,* and therefore needed to be taught by systematic, constant, and well-graded practice; and of this, it furnishes more, and furnishes it more judiciously, than any other book that I have seen. I wish it may obtain a very wide use.

EDWARD S. JOYNES.

"The work is systematic, devoid of provincialisms, arranged with strict regard to gradation with a uniformity of all the leading vowel sounds in all the words of each lesson. The classification is admirable, and we believe the work will be approved by teachers everywhere."

Memphis Public Ledger.

To Catholic Educational Institutions.

In soliciting attention to the following, the Publishers have the pleasure of announcing that most of these Works have been introduced, and are extensively used in many of the leading Colleges, Academies, and Schools in the U. S., Canada, &c.

From a large number of Recommendations, &c., we select the following:

Professor JOHN O KANE MURRAY, B S., *of Brooklyn, N. Y., in his Literary Correspondence to the Toronto Tribune, under the head of "American Literary Notes," says:*

***A History of the Catholic Church*—**"how many who think themselves very intelligent, never read one, in fact, never saw any such work? Without wishing to press the point too closely, how many, even of our Catholic College graduates, know quite as much about the history of Mohametanism as they do about the history of Catholicity—perhaps more? And is this something to be proud of—something concerning which every one who is not a college president, or a Cardinal, should be silent? But why write a catechism? The plain, blunt truth is, this is a matter of shame in many of our higher institutions. Algebra, mythology—the tag-ends of nearly every 'ology' and 'ometry,' are freely thrown to students, while they are left to hunger for even a glance at any book containing the history of what is dearest to us on earth—our sublime Old Church! Who hears of a student's getting a prize for excellence in Church History? Frankly, I have not. We talk about religious instruction; with clarion voice, we urge the necessity of making our Catholic youth familiar with the Church and her doctrines. This is well. But let every Catholic College hang its head in shame, 'as a traitor to its high mission,' if it neglects placing Church History on its programme of necessary studies.

"On this subject we have now some excellent text-books, about one of the very best of which, I desire to make a few remarks. The 'History of the Catholic Church,' by Rev. Father Noethen, is a handsomely bound volume of 650 pages. It is published at a very low price by the enterprising house of John Murphy & Co., Baltimore. As a well written and meritorious text-book on Church History, it has no rival in our language. 'Full without overflowing,' it strikes the golden mean between too much and too little. The arrangement, the skillful presentation of salient points, the omission of trivialities commands hearty praise—in short, the whole book bears the impress of a master hand. This is just the volume for our students—not to be ignorant of, but to master. It would be interesting to know how many of our Catholic Colleges in the United States and the Dominion of Canada, use a text-book on Church History. Now, I desire it to be well understood, that this is written with all due respect to everybody, by one who does not wish to be numbered with the *croakers*, and who is neither indifferent to the good name of our Catholic Colleges, nor ignorant of the grand work they are doing for the sound education, true progress, and civilization in America."

Lingard's England, by Burke, (720 pages,) is a most felicitous and successful attempt at giving the world an abridgment of the greatest history of England ever written. The fifteenth revised and enlarged edition lies before me. It brings the story of England, down to 1873. As an excellent treatise for the student, or general reader, it is unequalled in beauty of style, treatment of subject, Catholic tone, and richness of illustration. The "make up" of the book is also highly creditable to the publishers.

"The rapid progress made by American Catholic literature, during the last few years, is a subject not by any means as well known as it should be. This is especially noticeable in the line of sound, suitable text-books for Catholic schools and colleges. Among the enterprising publishing houses that have

been conspicuous in bringing about this laudable improvement, stands the well known firm of John Murphy & Co., of Baltimore. In the department of general history they publish the best text-books in the English language. This may sound like hyperbole; but it is not said at random, nor without knowing that 'whereof we speak.'

Fredet's Ancient and Modern History, in two volumes, are used in the best Catholic Colleges in the United States, Canada, Great Britain and Ireland.

As an excellent general history in one volume, ***Kerney's Compendium of Ancient and Modern History*** stands alone, while his

First Class Book of History is an inimitable little volume to familiarize youth with the great story of the past.

Besides the foregoing, the following are a few of the excellent text-books which can be warmly commended to Catholic educators and Catholic parents. They are published by Messrs. Murphy & Co., to whose courtesy we are indebted for copies of the latest revised editions:

Hill's Philosophy.—*The Elements of Philosophy,* by Father Hill, S. J., is another new work, which will prove of rare value to our Catholic students. It is a well bound volume of some 234 pages, and contains a thorough discussion of Logic and General Metaphysics. In our language, we know of but *one* other such work, (Louage's) written from a Catholic stand point, and of the two we much prefer Father Hill's book. Sound in doctrine, and written in a clear, terse style, this is a much needed and truly welcome text-book.

A glance at another work, and we must finish.

Wettenhall's Greek Grammar.—*Rudiments of the Greek Language,*' by a learned Jesuit Father, is an admirable little grammar of that classic tongue which was immortalized by Homer, Pindar and Sophocles. In 112 pages are condensed, simplified and arranged with a masterhand, the principal points of Greek grammar. For accuracy, clearness, brevity and neatness, we have seen nothing to surpass this small volume."

School and Classical Books, Paper, Stationery, &c.

Their stock of School Books embraces, in addition to their own, nearly all the Publications of the leading Publishers in the United States, comprising every variety of Primers, Spellers, Readers, Grammars, Arithmetics, Geographies, Histories, Dictionaries, etc.; also, Works on Elocution, Algebra, Geometry, Trigonometry, Astronomy, Botany, Chemistry, Geology, and Mineralogy, Philosophy, Rhetoric, and Logic, Book-Keeping, Penmanship, etc., etc., together with all the leading Text-Books in Latin, Greek, French, Spanish and German—nearly all of which they are prepared to *supply at Publishers' wholesale prices.*

Their Stock of **Paper, Stationery, and School Requisites** generally, comprises every variety, all of which they are prepared to sell at the lowest current rates

☞Orders, which will receive the same careful and prompt attention, as if selected in person, are respectfully solicited.

☞The various Railroad, Transportation, Express and Steamship Companies running from our city, afford every facility for transportation at the *Lowest Rates,* to all parts of the country—North and South, East and West.

MURPHY & Co., *Publishers and Booksellers, Baltimore.*

Jenkins' English Literature.

JUST PUBLISHED, *in a handsome volume of 564 pages, 12o. price in cloth, $2. Library style, half morocco, $3.*

The Student's Handbook of British and American Literature,

Containing SKETCHES BIOGRAPHICAL and CRITICAL of the *Most Distinguished English Authors*, from the *Earliest Times* to the *Present Day*, with *Selections from their Writings*, and *Questions adapted to the Use of Schools.* By Rev. O. L. JENKINS, A. M., *Late President of St. Charles, and formerly President of St. Mary's College, Baltimore.*

THIS is an ELEMENTARY HISTORY of the English Language and Literature, especially intended for Schools, Academies and Colleges. The period which it embraces, dates back as early as the time when the Saxons under Hengist and Horsa landed in Britain, and reaches to our own day through the following stages: the *Anglo-Saxon Period*, 549-1065; the *Semi-Saxon Period*, 1065-1250; the *Old English Period*, 1250-1350; the *Middle English Period*, 1350-1580; and the *Modern Period*, from 1580 to our time. Each of these periods is a point of departure in the history of English Literature, and each constitutes in itself a well-defined epoch in the growth of the language. In the fifth, or last period, falls the LITERARY HISTORY OF AMERICA. This is divided into three parts; the *Colonial* the *Revolutionary Period*, and the *Present Century.*

The EXTRACTS have been carefully selected, with a view to serve not only *fair specimens* of the style of the writers, but also as an *illustrative history* themselves of English Literature. Various TABLES, *chronological* and *linguistic*, as well as a copious INDEX, accompany the work In fine, QUESTIONS have been introduced, for the special convenience of teachers and students.

Brief Extracts from Notices of the Press, &c.

BROOKLYN, N. Y., *March 6*, 1876.

JOHN MURPHY, Esq., *Publisher, Baltimore,*

Dear Sir:—"I beg of you to excuse my delay in giving what you so kindly ask—my humble opinion of Rev. Father Jenkins' text-book on our language and literature. I have given it a few hours examination, and my impressions are: The plan is good, the execution is excellent. The learned author handles his subject with ability, clearness, wisdom, and a calm impartiality, as rare as it is admirable. The critical remarks, both original and borrowed, are happy and to the point. Much good taste and sound, cultivated judgment are exhibited in the selection of the specimens. *As a whole*, the work has so many merits, that it will—it must take its place as *the* text-book in English literature in all our high Catholic institutions of learning." J. O'KANE MURRAY.

BALTIMORE, *July 17th*, 1876.

MR. JOHN MURPHY,

Dear Sir:—Be pleased to accept my grateful acknowledgments for a copy of Dr. Jenkins' Handbook of British and American Literature. I read the work with great interest and pleasure. It impressed me as a sound and scholarly book, carefully constructed, and containing much valuable information in regard to many authors, whose works and whose history, are little known to the American public. I trust that its success may be commensurate with its merits. I am, dear sir, yours very truly,

HENRY E. SHEPHERD,
Sup't Public Instruction, Baltimore.

"The History of the English language is well and usefully illustrated in the work before us by numerous extracts from Saxon and Anglo-Saxon writers of various periods, accompanied by translations into modern English. The study of this book alone would go far towards enabling any one to understand and enjoy the Anglo Saxon writers." *London Tablet.*

"It is without exception, the best student's handbook we have yet had under perusal."
Newark Daily Journal.

"The author shows himself thoroughly versed in his subject. He writes with elegance, occasionally with force, as in the remarks on the influence of the Protestant Reformation on literature. His taste is true and his judgment sound." *Catholic World.*

"We find much in it to praise; the biographical sketches are excellent, and the selections have been made with good taste. We like the arrangement of the work, which is clear and methodical." *Ave Maria.*

"It embraces specimens of the styles of writers, from the Anglo-Saxon period to the present time. These extracts are preceded by ample biographical and critical sketches of their authors, with interesting historical details relative to the progress of letters during the different periods. Various tables, chronological and linguistic, as well as a copious index, accompany the work." *Publishers' Weekly.*

"The work is well written, and to Catholic students of English literature, we cordially recommend it. The style is excellent; it is the safest and most appreciative text-book that has so far appeared in America."
The Salesianum.

"The present volume is very full, comprehensive and complete, omitting no literary name of any note." *St. Louis Globe Democrat.*

"We think that it cannot be excelled, and cannot fail to become one of the most acceptable text-books that has yet been presented to our educational institutions."—*Pittsburg Cath.*

"This book is not only adapted to literary classes in schools, but well adapted to home reading by all who wish to be posted on the growth and cultivators of our vernacular."
Nebraska Watchman.

"No student or professional man should be without this excellent work, as to many it will prove exceedingly useful, and to all a most instructive and interesting acquisition. It is a condensed library, and is worth ten times its price." *Baltimore Sunday News.*

MURPHY & Co. *Publishers and Booksellers, Baltimore.*

लाल बहादुर शास्त्री राष्ट्रीय प्रशासन अकादमी, पुस्तकालय
Lal Bahadur Shastri National Academy of Administration Library
मसूरी
MUSSOORIE

यह पुस्तक निम्नाँकित तारीख तक वापिस करनी है।
This book is to be returned on the date last stamped.

दिनांक Date	उधारकर्त्ता की संख्या Borrower's No.	दिनांक Date	उधारकर्त्ता की संख्या Borrower,s No.

909
Ker
45th ed.

अवाप्ति संख्या 113072
Acc No. 9766

वर्ग संख्या
Class No.

पुस्तक संख्या
Book No.

लेखक
Author Kerney.

शीर्षक
Title A Compendium of ancien...

909
Ker
45th ed.
9766

LIBRARY
LAL BAHADUR SHASTRI
National Academy of Administration
MUSSOORIE

Accession No. 113072

1. **Books are issued for 15 days only but may have to be recalled earlier if urgently required.**
2. **An over-due charge of 25 Paise per day per volume will be charged.**
3. **Books may be renewed on request, at the discretion of the Librarian.**
4. **Periodicals, Rare and Refrence books may not be issued and may be consulted only in the Library.**
5. **Books lost, defaced or injured in any way shall have to be replaced or its double price shall be paid by the borrower.**

Help to keep this book fresh, clean & moving